

# God Is Love

*I  
am the rose  
of Sharon,  
and the lily  
of the valleys.*

*Song of Solomon 2:1*



Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

# God Is Love

*I  
am the rose  
of Sharon,  
and the lily  
of the valleys.*

Song of Solomon 2:1



Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

# God is Love

**By Peter S. Ruckman**

*B.A., B.D., M.A., Th.M., Ph.D.*

*President and Founder of Pensacola Bible Institute*

**BB BOOKSTORE**

P.O. Box 7135 Pensacola, FL 32534

**Copyright © 1996 by Peter S. Ruckman**

All rights reserved

(PRINT) ISBN 1-58026-091-8

[www.kjv1611.org](http://www.kjv1611.org)

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

## **PUBLISHER'S NOTE**

**The Scripture quotations found herein are from the text of the Authorized King James Version of the Bible. Any deviations therefrom are not intentional.**

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**1. The Missing Note**

**2. How Can God be a God of 'Love'?**

**3. What is This Thing Called Love?**

**4. The Kiss of Death**

**5. Speaking the Truth in Love**

## CHAPTER ONE

# The Missing Note

Dr. Bob Jones Sr. (1882–1968—founder, Bob Jones University) used to say that “*every bad thing on this earth is a good thing, twisted.*” It will not take much reading of history books to confirm this great truth. The most desirable things on earth, since the beginnings of recorded history, have been things like love, liberty, peace, unity, and equality. Any one of those items twisted slightly, to the left or right, will produce degeneracy, censorship, sex perversion, slavery, revolutions, world wars, and genocide.

Lincoln “maintained the Union.” Hitler “liberated” Austria and Czechoslovakia. Castro “liberated” Cuba. The Communists “saved” Russia from the Czars. The Khmer Rouge “freed” Cambodia. The motto “Liberty, Equality, Fraternity” produced, first, the Reign of Terror and then a military dictator (Napoleon).

Those who major in “peace talks” (Dan. 8:25) usually have WAR in their hearts (Ps. 55:12). With three more World Wars “on deck” (Rev. 6, 19–20), you will find that the United Nations (UN)—(which was set up to “ensure” world peace) will be doing nothing but sending out armed “peace-keeping forces” to kill various “dissidents.” The bloody UN has sponsored eighty-four wars since 1946—more than twice as many, in fifty years, than were fought on the earth in the 150 years preceding its establishment.

Now, here are two grand and “good” things; according to every nation on earth, these are two things that any race of men, on any continent, would accept as being “good.” The first is *love*, and the second is *music*. (“Every BAD thing on earth is a GOOD thing, twisted.”) Without going to the Scriptures to see what it has to say about either one of them (and it has plenty to say about both of them), let us consider an astonishing fact that seems to have escaped the notice of over 400 historians while they were writing “histories.” This is the fact: there was a man on this planet, who was a prophet (4 B.C.–A.D. 33), and is universally reported as having founded a “religion”; and this character died more than 1,960 years ago.

After this prophet died, more than 1,000 songs were written in praise of Him, and every one of them mentioned either His love for sinful men or their love for Him. As late as 1995, hymn books could be found (worldwide) with as many as 400 songs in them about this one man; they contained another 200 praising God, while dealing indirectly with this same man. In addition to these hymn books, the commercial market (between 1930 and 1995) sported another 300 songs about the same man.

Could all the writers of these songs have been in love with one man? “In love” with a *dead* man, who died more than 1,960 years ago?

How can anyone explain—they never have!—this superabundance of “rave notices,” stretching through twenty centuries, dealing with one founder of one “religion?” Do you

know of a similar case? Where? Who? Not one historical writer in nineteen centuries has ever produced a case like it. As far as that goes, no case like it was known in twenty centuries of writers before this “prophet” was born. Where is a book containing 400 songs praising ANY human being? You can’t find one containing even fifty songs.

Take, for example, this phrase from the *Shehada*: “There is one God [Allah], and Mohammed is his Prophet.” Then where are the love songs from Mohammed’s followers? You can’t find *ten* songs, written by any Moslem, which praise Mohammed for his love for mankind. Why not? Do you know of any Moslem who can quote even two songs which praise Mohammed (not “Allah”)?

You see, I am not speaking about religious “gods” here. I am inquiring about MEN: male prophets. I am speaking about a man who founded a religion. I am talking about love for a human being that was so great it caused literally millions of Africans, Europeans, Asiatics, and Americans to sing about it for 1,900 years; they are still singing about it. Were they (and are they) all on pot, grass, or crack? For 1,900 years? For 1,900 years, male and female, young and old, without a letup?

Musical expressions of love are as deeply ingrained in human nature (any human being) as covetousness, envy, hate, self-preservation, and the sex drives. Music has been called “The Universal Language.” Love songs are perennial in Japan, Mexico, Italy, Spain, Algiers, India, and Alaska, just like they are perennial in Germany, Peru, Cuba, England, and Australia. Music and human love go together. Sometimes it is love for a piece of ground, instead of love for a man or woman (“Georgia on My Mind,” “My Old Kentucky Home,” “Dixie,” “Carry Me Back to Ole’ Virginy”) or a city (“Vien, Vien, nur du Allein,” “Way Down Yonder in New Orleans,” “Grenada”) or a homeland (“God Bless America,” “Deutschland Uber Alles,” “Finlandia”) etc. Men write songs about rivers (“Ole’ Man Riber,” “Shenandoah,” “Beautiful Ohio,” “The Moldau,” “The Blue Danube”) etc. There are songs praising trees, flowers, oceans, mountains, and grassland prairies. But by far, the greatest theme for love songs has always been about men loving women or women loving men.

Again, nothing needs to be proved. The operas and Broadway productions, alone, contain a mass of love songs that would take years to memorize (*Oklahoma*, *The Student Prince*, *Naughty Marietta*, *Maytime*, *Porgy and Bess*, *Carousel*, and *Guys and Dolls*; *L’Africaine*, *Mignon*, *Madame Butterfly*, *Carmen*, *Il Trovatore*, *LaBohème*, *Pagliacci*, *Rigoletto*, *Siegfried*, *La Gioconda*, *Aida*, *Cinderella*, *The Barber of Seville*, *Die Fliedermaus*, etc.).

Out in the world system (the “cosmos”), the word *love* means, basically, two adults of the opposite sex “falling in love” with each other. However, in America, since the Civil Rights Bill (1964), the primary meaning is two sex-crazy teenagers who are anxious to fornicate. You can observe this “musical progress” if you study the lyrics to the love songs written between 1934 and 1964, and the lyrics written between 1965 and 1995.

“Love” is expressed musically; it always has been and always will be.

So before we bore (or illuminate) the reader with Scriptural quotations that prove all kinds of things, let us ask ourselves this question again: “Why is it that only one man has ever showed up on this earth who was so loved and adored (‘idolized’) that more than a

thousand songs were written about Him, and at least 400 of them are still being sung 1,960 years after He was buried?”

Where else on this earth can this phenomenon be found, say, in any country? Is it found in Hinduism? No. Is it found in Mithraism? No. Can it be found in Taoism or Shintoism or Confucianism? No, it can't. How about Mormonism? No. Atheism, perhaps? No. Mohammedanism? No. There are no love songs praising Joe Smith, Brigham Young, Mohammed, Buddha, Lao-tse, Zoroaster, or Confucius. How does one explain this? They were supposed to be “prophets,” or at least founders, of “religions” and “faiths.” Where are their musical elegies?

Where are the songs that glorify Lenin or Marx or Stalin or Mao or Trotsky? Didn't any of them love “humanity”? Did any of them love any human being enough to die for him? Didn't they save whole populaces? Do you mean to tell me that no one loves them for doing that? Do you mean to tell me a lazy, conceited loafer like Karl Marx (1818–1883) could get 3,000,000,000 people to follow him, but none of the “followers” would even write a song about him after he was dead? If a Communist dies as a martyr for the “cause of Communism,” does he do it because of his personal relationship with the founder? What did Marx or Lenin actually DO for him? If you saw a song written as follows, what name would you think would fit in the blank space?

*“My hope is built on nothing less  
than \_\_\_\_\_ blood and righteousness.  
On \_\_\_\_\_ the solid Rock I stand;  
all other ground is sinking sand!”*

Fill in the blanks. How about:

*“On MARY the solid Rock I stand;  
all other ground ....”*

No? Well, how about Buddha?

*“My hope is built on nothing less  
than Buddha's blood and righteousness....”*

Sounds a little odd, doesn't it? There is a “missing note” somewhere. If fifty songs showed up with words in them like:

*“I love Him, I love Him,  
because He first loved me....”*

Do you think you would catch any atheist, humanist, or Mohammedan singing that tune?

I have heard people sing that song in four different languages, and the singers were men and women, boys and girls, ranging in age from six years old to seventy-five years old. They were NOT singing about Mary or Mohammed or Buddha or Lao-tse.

If a humanist really thinks highly of “love” and “man’s love for his fellow man,” what is he doing recommending religions that can’t even sing about their love for the men who founded them?

*“Romeo, Romeo, wherefore art thou, Romeo?”*

Could it be that all of the humanists in the UN are on heroine and cocaine “derivatives”? Red China is in the UN, with their “Red Book.” But there are no songs in the Red Book about the author of Chinese Communism. Why is this? If Mao was such a wonderful “chairman,” why don’t the Chinese people sing (daily) at least 100 different songs about him?

*“We have heard the joyful sound!*

*Mao saves, Mao saves!”*

*“Mao, lover of my soul,  
let me to thy bosom fly!”*

*“What a friend we have in Mao,  
all our sins and griefs to bear, etc.”*

*“No one ever cared for me like Mao,  
I’ve no other friend so kind as he, etc.”*

Gets kinda comical after awhile, doesn’t it? (Careful! Don’t make fun of anyone’s “faith”! Careful! You’ll be guilty of “hate speech,” and “hate speech” is a felony!)

We only made the notations so you could see something: THERE’S A MISSING NOTE IN EVERY POLITICAL SYSTEM AND EVERY RELIGIOUS SYSTEM ON THE FACE OF THIS EARTH, but it is not missing in the Holy Bible.

In the 1990s (as in the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s) the goal of the UN and the European Economic Community (EEC) was to bring in “peace on earth” without LOVE. It can’t be done.

If no love is present, all the UN—and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF), Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), and

police Special Weapons And Tactics (SWAT) teams—can do is enforce a “peace plan” by killing everyone who opposes the plan. Under the League of Nations and the UN (1914–1995), more than 59,000,000 unarmed civilians were murdered by their own governments (Cambodia, Nicaragua, Thailand, Guatemala, Germany, Russia, etc.). None of these casualties were combat troops; none of them were even “armed.” They were disarmed by “gun control” laws passed by people who kept their own guns. Only the military and political “powers that be”—Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)—carried the guns. This slaughter of men, women, and children—it included millions of children under ten years old—was carried out while the popes (Pius XI, Pius XII, John XXIII, Paul VI, John Paul II) talked about “love” and “peace” twelve hours a day.

Mark it well! Any man or woman who enjoyed singing about his love toward a religious prophet, and enjoyed singing about that prophet’s love for him, would never fit into a papal or UN situation. Religious groups in the UN don’t believe in singing about their prophet, and the one who professes (occasionally) to believe in Him (the Roman Catholic Church) doesn’t practice it where anyone can see it. No pope, since 1900, has dared sing one song in public about Jesus Christ; he has refused to do it even when he had the ears (radio) and eyes (TV) of 200,000,000 people waiting on him. As a “Vicar of Christ” he could not praise and glorify Jesus Christ in public.

People sing when they are happy or when they are loved. If any Catholic really enjoyed singing about love—his own love for the One who loved him—he would never care anything about appearing with a pope in public. No pope has ever sung “Amazing Grace” or “Redeemed” or “It’s Real” in 1,500 years.

The great UN “assembly” represents somewhere around 5,000,000,000 sinners who aren’t happy enough with their own religions to even sing about the men who founded them. The UN is stacked with miserable “faiths” and failures of “man” to find LOVE. Its job (while professing to be concerned about mankind and “man’s inhumanity to man” or man’s “civil rights”) is simply to furnish enough licensed killers—armed military troops—to kill every “global citizen” who doesn’t go along with the “global program.” This global program has nothing to do with *LOVE* or *MUSIC*; it has to do with mind-control, money, abolition of private property, and seizure of assets; i.e., armed robbery.

Someone who does a lot of talking about “peace” and “love” knows nothing about either one, and nothing about human nature, or else they are simply professional liars making a living by trying to con 5,000,000,000 suckers into stepping into a bear trap.

The truth is, people who love to drink sing drinking songs. People who love to dance have written songs glamorizing dances. People must love children because there are hundreds of songs written for children and about children. Sailors sing about the sea. Marines sing about the Corps. Working men like “Sixteen Tons” and “John Henry.” Mountaineers like “hillbilly” music. Farm boys, who had to settle in cities, like “country and western” music. The songs of Franz Schubert (1797–1828) are still sung in concert halls around the world. Franz Peter Schubert died more than 160 years ago.

Have you ever, in your lifetime, heard 4,000 people all singing (in unison) about ONE MAN, and sing three songs about Him in a row, when the Man had been dead 1,960 years? I have. I have heard 4,000 people do that in less than forty minutes. Who sang,

“John Brown’s Body lies a molderin’ in the grave, etc.” more than forty years after he was dead? If anyone did, where are ten more songs like it about the same man? Doesn’t a ratio of a thousand to ten show you that some dead man loved Negroes more than John Brown did? Are you going to pretend that 1,000 songs praising a man doesn’t “count” when evaluating the man’s influence on humanity? Nobody in Asia or Europe sang about John Brown more than ten times in a year, after 1900, and then they sang a solo. If you are a “black,” where are your songs praising Aristide or Nelson Mandela? Isn’t that strange? You can’t even write songs praising a *live* man! You can’t even do it while he is doing (supposedly) a whole lot of “great things” for you? C’mon, let’s hear it! Raise the roof, Ham! Let’s hear at least twenty good songs praising Michael (alias “Martin”) L. King Jr.!

*“All hail the power of M.L. King’s name!*

*Let angels prostrate fall!*

*Bring forth the royal diadem*

*and CROWN HIM Lord of all!”*

You say, “Oh that is a different thing!”

Why? Why is that a “different thing”? You say, “That original hymn is about a Saviour.” Why, both men were supposed to be saviors! Both men were called “prophets,” and both of them were murdered, and both of them were said to be “martyrs,” according to the press. You say, “But one of them...” No, no, no! That won’t do! NO BUDDHIST, MOSLEM, OR HINDU ON THE FACE OF THIS EARTH BELIEVES IN THE DEITY OF JESUS CHRIST ANY MORE THAN HE BELIEVES IN THE DEITY OF M.L. KING JR. OR MOHATMA GANDHI!

In the UN, Jesus Christ is just a great religious teacher, not God “**manifest in the flesh**” (1 Tim. 3:16). He is not God “**manifest in the flesh,**” even in an *NASV* or an *NIV* or an *RSV* or an *NRSV*.

According to every major News Media source in America, between 1933 and 1996, the human beings who “helped” out America (and mankind) were Albert Schweitzer, Albert Einstein, Franklin Delano Roosevelt (FDR), Joe Stalin, Karl Marx, John F. Kennedy (JFK), the popes, Mrs. Roosevelt, Winston Churchill, and Dwight D. Eisenhower.

In a Gallup Poll conducted in 1956, Jesus Christ was placed eleventh in a list of “people you would like to have come to your house for dinner.” The list included “Honest” Abe, Mrs. Roosevelt, Nixon, Eisenhower, Churchill, FDR, and Harry S. Truman. Jesus Christ was number *eleven* on the list; that was an American list.

Strange business. The ten who preceded Him couldn’t produce *one* piece of sheet music to prove that anyone loved them, or even thought highly of them. “Number Eleven” sported some documents that the other ten could not produce: 1,000 “love” songs. No songs glorifying “Slick Willie” (Clinton), no songs glorifying “Winnie the Pooh” Churchill, no songs praising the old cigarette-sucking, cocktail-drinking Socialist (FDR),

and no songs thanking the fornicating Catholic (JFK) for anything he did for anybody in a lifetime. Why? Why not? These are the characters the News Media praised, daily, for decades. They don't praise a man, even monthly, who had 1,000 songs written for Him. Isn't that a strange thing? Are they afraid to praise the man who deserved it? Did He deserve it? Well, He got it, and none of those News Media idols got it.

Do you mean to tell me that this great New Age of "global peace" is going to be founded on FEAR instead of LOVE? Are our leaders constructing a "Tower of Babel" on the foundation of Bibliophobia? Is that the real "basis" of a "lasting peace"? The Bible brags about "Number Eleven," the man who was praised, glorified, and loved 1,960 years after He died. CBS, NBC, *Life*, *Time*, *People*, ABC, CNN, *USA Today* and National Public Radio haven't bragged about Him fifteen minutes a day in fifty years.

If homosexuality is such a great thing—and all major News Media sources say it is so holy and sacred you shouldn't even be allowed to joke about it—where are the *songs* that glorify the fairy-fruity-Frisco-faggots? Did any sex pervert love Rock Hudson? Okay, where are the songs about him? Let's hear it for Jim Nabors (the "gay" actor who played Gomer Pyle) and Liberace! Ah-one! Ah-two! Ah-three! Ah-four! Dead air.

#### THERE IS A MISSING NOTE!

The Beatles once claimed to be as popular as Jesus Christ. What an idiotic claim to make when no song books showed up! The Beatles loved dope and sex; they sang songs about them. No one (I say, *no one*) ever composed one song about one of the Beatles expressing even a "liking" for them. Ditto Elvis Presley, Frank Sinatra, Bing Crosby, and "AC/DC." The singers who praised fornication, lust, adultery, drugs, and even suicide could never convince anyone (I say, *anyone*) to write two songs praising their "performance." They were "bummers," all of them, from Rudy Vallee and Russ Columbo to the last wailing, screaming rock singer you saw perform in "Vegas."

When the Moslems, Taoists, Buddhists, and Hindus are "called on the carpet" to account for their lack of LOVE for their teachers, founders, and "gurus," their Western defenders step in and say, "Music and song are just a part of Western culture." (This is the alibi given by the EEC and UN for the deadly lack of REALITY in the Oriental religions.) Aside from the fact that this is a confession of "racism," is the fact that the Bible is an Oriental book. The Jews come from SHEM. Didn't you ever hear of "anti-Semitism"?

The "Eastern Alibi" won't work. The largest book in Shem's Bible is the Psalms: these 150 "prayers and praises" are set to MUSIC; *they are sung*. And if that were not enough, they are followed by "**The song of songs, which is Sol-omon's**" (Song of Sol. 1:1). The entire "**song of songs**" (eight chapters) deals with LOVE. The Book has been translated into 1,100 different languages, including Tamil, Hindustani, Chinese, Japanese, Malay, Sinhalese, and Korean. These are "Eastern" languages.

Now what will the UN and EEC do with that? You don't know of *one* song about Buddha or Mohammed or Lao-tse or "Budo" (Martial Arts theme for "love" and "protection") that was translated into twenty languages, but "all religions are the *same*," are they? They are "in a pig's eye," as the expression goes.

You see, *love* and *music* are ingrained in EVERY race; music is called "the universal language." In the Book, it is present before MAN is present (Job 38:3–7). The "trouble of

it is” (Southern, circa 1900), Oriental music is not like African music, and African music is not like European music. RACISM.

True, you can mix the races, and thereby destroy their cultures, heritage, and music. Then, you can claim they are all equal; all the “same”—likewise with their religions—but they are not! Country “Blue Grass” music has no appeal to an African in America or Africa, or a Mongolian in Mongolia. Chinese and Japanese music has no “market” in any European country, and the only thing that makes some African music appealing to Orientals and Caucasians is its appeal to SEXUAL APPETITE. If you had a dollar for every African jingle (Jazz, Dixieland, Swing, Bop, or Rock) that praised a woman’s body or fornication, you could buy a round-trip ticket on a DC-10 from New York to Buenos Aires. No real native Japanese goes into ecstasies over Glenn Miller, Artie Shaw, or Stan Kenton.

Regardless of the tones, pitch, melodies, and dynamics involved, music (especially vocal music) is an expression of what a man feels inside himself. When Hindus, Buddhists, Jews, and Moslems are told that God became a MAN and died for them, everything goes to pieces. In the Orient, “God” (Brahma, Allah, the Universe, the Great Universal, Ohm, etc.) cannot take a *form* or *shape*. That is why the Gospel is a “stumbling block” to an Orthodox Jew (1 Cor. 1:21–25). Orientals separate man from his Maker after teaching that both of them are inseparable. After declaring that no such things as “or” and “either” exist—that is, Yang is Yin, etc.—they declare “God” could not be identified as a MAN. In the Bible, He *is* (1 Tim. 3:16; John 14:7–9; Isa. 9:6; Acts 20:28). That “ties the rag on the bush.”

This explains why no unsaved Oriental has a “song in his heart.” Imagine!

*“More about Buddha would I know,  
more of his grace to others show.  
More of his saving fullness see,  
more of his love who died for me!”*

Try this one:

*“My faith looks up to thee,  
Mohammed who died for me,  
Saviour divine...  
oh let me from this day be wholly THINE!”*

Nonsense.

*“Blessed assurance, Brahma is mine,  
oh what a foretaste of glory divine,  
heir of salvation, purchase of God.  
Born of His spirit,  
washed in His BLOOD???”*

Where any Oriental speaks of “love” (or “harmony” or “oneness” or “enlightenment”), he is never referring to any “God” who loved anyone enough to die for them, let alone die for their SINS. Never, not once. Mohammed wouldn’t die for his wife or his children. Buddha wouldn’t even work to support his wife. Thoth and Hermes couldn’t save a sick dog; neither could Vishnu or Shiva.

Who ever heard of a sign saying “MARY SAVES!” She couldn’t even keep track of her own child (Luke 2:42–43); she lost him in a crowd (Luke 2:43–45): “MARY LOSES.” You can put up a sign saying “Jesus Saves”, and that is why saved sinners LOVE Him. He loved them first (Rom. 8:37; 1 John 4:10). Buddha loved himself; ditto Wotan, Zeus, and Mohammed. The reason why you can’t find five songs praising Buddha, Mohammed, or Lao-tse is because there is never a song in the heart of any sinner on earth if he is trying to *think* or *work* his way “back to God.”

The proof is in black and white.

The proof is in print.

The “proof” is a songbook.

The proof can be Xeroxed, FAXed, or photo-copied, internationally. So no one dares talk about it in the UN.

There is no real evidence that “*God is Love*” in Zen, Shintoism, Taoism, Buddhism, or Moham-medanism. There is only talk about some “universal guiding providence” that provides animals and men with life, breath, and food.

But what is THIS?

*“I do not know the depths of Jesus’ LOVE  
that brought Him down to earth  
from heaven above;  
nor why He bore the cross up Calvary,  
and shed His precious blood so willingly!”*

*“Oh LOVE that will not let me go,  
I rest my weary soul in Thee;*

*I give Thee back the life I owe,  
that in Thine ocean depths its flow  
may richer, fuller be!”*

*“Saviour like a shepherd lead us,  
much we need thy tender care.  
In thy pleasant pastures feed us,  
for our use Thy folds prepare.  
Blessed Jesus, Blessed Jesus,  
thou hast BOUGHT us, thine we are!”*

*“Living He LOVED me,  
dying He saved me;  
buried He carried my sins far away;  
rising He justifies, freely forever;  
One day He’s coming, Oh Glorious Day!”*

Who’s “coming”? Buddha, Donald Duck? Mohammed? Mary? Genghis Khan?  
Mohatma Gandhi? The Lone Ranger? Batman?

Who on earth are these 400,000,000 to 900,000,000 people who are singing this stuff?  
Can you picture?

*“Buddha, my Lord, will love me forever,  
from him no power of evil can sever!”*

How about this:

*“Turn your eyes upon Lenin,  
look full in his wonderful face,  
and the things of this earth  
will grow strangely dim,  
in the light of his glory and grace!”*

Jesus Christ and Mohammed both came from Abraham, both of them were prophets, both of them founded religions, and both of them were flesh-and-blood, male, human beings. How does one explain the *monstrous* difference between them when discussing “LOVE”? Imagine

*“O the deep deep love of Mohammed,  
love of every LOVE the best!  
'Tis an ocean vast of blessing,  
'Tis a haven of sweet rest!”*

Try this one for size:

*“Mohammed, I am resting,  
resting in the joy of what Thou art;  
I am finding out the greatness  
of Thy LOVING heart.  
Thou hast bid me gaze upon Thee,  
and Thy beauty fills my soul,  
for by Thy transforming power,  
Thou hast made me whole!”*

Had enough religious buffoonery from the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO), Iraq, Turkey, Egypt, Arabia, Iran, and Syria? They are all members of the UN. They will be used to “police” all the Christians in America.

Let’s hear all of the Moslems in the UN stand and sing, in unison,

*“Mohammed my Lord will LOVE me forever;  
from him no power of evil can sever!  
He gave his life to ransom my soul;  
now I belong to Him!”*

Now! all of you Hindus stand up and sing (with feeling, kiddies! with FEELING!):

*“How sweet the name of Vishnu sounds*

*in a believer's ear!*

*It soothes his sorrows, heals his wounds*

*and drives away his fears!*

*Shiva, my Shepherd, Brother, Friend,*

*my Prophet, Priest and King,*

*My Lord, my life, my Way, my End,*

*accept the praise I bring!"*

There is a *missing note* in all of the world's great "organized" religions or "faiths." It rarely shows up in Catholicism. Pope Pius XI, Pius XII, John XXIII, Paul VI, and Pope John Paul II didn't dare sing one Christian hymn, in public, that would glorify Jesus Christ. That is to say, no pope has sung one "love song" about Jesus Christ in ninety-five years, even when they had access to the ears (radio) and eyes (TV) of more than 200,000,000 people. Imagine a "Vicar of Christ" who didn't dare sing praises to God, in public, about either his own love for Jesus Christ or Christ's love for Him!! What a ghastly spiritual pretender!

These are the professional politicians who talk about "peace" and "love" every time they open their traps. A pope's efforts to bring about "peace on earth to men of good will" are identical to the efforts of a symphony orchestra trying to render Brahms' Symphony No. 1 (C minor) with one note missing from all four movements in the score; say "C." The *missing note* destroys the entire Symphony. So it is, that there is something tragically wrong with all of the world's great "religions" when they get out their "sheet music" to accompany their great new "One World-Global Citizen-New Age-Monstrosity." (In the next chapter we shall see what the *missing note* is, as defined in the Scriptures.)

There is a Book that says "**God is love**" (1 John 4:16). It was written by a man who called himself *the disciple whom Jesus loved* (John 19:26). The same disciple—a commercial fisherman—wrote, "**We love him, because he first loved us**" (1 John 4:19), "**Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us**" (1 John 4:10), and further, "**Beloved, if God so loved us, we ought also to love one another**" (1 John 4:11).

If LOVE "solves all problems"—and so say several million of the world's inhabitants—and LOVE is "**a more excellent way**" (see 1 Cor. 12:31, 13:13), how are Jews, Catholics, Moslems, Buddhists, Hindus, and Greek Orthodox "priests" going to stop killing each other when the men they got their deepest religious convictions from didn't love *them*, and *they* don't love the authors of those "faiths"?

If all you love is simply a religion—"I've got my church, you've got yours...don't knock my faith," etc., then your religion has no relation to GOD; at least not any God who is said to be "**love**" (1 John 4:8, "**God is love**").

You see, only living men and animals can LOVE. Religions are just neuter *systems*; they love no one. This is why the Catholic Church tried to make Mary an object of love. The pagans before the time of Christ did the same thing. Still, you cannot find one graffiti

in history that says “Minerva Saves” or “Juno Saves” or “Artemis Saves” or “Diana Saves” or “Istarte Saves” or “Venus Saves.” Do you know why? Because no female could save a dead horse.

## CHAPTER TWO

# How Can God be a God of ‘Love’?

Atheists are not born (John 1:9); they are made. Atheists get that way from doing what Solomon did in the *Book of Ecclesiastes* (Eccl. 2:17, 9:3–7). They examine what they see going on “under the sun,” on this earth. What they observe drives them to the conclusion that **“there is no God”** (Ps. 14:1).

Now, the modern term (1990s) for atheism is *humanism*. All Communists and atheists define it as “a LOVE for humanity.” This is the term used by all Bibliophobiacs and sinners who hate the Bible: humanism. It amounts to the deification of *man*. The fundamental doctrine of these radical “fundamentalists” is “Man is the measure of all things.” If you couple humanism with evolution (Darwinism or neo-Darwinism) you restore the original pagan bush religion of the jungle (3000 B.C.), minus any spiritual elements (such as Animism). Humanism plus evolution—the teaching that man showed up here accidentally, with no purpose or goal in mind—generates an amoral jungle of armed ANIMALS: “beasts of prey” engaged in one full-time activity—survival of the “fittest.”

This explains anything anyone wants to know about “scientific progress” in the twentieth century. The term used by Ballantine Books for this century is *The Violent Century*. Ballantine Books—there are over two dozen of them—detail military history since 1900. There have been eighty-four wars fought in the fifty years that followed the founding of the UN. The casualties from these wars number over 95,000,000, with 59,000,000 of them being genocide actions carried on by governments against their own populations. All of the genocides were preceded by “gun control” laws, passed by professional “do-gooders” who were “trying to make the world a better place to live in” by “peace-keeping” and “crime control.”

Where was “God” while all of this was going on? Was “God” aware of all of this violence? If He knew this was going on, and could have stopped it, why didn’t He stop it? That is what breeds atheists.

Now, “down South” little children pray as follows, when “askin’ the blessin’”: “God is great, God is good, Lord we thank you for this food!” The Bible adds God is **“merciful and gracious, longsuffering, and abundant in goodness and truth”** (Exod. 34:6).

Fifty-nine million dead men, women, children, and babies. That didn’t count 22,000,000 dead soldiers in World War II and 14,000,000 dead soldiers in World War I. **“Merciful and gracious, longsuffering, and abundant in goodness and truth”** Ninety-five million humans slaughtered: “Man is the measure of all things.”

Do you realize that when Jeremiah said, **“his compassions fail not,”** he was sitting

down on the scorched, blackened dirt of burned-out Jerusalem after the Babylonians had sacked the city, raped the women, hanged the elderly men, and made slaves out of the children? Some of the babies had been eaten by their own mothers (Lam. 2:20) when Jeremiah wrote these words: **“his [God’s] compassions fail not. They are new every morning: great is thy faithfulness”** (Lam. 3:22–23).

How does the destruction of Jerusalem fit in with **“compassions”** and **“faithfulness”**?

With rape, murder, screaming, dying, cannibalism, torture, and slavery comes this statement about God: **“he will LOVE thee”** (Deut. 7:13). It was written to the same people Jeremiah preached to. No sooner does Moses write this than he adds, **“the Lord will rejoice over you to DESTROY you,”** (Deut. 28:63). (P.S.: After your enemies have destroyed your houses, stolen your cattle and your lands, kidnapped your children, and you have been smitten with incurable diseases, Deut. 28:40–65.)

When the Scriptures state, **“his MERCY endureth for ever”** (Ps. 136), it proves the statement (right in the same Psalm) by pointing out that God killed all the firstborn children (Ps. 136:10) and slew kings (vss. 17–20). The same God who said, **“I will no more have MERCY upon the house of Israel”** (Hos. 1:6) said, **“For the Lord will have MERCY on Jacob, and will yet choose [the House of] Israel,”** (Isa. 14:1).

This explains why educated humanists and atheistic evolutionists cannot tolerate the Bible. They would rather die and go to Hell than study it to understand it. Hence, they are incapable of understanding God. They just close the hated Book and growl, “It contradicts itself!” George Bernard Shaw left one unopened on a table for so long that when they auctioned off his furniture (after his death), they had to auction off the Bible with the table. The hated Book had lain there so long its cover had stuck to the varnish on the table top. Before he died, George wrote, “This Book is a most undesirable object; I really must get rid of it.”

Ditto the saved Christians who produced the *RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NKJV*, *NIV*, etc.

If **“God is love”** what on earth are these paraplegics doing in wheelchairs? What are these dead babies doing in dumpsters and toilets? How does one explain 40,000 starving African children lying down in the dirt to die, as flies cover their wasted bodies? If God is “Love,” why are children born with their hands coming out of their elbows, or born with double cleft palettes? What are “water brains” doing in cribs, and what are beggars doing staggering around the street blind, and sometimes blind and deaf? “God is Love?”

The Japanese skinned American soldiers alive (1944) in the China-India-Burma Theater. The Vietnamese put cages on the heads of American boys (1965–Viet Nam) and let live rats in the cages chew their faces off through a period of several days. The Gestapo put out a partisan’s eyes, put live cockroaches into the sockets, and then stitched his eyelids back up together. “GOD IS LOVE.”

If you really love someone, wouldn’t you put an end to their suffering if you were able to? The euthanasiasts say, “Yes!” Others say, “No!” But if God is all-powerful—and every verse in the Book declares that He is (Isa. 40–48)—and is able to stop suffering, pain, misery, terror, poverty, disease, sin, and death, why doesn’t He do it? There is the problem. *That* is the problem that creates atheists and “humanists.”

Now, by the wildest stroke of coincidence—don't you know!— the oldest Book in the world deals with the problem just stated. The subject matter of the oldest Book in the world—written 300 years before Moses wrote Genesis—deals with the problem: “Why do the righteous suffer?” This book, which is found in the Bible, was written long before Mohammed's great-great-grandmother was in “swaddling cloth.” It was written many centuries before Gotama, the Buddha, deserted his wife and sat down under a Bo tree to loaf away the rest of his life. The theme of the oldest book in the world is the theme of Job. “Job” was written around 1800 B.C. *The Book of the Dead* is not a book at all; it is a collection of scraps of paper taken out of coffins and then assembled to resemble a book. *The Book of the Dead* has no plot, no drama, no scientific or prophetic revelations, no cure for anyone's problems, and no answer to man's basic problem: “Why do the righteous suffer?” Job has all six items in it. Instead of studying Job, the humanist (the atheist; same individual) takes a shortcut; he says either God is not “all-powerful” (and therefore cannot stop the sin and evil), or else he is *evil* (since He could stop it but refuses to do so). This is the “Gordian knot” that flummoxed Albert Einstein, Charles Darwin, Sigmond Freud, George Bernard Shaw, Bertrand Russell, William James, John Dewey, the League of Nations, the UN, the EEC, and the National Education Association (NEA).

So, every humanist connected with the “New Age” lays the blame for all of the world conditions on man alone. Having done this, they graciously look to man, alone, to put an end to all the problems that “God” didn't (or couldn't!) handle. You cannot comprehend the enormity of this insane resolution unless you think about what they are actually claiming they are going to do. They profess (with all the seriousness of a heart attack) that they are going to rid the entire world of sin, sorrow, pain, suffering, disease, poverty, war, and death, WITHOUT ANY OUTSIDE HELP FROM ANY “GOD” (Ps. 2).

They have been “at it” for six millennia, and God has made sure (Gen. 11) that every effort they made ended in a total disaster; at least from 4000 B.C. to A.D. 1995.

The program of the UN, the EEC, the U.S.A., the USSR, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the CIA, the FBI, FEMA, the EPA, the HHS, the Club of Rome, the Bilderbergers, the Masons, CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, *National Geographic*, the NCC, the Vatican, the NAACP, the ACLU, *Life*, *Time*, *Newsweek*, and National Public Radio is simply this: “GOD OUT, MAN IN.”

Problem: If the “**wages of sin is death,**” how will you get rid of DEATH?

Problem: If man is a “sinner,” how do you get rid of SIN?

Problem: If “war is God's judgment on sin here, and Hell is God's judgment on sin hereafter,” how will you get rid of WAR or HELL?

Man's solution: YOU ELIMINATE GOD, OR REDUCE HIM TO A NEUTER, AMORAL, “ELECTRONIC FORCE FIELD.”

You must pretend that God is the “Universe” or a “Universal” or an electromagnetic field. He ceases to be a BEING: a person. He is just an “Unmoved Mover” or “First Cause”: a neuter, a unisex; a sexless, mindless, neuter, amoral, hermaphrodite who will not draw any “value judgments” on anyone. In short, “Yin and Yang” combined, to produce a perfect series of united “dualisms” which amount to a perfect ZERO in reality.

The Oriental tries to think himself “into God’s shoes,” so to speak. He claims, upon obtaining Prajna (or Samadhi or Nirvana, etc.), that he is able to view all “opposites” as God views them; that is, as one, integrated, harmonious unit. This is obtained by discipline and meditation (i.e., WORKS). It results, every time, in a counterfeit new birth (see John 1:12–13) which is brought about by the “**will of the flesh**” (John 1:13). It is a highly elite, segregated, sophisticated “new birth” which only a few males obtain. Nothing is found in Oriental literature that would indicate any child under twenty years old could even qualify for it.

Right in the face of the UN, U.S.A., USSR, and EEC are 3,000,000,000 people who do not have one record of one FEMALE ever attaining the Oriental “new birth.” All of the Yogis and meditators are MALE. Imagine that while you are listening to the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), or Congress blabbing about “enforcing equality” and “civil rights!”

The reason why no Taoist, Brahmist, Moslem, Hindu, or Buddhist can ever find TRUTH (see 2 Tim. 3:7 and John 17:17) is because there is no UNIVERSAL, INTEGRATED UNITY until after 1 Corinthians 15:28. That will not take place till nearly 33,000 years after the White Throne Judgment, where all Hindus, Buddhists, Moslems, Taoists, and Brahmists will be judged, right along with all Catholics, Protestants, Atheists, Humanists, and Shintoists. At that Judgment (Rev. 20:10–14), all “dualisms” are present: saved and lost, good and bad, hot and cold, righteousness and unrighteousness (Eccl. 12:14), life and death, heaven and hell, God and SATAN.

“Either” and “Or” are in full swing and full effect. “Yin and Yang” are to be found nowhere. Calling evil “good,” and vice versa (Isa. 5:20), solves nothing; it simply deceives the practitioner (Ezek. 14), for if you can equate evil and good, then you can certainly equate LOVE with HATE. It has been done. When Susan Atkins was being cross-examined on her part in the Sharon Tate murders (Charles Manson, 1969), she said: “When you do the *right* thing it feels good.” This was her answer to “How did you feel when you were stabbing her to death?” She said she knew she was doing “right” while she was murdering the woman. (*Helter Skelter*, p. 370.) She then added this little Yin-Yang, New Age, sex-perversed “nugget”: “How could KILLING somebody NOT be right when it is done with LOVE?” Recognize the footprints?

That is the alibi for the practice of pederasty and homosexual marriages. But Susan didn’t quit there. She claimed to have tasted her victim’s blood, and quipped, “You really have to LOVE somebody to do that!”

Well, I don’t know about that, but that is what more than 100 Roman Catholic Popes have taught since A.D. 500 (John 6:53–57).

What did Susan get entangled with? Well, her “mentor” was Charles Manson, a dope-headed sex pervert. Brooks Proston said of Charlie: “I felt he was Jesus Christ; that is power enough for me” (p. 379). When Charlie filed a Habeus Corpus motion he signed it: “Charles Manson, also known as JESUS CHRIST” (p. 216). Susan said: “I have entertained the thought that he was Christ. Manson is he who has come to SAVE!”

Good! Then where are the signs all over the highways saying “Manson Saves!”? He was “Jesus Christ,” but nobody is going to sing about him? Why, that is preposterous! No

songs? C'mon! All together! Let's hear it! Ring out the good news!

*“We have heard the joyful sound,*

*Charlie saves, Charlie saves!*

*Spread the tidings all around!*

*Charlie saves! Charlie saves!*

*Let the nations now rejoice!*

*Charlie saves! Charlie saves!*

*Shout salvation full and free;*

*highest hills and deepest caves,*

*This our song of victory:*

*CHARLIE (Budda, Mohammed, Mary,*

*Mandela, Einstein, et al.) saves!*

*CHARLIE (Pope John Paul, the UN, Lao Tse, Zoroaster, et al.) saves!!”*

Charlie Manson's brainwashed killers said, "He represented GOD to me." But they couldn't even sing *one* song about him? What kind of a "God" is that? The punk doesn't deserve one song. How did he gain the reputation of being LOVE? ("**God is love,**" 1 John 4:8). What did Susan Atkins know about "LOVE"? Nothing. She didn't know God. Neither did Mohammed or Buddha. They wouldn't lay down their lives for any sinner for twice the price of the Taj Mahal.

Consider the Roman Catholic commandant of Treblinka, the extermination camp set up by the Roman Catholic Heinrich Himmler for his Roman Catholic boss Adolph Hitler (who had a concordat with the Roman Catholic Pope, Pius XII). "Kurt" Franz observed a ten-year-old Jewish boy being hauled away to the crematorium by SS guards (1943). The boy had just found his own father in the Treblinka camp, after losing track of him for two years. While they were embracing and crying, the boy was hauled off and gassed. Kurt Franz shook his head sadly as he watched this and murmured, "Ah, the sacrifice of Abraham!" That was no more the "sacrifice of Abraham" (see Gen. 22:1-14) than it was a Sunday morning Mass. But once you start adulterating everything by mixing unequal elements together, you can only wind up at one terminus: evil is good and good is evil; white is black and black is white; men are women and women are men; lust is purity and purity is lust; hate is love and love is hate; heaven is hell and hell is heaven; salvation is damnation and damnation is salvation; God is Satan and SATAN IS GOD. (See *New Age Bible Versions*, Riplinger, 1994.)

"GOD IS LOVE."

Does Satan love you? Does he love anybody? Are you a "Satanist"? All right, where are your *songs*? You say "We have some." Some? You mean you don't have fifty? Not

even twenty? Why, if Satan has done half as much for you to show his “love” as Jesus Christ did, surely you would have a minimum of 100 *different* songs praising him. Where are they? Who sings them? Let’s see your songbook. People sing about the things they love (see pp. 3–4). Do little children sing your songs? They do ours. Do folks in their seventies and eighties sing your songs? They do ours. Are your songs to be found in sixty-five foreign languages? Ours are. Could it be that your “**beloved**” (Song of Sol. 5:9) is so vastly INFERIOR to ours that you deliberately avoid such confrontations and comparisons? Like the Hindus and Moslems do? (I didn’t say “dialogues.” I said “*comparisons.*” I am calling for *evidence*: evidence of LOVE.) I am not talking about anyone’s “faith.” I am asking for *facts*. Where are your songs?

The key to understanding the LOVE of God and the WRATH of God lies in accepting an idea that no twentieth-century humanist could accept. It is stated as an absolute truth in Isaiah 55:8–9. It is stated again in Numbers 23:19. It is stated again in Isaiah 44:1–7 and Isaiah 46:9–10. God, in essence, is “**a spirit**” (John 4:24), but He is a HOLY SPIRIT. This Holy God of the Holy Bible would do something that no Hindu, Moslem, Catholic, Buddhist, or humanist would think of doing: He would execute (capital punishment) a man or woman who stole fruit from a tree (Gen. 2–3). You wouldn’t think of doing that, and neither would any human being on this earth. Furthermore, you would consider any human judge, who drew that kind of judgment on a thief, to be insane, or at least a vicious sadist. Wouldn’t you?

Of course you would! Face up to it. You wouldn’t want the worst enemy you ever had on this earth to burn forever; not FOREVER. Perhaps a few hundred or a few thousand years, maybe? But “forever”? No, you are not that mean nor that vindictive. You would not wish eternal “**punishment**”—that is what it is called (Matt. 25:46)—and eternal “**torment**”—that is what it is called (Rev. 14:11)—on anyone, not even Adolph Hitler or Jim Jones. If you could stop any man or woman from burning forever, you surely would do it—unless, of course, you were God! He wouldn’t. He doesn’t (Matt. 25:46, Rev. 14:9–10).

You see, YOU are kinder than God. Right? You can show more “love” than the God of the Bible, correct? No, don’t duck and dodge and feint and “shilly-shally” (American, circa 1800) around. You have more patience and kindness than to say what God said in Deuteronomy 28:21–35 and Deuteronomy 32:20–25 and Malachi 2:3 and 1 Samuel 15:3. Right? C’mon, admit it! The God the Holy Bible presents is not as willing to “forgive and forget” as YOU are. You wouldn’t think of saying anything like God said in those Scriptures. So, God cannot meet your “exacting standards.” So, He must be “unholy.” Since this sounds like blasphemy, man has to find a solution to the contradiction. He has it. The “New Age” solution is the age-old solution that all atheists, pagans, evolutionists, Buddhists, Moslems, Hindus, and most Catholics, have practiced for centuries: you dump the Holy God of the Holy Bible. You dump the God of Jeremiah, Isaiah, John, Paul, Moses, and Jesus Christ; and you INVENT a more “likeable” God to take his place. What you want is a God who is “politically correct”; a God who can be measured by the “measure of all things”: MAN. So here are the “replacements” for a God who was said to be “love” (“**God is love**”).

*Humanism, Wotan, Peace, Thor, Venus, Science, Marduk, Ukko, Mary, Prosperity,*

Mazda, Scholarship, Allah, Brahma, Satan, Money, a White Cow, Odin, Baal, JFK, Equality, Education, Mithra, Unity, Hermes, Mother Earth, the Universe, Sex, a Pope, Mankind, etc., etc.

It is “Bargain Day” at the religious counter. Go shopping for “the god of your choice.” Get a god who will suit your own culture and “life style.” Prices range from four cents to \$5,000,000,000,000. “Half off for used gods, etc.”

The attribute that is missing in this “Vanity Fair” (or Shopper’s Fair) of manmade “gods” is HOLINESS. Read Deuteronomy 23:14, 26:15; Joshua 24:19; 1 Samuel 2:2, 6:20; Psalm 11:4, 15:1–5, 22:3, 24:3, 28:2, 33:21, 46:4, etc.

If **“God is love”** (and He is), then He will have to be HOLY LOVE: pure, spotless, sinless, impartial, selfless love, without a trace of “self” in it. Such a God still could not be considered “fit company” for the Joss shelf we just listed. You see, the fur has to hit the fan, for the accompaniment of all love is JEALOUSY; the two are inseparable. When you love someone you resent a rival for your love. Right in **“the song of songs,”** when singing about Christ’s love for His bride (and hers for Him), we find these shocking words: **“Love is strong as DEATH; JEALOUSY is cruel as the grave: the coals thereof are coals of FIRE, which hath a most vehement FLAME”** (Song of Sol. 8:6). Look at the block capital words. Here is a flat statement: **“the Lord, whose name is JEALOUS, is a JEALOUS God”** (Exod. 34:14). **“For the Lord thy God is a CONSUMING FIRE, even a JEALOUS God”** (Deut. 4:24).

This means that jealousy, in the case of the Almighty, will have to be a pure, spotless, sinless, perfect RAGE at a competitor (the world, the flesh, or the Devil). If you doubt it, read Deuteronomy 32:16–25. Now read Deuteronomy 32:41–43. If that doesn’t settle it, read Isaiah 42:13 and Ezekiel chapter 16. Your jealousy and my jealousy (over anything) is neither holy nor sinless; neither is our “love” toward man or God. Read Romans 5:7–8. That was written by the greatest “believer” who ever lived. There is an insurmountable obstacle between any man and the God of the Bible that no amount of meditation, sacraments, deep breathing, Yoga exercises, behavior modification, golden rules, baptisms, or rituals can ever overcome. The obstacle is HOLINESS. The gods of the heathen are not holy; they are all like their creators: amoral, immoral, or at least “tolerant” of sin.

The Holy God revealed in Scriptures will allow a man’s sins (or a nation’s sins) to catch up with him (or them). He will allow what He disapproves of (Lam. 3:32–36) and will not condone. He will permit what He does not command (Jer. 19:5). He didn’t predestinate anyone to burn forever anywhere; mainly, because the **“lake of fire”** was not even made for man—it was **“prepared for the devil and his angels”** (Matt. 25:41; Rev. 20:11–15), but He will allow a man to go there. God allowed Saul to be chosen as a king, against His own will (see 1 Sam. 8:6–10). God allowed Jerusalem to be destroyed against His own will (Jer. 25:11–13). God allowed the Jewish nation to perish against His own will (Matt. 23:37), and He allowed His Son to be lied about (after saying, **“Thou shalt not bear false witness,”** Exod. 20:16), unjustly tried (after saying, **he that is worthy of death be put to death,”** Deut. 17:6–10), condemned (after saying, **“condemn the wicked,”** Deut. 25:1), and MURDERED (Acts 7:52) after saying, **“Thou shalt not kill,”** (Exod. 20:13). That is some “God,” isn’t it?

The God of that Book is HOLY. His creation is not, nor are His creatures.

His creation was good (Gen. 1 and 2, Isa. 45:12, 18), but it chose SIN (Gen. 3). Since we have discussed these matters thoroughly (1960) in the book *The Sure Word of Prophecy*, we will not repeat ourselves here. Suffice it to say that the explanation given above is totally unacceptable to every Hell-bound sinner on the face of this earth; this includes all atheists, all evolutionists, all “New-Agers,” all humanists, and all Unitarians and Universalists. They all believe that nothing is wrong with themselves (or their fellowman) that they can’t fix and “patch it up.” They all believe that they have just not exerted enough carnal effort toward “bringing in a lasting peace”; not yet.

The Book’s comment on this mass of deceived fools is that there is so much wrong with ALL of them that they have to be born all over again (John 3). Their birth is wrong (Job 15:14, 25:4). They are born **“dead in trespasses and sins”** (Eph. 2:1–4). These dead men are trying to bring in a “lasting peace.” Jesus said, **“Let the dead bury their dead”** (Luke 9:60). The Apostle Paul said that a woman can be **“dead while she liveth”** (1 Tim. 5:6).

The “trick,” then, for all of unregenerate humanity to handle is how to obtain real love without it being connected to a God who is LOVE, for the God “who is love” is a jealous God who hates SIN. This is why all religious systems drum up some kind of a system of works to justify their adherents. This way, a sinner who hates and fears the Bible (Bibliophobia), and enjoys sin, can experience “love” without dealing with a holy God whose HATRED for sin equals His LOVE for sinners. God’s attitude about *love* and *hate* is not man’s attitude. It is not the position of the UN, EEC, U.S.A., USSR, or the News Media (any source). God HATES sin, and the fact that He allows it has nothing to do with His attitude towards it. It is “your baby,” not His. If you want to put God on trial for letting sin into the world, He will simply point to Calvary, where He took away **“the sin of the world”** (John 1:29); and you will have the whole filthy, ungodly mess right back in your own lap again. Buddhists simply pretend that such a thing as “sin” doesn’t exist. The Buddhists are not alone in this matter; the word “sin” is not to be found in any textbook in any public school system in America.

All religions are simply systems for justifying self. The more elaborate they are, the more of *self* is in them. A religion is founded so the sinner doesn’t have to deal with his Maker. We call this gimmick “The Fig Leaf Factor.” Adam originated it (Gen. 3:6–9). It is inherited and passed on from generation to generation. Another name for this device is “Cain’s Fruitstand” (Gen. 4:2–6). You cover up your sins with your good works. The truth is, “love,” in the Bible, is never disconnected from a sin-hating God who is “HOLY”: *sinless*.

The gods men invent have the word “love” attached to them, but they can get along with sin (and sins) just fine. The modern “god” of the UN wouldn’t “hurt a flea,” no matter what the “flea” did. If a “God” did exist for humanists and atheists, he would be just what the old-time preacher, Joseph Parker, called him: “Nothing but a great big kiss.” Not a “kiss of DEATH” (see Chap. 4); a kiss without death. The God of the Book deals out *death*, as **“the wages of sin”** (Rom. 6:23). If you want to know how the God (who is “love”) looks at sin, then read the following verses carefully. All fourteen of these statements came from the same God. You talk about “dualism,” and “polarities”! You talk

about “either” and “or,” with no middle “options”! It is even difficult for a born-again, Bible believer to believe that the same God said every word that is about to follow, but He did. Every other statement is love and mercy, but every other statement is “unsheathed hell”: sheer hatred, plus vengeance. This is the kind of thing that produces atheists, liberals, humanists, modernists, and Unitarians. Adamic man cannot tolerate such a God, not even when John says **“God is love.”**

(We will omit the reference numbers so that it will not interfere with the startling contrasts).

*Negative*—**“Thus saith the Lord...I will fill all the inhabitants of this land...and all the inhabitants of Jerusalem, with drunkenness. And I will dash them one against another, even the fathers and sons together, saith the Lord. I will not PITY nor spare...but DESTROY them.”**

*Positive*—**“For as a young man marrieth a virgin, so shall thy sons marry thee: and as the bridegroom rejoiceth over the bride, so shall thy God rejoice over thee... Thy people also shall be righteous: they shall inherit the land for ever, the branch of my planting, the work of my hands, that I might be glorified.”**

*Negative*—**“And I myself will fight against you with an outstretched hand and with a strong arm, even in ANGER, and in FURY, and in great WRATH. And I will smite the inhabitants of this city, both man and beast: they shall die of a great pestilence...For I have set my face against this city for EVIL, and not for GOOD, saith the Lord.”**

*Positive*—**“And I will rejoice in Jerusalem, and joy in my people: and the voice of weeping shall be no more heard in her, nor the voice of crying...The sun shall be no more thy light by day; neither for brightness shall the moon give light unto thee: the Lord shall be unto thee an everlasting light, and thy God thy Glory.”**

*Negative*—**“And I will persecute them with the sword, with the famine, and with the pestilence, and will deliver them to be removed to all the kingdoms of the earth, to be a curse, and an astonishment, and an hissing, and a reproach, among all nations whither I have driven them: Because they have not hearkened to my WORDS, saith the Lord.”**

*Positive*—**“For I will restore health unto thee, and I will heal thee of thy wounds...and ye shall be my people, and I will be your God ....I will rejoice over them to do them good, and I will plant them in this land assuredly with my whole heart and with my whole soul.”**

*Negative*—**“And I will judge thee, as women that break wedlock and shed blood are judged; and I will give thee blood in FURY and JEALOUSY....I will deliver thee into the hand of them whom thou hatest...and they shall deal with thee hatefully...**

and the company shall stone them with stones, and dispatch them with their swords; they shall slay their sons and their daughters, and burn up their houses with fire.”

*Positive*—“And I will betroth thee unto me for ever; yea, I will betroth thee unto me in righteousness, and in judgment, and in LOVINGKINDNESS, and in MERCIES...Then shalt thou delight thyself in the Lord, and I will cause thee to ride upon the high places of the earth, and feed thee with the heritage of Jacob thy father.”

*Negative*—“I...will judge thee according to thy ways, and will recompense upon thee all thine abominations. And mine eye shall not spare thee, neither will I have PITY: but I will recompense thy ways upon thee, and thine abominations shall be in the midst of thee...Slay utterly old and young, both maids, and LITTLE CHILDREN, and women...mine eye shall not spare, neither will I have any PITY.”

*Positive*—“It is of the Lord’s MERCIES that we are not consumed, because his COMPASSIONS fail not. They are new every morning: great is thy faithfulness....But though he cause grief, yet will he have compassion according to the multitude of his MERCIES.”

*Negative*—“Then shall they call upon me, but I will not answer; they shall seek me early, but, shall not find me...I also will LAUGH at your CALAMITY; I will MOCK when your FEAR cometh...Dor that they hated knowledge, and did not choose the FEAR of the Lord...Now consider this, ye that forget God, lest I TEAR YOU IN PIECES, and there be none to deliver.”

*Positive*—“As one whom his mother COMFORTETH, so will I comfort you; and ye shall be comforted in Jerusalem....And the Lord shall guide thee continually, and satisfy thy soul in drought, and make fat thy bones: and thou shalt be like a watered garden, and like a spring of water, whose waters fail not.”

*Negative*—“In thy filthiness is lewdness: because I have purged thee, and thou wast not purged, thou shalt not be purged from thy filthiness any more...I the Lord have spoken it: it shall come to pass, and I will do it; I will not go back, neither will I spare, neither will I repent; according to thy ways, and according to thy doings, shall they judge thee, saith the Lord GOD.”

*Positive*—“And I will make with them a covenant of peace...and they shall dwell safely in the wilderness, and sleep in the woods....and they...shall know that I am the Lord, when I have broken the bands of their yoke, and delivered them out of the hand of those that served themselves of them....and they shall be no more consumed with hunger in the land, neither bear the shame of the heathen any more. Thus shall they know that I the Lord their God am with them, and that they, even the house of Israel, are my people, saith the Lord GOD.”

Every line you read was spoken by the same speaker (God) to the same audience (Jerusalem and the House of Israel). The One who spoke all of the negative passages said, **“I have loved thee with an everlasting LOVE”** (Jer. 31:3). How are those negative verses (see above) a manifestation of “EVERLASTING LOVE”? Do you know of one major political, religious, military, scientific, or educational leader in the world who would believe they are? Of course you don’t. You don’t know of one college-educated idiot on the face of this planet (professing ANY religion) who would include all seven of those passages in “everlasting love.” You couldn’t do it yourself unless you recognized a basic, eternal truth (revealed in ONE BOOK, and one Book only): the truth that *Divine Hatred* and *Divine Jealousy* go with *Divine Love*. You cannot divorce them from each other, and you cannot make them EQUAL (Yin and Yang, etc.); for the **“everlasting God”** (Isa. 40:28), who is called the **“everlasting Father”** (Isa. 9:6), can bring **“everlasting joy”** (Isa. 51:11), with **“everlasting salvation”** (Isa. 45:17) and **“everlasting kindness”** (Isa. 54:8) and **“everlasting light”** (Isa. 60:19), but the alternatives—notice the alternatives above!—are **“everlasting fire”** (Matt. 25:41) and **“everlasting BURNINGS”** (Isa. 33:14).

No “Yin and Yang”; it is “either” or “or else.” Take your pick. **“Choose you this day whom ye will serve”** (Josh. 24:15). **“See, I have set before thee this day life and GOOD, death and EVIL”** (Deut. 30:15).

This is the cue for all self-righteous sinners (black, white, brown, male, female, old, young, rich, or poor) to try to guide another sinner down a “middle road” and avoid making the decision. This is why mankind has been inventing “religions” for centuries. They want “faiths” that will circumnavigate a decision which has to be made, IF the Book is right. This explains why none of them can love or praise the man who founded their own faith or religion; he is NOT the One who spoke the words you just read. The One who spoke those words loved you enough to come down on earth, **“in the flesh”** (1 Tim. 3:16), and die in your place, taking upon Himself His own wrath against sin (2 Cor. 5:19–21).

*“Amazing love, how can it be,  
that Thou, my God, shouldst die for me!  
I saw One hanging on a tree in  
agony and blood,  
who fixed His languid gaze on me  
as near His cross I stood.  
Oh can it be, upon a tree,  
The Saviour died for me?  
My soul is thrilled, my heart is filled,  
to think HE DIED FOR ME!”*

*“We magnify our Father God with  
songs of thoughtful praise,  
as grateful children we confess  
how perfect are His ways!  
We worship Jesus Christ our Lord,  
who saw our sin and pain,  
and with the Great Physician’s skill,  
restored our health again!”*

When engaged in such worship, no atheist, agnostic, humanist, Moslem, or Hindu has any valid contribution to make. Their religious opinions and “faiths” are absolutely worthless, because here, we are dealing with a love none of them know anything about at all. And this is a tragic and terrifying truth for it means that every major political, educational, scientific, and religious leader alive, today, is incapable of explaining four things: LOVE, SIN, SUFFERING, and DEATH.

How will they **“though hand join in hand”** (Prov. 11:21) stop WARS without any knowledge of sin, suffering, death, and LOVE? They won’t. They won’t produce a “token” performance; they are bankrupt.

Bible-believing Christians have sung God’s praises on the rack and on the gibbet, in the fires and in the dungeons. They have written songs like “It Is Well with My Soul,” after going through trials that would drive an Oriental to suicide (note Job chaps. 1–2). The Christian, whose fiancée broke their engagement after he began to go blind, wrote, “Oh Love that will not let me go.” Charlie Weigle sat down and wrote, “No One Ever Cared for Me Like Jesus,” after his wife deserted him. Atheists don’t write music like that under any conditions. They have no human savior who cares for them at all. They can only write “popular” love songs, if they can write anything at all. No love, no MUSIC. NO love, no SONGS (see Chapter One). There are no atheists among the great composers: Brahms, Bach, Beethoven, Schubert, Corelli, Schumann, Staumitz, Korsakov, Chopin, Handel, Corelli, Pachelbel, Vivaldi, Liszt, Mendelssohn, Grieg, Sibelius, Smetana, Enesco, Mozart, Gluck, Stravinsky, Wagner, et al. Even where the composer was a Bible-rejecting Catholic or a “Liberal” Unitarian, he was a DEIST. Even if he cannot write music expressing his LOVE for God’s greatest act of love (1 John 4:1–12), he is never a neutral Yin-Yang addict. However, where a composer has experienced that love, he writes as follows:

*“Could we with ink the ocean fill,  
or were the skies of parchment made;  
were every stalk on earth a quill  
and every man a scribe by trade;*

*to write the love of God above  
would drain the ocean dry,  
nor could the scroll contain the whole  
though stretched from sky to sky.”*

*“Oh, for a thousand tongues to sing  
my great Redeemer’s praise!  
The glories of my God and King,  
the triumphs of His grace!”*

*“Wonderful the matchless grace of Jesus!  
Deeper than the mighty rolling sea,  
higher than the mountain,  
sparkling like a fountain,  
all sufficient grace for even me!”*

Do all of these songs (that continue through nineteen centuries) come from forty-two generations of deluded, demented, “dangerous religious fanatics”? They do according to Janet Reno, Justice Department (see any modern “feature articles” in the News Media on “Fundamentalists”).

A man said recently to me, “Ruckman, you just thought you were converted back in 1949. You’ve just been hallucinating like a junkie would while tripping on dope.”

I laughed at him and replied, “Well, bless God, fella, it must have been a pretty good trip that’s lasted forty-six years without the junk costin’ me a dime!” (You can’t close a deal like that in the Queens or downtown Houston or Miami; I’ll guaran-bless-tee you!)

Now, let us face the problem of suffering. Why does this God who is “love” (“**God is love,**” 1 John 4:8) let His creatures and His creation suffer continually, when He Himself is “love”?

There is no way to answer this question with any degree of rationality or common sense unless the Biblical record of man’s history is taken into account. For example, the Book—contrary to the teaching of ALL Oriental religions about nature—claims that “Mother Earth” (the “Great Mother,” “Earth Day,” “Nature,” etc.) is under a CURSE (Rom. 8:20–26). If you are “one with nature” and “one with the universe,” you are “one” with unclean demoniac powers in the Universe (Isa. 24:21; Eph. 6:10–12), and you are “one” with a fallen creation headed for BURNING (2 Pet. 3:10–14; James 1:11).

This means that suffering can come from nature itself, regardless of man or his actions. Being “at one” with nature or cooperating with nature or working with nature

(i.e., EPA) solves nothing. “Man” cannot stop hurricanes, tornadoes, earthquakes, or volcanoes no matter how much he “realizes he is ONE with the infinite universe, etc.” No group of men, doing ANYTHING, can prevent the suffering that comes from floods and earthquakes; all they can do is try to help survivors out.

Natural catastrophes cause suffering. If “man” is going to cure all the items we listed on pages 24 and 25 by his own efforts, how will he handle the “natural” catastrophes? Does he really think that he is going to stop what is going to take place in Revelation chapters 6–9, 14 and Isaiah 24:1–20? Why, “man” cannot even control the catastrophes in the Church Age (A.D. 33–A.D. 1995) which come about *naturally*. The ones that are going to take place in the next five years (Rev. 6–16) will be SUPERNATURALLY produced.

The presence of CONSCIENCE in man produces suffering in millions of people. Some Americans spend more than \$30,000 annually visiting shrinks, just trying to eradicate what the shrinks call “a guilt complex.”

The *moral laws of the universe* cause suffering. God set them up, and He set them up so that suffering after suffering follows any nation or individual who thumbs his nose at the Decalogue given to Moses. The reaping is inescapable whether you believe one word in the Decalogue or not. The stupid, twentieth-century humanists pretend that these Mosaic laws were just some ancient “tribal code” given by some Bedouin “god” called “Yahweh.” They take them to be “relative mores,” to be readjusted to fit “situation ethics” (i.e., “do as you...please”). So, they break them with impunity and encourage individuals and nations to follow their example; confident that these commandments are not “binding” on anyone. Then, when they begin to reap what they sow—and they always do—they begin to holler: “There is no God! How could a God of love let this happen to me (or ‘us’)?”

Well, you jumped off the Empire State Building, stupid. God didn’t. YOU defied the LAW of Gravity. God told you not to jump. He told you, “Thou shalt not jump,” because He loved you. That is the same reason why any sane parent tells their child not to play with matches, or not to get into a car with a stranger. **“God is love.”**

A *loving Father* can cause suffering (Prov. 2:1, 4:1, 5:1, 6:1). Is that clear? (Heb. 12:4–12) Only a temperamental, stupid, self-righteous, spoiled brat would fail to understand that. A father who does not whip and chasten you doesn’t love you (Heb. 12:4–12). Did you know that? Humanists in the UNESCO don’t know that. Many of them (especially the female gender) are so “teched in the haid” (HRS) they think that whippings, slappings, spankings, and “thrashings” are marks of a psychotic parent who is cruel and hates his child. (Not all of the clowns are in the circus; not all the nuts grow on trees and vines. Most of them, these days, are in the HRS, FEMA, or EPA, or in Bible revision committees.)

**“GOD IS LOVE.”** Then His motives have to be right, even when His actions are an expression of His vengeance (Rom. 8:28–34; Heb. 10:27–33; Rom. 3:5; 2 Thess. 1:8, etc.). Notice the numerous New Testament references (not Old Testament): Hebrews 10:30; Jude 7; Luke 21:22, etc. Jude says **“suffering the vengeance of ETERNAL FIRE”** (Jude 7). John says, **“he shall be tormented with FIRE and brimstone...And**

**the smoke of their TORMENT ascendeth up for ever and ever” (Rev. 14:10–11).**

You see, the “or” and the “either” are present:

1. **“God is love.”**
2. **“Our God is a consuming fire” (Heb. 12:29).**

The sloppy, sinful, sentimental, sensual sham “humanists” just closed their eyes when they saw the Biblical definition of love. It was in the **“song of songs”** (Song of Sol. 8:6). **“LOVE is strong as DEATH...the coals thereof are coals of FIRE, which hath a most vehement FLAME.”**

The God of the Bible LOVES righteousness and HATES iniquity. Some love-nutty, sex-crazy, super-sentimental, sex-obsessional neurotic, up in 1996, has lost complete sight of God’s revelation of Himself. The God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob is not like “Allah” or “Hermes” or “Zeus” or “Mithra” or “Brahma.” He never calls evil “good,” or good “evil”, and He will not equate Heaven with Hell, or black with white, or male with female. The only “union” found in the New Testament is a spiritual union of races and sexes (Gal. 4:28; Acts chaps. 7–9), but that spiritual union is only to be found within the Body of Jesus Christ (see Gal. 4:25–28; 1 Cor. 12:13–20). Every union OUTSIDE the spiritual body of the Lord Jesus Christ is a union like Genesis chapter 11 (the Tower of Babel) or Psalm 2 (a United Nations gathered to get rid of God). Ecumenical and international unity in the Bible is called **“first the tares, and bind them in bundles to BURN them”** (see Matt. 13:30). “FAGGOTS” were pieces of wood and sticks which were to be gathered together in bundles to burn them (see Zeph. 3:8).

This means when you say “God is love,” you have to qualify it by noting what kind of love this is, where and how it is manifested, how it functions, and how it is appropriated.

God’s love cannot be nullified by cancer, dead babies, crippled old people, poverty, depression, war, AIDS, wounded soldiers, plagues, pestilences, social injustice, torture chambers, fraud, earthquakes, plane wrecks, hospital bills, political corruption, pain, or bereavement. This means the atheist (humanist) is “out of the running” before he begins to think, because in the Book, God Almighty is the Author of “Evil” as well as “Good.” Read Job 2:10; Isaiah 45:7; Lamentations 3:38; and Jeremiah 4:6, 5:12, 6:19 (Better get that last one!) 11:11, 17, 23, and 18:8.

The thing that all the Buddhists and Mystics missed was the AGENT through which God brings evil about in the lives of men. None of them recognized this “agent” because he was their **“father”** (John 8:40–46) and their **“god”** (2 Cor. 4:4). I overstated nothing (see Eph. 2:1–5). It is God who causes David to number Israel (2 Sam. 24:1). In the supplemental account, it is SATAN who causes it. The two are so closely connected when matters dealing with SIN show up (see Job 1:8–12, 2:3, 6), that modern translations (see Riplinger’s *New Age Bible Versions*, 1994) equate SATAN with GOD, and go so far as to give Satan a title reserved for Jesus Christ (see Isa. 14:12; 2 Pet. 1:19; and Rev. 22:16). They insisted that Yin and Yang (or Ying and Yang) were equal: blew it again.

## CHAPTER THREE

# What is This Thing Called Love?

The “Law of First Mention” (a basic law of Hermeneutics) states that the first time a word shows up in the Scriptures one should give it careful attention, because it is going to fix the general meaning of the word throughout the rest of the canon. For example: the first time the word “**SINNERS**” shows up in the Scriptures, it is a reference to sex perverts committing sodomy in Sodom (Gen. 13:13). The first time the word “**SIN**” shows up, it is a reference to a potential killer (1 John 3:12) who is getting mad at God because he feels “rejected.” God had not “accepted” him. If the News Media had been around they would have “accepted” him (even after he had murdered his brother); that is, if he had been the right color!

The reason why the word “faggot” (meaning a “bundle of sticks gathered together to be burned in a fire”) came to be applied to queers is because the inhabitants of Sodom were all BURNED TO DEATH (Jude 7), and Jude says they are still burning.

Now, “**God is love,**” so what is “love”?

(In 1940, Artie Shaw and his orchestra put out a swing instrumental called “What Is This Thing Called Love?”)

In 1 Corinthians chapter 13, Paul uses the word “**charity**” to define the word “love.” This shows that real love must be deeply rooted in unselfishness and is involved in GIVING: “charity” is a “handout.” Real love doesn’t operate by the evolutionary dictum “me first, you next” or “the survival of the fittest.” Love is not Darwinian or Neo-Darwinian; it is ANTI-Darwinian; it “**rejoiceth in the truth**” (see 1 Cor. 13:6; John 17:17; 1 Thess. 2:13), not an *RSV*, *ASV*, *RV*, *NRSV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, or *NKJV*, and so forth. Real love never goes around hollering about its “rights.” It is never to be associated with “political and environmental activists” who “demonstrate” to get their own way. Only blacks, Communists, queers, atheists, lesbians, criminals, and frustrated women do those kind of things; also, spoiled brats.

Now, where is “love” first mentioned in the Bible? Well, the first time the word shows up you should notice carefully (and mark carefully) what it is NOT connected with. You should do this so you can retain your sanity when you are discussing the subject, because you are living in the nuttiest age the world has ever seen; and if you are living in America, you are living in an “insane asylum run by the inmates.” These lunatics (mainly National Public Radio, the HRS, CBS, NBC, the EPA, ABC, CNN, and *Life* and *Time* magazines) are engaged in constructing a computerized, electronic, jungle civilization, dancing to the tune of African sex music and controlled by the CFR, the FBI, the Club of Rome, the

DEA, the Bilderbergers, and the Federal Reserve Bankers.

*What is this thing called “Love”?*

Well, the first time the word occurs in the Book it is NOT connected with any of the following things:

1. Any woman’s love for her baby.
2. Any lover’s love for a man or a woman.
3. Any man’s love for his wife or any wife’s love for her husband.
4. Any man’s love for “humanity” or any man’s love for “social justice” or an “equal economic distribution.”
5. Any man’s love for “peace” or his “fellow man.”
6. Any man’s love for money, power, or “control” of anything or anybody.
7. Any child’s love for a brother, sister, parent, or grandparent.

If the Holy Bible is the work of the Holy Spirit, then the Author has, in one verse, cancelled any (and all) twentieth-century definitions of “love,” and has thrust every Bibliophobic, and every humanist who hated the Book, into pitch black ignorance and total darkness when the subject of “love” is brought up.

You see, the first mention of “love” in the Bible is in connection with the sacrificial death of a SON; and this son was a “promised seed,” who experienced a MIRACULOUS BIRTH (Gen. 18:11).

Genesis 22:2: **“Take now thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou LOVEST....”**

And there, out of the porthole, or “escape hatch,” went every Moslem who ever lived. Mohammed taught the “only Son” did not die on the Cross. And there, down the tube, or the “shaft,” went every Orthodox Jew on the planet. They said God could not appear in the flesh in ANY form (John 10:29–34; 1 Cor. 1:20–24) to die for anyone. And there, into the dumpster (or the “sanitary landfill”) went every Buddhist (Zen or otherwise) who taught salvation was in Prajna (or Satori), without any “Son” showing up (Ps. 2). That is what shut the mouths of three schools of religion in Christ’s day; read Matthew 22:45: **“If David then call Him Lord, how is he HIS SON?”**

In Genesis chapter 22, Abraham is presented as a type of “God the Father.” This is absolutely certain from every passage in the New Testament (Matt. 3:9; John 8:33, 41; Luke 16:24). In Genesis chapter 22, Isaac is clearly presented as “God the Son.” This is defined in the New Testament also; see Galatians 3:14–18 and Hebrews 11:17. Ninety-five percent of the members of the UN, the EEC, the USSR, and Red China know no more about “types” in the Holy Bible than they know about how amoebas turned into alligators. To them, the Bible is a closed book (see Isa. 28–29). There are twenty-one types of Christ in the Bible, and eighteen types of the Son of Perdition (the “Antichrist”). Isaac was “offered” within one mile of Golgotha, if not actually on that very spot (see 2 Sam. 24:18–24).

You see, the Bible is not in the least like other “sacred scripture” or other “sacred literature.” It contains scientific data and mathematical phenomena that is completely

missing from ALL Catholic literature, ALL Moslem literature, ALL Hindu literature, and ALL the works of Confucius, Lao-tze, and the followers of Gautama the Buddha (*Sutras, Vedas, Shastas, Puranas, the Zen-davesta, the Tripitaka, the Analects, the Koran, and the Abdi-Dharma*). (For documentation see *The Damnation of a Nation*, 1994, and *The Anti-Intellectual Manifesto*, 1994.)

“LOVE,” in the Book, is defined as the love that a man has for his **“only son.”** Ishmael was NOT Abraham’s son by Sarah, so he was NOT a “promised seed” (see Gal. 4:22–27). That is why a Moslem has to have another “prophet” besides Jesus Christ; he has to make the Arabians the “chosen seed” instead of the Jews. He does this by claiming that Mohammed was a prophet like Jesus Christ. Mohammed claims to have come from Abraham THROUGH ISHMAEL. For the Holy Spirit’s comment on this, see Galatians chapter 4.

The proper term to use for Isaac is the **“only begotten”** (Heb. 11:17), exactly as Jesus Christ is said to be the **“only begotten”** (John 3:16). (That is what He is called in a *King James Bible*, not an *NIV*.) God has other **“sons”** beside the **“only begotten”** (as in 1 John 3:1–2 and Rom. 8:14–21), but only ONE of them is referred to as **“the only begotten.”** Abraham had many sons beside Ishmael and Isaac (Gen. 25), but none of them were his **“only begotten son.”**

Now! If any sinner (Moslem, Catholic, Buddhist, Protestant, Jew, Shintoist, Pharisee, Hindu, Atheist, or Taoist) wants to know anything about “love” (real love) he is going to be forced to read Romans chapter 5, and 1 John chapters 3–5. Any time he spends in any other “sacred scriptures” will be a waste of time. Romantic novels do not yield essential information. Movies and plays (the theater) do not give the information. Tabloids and press releases have never made one contribution in 100 years, on any continent on earth. “Wally and the Duke,” “Romeo and Juliet,” “Grace and the Gambler,” Anthony and Cleopatra, Liz Taylor and Richard Burton, Douglas Fairbanks and Mary Pickford, and the builder of the Taj Mahal are humanist love stories that deal with unregenerated, fleshy loves. Those are stories about human sinners who loved human sinners. Most of the sinners you see in the Hollywood films are fornicators; occasionally some of them are “chaste.” The majority of them are whores, adulterers, whore-mongers, and fornicators and many of them are sex perverts. NONE OF THEM are “only begotten sons” of a Father who was a type of God, the Creator of men. Look what follows Genesis 22:1.

**“Herein is LOVE, not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and sent his SON”** (1 John 4:10). **“But God commendeth his LOVE toward us, in that, while we were yet sinners, Christ died for us”** (Rom. 5:8). **“If God so LOVED US, we ought also to LOVE one another”** (1 John 4:11).

See that last verse?

*Better go back and read it again.*

Half of that verse is what every pope and every leader of the UN has been “preaching” since 1945. During that time, they have “hosted” eighty-four wars. (See *Black Is Beautiful*, 1996.) You see, these miserable, Catholic hypocrites only take the last SEVEN WORDS for their “text.” That is, they intend (and so profess) to produce a peaceful world where all men are “brothers.” (See the national anthem of the EEC, Schiller’s “Ode to Joy,” set to

music by Beethoven—Symphony No. 9), WITHOUT THE REQUIRED MOTIVE FOR PRODUCING LOVE. It is found in the first five words of the same verse. This means that it is God Almighty's absolute obligation to see to it that three World Wars shall be fought after 1995. "War is God's judgment on sin here." Where His love has been deliberately rejected by Bible-hating, Bible-fearing, Bible-perverting religious "scalywags," His duty (since God is holy) is to manifest His WRATH (Rom. 9:22). Don't worry. He will not fail the UN and the popes. He will do "exceedingly abundant" above all they can ask or think! (Zech. 14:1–14)

In order to bring in *peace* and *love*, without the required Author of both, the UN only has one activity "cut out" for it in the future. It will be the job of the UN to furnish armed military troops (on a world-wide basis) to KILL every man, woman, and child that will not submit to the "peace-keeping forces." Their job will be to arrest, kill, or imprison every man, woman, or child, who resists, and then seize all of their "assets" (bank accounts, savings accounts, houses, lands, furniture, food supplies, means of transportation, and cash): international Genocide. That is the price that the Bibliophobiacs and Bibliomaniacs will pay for dissecting one verse in the New Testament.

The *requirement* for men loving their fellow man (according to the One who created all men) is to acknowledge their Creator's love for them in coming down here on this planet ("**in the flesh**," as a man: 1 Tim. 3:16) and dying for their sins, in their stead (Rom. 5:1–10; 1 Tim. 1:15). The "alternative" is international Genocide and three World Wars. "Either" and "Or"—not Yin and Yang. But, there isn't ONE Buddhist, Moslem, Taoist, Shintoist, Hindu, evolutionist, atheist, humanist, environmentalist, Deist, agnostic, New-Ager, one-worlder, or Unitarian on earth who believes that.

As a matter of fact, you couldn't get ONE Catholic priest or one Catholic nun or one Catholic bishop or one Catholic cardinal or one Catholic pope to sign that statement. So there is your "United Nations": at least 80 percent of them are Catholics who don't really believe that the sacrificial death of Jesus Christ (Heb. 10:10, 12) is enough, in itself, to save anyone (Heb. 9:11–26). They think their own sacraments are instrumental in saving sinners. "God's love," to the Vatican, is powerless, BY ITSELF (2 Cor. 5:21; Gal. 3:1–4), to save anyone.

So here is this loveless, powerless, joyless monstrosity, assembled in downtown New York (!), dedicated to bringing in "peace on earth" without giving God the glory (Luke 2:14), bringing about love on earth, without the basic requirement for producing it (Rom. 5:5; Gal. 6:15), and achieving world unity without regard for the NATURE of that "unity" (Gal. 4:28; 1 Cor. 12:13; Acts 3–4). Karl Marx's "unity," for example, came from pretending that Acts 2:45 and Acts 4:35 were references to a political philosophy—expounded by ATHEISTS—which could be brought about by armed revolutions (military actions). The fruit of this distorted and perverted concept of "unity" has been (for 100 years) rebellions, revolutions, civil wars, dictatorships (Cuba, Russia, China), bankruptcy, terrorism, World Wars, and genocides.

In Acts 2:45 they "shared." That is the watchword of international Communism and international Socialism: enforced "sharing." Ninety percent of the saved preachers in America have adopted the word as a key word in every one of their radio sermons and publicity material since 1964. They don't teach Scripture; they "share" it. They don't take

up offerings; they “share” offerings. They don’t preach the Bible; they “share” it. And they don’t even witness for Christ; they “share” Him.

Isn’t “sharing” a good thing?

“Every BAD thing on this earth is a GOOD thing, twisted.”

“War is God’s judgment on sin HERE; Hell is God’s judgment on sin, HEREAFTER.”

“Love,” defined in the Book, is defined as **“God so loved the world, that he gave his ONLY BEGOTTEN SON”** (John 3:16). If you (or any man) miss *that* love, you miss the only real permanent love that anyone on this planet has ever experienced. In every “love affair,” from 4000 B.C. to A.D. 1996, on five continents, there has always been an element of selfishness on the part of one, or both, parties. There has been only ONE love manifested on this earth that was completely SELFLESS, without any sensual, spiritual, or unholy motive. Jesus Christ died for ungodly sinners (Rom. 5:1–10) who were His enemies (Rom. 5:10). *That* love is defined in Romans 5:8, and *those* words define the eternal epitome of true love; they have never been improved upon.

Mohammed and Buddha are not going to “win, place, or show.” Neither is the UN, UNESCO, the U.S.A., the USSR, the Vatican, or the EEC.

Let me ask you a question: “What did YOUR teacher (founder, guru, priest, prophet, or whatever) do for YOU?” Mine died for me. Let’s see you match that; any of you, any time, anywhere, any place, under any conditions, resorting to any mental, psychological, religious, or spiritual “hocus-pocus” to prove your point. Your “linkage” is broken; you have a missing “link.” (This was the missing note we spoke about in Chapter One.) This is the explanation for the 600 to 1,000 songs that came to be written about one prophet who was NOT Mohammed. No Taoist, Mohammedan, Buddhist, Humanist, Pharisee, Agnostic, Atheist, Evolutionist, Hindu, or “Shiite,” loved any man enough to praise him even with twenty songs; let alone 1,000 songs.

The only complete textbook you will ever see that defines “love,” absolutely, is the Bible. Karl Menninger, the Dean of American Psychiatrists (1893–1994), once wrote a book called *Love or Die*. His thesis was that 75 percent of the cases he treated, at Menninger’s Clinic in Topeka, Kansas (1925–1986), could have been saved the therapy if they had found something (or someone) to LOVE before they went “psycho.” This doctor was working on another book titled *What Ever Became of Sin?* right before he died in 1994. Strange coincidence. “Sin” is the subject matter of nearly half the Bible. At the close of his life, Dr. Menninger began to think that nearly all of the “nuts” he had treated could have stayed sane if they had been warned about GOOD and EVIL, instead of “Yin and Yang,” or had found someone (or something) to love. (This naturally excluded the pathological cases where the “lesions” were due to brain, cell, gland, or tissue damage.)

I have personally known, in my short lifetime, at least five people who were genuine “looneys,” the real thing. They all had “one oar in the water,” so to speak. One was my own brother, John Hamilton Ruckman Jr., who spent his entire adult life, from twenty-four years onward (to the age of seventy-two), in mental wards, hospitals, “rehabilitation centers,” and rooming houses (1944–1992). He never married or had children. He never went hunting or fishing or swimming. He never held a job, owned a car, or had a home of his own. I knew four more “schizoids” who were females. All five people shared one

common characteristic which was identical. All five of them could think about nothing, and talk about nothing, except their own personal problems. They had never learned how to LOVE.

The antonym of “love” is “hate.”

One look between the covers of the fearful Book, the Holy Bible, reveals that it is “hate literature” *par excellent*, aimed at every human being on this planet if that human being believes in ANY of the following things:

1. Evolution: theistic or atheistic.
2. Any kind of sex perversion as a “sexual orientation.”
3. Fornication or adultery, as “life styles.”
4. The total equality of males and females.
5. No post-death life, including Judgment, Heaven, or Hell.
6. Humanism: that “man is the measure of all things” (i.e., Hitler, Einstein, Jim Jones, Mother Teresa, Buddha, the Popes, Freud, Marx, Mohammed, Florence Nightingale, JFK, Mandela, Lenin, Stalin, et al.)
7. That WAR is the greatest scourge of mankind—not sin. This attempt to practice sin and enjoy it while devoting “all-out efforts” to rid the world of what sin produces (WAR) is condemned in the Book from cover-to-cover (James 4:1–4; Isa. 8:9–13; Matt. 24:7–31).
8. That there are no absolute moral standards defining “right” and “wrong”; therefore, “good” and “evil” are relative terms.
9. That you can get rid of war by eliminating all “opposites” (polarities), just as in the Oriental religions you can get rid of “illusion” and suffering by getting rid of all “opposites.”

This method is now being taught by all News Media outlets, and all state colleges and universities, and all scientific organizations: to convert whites into blacks and blacks into whites; convert Orientals into Occidentals and Occidentals into Orientals; convert men into women and women into men; “straights” into queers and queers into “straights.” Following this, you can convert Hell into Heaven and Damnation into Salvation.

This is the supreme goal and absolute “height of intellectual achievement” for every educated sinner on the face of this earth if he is a self-righteous, “love-your-neighbor, do-gooder” (“Little Miss Goodie Two Shoes”). It includes all of the leaders in the CFR, UN, EEC, U.S.A., USSR, EPA, CIA, HRS, NEA, FBI, BATF, Club of Rome, and the Bilderbergers.

So here at this point in our study of “love,” some of you should stop reading the text, because from here on, what follows is all from the Book. The Book is against us, and we know it; it knows all about us and tells it. Here is what the Book says about “love”:

A) There is a love that is based on carnal physical attraction (Judg. 13–14). It results in two things: genuine love, which leads to marriage (Gen. 29:20), or feigned love, which turns to hatred (2 Sam. 13:15).

B) “Love” is sometimes a reference to acts of fornication, or adultery, performed in a bed (Prov. 7:16, 18). Paul calls this **“chambering and wantonness”** (Rom. 13:13). This is a standard American usage: “to make love,” “to make-out,” “to score,” “to have sex,” etc. (Isa. 57:8 and Ezek. 23:17, 45, 48). Samson, like Wilt Chamberlain, Elvis Presley, M.L. King Jr., Ted Kennedy, and JFK, was an habitual fornicator (see Rom. 1:29; 1 Cor. 5:1, 6:13, 18, 7:2, 10:8; 2 Cor. 12:21; Gal. 5:19; Eph. 5:3, and Col. 3:5, etc.). (You can see why the News Media has not gone into ecstatic spasms over the Bible! The Bible gets “modern man” off to a flying start. There is no cute little messing around with the “tradesmen’s terms”—read *The Damnation of a Nation*, 1994—the Book “gets with it,” in sixth-grade English.)

C) As we have noted, the word “faggot” comes from Genesis chapter 19. The dictionary says that faggots are “bundles of FIREWOOD”; “a bunch of sticks tied together to be BURNED as fuel.” The proper thing to call a male sex pervert is a “FAG” or “FAGGOT,” because every Sodomite committing Sodomy in Sodom was BURNED WITH FIRE (Jude 7), as FIREWOOD. Notice the exact wording, given in 1611, in Ezekiel 20:47–49, 15:4–7; Isaiah 33:12; and Psalm 106:18. The Book has no respect for these neurotic nuts in America who are in a dither about “racial slurs” and “sexual slurs.” It knows that such people, at heart, are nothing but bigoted, prejudiced, self-righteous “do-gooders” who are trying to make people think they are “caring and sharing” and “sensitive” to the “needs of their fellowman.” They are **“whited sepulchres”** (Matt. 23:27). If you love SIN you hate the BOOK; you are automatically—without your own consent of will—infected with “Bibliophobia”: fear of the Scriptures. Observe how the word “HATE” accompanies the word “LOVE” in case after case. (You are not going to get rid of hate or jealousy when dealing with a God who is said to be “love.”) Notice:

**“Jacob have I LOVED, Esau have I HATED...[there is] a time to LOVE, and a time to HATE...Ye that LOVE the Lord, HATE evil...They have rewarded me EVIL, for my LOVE...All they that HATE me LOVE death...HATRED stirreth up strifes but LOVE...Better...where LOVE is, than a stalled ox with HATRED...No man knoweth either LOVE or HATRED by all that is before them.”** [\*Note! That last reference (Eccl. 9:1) had to do with how God deals with men in their individual lives.] **“Also their LOVE, and their HATRED...is now perished...I the Lord, LOVE judgment...HATE robbery...These six things doth the Lord HATE...The fear of the Lord is to HATE evil...Do not this abominable thing that I HATE. HATE THE EVIL, AND LOVE THE GOOD.”**

How do you “hate evil” and “love good” if both of them are the same? How do you choose the “good” instead of the “evil,” if evil is good and good is evil? Are you too dense and deceived to know the difference? The Book says you know the difference (Rom. 1:19–20), and choose to do evil on purpose (Rom. 1:29–32).

D) God said to His chosen people, Israel: **“I HATE, I despise your feast days...I... HATE his palaces.”** You cannot have real love without HATE being present. You can never eliminate this “dualism” until eternity begins (1 Cor. 15:28). It has not yet begun.

If the Bible is what it professes to be—absolute, inerrant truth, coming from the One who created man—the key to “love” (real love) will be found not only at the beginning of the Book (see p. 53–54), but at the end of the Book. So, completely unlike the *Sutras*,

*Vedas, Shastas, Koran, Analects, and Puranas* (and all of the other man-made “scriptures”), the Book closes with these words: **“As many as I LOVE, I rebuke and chasten”** (Rev. 3:19). That is the last mention of the word “love” in the Holy Bible. It finishes the background for the word and frames the subject of “love” so that SUFFERING and DEATH become part of the scenery. They both were “latent in the equation” when it was first mentioned in Genesis 22:2. And this is what “modern man” will not tolerate.

“Love,” in the modern, News-Media-college-UN-vernacular means: “God will put up with everybody and everything and anything, because He is nothing but love; whippings, chastenings, rebukes, suffering, correction, punishment, death, and judgment are out: O-U-T, OUT.” According to the feminine news media (and the homosexual News Media), if you “love” people, you give murderers more than one opportunity to kill people, you try to let off a double knife murderer with a charge of “disturbing the peace.” You give a woman \$2,000,000 because she had a hot cup of coffee spilled on her hand. You justify “fags” for practicing sex perversion, and you make your children available for them to practice on; and you love “humanity” so much that you let federal bureaucrats trespass on private property, “break and enter” with assault rifles, break up your family, and seize your assets (house, property, bank account, savings, cars, etc.), and “share them” with the “downtrodden minorities” and “oppressed masses.” “LOVE” in EEC, U.S.A., FEMA, USSR, FBI, and UN terms means what Lincoln meant when he said, “preserve the Union,” or what Hitler meant when he said, “liberate the Sudetenland,” or what the French killers meant when they said, “Liberty, Equality, and Fraternity.” It means, “Go along or get killed” (Read *Black Is Beautiful*, 1996).

“Every BAD thing on earth is a GOOD thing, twisted.”

E) The word “love” (or “lovest” or “loving,” as in “loving kindness”) occurs more than 370 times in the Bible. Sometimes it is a reference to what a man likes to eat (Gen. 27:4); usually it is a man’s love for a woman. Sometimes it is a servant loving his master (Exod. 21:5). Many times it is speaking of God’s love for a nation (Deut. 7:7, 13, 10:15; Isa. 63:9; Jer. 31:3; Hos. 3:1, 9:15, 11:4, 14:4, etc.), or a body of people (Eph. 1:4, 2:4–7, 5:1, 25; Rom. 8:28, etc.). And there are dozens of references which command a man to love God (Exod. 20:6; Deut. 5:10, 6:5, 7:9, 10:12, 11:1, 13, 22; Matt. 22:37; 1 Cor. 8:3, 16:22; Eph. 6:24, etc.).

F) A man can love **“money”** (1 Tim. 6:10), **“husbandry”** (2 Chron. 26:10), **“simplicity”** (Prov. 1:22), **“transgression”** (Prov. 17:19), **“pureness of heart”** (Prov. 22:11), **“wine and oil”** (Prov. 21:17), **“silver”** (Eccl. 5:10), and even “wandering” (Jer. 14:10).

G) Observe that although Amnon “loved” his sister, he raped her and then dumped her (2 Sam. 13:15). Shechem loved Dinah, so he raped her (Gen. 34:1–4), but then tried to marry her. Jacob loved Rachel, so he married her (Gen. 29:30). Samson loved his fornicating “partner,” so he got the kiss of death (see p. 83). (A pure case of “adult consent” if you ever saw it, buster.) American “love” has nothing to do with **“God is love,”** or a God who is love. Jonathan loved David **“as his own soul,”** but they had no sexual relations of any kind (1 Sam. 18:3). If either of them had behaved like the actor who played Gomer Pyle or Barney Frank (1995), they would have been EXECUTED

(Lev. 20:13). “Gods,” who “go along” with sodomy, are not connected with **“God is love”** (see Deut. 23:17; 1 Kings 14:24, 15:12). “Gods” who tolerate anything and everybody (except Bible-believing street preachers and “right wing Fundamentalists”), are what we call “bargain counter gods.” They are “made to order.” You select the “god” of your choice; you get one that tolerates your particular sin (p. 36). An American “Jesus Christ” comes in several different sizes, like the fornicator in the “Last Temptation” or the drugged hippie in “Jesus Christ Superstar.” Psychotic Americans create “Jesuses” in their own images.

H) There is such a thing as **“inordinate”** love or **“affection”** (Col. 3:5), which merits capital punishment in the Old Testament (Ezek. 23:11, 44–47). The modern term is “sexual deviation.” It is taught by the NEA in the public schools as “an alternative life style” or “sexual orientation.” These are the filthy humanists whose “god” tolerates everybody and everything (except preaching against adultery, sex perversion, fornication, and pagan “faiths”). Their “god” is a moral pervert. Any “god” who loves impurity, lying, stealing, cheating, embezzlement, fraud, cursing, sex perversion, pornography, cruelty, and murder the same way that he loves purity, righteousness, holiness, obedience, truthfulness, loyalty, kindness, bravery, and love is a MORAL PERVERT. That is NOT the **“God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ,”** nor the God of “Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.” You cannot slap the word “love” on that kind of a twisted, sadistic hypocrite unless he is as rotten and filthy as you are.

I) Now, most of the references to “love,” in the Book, deal with you loving your **“neighbour”** (Matt. 5:43, 19:19, 22:39; Rom. 13:9; 1 Thess. 4:9; 1 John 4:20–21), but the Bible says something about this well-known commandment that will be totally omitted when any “liberal” or “modernist” begins to apply it to international socialism and global politics. You see, the **“neighbour”** referred to in all of the commandments (Matt. 22:39) was another JEW. Look at the “original” in Leviticus 19:18.

If you wanted to really know how that Old Testament Jew was to treat his neighbor, then read Joshua chapters 1–15 and 1 Samuel 15:1–4. Nothing is said by “modern man” about those passages at all, unless a Bible-rejecting, Bible-hating “minister” (or “priest”) rejects all of them on the grounds that the entire Old Testament was immoral and “non-Christian.” It is not until the New Testament that the **“neighbour”** becomes a Jew who helps out a Samaritan (Luke 10:36–37). When “grace comes by Jesus Christ” (John 1:17), up shows a commandment not found in the Old Testament: **“Love your enemies”** (Matt. 5:44). Love those who don’t love you (Matt. 5:46). But here, the Bible-hating, Bible-rejecting, Bible-perverting, Bible-denying “ministers” in America come to a dead end. For they suddenly hit Biblical limitations to this “loving your enemy,” and definite Biblical commandments not to even FELLOWSHIP with him. Here are the instructions: Romans 16:17–18; 2 Corinthians 6:14–17; Ephesians 5:11; Colossians 2:18; Galatians 5:8–12, etc. The “liberal” cannot take instruction, for his original purpose was to take Matthew 5:44, 46 and apply it to the enemies of God, enemies of the Bible, and the enemies of the United States. “Loving your enemies,” to a Christ-rejecting “liberal,” means “loving those who HATE the Lord” (2 Chron. 19:2). What follows Matthew chapter 5 says: 1) No integration with the enemies of God or Jesus Christ. 2) No compromise with any enemies of the Bible or the Body of Christ. 3) And absolutely no fellowship (of any kind) with any sinner who has not experienced the new birth (2 Cor. 6:14–17; Rom. 8:1–20; Phil. 3:1–19).

As soon as the Old Testament is replaced with the New, the old Adamic nature in the unsaved priests and ministers and “Bible” scholars reasserts itself again, and perverts Biblical truth again. By confining themselves to the Jewish Sermon on the Mount (Matt. 5–7) and rejecting what the Author of that Sermon revealed to Paul (Acts 26:16; Gal. 1:6–12), **“God is love”** becomes just one more perverted Biblical quotation to back up a pagan philosophy which states: “go along and support everything and cooperate with everybody, or else get killed” (or at least fired, black-balled, excluded, “churched,” or imprisoned).

Modern, twentieth-century “Christianity” knows nothing about God or love.

Neither does Mohammedanism, Buddhism, Taoism, Communism, Hinduism, Socialism, Mormonism, Atheism, Judaism, or Humanism.

J) God’s “love” is attended by suffering, pain, shame, reproach, nails, hate, whips, dying, and death. **“God so loved the world”** (John 3:16); but don’t you try *that* (1 John 2:15–16), because Jesus Christ never prayed for the **“world”** ONE DAY since He went back to glory (John 17:9). This means that God’s love begins and ENDS at Calvary. You find it there, or you don’t find it. The WRATH of God “abides” upon any man who thinks God loves him apart from the sacrificial death of His Son (John 3:36; Gen. 22:1–2). Read about it. It is written in Ephesians chapter 2; John chapter 3 and Romans chapter 1.

Word studies on the word “love” by apostate Fundamentalists (Vincent, Wuest, Zodhiates) using the “Hebrew” and the “Greek” (chasq, dod, royah, chachab, racham, ahabah, aheb, agapao, phileo, agape, philadelphos, etc.) yield nothing. Such word studies only take you down vertically into one verse where you wind up hitting your head on rock bottom. The truth about love—the whole truth and nothing but the truth—can only be discovered by studying the THE TRUTH (John 17:17), the WHOLE TRUTH (Num. 23:19), and nothing but THE TRUTH (Gal. 3:1).

K) The Bible says that a man should always love his **“wife”** (Prov. 5:18–19). It says that a person can get “love sick” (Song of Sol. 2:5; 5:8). It says that people will sin to find love (Jer. 2:33). It states that love needs to be confirmed at times (2 Cor. 2:8), and there is such a thing as **“first love”** (Rev. 2:2–6).

L) The Bible says that although **“perfect love casteth out fear”** (1 John 4:18), that a man who loves God will work out his salvation **“with fear and trembling”** (Phil. 2:12). A sinner who claims he LOVES God but does not FEAR Him (Acts 13:16; Ps. 96:4; 1 Pet. 2:17; Col. 3:22; Ps. 147:11, 103:13, 17; 115:13; Prov. 3:7; 24:21, etc.) does not KNOW HIM.

The passage in 1 John 4:18 was talking about a Christian fearing the loss of salvation; it had nothing to do with anyone not fearing sin, God, backsliding, compromise, or fruitlessness. The apostle Paul feared all of those things (Acts 20:19, 31; 1 Cor. 2:3–4; 2 Cor. 12:20–21). Modern Christians (and especially Fundamentalists and Evangelicals), who talk about “the love of God,” are hot air experts, bullshooters (Rom. 3:18; Isa. 66:2). Read Romans 13:7; 1 Corinthians 2:3; 2 Corinthians 7:1, 15, 11:3; and Ephesians 5:21 before you let some backslidden apostate tell you that 1 John 4:18 is telling you “never fear your heavenly Father,” etc. Look at Ephesians 6:5, written to the Body of Christ; now look at 1 Timothy 5:20. Every Christian was told to fear God. What they were not told to fear was man, ridicule, death, or “losing salvation.” Many of the most extravagant

bullshooters in the Body of Christ on the subject of “love,” fear RIDICULE more than they fear God, sin, or DEATH. (Read *How to Teach the Original Greek*, 1994.)

1. Love is connected with death.
2. Death is connected with sin.
3. Sin is connected with hatred, lust, and WAR (James 4:1–4).
4. War is connected with suffering.
5. Suffering is connected with love.
6. Love is connected with music.
7. Music is connected with SINGING.

The proof that Biblical Christianity—I am not talking about “Protestanism” or “Catholicism”—presents to man-kind the only true, real, and eternal love (“**God is love**”) is that this love is connected with suffering, sin, and death (Heb. 2:10–14). Jesus Christ died for sin and sins (1 Pet. 2:24). He died literally (death) after suffering terribly (Isa. 53; Ps. 22). He suffered “**the just for the unjust**” (1 Pet. 3:18). He was “**hated**” (John 15:25) because men hated the Father (John 15:24) and His words (John 8:47). This is the only possible explanation to explain 1,000 songs being written about one dead man. He is still supposed (by at least 3,000,000,000 sinners) to be dead. If He is, then you have a miracle past explaining: 1,000 songs—600 of them still being sung on a regular basis—about one founder of one religion, who stands absolutely alone in His “class”; for no founder of any religion can produce the musical requirements that LOVE demands.

Any religion that side steps suffering, hatred, war, death, sin, music, and love is an inferior religion; a cheap counterfeit philosophy that can accomplish nothing for mankind. “The love of God” is revealed in one Book; it is revealed at ONE spot on this earth, involving ONE man, connected with ONE race (John 4:22). All other religions are either incomplete, impotent, or deadly, or all three.

M) In the Book, a believer is told to love the following things and people:

1. God the Father and Jesus Christ.
2. The word (and the words) of God.
3. His wife and children.
4. Her husband and children.
5. The “Brethren.”
6. His “neighbor.”
7. New Jerusalem.
8. The Lord’s appearing.
9. The souls of unsaved men.

N) On the negative side of the ledger—the side the popes, modernists, liberals, and humanists NEVER talk about—the believer is to:

1. Avoid all entanglements with the world system.
2. Reject the companionship of unsaved people, including their “fellowships”.
3. Hate lying and falsehood.
4. Hate “self” and unrighteousness.
5. Reject and oppose any movement of the nations to “get together” (Gen. 11; Zeph. 3; Deut. 32).
6. Rebuke the deeds and “lifestyles” of unsaved sinners.
7. Make no treaties, pacts, agreements, or cov-enants with any ungodly people or nations.

God said He hated six things, and two of them were PEOPLE (Prov. 6:16–19). No man can be a good lover of God unless he is a good hater of some things. An image lover is a God HATER. At least those are the exact words which God spoke in regards to the Roman Catholics who erased the Second Commandment from the Decalogue. Look it up and see if anyone is “slandering” Roman Catholics. You will find it as we have stated it in Exodus 20:2–5. Look at verse 5. Look at it again. Memorize it. “AN IMAGE LOVER IS A GOD HATER.”

Now, the sex obsessional neurotics in America (the News Media, along with their pet ministerial favorites), who are always hung up on “sharing and caring,” are of the opinion that the Sermon on the Mount changed all these things. Now you are to love everybody (including image lovers), help everybody, accept everybody, criticize nothing, go along with everybody, keep your mouth shut, offend no one, and sell God and the Bible out for a UN “mess of pottage.” Their private interpretation is that the God of the New Testament is not the God of the Old Testament, so you should not only love your enemies (including idolaters), but accept them, help them out, fellowship with them, agree with them, yoke up with them, and even OBEY them. This is the basis for all the plans of the One World Government, where all mankind will “live together in peace.” The setup is identical to that of a federal penitentiary or a concentration camp.

Nevertheless, this is the eternal theme song of all news outlets, all popes, all “ecumenicists,” and all Communists and Socialists. There is perfect equality (and no “class or racial distinctions”) in a federal penitentiary or a concentration camp. There is also “peace on earth.” It is enforced by armed “peace keeping” forces: the “hacks.”

In these institutions, atheists, queers, Catholics, murderers, Moslems, rapists, idolaters, child molesters, fundamentalists, Hindus, dope heads, sex perverts, blacks, Orientals, adulterers, drunks, Bible teachers, fornicators, soul-winners, and pagans have “EQUALITY and FRATERNITY.” The price is liberty, which is gone. (P.S. “Love” is to be found nowhere in the entire operation.)

Since **“God is love”** then **“every one that LOVETH is born of God”** (1 John 4:7). Notice that verse. That verse is handmade for every licentious fornicator on earth. That verse can be used to prove that every active sex pervert and adulterer in America is a “child of God” if he **“LOVES his partner.”** (You can damn a man with Scripture; it’s done 5,000 times a day in eight denominations. Acts 2:38; Matthew 16:18; Ephesians 1:4; Galatians 3:28; Revelation 7:3–6; Ecclesiastes 3:19; Hebrews 6:1–6, 10:26–33; Acts 13:48; Matthew 5:9, 19, etc.).

The serious student of “love” should observe how all of the News Media sources, since 1933, work at this idea that you must “accept” people (and things) whom you don’t like, and with whom you don’t want even to associate. (Read *Discrimination: The Key to Sanity*, 1994). This is in line with “getting rid of opposites,” which we mentioned on page 30. Your Constitutional right to “freedom of association” doesn’t exist. It disappeared with the Civil Rights Act of 1964. When it comes to “association,” every American has the same “rights” that all criminals in prison have and all servicemen have: both are under Federal jurisdiction, control, and twenty-four-hour-a-day surveillance. Their “associates” are picked for them. The entire population of the United States has been imprisoned since 1964, at least where freedom of association is concerned; it doesn’t exist.

The purpose of the Civil Rights Act (1964) was to force anyone who believes the Book to have fellowship, and be “yoked up,” with people who hate the Book (Bibliomalia) or fear the Book (Bibliophobia). This way, the Book could be silenced. All you had to do was make it a felony (called a “hate crime”) if anyone believed what the Book said about blacks, queers, bossy women, women preachers, ecumenical movements, discipline of children, clean speech, abortion, segregation and integration, and even the United Nations. “Racial slurs,” “ethnic slurs,” and “sexual slurs” were to be interpreted in the light of CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, the Associated Press (AP), *Life* and *Time* magazine, and *USA Today*, etc. In 1994, Attorney General Janet Reno (a sex pervert from Miami) sent out directives to attorneys in the South stating that Bible-believing fundamentalists—including women and children—were to be considered as “TERRORISTS” by the FBI and Justice Department if there were any kind of an “uprising” against “Slick Willie’s” Clintonistas.

The News Media’s task is to make “hate” a federal offense; a “felony” to be handled by the SWAT teams (i.e., Waco, Texas), the BATF, and FBI (i.e., the murder of Mrs. Randy Weaver, Idaho). These are the people (CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, National Public Radio, *Time*, *People*, and *Life*) who talk about “love” and everybody loving and “accepting” everybody. They are licensed killers.

**“God is love.”**

*Problem:* **“Our God is a consuming FIRE.”**

*Problem:* **“The Lord thy God is a JEALOUS God.”**

*Problem:* **“I am jealous over you with GODLY JEALOUSY”** (2 Cor. 11:2).

*Problem:* **“Then the ANGER of the Lord and His JEALOUSY shall smoke against that man...and the Lord shall blot out his name from under heaven”** (Deut. 29:20). **“They provoked him to...ANGER...for a FIRE is kindled in mine ANGER, and shall BURN unto the lowest HELL”** (Deut. 32:22).

O) We will not confine the Scriptural citations to the Old Testament; turn to the New Testament.

**“In FLAMING FIRE taking vengeance on them that know not God [Agnostics], and that obey not the gospel”** (2 Thess. 1:8).

**“He will burn up the chaff with unquenchable FIRE”** (Matt. 3:12).

**“Shall be in danger of HELL FIRE”** (Matt. 5:22). That one is from the favorite Biblical passage of every unsaved religious Ecumenicist on earth: the Sermon on the Mount. The speaker is Jesus Christ. Christ says: **“And shall cast them into a furnace of FIRE”** (Matt. 13:42).

It is the Lord Jesus Christ who speaks about HELL more times than any other speaker in the Scriptures. He mentions it fifteen times. This is the speaker who had 1,000 songs written about Him praising His *“LOVE.”* Remarkable, don’t you think?

P) The word “love” will be found fourteen times in the book of Ephesians, which deals with the Body of Christ as a matchmate to Eve, for whom Adam died (Eph. 5:28). The word “love” will be found twenty-six times in one book in the Bible; it is not in the New Testament, it is in the Old Testament, where we printed the verses you read on pages 47–48. The name of the book indicates that MUSIC is the mark of love, for the word “love” shows up twenty-six times in **“the SONG of SONGS which is Solomon’s.”** In addition to this, the word **“beloved”** pops up in the **“song”** thirty-three times. The word **“love”** only occurs twenty-three times in the Psalms, and the Psalms run 150 chapters to the eight chapters of the **“song of songs.”** The Song of Solomon is about Christ’s BRIDE, for Solomon (as Christ) was **“the son of David”** (see Matt. 1:1).

Where there is real LOVE, there is MUSIC and SONG. The unsaved Buddhist, Mohammedans, Hindus, Atheists, and Humanists (4,000, 000,000 of them) have no song, for they do not know what love is. They can only sing about their “god” or their “religion” or some vaporous, philosophical, esoteric “spiritual experience” where they contacted some undefinable “presence,” or attained some incomprehensible “spiritual state” (see page 27). They cannot love a man, for no man died for them, according to what they say they believe.

There is that “missing note” of which we spoke about in Chapter One. I will now bear down on that note with both hands. I will hammer on it till someone gets sick of it. Since it has been missing for 1,900 years in seven major religions, I believe I have a perfect right to emphasize it now. I will.

*“My hope is built on nothing less  
than Jesus’ blood and righteousness.”*

*“On Christ the solid rock I stand;  
all other ground is sinking sand.”*

*“Nor silver, nor gold  
hath obtained my redemption;  
nor riches of earth  
could have saved my poor soul.  
The blood of the cross  
is my only foundation,  
the death of my Saviour  
now maketh me whole!”*

*“There was One who was willing  
to die in my stead,  
that a soul so unworthy  
might live;  
and the path to the cross  
He was willing to tread,  
all the sins of my life  
to forgive.”*

*“Earthly friends may prove untrue,  
doubts and fears assail;  
One still loves and cares for you,  
Jesus never fails!  
Heaven and earth may pass away,  
but Jesus never fails!”*

*“All hail the power of Jesus’ name,  
let angels prostrate fall;  
bring forth the royal diadem  
and crown Him LORD of all!”*

There! Let’s see if the News Media can make a one-global-ecumenical movement out of THAT!

Notice!

No popes, no priests, no Golden Rule, no “Satori,” no bishop, no church, no baptism, no sacraments, no meditation, no alms, no beads, no rosary, no prayer wheels, no penance, no social justice, no integration, no “just” economic distributions, no “classless” society, no “oppressed minorities,” no peace talks, and absolutely no one “accepting” ANYONE (or trying to “get along” with anyone) that they don’t care to fool with; let alone trying to “prevent wars” by hiring “peace keepers.”

There can be no peace on earth without LOVE, and “love” has been defined by its Author—**“God is love”**—as man’s Maker dying in his place. Nothing can match *that* love; nothing. If you do not know about *that* love, and have never experienced *that* love, then all of your pious, sentimental talk about “loving your neighbor,” and “speaking the truth in love,” and “don’t offend the queers,” and “don’t use racial slurs” is just a ton of pagan BALONEY. You are in love with yourself (2 Tim. 3:1–2).

No man can understand LOVE till he understands Calvary. But what self-righteous sinner wants to become acquainted with blood, whips, tears, shame, nakedness, sweat, ridicule, pain, and death? Read Isaiah chapter 53 and Psalm 22. Read it again, and then compare it with ANY good deed that Mohatma Gandhi or Nelson Mandela (or John Brown) ever did in a lifetime.

Q) Here is the authentic historical record, recorded in a sacred Book which proves its “sacredness” and holiness by empirical, mathematical, scientific standards (read *The Damnation of a Nation*, chaps. 1 and 2, 1995), apart from anyone’s “faith” in it or anyone’s “religion” competing with it.

**“When we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the UNGODLY”** (Rom. 5:6).

**“When we were ENEMIES, we were reconciled to God by the death of his Son”** (Rom. 5:10).

**“For he hath made him to be SIN for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him”** (2 Cor. 5:21).

**“Christ hath redeemed us from the CURSE of the law, being made a CURSE for us”** (Gal. 3:13).

**“For by one offering he hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified....this man, after He had offered ONE sacrifice for sins FOR EVER, sat down on the right hand of God”** (Heb. 10:14, 12).

**“How shall we escape, if we neglect so great salvation”** (Heb. 2:3).

“We” won’t. YOU won’t. Mankind won’t. The Jews didn’t (Matt. 24:2). Neither will you if you are *not* a Jew (Rom. 11:22–25).

What does that Book say about Gandhi’s love for his fellowman? Or Mohammed’s love for Arabs? Or Schweitzer’s love for “mankind”? Or John Brown’s love for publicity? It says this:

**“Greater LOVE hath no MAN than this, that a man lay down his life for his FRIENDS”** (John 15:13). **“For scarcely for a righteous man will one die: yet peradventure for a good man some would even dare to die.** [There is human love at its

peak.] **But God commendeth HIS LOVE toward us, in that, while we were yet SINNERS, Christ died for us**” (Rom. 5:7–8).

All you have to do to wind up in a Lake of Fire is substitute human love for God’s love, and you have twisted the best thing on this earth into a Frankensteinian Dracula that will suck the blood out of the nations to the tune of 475,000,000 gallons; 95,000,000 shot, stabbed, hung, burned, or starved to death in less than ninety years. All it takes is replacing the First Commandment with the Second (Matt. 22:36–40), and you are gone: you are “shredded.” There is no such thing as loving your neighbor **“as thyself”** (Matt. 5:43, 19:19, 22:39; Rom. 13:9; Gal. 5:14, and James 2:8) if you are going to throw out **“the first and GREAT commandment”** (Matt. 22:38), which always precedes the one **“like unto it.”** Any atheist or humanist will accept the one **“like unto it”** as long as he can ignore the **“first and great commandment.”** The **“first and great commandment”** required that the atheist, or humanist (same philosophy), love GOD; not man. You cannot do it, as required, till you realize how much HE LOVED YOU.

This is the logical and scientific explanation for the hopeless condition of ALL atheists and humanists. They cannot love a God who not only allows history to “carry on” like it does, but has also failed to do anything for them. So they give Him “the boot” (Ps. 2:2), like they did Naboth (1 Kings 21) and the husbandman’s son (Matt. 21:39). Then they take over the **“vineyard”** and attempt to run it themselves (Matt. 21:38). The results? International drug traffic, international terrorism, civil wars, 4,000,000 abortions a year, international chaos, search and seizure of assets, millions starving, millions dying of VD, world wars, national bankruptcy, 400,000,000 sex perverts, and 200 murders daily.

That is “The World Today.” It is a world that got rid of the Bible and the God of the Bible. It is described in 2 Timothy chapter 3; Revelation chapter 13; Matthew chapter 24; and the Psalms: Psalm 2, 10, 12, 14, 16, 52, and 53.

## CHAPTER FOUR

# The Kiss of Death

As we have seen, “love” is permanently related to three negative items: jealousy, hatred, and DEATH. It is hatred and death that show up at Calvary, with God’s manifestation of His love. It is the kiss of a jealous traitor that sets the scene up (see Matt. 26:48). In the Mafia, this is called “The Kiss of Death.” It has been used literally scores of times to mark out a victim to be “hit” (“whacked”). The origin of this kiss will be found in a Book written more than 2,000 years before the five “crime families” of New York put it into practice. Its origin is found in 2 Samuel 20:9. (The Bible always runs fifty to 2,000 years ahead of any civilization, on any continent.)

“Love” and “death,” on TV, are called “sex” and “violence.” Hence, the staple diet for all modern Americans has been Genesis chapters 3 and 4 repeated, endlessly, more than 500 times a day for more than forty years.

Adam loves her (1 Tim. 2:12–14), so he dies for her (Eph. 5:28–33). It’s that simple; sex and violence. These are the two “basics” of the Adamic “human nature.” Hence, Hollywood, Chicago, and New York know what kind of TV shows to produce; they know where the “demand” is, just like Escobar, Leggio, and Reina knew. (Those last three gentlemen were drug lords who controlled an international drug traffic with an income of well over \$400,000,000,000 a year.)

Human nature demands to be entertained with sex and violence. “Love” and “peace” are afterthoughts that are invented to put a little honey on top of the manure pile. You propose “peace” as an antidote for violence, and since you can’t get rid of the sex drive no matter what you do, you reach into the Bible and pull out the word “love” and sprinkle it over the adultery, fornication, whore-mongering, pornography, and sex perversion to cover up the “nakedness” (see Gen. 3:7—the “Fig Leaf Factor”).

Not even salvation eradicates the Adamic “human nature.” The women eat up the “love” scenes, and the men eat up the violent scenes (boxing, hockey, *Rambo*, *The Enforcer*, *The Terminator*, *The Gladiators*, *Star Wars*, *Kung Fu*, Thailand kick boxing, karate, wrestling, etc.). In the long run, no one is ever going to censor sex or violence on TV, no matter what they do. You can no more stop sex and violence on TV than you can stop the drug traffic. “Man” couldn’t stop the liquor traffic. Prohibition had to be repealed (1933) because there was too much demand for intoxicating liquor. There is five times that demand for sex and violence. The Adamic nature loves liquor, drugs, sex, and violence, or all four (or at least two of them).

All of the sentimental, pious talk by priests and ministers about “the spark of Divinity” in every man, and man “being one with the universe” to “fulfill the Divine plan,” is just so much pseudo-religious garbage. It is not really attractive to any normal descendant of Adam. Adam and Eve are naked: sex. They love each other: sex. They die: violence. Sin kills them: violence. He loves her enough to die with her: “Kiss me Deadly!” Cain knocks

out Abel's brains: violence. Lamech is a polygamist: sex. He slays a young man: violence. That all takes place in the first six pages in your Bible.

No other "sacred literature" would think of beginning in such a fashion.

Man's love for sin (in this case, sex, violence, liquor, and drugs) dictates how "peace on earth" will have to be brought in; certainly "love" will not be a factor one time. You see, drugs are so much more potent and damaging than liquor that you cannot "legalize it" and make it available to everyone—but you will have to, or you will be accused of "discrimination"—until you have complete control over ALL the people who might use it.

So, "CONTROL" is the name of the game from A.D. 1990 to 2000. Before you make drugs available to everybody, you must make sure that you have the power to trespass, "break and enter," seize property and assets, arrest (and kill) men, women, and children, and even control the SPEECH and THINKING of a populace. This is the future of I.D. cards, software, FAXs, floppy disks, E-mail, and "hard drives." It is called "People Control," not Crime Control. It is not Gun Control; it is "People Control." (It will be called "The War on Crime.")

What every woman wants is a man who loves her enough to die for her (sex and violence). Whether she knows this or not (or admits it or not) is immaterial. It is still so, for it is ingrained in human nature. It was implanted in Genesis chapter 3. Moses recorded it more than 2,800 years ago, and all of the Hindus, Moslems, Buddhists, and Catholics failed to take it into "account."

Now, we have already picked up the Old Testament and have seen how the God who is "love" treats sinners who reject His love. We gave you over a dozen cases where "love" had suddenly turned to "hatred," and "tender mercies" had been reversed to "no mercy" and "vengeance." The words "**WAR**" and "**ARMIES**" show up in the Old Testament for the first time in Genesis chapter 14 and Exodus 6:26. The God in charge of Abraham slaughtering Babylonian troops (Gen. 14) was in charge of the Israelis wiping out Amalekites (Exod. 18). "**The Lord is a man of WAR**" (Exod. 15:3). The God of John 3:16 is the God who said "**utterly destroy all that they have, and spare them not; but slay both man and woman, INFANT and SUCKLING, ox and sheep, camel and ass,**" (1 Sam. 15:3).

There! That is enough to make a Bible-rejecting atheist (humanist) out of every college-educated jackass on five continents; especially the ones preoccupied with "love" (i.e., sex perversion, adultery, and fornication). Let us add "insult" to "injury."

**"Thou shalt smite them, and utterly destroy them; thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor show mercy unto them...Ye shall destroy every male, and every woman that hath lain by man..."** (Deut. 7:2; Judg. 21:11). Violence: organized violence—WAR.

War. There it is: W-A-R. WAR. "War is God's judgment on sin here, and Hell is God's judgment on sin hereafter." There is the root problem, according to every member of the UN: WAR. At least that is the problem that every political leader (and every religious leader) from 1850 to 1995 *said* was the number one problem of mankind. What will be done about WAR, if God—"God is love"—is the INSTIGATOR of it? James said the author was YOU (James 4:1-4). James said the author was the lusts that warred in the

flesh of the sinners who talked about “peace” and “love.” But God allows (see p. 34) what He did not “author.” Further, after it comes into being, He uses it; and, in some cases, orders it (Josh. 1–7). God has three World Wars scheduled AFTER 1995 (Rev. 6, 19, 20). When Jesus Christ, in the New Testament, predicted **“wars and rumours of wars”** (Matt. 24:6), who was stupid enough to believe the League of Nations or the United Nations would make a liar out of Him?

All the UN can do is furnish the “cannon fodder” for the slaughter. That is what it has been doing since 1945; eighty-four wars in less than fifty years.

You see, if “war is God’s judgment on sin here,” then as long as sin is here you are going to have wars. No amount of “summit conferences,” pronouncements, papal prayers, “peace keeping forces,” or “gun control laws” are going to have any effect on the situation at all. Those things have never affected man’s constant preoccupation with war or killing one time in forty centuries.

Did you ever hear the old adage, “All is fair in LOVE and WAR?” How is that for “insight”? Why does it surprise you to find the two items mentioned in the same breath? Christ’s victory over Satan at Calvary is described as a Conqueror who has “spoiled” an enemy in a military engagement (Dan. 2:34–44; Col. 2:15; John 16:11). Buddha never made a token gesture in that direction; he never even recognized **“spiritual wickedness in high places”** (Eph. 6:10–13). Gandhi and Con-fucius no more “defeated Satan” than Napoleon or Charlemagne did.

The Germans say, “In case of rain, the war will be held in the auditorium.” The “war to end all wars” (1914–1918) was just an overture to the “big show” (1939–1945); 95,000,000 civilian and combat casualties (Gaza Strip, Vietnam, Lebanon, Cyprus, Thailand, Rwanda, Cambodia, Nicaragua, Guatemala, Russia, Hungary, Yugoslavia, Crete, World War II, Haiti, Desert Storm, Iraq, Iran, Rhodesia, Croatia, Poland, the Korean War, Turkey, etc.).

As we have noted before, the humanist’s problem is how anyone can call God “love” when He allowed the slaughters that took place at Stalingrad, Leningrad, Agincourt, Normandy Beach, Soissons, Gettysburg, Crecy, Pork Chop Hill, Saigon, Shiloh, Antietam, Okinawa, Saipan, Iwo Jima, Mary’s Heights, Waterloo, Moscow, Hiroshima, Nagasaki, and Antioch (the Crusades)? How could those debacles have taken place if God is an Almighty Sovereign who can do **“according to his will in the army of heaven”** (Dan. 4:35)? There is that ancient problem, again. And with it comes death wards, hospital beds, “slammers,” prisons, cancer wards, burn wards, children’s hospitals, gangster wars, drug traffic, torture chambers, venereal diseases, abortions, and starvation. If God “wills” that stuff, or even allows it, that is some kind of “loving heavenly Father,” isn’t it?

How do suffering, tears, pain, death, and dying relate to “love”?

Without the Book, no religious leader or philosopher could guess within 40,000,000 light years in any direction. It is at Calvary that you can find all five items together, and all five go back to Adam (Gen. 3), who died for his “beloved.” **“For if by one man’s offence death reigned by one; much more they which receive abundance of grace and the gift of righteousness shall reign in life by one, Jesus Christ...For as by one man’s disobedience many were made sinners, so by the obedience of one shall many be**

**made righteous**” (Rom. 5:17, 19).

“Kiss Me Deadly.” Adam loved his wife; she got him killed; **“Christ also loved the church, and gave himself for it”** (Eph. 5:25). The **“church,”** in that passage, is a spiritual body of saved sinners who make up a “Bride.” They are “bone of Christ’s bone” and “flesh of His flesh” (1 Cor. 12:13–23; Eph. 5:29–33; Col. 1:18–24). No “Catholic” church can be found WITHIN 300 VERSES of the passages IN EITHER DIRECTION.

If you have a “boob tube” (The Idiot Box), sit down tonight and watch Genesis chapter 3 and Genesis chapter 4 on all four American channels (CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN)—or Britain’s British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC)—without a let up: sex and violence. The fugitive is “necking” with the “broad” in a bed, in a cheap apartment, when the BATF and SWAT teams arrive. What follows? Violence and death. “Robin Hood” (or the “Sea Hawk”) is “smooching” with “Maid Marian” (or the King’s daughter) in the forest (or the forward cabin of the Frigate) and behold! a knock at the door (or a posse breaks through the forest). It is the Sheriff, or the Black Prince (or “Blackbeard the Pirate”), and what follows? Violence and death. The two lovers are “shacking up” in the motel. The jealous husband “stakes out the joint,” and then breaks through the door right in the middle of their “relationship.” What next? You get one guess. Re-run it. This time, the high society model is about to be murdered; she is stretched out to embrace her “lover.” Her “love” is “having sex with her.” (Oh yeah! They show it ALL these days. On cable you can get anything.) So he strangles her “in the act” (that is his “life style”): Sex and violence. Love and Death.

**“GOD IS LOVE.”**

**“The wages of sin is death.”**

When a Japanese businessman returned to Osaka from the U.S.A. back in 1960, they asked him what American TV was like. He replied: “Smack, smack; bang, bang!” (Kiss me, deadly.) The “smacks” were two pairs of lips smacking each other, and the “bangs” were not kitchen (or car) doors slamming.

Love works alongside HATE.

God works alongside Satan.

Kisses come alongside DEATH (see Matt. 26:49).

You cannot love without hating, and where hating and jealousy are present, death is certainly standing nearby, in the shadows. Those are the facts of LIFE. Any attempt to ignore them, cancel them, or pretend they don’t exist ends in atheism and humanism, which produces...? See page 21.

The Biblical format for all of this is as follows:

God uses nations to punish nations: His method is WAR. They are punished for their sins (Ps. 9:17; Prov. 14:34). War is the Divine vehicle for punishment. God can stop any war, but He certainly will not if it is retaliation for sin. Nations must reap what they sow (Num. 35:33–34), just like individuals (Gal. 6:7–8). God Almighty will not stop wars, nor **“rumours of wars,”** until Revelation 20:10. Furthermore, He will encourage wars in

exactly the same proportion to the sins of a people or a nation. “War is God’s judgment on sin here.”

No amount of sophistry, equivocation, philosophical casuistry, or “rationalizing” will obliterate the ghastly truth that God Almighty Himself told the Babylonians to wipe out His chosen people (Jer. 40:1–3), and commanded the Assyrians to destroy Israel (Isa. 36:10; 2 Kings 17). Using Satan as His **“battle axe”** (Jer. 51:20), He starved the Jews (2 Kings 6:25–29), enslaved their children (Dan. 1:1–4; Isa. 30:6–8; Lam. 3–5), raped their women (Lam. 5:11), killed their young men (Jer. 39:6–7), and burned their capital to the ground (Lam. 2:1–9). In BOTH cases, He told you WHY He did not stop the slaughter. You aren’t left to guess about anything; no speculation or theories need to be thought or voiced. Read 2 Kings chapter 17. You are told, in detail, why the slaughters took place.

The New Testament shows how God deals with individuals; the Old Testament shows how God deals with nations.

Once any man (or any nation) refuses to admit that they are sinners and are sinful, they lose all ability to understand God or WAR. Any atheist (or humanist) standing and watching the sacking of Israel and Judah (2 Kings 17; 25:1–21) would have been confirmed in his unbelief. This is the equivalent of saying: “rooted and grounded solidly in his sins, with an alibi to continue.” Reject the Biblical explanation for war, and you become confirmed in sin. In 1995, the Biblical explanation has been rejected by the leader (and the leaders) of every country in the U.S.A., the USSR, EEC, and the UN.

God’s reasons for not stopping wars are given in more than fifty verses in the Old Testament: Judges chapters 2–6; Lamentations chapters 3–5; Jeremiah chapters 6–23; Isaiah chapters 1–16, etc. You have to reject God’s words in order to feign ignorance about the awful things that happen on this earth. The innocent babies who starved (Lam. 2–4), the children who were gassed and burned in the furnaces (Deut. 4:20, 28:22, 50), cannot be “disconnected” from Matthew 27:25 and Deuteronomy chapter 28.

The Book “stands” whether your children live or die. None of them are as important as the Book (Matt. 24:35); not even the UNIVERSE is that important (2 Pet. 3:8–16; Matt. 24:35). If you are “one with the universe,” your *“future”* is a blackened marshmallow.

Sinners have to reap sin, even when they don’t believe in “sin” and refuse to “reap.” When you sow you get a crop whether or not you believe in “sowing” or “crops” (Gal. 6:7). You were warned before you sowed the crop (Lev. 26:14–38); you just went ahead and sowed it anyway. Right? Right: “Right on the money” (Rom. 1:32).

The ghastly truth is that God Almighty Himself destroyed Israel and Judah, using the Assyrians and Babylonians. He then destroyed Assyria with Babylonia, and then destroyed Babylonia with Media-Persia. When they got “too big for their britches,” he let Greece wipe them out; then he used Rome to mop up Greece. (I am not “preaching” to anyone. I am not even discussing anyone’s “religion” or “faith.” I am telling you what history records that you can’t deny unless you are insane. The only question is, “Is there a God around who knows about these things?” In the Book there is, and He handles them.)

When Rome finished “whompin’ up” on Greece, the Germanic tribes “whomped up” on Rome. Those same Germanic tribes (1939–1945) suffered 6,000,000 casualties when Russia, America, France, and Great Britain whipped them (WW II), and their nation

became a divided nation, occupied by foreign troops for forty-seven years.

The God of the Bible is the God of history.

The God of the Bible is the God of carpet-bombing, enfilade fire, the naval encounter, the ambush, the frontal attack, the blitz, the counterattack, the siege, the cavalry charge, and the thermonuclear bomb. **“The Lord is a man of war”** (Exod. 15:3). Woe be to the nation that has a God of “love” who does not judge nations for their sins! Woe be to the religions that get rid of the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and replace Him with the God of Abraham, Ishmael, and Arafat! Woe be unto America! **“The wicked shall be turned into HELL, and ALL the nations that forget God”** (Ps. 9:17).

Mankind must face his tragic predicament seriously and realistically; not sentimentally or emotionally. Saved sinners suffer. They suffer to make them sympathetic (2 Cor. 1:1–3), to make them heavenly-minded (Col. 3:1–2), to make them holy (Heb. 12:10), and to show that God’s grace is sufficient for every trial (2 Cor. 12:9). Since **“God is love,”** then His motive for causing the saints to suffer is LOVE (Heb. 12:6–8; Rom. 8:28–32).

Many, many years after the love stories (and “love affairs”) in the Old Testament (Genesis through Isaiah) were recorded and had been circulating all over the Mediterranean, the Greek intellectuals decided to compete with the God of revelation—the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. They decided to explain Genesis chapters 5–6 in an “acceptable context” (read *Black Is Beautiful*, 1996). The fake history they invented is called “Greek mythology.” In it, “LOVE” is the predominant theme, and hate accompanies it throughout the history of the “gods.” But there is no judgment against SIN or SINS. “Judgment” is simply anger or wrath, carried out against someone that someone else doesn’t like because they mistreated them, or someone else. It is thoroughly humanistic; it is not, in the least, “Divine.”

The Greek intellectuals presented a god who “loves” (Zeus) and gods who “love,” but they seem to have all picked up the nasty habits of loving exactly like all human fornicators, adulterers, whoremongers, child molesters, sex perverts, and practitioners of incest and bestiality.

If you ever watched *Dynasty* or *Dallas* on the “boob tube,” you would be eminently qualified to comment on the “theogonies” of the Epicureans, Stoics, Sophists (800–100 B.C.), and so forth.

The first plastic substitution for the Lord God of Scripture was a female. She was also the “Mother Earth” of Maya Angelou, an American black pantheist who was a former madam of a cat-house. She helped inaugurate “Slick Willie” Clinton as his “Poet Laureate,” and was on a \$100,000-a-year salary from a Southern Baptist university (Wake Forest) for...? For nothing. She never worked at the school. She doesn’t teach there, and she attends Buddhist temples, Jewish synagogues, and Moslem mosques (*Big Sister*, Texe Marrs, p. 93). You might say she qualifies to be elected “Queen of the New Age.”

Well, Maya’s “Mother Earth” produced the “Sky” (Greek mythology), after she herself just accidentally (in the best Darwinian fashion) “emerged from chaos” (in the best Darwinian fashion) by pure chance. The scenario was a carbon copy of Genesis 1:1–4 after carefully erasing **“In the beginning God....”**

Well sir! Good old female-liberated “Mother Earth” shackled up with her own son (Uranus). This accidental merger was blessed with “increase.” They gave birth to three one-eyed giants (Zech. 11:17; Gen. 6:4). “Mother and child” then begat some more giants (Titans) and finally a “golden race.” This golden race set up a New-Age, One-World, “Global Society” that was warless. (Since that time, every Greek philosopher and intellectual dreamed of the restoration of this “golden age.” It was a sort of Third Reich or “Camelot” or “War on Crime” or “New Deal,” or “War on Poverty” or whatever. It was to be brought back in—according to Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle—by an elite bureaucracy, controlled by “Think Tanks,” engaged in enforcing a 100 percent Communist totalitarian state.)

Well sir! One Titan named “Chronos” went to bed with his sister (Rhea), and they begat Zeus. Zeus was a real, sure enough “swinger.” Although he was married to some “jerk” named Hera, he raised many bastard children through “love affairs” (“**God is love,**” etc.). After mating with some “bimbo” named “Io,” he turned her into a white cow (so the Indians would worship her, I suppose). He then seduced Europa, after transforming himself into a white bull and carrying her off to Crete.

Now, please don’t think I am being facetious. I’m dead serious. You see, Europa and her beastly lover (the bull) are THE OFFICIAL SYMBOL FOR THE REVIVED ROMAN EMPIRE (the EEC) in 1995. The EEC flag shows her riding Zeus, with her ten “kings” symbolized over her head with TWELVE stars. (“Stars” in the Bible, many times, are ANGELS—Rev. 1:20, 8:1–2.) Zeus then broke into a jail where Danae was; he “had sex” with that “floozy”; and then he “scored” on Alceme, Leda, and Thetis. When Zeus wanted to “have a loving relationship” with a “partner,” he would sometimes transform himself into a beautiful white SWAN (“Swan Lake,” Tchaikovsky, etc.) and cause the lady in question to hatch beautiful BLUE EGGS. (“**God is love.**”)

This is the meaning of “love” in “the original Greek.” Other “adult consenters” and “pre-marital sexers” (and just plain fornicators) were Oreithyia and Boreas, Phyllis and Acamas, Echo and Narcissus, and the operatic team Orpheus and Eurydice. Zeus, the big “god,” wouldn’t die for anybody, let alone anybody’s sins. He loved himself too much. (And he probably had too many of his own sins to worry about taking care of anyone else’s!)

So Maya Angelou, the Afro-American worshipper of “Mother Earth,” wrote about herself: “My impertinent buttocks (high, redolent, tight as dark drums) introduce frenzy into the hearts of small men” (Marrs, p. 33, citing “Now Sheba Sings the Song,” New York: E.P. Dutton, 1987). Maya glories in “the lusts and leers of her lover and the delicious occasion when” her lover “overtakes her” (ibid.). That is American “love,” a la 1996. That is Afro-American music laid out in rhetoric. When a fornicating dopehead or a dope-headed sex pervert in America says “Make LOVE, not WAR,” he means “Fornicate. Don’t defend your country.”

Good ole’ “Mother Earth” was a female goddess (see Jer. 44). She was reinstated in Germany in the 1980s (i.e., “The Green Party”), and reinstated in America in the 1990s (i.e., the EPA). The “feminist movement” (Shirley MacLaine, et al.) is a movement in the same direction, according to all authors of New Age material. They do not want a MALE God running things. The male God who is a FATHER—the God of Abraham (male), Isaac

(male), and Jacob (male)—has no business manifesting Himself as a Son (male) and Holy Ghost (male: see John 16:13). How dare God Almighty, the Creator of Heaven and Earth, overlook “Eve”!? Why, that is not politically correct!

When mankind is trying to unite, integrate, synthesize, and amalgamate all “opposites,” who does God think He is, forgetting to give glory to the “female of the species”? How “improper,” in view of the plans of the UN, EEC, FEMA, U.S.A., USSR, etc., to “make all one” (Yin equals Yang, etc.)! What is needed is a feminist press, a matriarchal home, and above all, a FEMALE reaction to hard preaching, violent punishment, discipline, harsh talk, hard physical work, and authority: “Don’t hurt mama’s little darlin’; it will frustrate his ego.” “Don’t say that terrible word even if all the blacks use it night and day,” “How dare you call me that!?” “Well we need to remember he was not ‘accepted,’ and came from a ‘disadvantaged home.’” “Don’t you dare spank that child!” etc., etc. Ninety-five percent of the press, radio, and TV outlets in America take this emotional, feminist, unstable, irrational view of REALITY.

However, the same viewpoint seems to tolerate: arrest of street preachers, the teaching of evolution in the schools (jungle survival of the fittest), abortion (killing babies while opposing “violence”), sex perverts, and murderers. They also are not offended by pornography, “four-letter” words, dirty jokes, cursing, or the marriage of two males. In this great new “Mother Earth” matrix, it is perfectly proper to ridicule the Bible and those who believe it. And it is perfectly proper to fire white men who want to pay their bills and work for a living; you replace them with blacks who breed illegitimate children in order to collect welfare checks. But it is a “sin unto death” to ridicule “blacks,” or make fun of queers, who flaunt their filth; it is also a felony to tell jokes about popes.

In the Book, ole’ “Mother Earth” is called “**the queen of heaven**” (Jer. 44), and “**THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS**” (Rev. 17:1–5). Catholics call her Mary; other religions refer to her as Istarte, Ashtoreth, Juno, Minerva, Artemis, Diana, Venus, and so forth. In the Book, she is identified as a SPIRITUAL WHORE (Rev. 17:1–5) WHO KILLS SAINTS. But, like Susan Atkins said, “How can killing somebody not be right when it is done with love?” (see pp. 28–29).

“Ah sweet mystery of life at last I’ve found thee!”

No you haven’t. You haven’t unless you’ve found a love song that is sung like this:

*“It was LOVE that sent the Saviour  
to this world of sin and woe.*

*It was LOVE that left heaven’s portals,  
and came down to dwell below.*

*It is LOVE that solves all problems  
in this world of sin and strife,*

*but it is LOVE, the LOVE of Jesus  
that brings hope, and peace and LIFE.”*

No “Mother” (and I forbear to finish the original Afro-American quotation) ever bore your sins, and no “mother” ever will. Imagine any neurotic “guru” singing

*“O happy day! Oh happy day!  
When MARY washed my sins away!  
She taught me how to watch and pray,  
and live rejoicing every day!  
Happy day, happy day!  
When MARY washed my sins away!”*

Mary couldn’t wash a pet poodle. Mary had no sins laid on her; she was not “wounded” (Isa. 53:1–6) for anyone’s sins; she “became sin” (2 Cor. 5:21) for no one; and she wasn’t “bruised” for anyone (Isa. 53:5), before or after she died. She couldn’t bear the sins (John 1:29) of Joseph; she couldn’t even pay for her own sins (Luke 2:22–24). “Mother Earth” is DIRT. You go back to her when they put you to bed with a shovel. “Mother Earth” breeds WORMS.

Mary’s love for her Son is NOT the supreme Biblical definition of love in ANY Bible, according to any of the words found in ANY Bible, including all “Catholic” Bibles. In any Bible (version, translation, or revision), Genesis 22:1–2 “sets the pace.” It is confirmed in the New Testament (Rom. 3, 5; 1 John and John 3, 10, 13–17). Mary is totally omitted in both Testaments as being a Mediator, a Co-Mediator, a Mediatrix, or a Co-Mediatrix, or even an “accessory,” when dealing with “Divine Love”—or even human love. Look at Isaiah chapter 53. Also, Mary lost her son for three days (Luke 2:45–46).

All dear ole’ “Mother Earth” can do for you is feed you a few years, and then get you buried; that is about it. (I take that back; she can protect you, temporarily, from being blown to smithereens during an artillery bombardment or under “carpet bombing.” The three things you learn in the infantry are “Get down,” “Stay down,” and “Don’t get up.”)

I have waded through the *Trigemistic Literature*, the *Corpus Hermeticum*, the *Latin Asclepius*, the *Anthologium of Stobaeus*, and fragments quoted by Tertullian, Lactantius, Cyril, and Cyprian, plus the Hermetic writings (*Hermes to Tat*, *Hermes to Asclepius*, *Hermes to Ammon*, *Agathos Daimon to Hermes*, *Agathos Diamon to Osiris*, *Isis to Horus*, and *Poimandres to the Prophet*, etc.). They all come out “on the short end of the stick.” Old Thoth, Seb, Ra, Zeus, Hermes, Rea, Ra, Isis, Horus, Osiris, Net, Set, Poemandres, and their entire retinues “**all have sinned and come short of the glory of God**” (Rom. 3:23).

They have no love for sinners, no remedy for sin, no ability to prophesy, and no explanation for suffering and death. With them, everything is “mind,” or in the “mind” (1 Cor. 1–3); everyone is automatically right, and all sinners are automatically progressing, although only a few of their own kind (!) are going to make it through to the “seventh heaven.” Naturally, these self-righteous, egotistical “mind-over-matter” asses believe the

“overcomers” and “attainers” are their own crew: Gnostic intellectuals. See the Holy Spirit’s comments on these “think tanks” in 1 Corinthians chapters 1–3.

“The MIND can lead man up the stairway of perfection, to the portals of the first true natural initiation whereby he becomes SUPERMAN.” Or, as Hermes would say, “at last, in a truth, MAN, and not a procession of fate.” “Amen,” said Nietzsche, who died “madder than a ‘hatter’.” “Amen,” said Adolph Hitler, who blew his brains out with a pistol. They knew as much about real love—the love of God—as the Marquis de Sade or Jack the Ripper.

The great Greek (and Egyptian and Hindu and Central and South American) “gods” have no voluntary self-sacrifice for anyone, no absolute assurance of eternal life or salvation, and no ability to prophesy anything. Instead, they offer you lengthy bullshooting sessions on: *Title and Form, Space as a Plenum, Corporeality as an Energy of God, The Metamorphoses of the Soul, The Ladder of Being, The Dual Soul, The Higher Panmonism, The Baptism of the Mind, Maya, The New Creation of the Ogdoad, The Delineation of the Sun, The Story of the Pythic Grasshopper, and The Way of Deathlessness.*

Every time you follow the blind leadership of these blind One-Universal-Universe-Oneness-Consciousness-Divine Mind-blind guides, you emerge with a fake “new birth” accomplished by self-will (John 1:13) and the reasoning of your Adamic mind. I have seen these brilliant Oriental meditators and Western “thinkers” wade through as many as 800 pages (single-spaced, on both sides) and arrive at the following conclusions:

1. “God” has no enemies; everyone is His child.
2. The main goal in life is to attain universal consciousness by submerging your ego. (Or, in one case I remember, by exercising your ego!)
3. No one sins; “evil” and “good” are relative terms.
4. There are multiple “sacred scriptures” (although only ONE collection can handle history prophesied 1,000–3,000 years before it takes place).
5. Jesus Christ didn’t die for anyone but Himself.
6. The whole universe is alive (accidentally), so “living” organisms came from dead inorganic “matter” (accidentally).
7. The “Key” or “Way” to life is the “Gate of the Gnosis” (or Prajna or Satori or Samadhi, etc.), so the unregenerate Adamic sinner can produce a “new birth” by the **“will of the flesh”** (John 1:13), without any reference to anything God said about it, although it is produced by God (1 Pet. 1:23). This fleshy, phony “new birth” is also produced without any reference to SIN, or God dying for anyone’s SINS.

This means that real LOVE is out; it is not in the equation. And this explains why all the bullshooting above is never given to women or children. It is taken for granted by the “think tanks” that the “achievers” have to be ADULT MALES.

Imagine trying to integrate *that* gang in the UN and UNESCO after all of their hot air about “feminism” and “children’s rights”! Isn’t that the limit!?

Love and Death. Sex and Violence. The Biblical **“holy kiss”** (1 Thess. 5:26) has nothing in common with the Roman Catholic **“Kiss of Peace”** (Matt. 26:48), which they got from **“The Father of Peace”** (Absalom) in 2 Samuel 15:5. The name **“Absalom”** means **“Father of PEACE”** (Abba-Shalom). Absalom gave the men of Judah the **“kiss of peace”** (2 Sam. 15:5) in order to rally them into troops to kill his own father (2 Sam. 16–18). Joab kisses Abner to assassinate him, in the best **“hit man tradition”** of the **“Syndicate.”** Ehud does nearly the same thing (Judg. 3:20–21), but in his case God blesses him for doing it! (Judg. 3:26–29). Let’s see you put **“God is love”** into THAT one!

The **“Kiss of Death”** is connected with love, hatred, suffering, pain, jealousy, sin, and DEATH. The love songs written to honor ONE man sing of these themes, day and night:

*Died He for me who caused His PAIN,  
for me who Him to DEATH pursued?  
Amazing Love, how can it be,  
that Thou, my GOD, shouldst die for me!*

The **“god”** of Mohammed, Buddha, Confucius, the Gurus and Yogis, the Transcendentalists, humanists, and atheists never died for anyone. Their **“god”** didn’t really LOVE any of them.

*“My shoulders ache beneath my pack;  
lie easier cross upon His back.  
I march with feet that burn and smart;  
tread holy feet upon my heart.  
Men shout at me, who may not speak;  
they scourged Thy back and smote Thy cheek.  
My rifle hand is stiff and numb;  
from Thy pierced hands red rivers run.  
Lord, thus did Thou suffer more for me,  
than all the hosts of land and sea.  
So let me render back again  
this millionth of Thy gift: AMEN.”*

That was a saved infantryman in World War I, going into the attack. He understood suffering and God’s love the way no Moslem, Hindu, or Buddhist could ever understand either one.

One of the crewmen on the Enola Gay was a Texan. When he returned from World War II to his home town, he gradually “went to pieces” and became a sot drunk. After being decorated at public ceremonies in his home town, he “took to liquor” to get rid of nightmares. He would wake up screaming, after seeing a sea of Oriental faces below him—many of them little children—looking up at him, and screaming: “Don’t release it! Don’t release it!” The Bomb was released (1945). Months later, this Texan’s family was gone, his money was gone; he lost job after job and wound up as a derelict. Upon being told about this pitiful case, another crewman said quietly: “I don’t think any man can really understand human suffering until he has been to Calvary.”

A cartoon symbol of “love” usually shows up with two red hearts, one behind the other, and an ARROW shot through both of them. If those had been real hearts, you would have been looking at two DEAD people. “Christ died for sinners.” He died to “**save sinners**” (1 Tim. 1:15).

## CHAPTER FIVE

# Speaking the Truth in Love

Having learned what real love is and how it has been manifested to mankind, via mankind's Creator (who is love's Author), we turn to the Christian applications of the term as it is found in the New Testament. (None of this will make any sense to a religious person who professes "Christianity" without ever having been regenerated by the Holy Spirit.)

The first fruit of the Holy Spirit in the life of a saved sinner is **"love"** (Gal. 5:22). This love is directed to God first (Deut. 6:5), and then to God's words (Job 23:12). After that, it is directed (as we noted before: pp. 68, 73) to a handful of people. It is quite common in the twentieth century to find apostate Evangelicals and Fundamentalists (as well as Liberals and Catholics) taking the "modernistic" view of this love.

For example, when the head of the "Bible" Department at Bob Jones University (BJU) —Stewart Custer, 1981–82) decided to comment on "Ruckman's twisted portrait of Jesus Christ" (*The Truth About the King James Controversy*, Bob Jones University Press, p. 29), he replaced a "rude" and "uncouth" Christ with a model effeminate politician. His point was that Ruckman was "un-Christlike" because Ruckman used "bad language," so Ruckman had a false picture of Jesus Christ. This "Bible" teacher—and we use the term as loosely as it has ever been used since the Bible was written—gave his students a "true picture" of Jesus Christ. The picture he gave was a smooth, crafty, mealy-mouthed, slick Socialite. Here are his exact words:

"To picture the Lord Jesus Christ as RUDE and UNCOOUTH is a false and unbiblical portrait...The Lord Jesus Christ never spoke or acted in any way that could be correctly termed 'savage.' To picture the Lord Jesus Christ as worked up into a fleshy fury is to utterly distort the biblical picture of the Lord (ibid, p. 30)."

Here is the Biblical "picture":

**"Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell? ...Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do...He that is of God heareth God's words: ye therefore hear them not, because ye are not of God!...And when he had made a scourge of small cords, he drove them all out of the temple...and poured out the changers' money, and OVERTHREW THE TABLES."**

Bob Jones University:

“The Biblical portrait of the Lord Jesus is one...of LOVE and compassion in regard to people...there is always CALMNESS and RESTRAINT as well...there is never a particle of BITTERNESS or UNKINDNESS in the words of the Lord Jesus Christ.”

Jesus Christ: **“Ye hypocrites...ye fools and blind...why tempt ye me, ye hypocrites?...woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites!...ye are as graves...ye shall receive the greater damnation...twofold more the child of hell than yourselves...if I should say, I know him not, I shall be a LIAR like unto YOU”** (Matt. 15:7, 23:17, 22:18, 23:13; Luke 11:44; John 8:55).

My, what a polite, “couth,” kindly preacher **“speaking the truth in LOVE!”** Don’t you wish you had a “calm, restrained” pastor like that, with no “bitterness” in him? The head of the “Bible” department (Stewart Custer) is a LIAR; he is a Bible perverter. BJU is just as liberal as any unsaved Modernist in the National Council of Churches of Christ (NCCC) when it comes to presenting to its students a “BIBLICAL” picture of Jesus Christ.

Jesus Christ cut Nicodemus off at the opening of their conversation, without even acknowledging his politeness or compliments: John 3:1–3. Jesus Christ told a Samaritan woman her whole race didn’t know what they were talking about when discussing religion (John 4:22). He told His own host, at a dinner table, that the host had not washed his HEART before he began to eat (Luke 11:38–39). After healing a Gentile man’s servant (Matt. 8:5–13), He told a Gentile woman (pleading with Him to heal her daughter) that it wasn’t fit to feed DOGS like her (Matt. 15:22–26). How’s THAT for “rudeness”?

Stewart Custer is a LIAR: so is the school that pays him his salary.

You want to see some “uncouthness” that would put “Ruckman” out of business? Read Matthew 15:13–14, Matthew 23:15–17, and Matthew 23:23–27. Try this one: **“Depart from me, ye CURSED, into EVERLASTING FIRE”** (Matt. 25:41). Will that do for “uncouthness”? The Book says that God hates six things (Prov. 6:17–19), and one is a **“lying tongue.”** Stewart Custer, in practice (teaching the “Bible” to students), is an unsaved Liberal. The fact that he is actually a saved, milksop Christian does not affect his ignorance of the Scripture, his lack of knowledge about the One who saved him, or his own lying tongue. He does not “speak the truth in love.” He lies like a dog (“in love” of course!).

BJU’s “Biblical portrait of Jesus Christ” is the “Christ” of “The Robe” and “The Greatest Story Ever Told,” or “The Big Fisherman.” It is the “Christ” of “Demetrius and the Gladiators” and “Ben-Hur.” He is a Fulton Oursler-Norman Vincent Peale-Leslie Weatherhead-Earl Stanley Jones-Dean Weigle kind of “Christ.” He is not the “Son of

God” who shows up in the New Testament. Custer simply invented a smooth, slick, “secondarily separated” milk sop to match his own nature and ministry; and BJU paid him to do it.

If BJU *really* believed in the Deity of Christ—naturally they profess to believe in it—then they knew that it was the Lord Jesus Christ who spoke the words found in Deuteronomy chapters 28–32; Isaiah chapters 60–66; Jeremiah chapter 23; and Malachi chapters 1–2. He spoke of spreading DUNG on people’s faces. Read the passages. You never saw such anger and bitterness and “SAVAGE FURY” (Deut. 28:35–45) in all your life. Read the passages. BJU doesn’t believe in the Deity of Jesus Christ at all; at least, not when it comes to knowing Him PERSONALLY.

The truth is that four generations of “self-lovers” (2 Tim. 3:1–2) cannot properly handle either Testament where it speaks of real LOVE. They always consider their own safety, their own image, their own enrollments or attendance or income (or their “necks”) when trying to find an alibi to justify SILENCE where the Book speaks. Their “**good words and fair speeches**” (Rom. 16:18) and “**great swelling words**” (Jude 16) are due to “respect of persons” (Jude 16), not “love.”

(Note on sin: you will find that all of those last three verses have been altered in the “Bible” BJU has been recommending since 1960, the NASV. The “hit dog” always yells.)

The Greek scholars who put out the NASV are never “**speaking the truth in love**” if they say “THE Greek text says such and such.” It says nothing of the kind. That is a lie. There are more than twenty-four Greek texts (New Testament), and NONE of them are “THE” Greek text. No Fundamentalist is “speaking the truth in love” if he says “This is true to the ORIGINAL Greek text.” There is no “original Greek text.” Furthermore, not one staff member of BJU or Pensacola Christian College (PCC) or Baptist Bible College (BBC) or Tennessee Temple or Liberty University is “**speaking the TRUTH in love**” if he says “Let us turn in the Scriptures to such and such a verse.” He doesn’t believe that any English text, or any GREEK TEXT, is “Scripture.” He says that 2 Timothy 3:16 is only a reference to Scriptures that disappeared more than 800 years before the First Crusade. He is “speaking a LIE in deceit.” How could you “turn to the Scripture that says, etc.,” when it isn’t in any book in the room or the church or the library or ON EARTH? He is a scoundrel. Liars are two cents a dozen at Dallas, Fort Worth, Chicago, Maranatha, Cedarville, Wheaton, Moody, Fuller, BJU, Stetson, Judson, Louisville, New Orleans, Denver, and PCC.

If a Baptist pastor says, “Ruckman taught you the AV was infallible and inerrant,” he is not “**speaking the truth in love**” (or in decency). He is “speaking a lie in hate.” Over 4,000,000 Christians believed in the AV before my mother was born. If a Baptist pastor or Bible teacher says that “the original AV contained the Apocrypha as part of the Old Testament,” he is lying. He knows nothing about TRUTH or LOVE; he is lying. He is a crafty, smiling, pious, polite, cautious, gentle LIAR.

Any man who speaks “**the truth in love**” never says that “Ruckmanism is a cult.” That terminology was the private invention of two men (Bob Jones Jr. and Bob Jones III), back in the late 1960s. They coined the term to keep ministerial students from finding the truth. They also drummed up “King James Onlyism,” an awkward, hackneyed cliché to

offset “Scholarship Onlyism,” which is what both of them believed in.

“Love” in the New Testament (defined as “**charity**” in 1 Cor. 13) is presented in this fashion:

1. God’s love toward us is perfect and permanent, and guarantees a perfect Christlikeness in the end (Rom. 8:28–29).

2. Our love to the brethren (Eph. 1:15; Col. 1:4) should not be with “**respect of persons**” (James 2:1–5; Rom. 12:9). (The last reference uses the word “**dissimulation.**”)

3. Brotherly (and sisterly) love is “clean” love (Rom. 12:16; 1 Tim. 5:2), not incest. A Christian man will sometimes treat his wife with more courtesy if he treats her as a “sister in Christ” (1 Pet. 3:7) than as his wife. She is *called* his “sister” in the Song of Solomon.

4. Real love is a gift of God imparted by the Holy Spirit (Rom. 5:5, 15:30).

5. Every Christian is to love God (1 Cor. 2:9, 8:3, 16:22).

6. A minister should love his converts (2 Cor. 2:4). This love should be real and not “made up” (2 Cor. 6:6). It can be tested by DEEDS instead of talk (2 Cor. 8:8; 1 John 3:17; 2 Cor. 8:24).

7. “**The God of love and peace**” (see p. 86–87) is not ANY “God” recognized by Congress, the UN, the EEC, the USSR or the National Council of Churches (2 Cor. 13:11; Eph. 2:14).

8. Faith “works” by love (Gal. 5:6). It is Jesus Christ’s love to us that compels us to work; we do not work to get saved, or to stay saved, but because we ARE saved.

9. We are to be “**rooted and grounded in love**” as well as doctrine (Eph. 3:17), although this “**passeth knowledge**” (Eph. 3:19). A man who is rooted and grounded in love has grace to put up with things from the “brethren” (ridicule, hatred, envy, slander, gossip, criticism, etc.) that they cannot put up with in him (Eph. 4:2; 1 Cor. 13:4, 7).

10. A Christian husband should care for his own wife like he cares for his own body (Eph. 5:28–29). He should love her enough to die for her (Eph. 5:25).

11. Love is always needed in making “value judgments” (Phil. 1:9).

12. “**Love in the Spirit**” (Col. 1:8) is not like carnal love. “Faggots” who try to make a case out of 1 Samuel 18:3 or John 13:23, are just typical examples of perverts who pervert the Bible to make it justify their own perverse natures.

13. A “**labour of love**” is a good way to describe the proper motive that any Christian work should have behind it (Heb. 6:10). The “**first love**” of the Ephesian church (Rev. 2:4) is like this. In 2 Thessalonians 3:5 this is a Christian being directed to love God more than he does; it is not a Christian trying to find the love of God.

14. Just as women have to be taught to “**love their husbands**” (Titus 2:4), so the Christian must “work at” (“**follow after**”) love (1 Tim. 6:11).

15. The “spirit of love,” in 2 Timothy 1:7, is not the Holy Spirit, or even the spirit of “man.” It is a God-given attitude towards life; this is more like having the “**mind of**

**Christ”** (1 Cor. 2:16).

So, the word “love,” after being defined in the Scriptures (Gen. 22; 1 John 2–4), means “to be devoted to something or someone;” “to be attached to someone,” to have a “strong liking, or affection for someone or something,” “to admire someone passionately,” or “to be delighted with someone.” There does not live upon this planet ONE unregenerate “Adamite” of any religious persuasion (or “faith”) who “delights” in the Lord Jesus Christ, or admires Him “passionately.” Paul did (Phil. 3:3). Further, there does not live on this planet ONE religious leader of any caliber of spirituality who so admires and loves Jesus Christ that he brags about Him, unless that leader has experienced “the love of God” we spoke about in the preceding chapter.

When you mention “passionately,” or the case where Jonathan loved David “**as his own soul**” (1 Sam. 18:3), you are talking about a fleshy kind of love; sex is not a factor. The reason the queers read sex into such matters is because no unregenerate sinner could understand such expressions without the FLESH involved. “**That which is born of the FLESH is FLESH**” (John 3:6). David happens to be the greatest “type” of Christ in the Bible, outside of Joseph.

When you admire someone and are “delighted in them” you make up songs about them: “Juanita,” “Heart and Soul,” “Danny Boy,” “Martha,” “You Belong to My Heart,” “Aida,” “Just Plain Bill,” “Jeannie with the Light Brown Hair,” “My Gal Sal,” “The Man I Love,” “Rosamunde,” “Forever, Thine Alone,” “Oh, Johnny!” “Amapola,” “Sweet Lelani,” etc. Where are the songs about Buddha, Mohammed, Gandhi, Mandela, Marx, Lenin, Freud, Einstein, Plato, Aristotle, M.L. King Jr., John Brown, or JFK?

Why, there are not four songs about the greatest human lover who ever lived: Giovanni Jacopo Casanova. He was a habitual fornicator and adulterer who was a journalist, a soldier, and a preacher (1825–1898). Human love is obviously grossly inferior to “Divine love.”

Mata Hari (1897–1917) would put Cleopatra and Liz Taylor “off the boards,” along with Marilyn Monroe and Madonna. When it came to “love,” ole’ Mata charged her boy friends \$7,500 a night; the modern equivalent of \$37,500 in 1996 cash. Marilyn “shacked up” with Sam Giancana for less than one tenth of that; also with Jack Kennedy. A conservative estimate of Mati Hara’s whoredoms would be about 200 different men (married or single) through a period of twenty years; ten a year. She was executed (with no blindfold on) by a military firing squad. She smiled as she was being shot: sex and violence.

Mata Hari’s brand of “love” was the American TV brand (*Dallas*, *Peyton Place*, *Dynasty*, etc.): fornication, adultery, and cheerful prostitution. Nobody has sung a song praising her yet; not one song in eighty years. She did as much for anybody in Asia as Buddha did, which is to say “NOTHING.” If Buddha did any more for anyone in Asia, WHERE ARE THE SONGS THAT PRAISE HIM?

He and Mata Hari finished the race in a “dead heat.”

Unfortunately, the last three generations of Christians (1918–1990) have learned how to counterfeit the FRUIT of the Holy Spirit; many times without the *root*. Since the first of these is “**love**” (Gal. 5:22), it was the first one to be counterfeited. It was the News Media

and Hollywood that showed American Christians how to do this. One must never forget (and it is forgotten 90 percent of the time) that Hollywood doesn't have any real people in it; they are ACTORS. None of the lives you see on the "silver screen" are real lives; not even when they are "biographies." They are all counterfeit; just as counterfeit as a Federal Reserve note. But so great is Hollywood's power to deceive—TV has magnified this power a thousand times—that, to this day, Americans think that Marion Morrison was the greatest infantryman, the greatest fighter pilot, the greatest frontiersman, and the greatest naval captain who ever won a battle for America. After he was dead, he was honored as one of the greatest "patriots" America ever produced. But Marion never fired one round in one war America fought between 1776 and 1990. Marion fired blanks on movie sets in Los Angeles and out "on location." Marion couldn't even stand to tell you his real name; he called himself "John Wayne."

When Hollywood came into the living rooms of America, it brought 2,000 professional actors into the homes. It set up "role models" for young people who simply pretended they were something they were not—in short, HYPOCRITES. In the "love" category, in came 8,000 hand-shaking, back-slapping, smiling, hugging, kissing, fanny-patting, pawing "sharers and carers" who were all engaged in "selling" their personalities to the public. So they presented (before the camera) warm, friendly, kind, lovable, smiling, "outgoing" personalities; people LOVED them.

As TV degenerated (1980–1990), along came the degenerate "TV Christians" (PTL, the 700 Club, Pat Boone, Robinson, Swaggert, Gorman, Tilton, Schuller, et al.) sporting an endless string of smiling, grinning, hand-shaking, back-slapping, hugging, kissing, glamorous phonies without a spiritual bone in their bodies. All of them were either carnal Christian babies who had just been saved—they had the spiritual maturity of a two-year-old—or smooth, slick, commercial "rappers" with dollar bills hanging out of their eyes, ears, noses, and mouths.

Fundamentalists, as well as Charismatics (and popes), learned how to perform before a camera. They learned how to "project" love, warmth, and joy, when called upon to don the mask. Out went the publicity pictures, by the thousands, from Christian colleges, showing the happy, young, smiling, radiant faces agleam with a "testimony." It was done to boost enrollment and attendance (1954–1990). The young people who posed for those pictures might not have had an ounce of New Testament Christianity in them when it came to self-denial, a burden for souls, a knowledge of the Book, a love for Heaven, an intimate fellowship with Jesus Christ, or even the ability to witness.

In Pensacola, Florida, a Christian college spent an average of \$20,000,000 a year for five years on buildings and property. The buildings included planetariums, indoor swimming pools, sports centers, ice rinks, elevators, motels, and dozens of private homes bought out for the school. During that time, less than 2 percent of the income wound up on the foreign mission field, and after ten years of that expenditure, the college had not produced FIVE soul-winning, Bible-believing evangelists, or pastors. (Ministers and missionaries sent their children there, but no preachers were produced from "virgin timber.") God by-passed the work when it came to calling young men to preach.

Between 1950 and 1990, the pulpits of the average Baptist church turned into a performer's platform (read *History of the New Testament Church*, Vol. II, 1984, chaps. 10–

17) for third-rate theatricals—to be applauded. During that period, the word “love” (in Fundamental circles) came to mean “Go along with the act,” “Don’t rock the boat,” “No name-calling, please!” “Don’t stir up trouble,” “For God’s sake don’t discuss FINAL AUTHORITY!” “Handle your rich supporters with kid gloves,” “Don’t offend anyone,” “Please tolerate everybody’s opinions,” and “Quit condemning the modern corrupt translations!”

And so it stands today (1996).

Hollywood took control of 80 percent of the Christian schools and 90 percent of the Christian churches. The Christians either forgot (or were too stupid to realize) that every “love scene” they had seen from MGM, Paramount, Columbia, and Universal Studios (1930–1990) was a “setup.” It was a fake. Not one handshake, not one smile, not even one compliment they had seen or heard was genuine—the script called for it.

The “LOVE” and the “LOVE STORIES” never existed. The “lovers” were pretending for the benefit of a camera. They pretended to be “in love” with someone. They were selling a phony line of baloney to Christians who believed in “LOVE.” The silver screen’s great “lovers” (Clara Bow, Mary Pickford, Jean Harlow, Marilyn Monroe, Clark Gable, Rudolph Valentino, Tyrone Power, Fredric March, Joan Crawford, Hedy Lamarr, Victor Mature, Humphrey Bogart, Ingrid Bergman, Gregory Peck, Loretta Young, Dustin Hoffman, Marlon Brando, Elizabeth Taylor, Katherine Hepburn, Paul Newman, Dorothy Lamour, Richard Burton, et al.) weren’t real people; THEY WERE FAKERS. They counterfeited “love.” They were professional ACTORS. “Love” wasn’t present in any of the films.

Along with this fake Hollywood Christianity came the following criticisms of Biblical preaching and Biblical preachers:

1. You are “unloving” if you don’t smile and shake hands.
2. You are “unloving” if you criticize someone’s religion. (Jesus Christ spent half of His earthly ministry criticizing people’s “**religion**”—Matt. 8–23; John 3–8; Luke 4–17, etc.; and He often said, “**O ye of little faith**” to those who had a “faith.”)
3. No one who had a “Christlike spirit” (or the “sweet spirit of Christ”) would “call names” or “attack those who disagreed with them.” (Jesus Christ did it constantly [John 8, Matt. 23]. Paul did it constantly [Hymenaeus, Alexander, Demas, et al.], and even accused a whole RACE of people as being liars and being lazy—Titus 1:12; “**evil beasts, slow bellies.**” The Pauline epistles are a direct attack against the life styles and personal convictions held by every atheist, queer, lesbian, bossy wife, woman preacher, Hindu, Buddhist, disobedient teenager, drunkard, Judaizer, Moslem, Catholic, or humanist on five continents (1 Tim. 1; Heb. 10; Eph. 5; Col. 3; 1 Cor. 3, 6; Rom. 1–3, etc.).

A modern Fundamentalist, nine times out of ten, is nothing but a Bible-rejecting liberal; at least when it comes to practice. His profession may be something else, but “profession” comes cheap these days. Profession, in the Pauline Epistles (see Titus 1:16), is just Hollywood playacting.

4. The modern “loving-caring-sharing” Christian thinks it is sinful to use slang and street language in preaching. The same bunch of hypocrites are the ones who holler, every

other year, to “update” the *King James Bible* into “modern language.” Same bunch. Paul used the language of “the man on the street,” and it was so plain (2 Cor. 10:10) and bold (2 Cor. 11:6) that his “brethren” said his preaching was “**rude**” and “**contemptible**” (2 Cor. 11:6).

That was the Hollywood crowd of the first century. If the same pious bunch of smooth, slick, religionists had had TVs in their day, they would have been enjoying the “street language” of the “Tonight Show,” and the dirty comedians of their day. Paul was careful to avoid the vocabulary of the intellectuals and sophisticated philosophers of his day and time; ditto Sam Jones, Bob Jones Sr., B.B. Crimm, B.R. Lakin, Oliver Greene, Billy Sunday, J. Frank Norris, Martin Luther, and scores of others. Those who “disagreed” with Billy Sunday were called “old bald mutts.” Those who crossed J. Frank Norris had “suck-egg hound” placed on them. Mordecai Ham referred to one of his adversaries as an “old hog-jowled liquor head.” Luther addressed “His Holiness” (the pope) as “Most Hellish Father,” and Bob Jones Sr. said that Judas Iscariot was more decent than the Modernist preachers in the NCCC: “He knew he wasn’t fit to live, so he went out and broke his rotten neck!”

Any preacher in that list did more to acquaint sinners with the “LOVE of God” in a year than any of their adversaries did in twenty years.

The modern American Christian not only has his speech censored by the Government and the Press, but he censors it himself because it would cost him membership (\$\$\$) and enrollment (\$\$\$) if he didn’t. The Hollywood brand of Fundamentalism loves “**Give ye**” (see Hos. 4:18).

When you hear an apostate like Cloud, Ross, Bob Jones III, Afman, Martin, Sumner, Kutilek, Farstad, Price, Shelton Smith, MacArthur, Swindoll, et al., say that somebody “downs everyone who doesn’t agree with him,” you are dealing with crafty liars who actually mean “he downs everyone who disagrees with what the Book says.” Deception, with such people, is a life-long project. They say what they say so the unwary will respect the destructive critics of the Book and attribute a “lack of LOVE” to the faithful Bible believer who sticks with it. This is especially true where the believer is actively exposing the liar’s stupidity and unbelief in the Book.

If you want to see the above illustrated vividly (and scripturally) to the tune of over 5,000 pages, obtain *The Last Grenade, Problem Texts, How to Teach the Original Greek, The Christian’s Handbook of Biblical Scholarship*, and the *Bible Believer’s Commentaries (Genesis, Exodus, Job, Psalms, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Minor Prophets, Matthew, Acts, Galatians-Colossians, the Pastoral Epistles, Hebrews, and Revelation)*.

The super pious, “separated” fundamentalists, who don’t want any Christian examining this material, know nothing about love at all, for the Book says that love “**rejoiceth in the truth**” (1 Cor. 13:6) and “**thy WORD is truth**” (John 17:17). The real problem with them is they envy (and sometimes hate) the Book they have to use in order to maintain their memberships and enrollments. They “use” it, while deeply resenting its authority and power. When a real Bible believer speaks “**as one having authority, and not as one of the scribes**” (Matt. 7:29), these “Marion Morrisons” put on their makeup, present their best visage to the CAMERA, and accuse the Bible believer of “attacking

everyone who disagrees with him.”

You are at the end of the rope (1996). The church age is winding up. The Laodicean period (Rev. 3) is about over. The next thing after “Laodicea” is the Rapture (Rev. 4:1–4) of one of the greatest types of the Body of Christ in the Bible: the apostle John (see John 13:23, 21:22–23; and Eph. 5:28–33). Until the Rapture, if you have the “love of God” and the “love of Christ” dwelling within you, you are to rebuke, exhort, and correct these “Hollywood” Christians (2 Tim. 4:2), and you are to do it **“sharply”** (Titus 1:13). You are to speak to them as from **“the oracles of God”** (1 Pet. 4:11); and while you are speaking **“the truth in love”** to them, don’t forget to “deliver some to Satan” (1 Cor. 5:5; 1 Tim. 1:20). (Both of those references are in Church Age Epistles written to the Body of Christ.) Restore others **“in the spirit of meekness”** (Gal. 6:1). Don’t withhold any of **“the counsel of God”** (Acts 20:27) from the SAINTS. And treat all of the modern scribes, Pharisees, and Sadducees of your day exactly as Jesus Christ treated them in His day; all three groups are still active in Christian circles. As usual, all three groups perform “Nicolaitan” functions (Rev. 2:6; 3 John 9). Most of them are simply little Protestant “popes.” As usual, all three groups are interested in only two things: asserting and keeping their own position of authority over Bible-believing Christians (John 9:22). Tell ’em where to “head in.” Jesus Christ did; follow His example.

**“The GRACE of the Lord Jesus Christ, and the LOVE OF GOD...be with you all. Amen”** (2 Cor. 13:14).

Before ending this treatise and applying that benediction, we should look at the “United Nation’s Religious Manifesto.” Here we have it given in the most complete and perfect form I have ever seen. Here are all the elements: brotherhood, humanity, peace, love, new birth, heaven, communion, light, harmony, self-realization, and “universal consciousness,” all in one lump.

The speaker is the founder of Aikido, a Japanese Martial Art. “Sensei” Morihei Ueshiba is the preacher. Here, he records, for posterity, the perfect New Age “Plan of Salvation”; this is the absolute epitome of humanistic religion, without the Bible or the God of the Bible or the Son of the God who believed the Bible. Sensei Ueshiba speaks here for 3,000,000,000 Adamic “Global citizens” who want to cover their self-righteousness and their sins with a man-made covering.

“The world is actually a complete manifestation of HEAVEN and earth. We, as human beings, created in the same divine UNIVERSAL IMAGE, must make the earth into a truly HEAVENLY PLACE”—i.e, air condition the hog pen (Luke 15:16).

There it is: M.L. King Jr., JFK, Bobbie Kennedy, the UN, UNESCO, all Postmillennial preachers, all popes, all “kingdom builders,” and all International Socialists.

“Aikido...is to make the world a BETTER PLACE, a world of JOY...God gave US this world and the world is ALL ONE FAMILY...we must accept the GIFT of the

Divine LOVE of God, and constantly strive to honor that GIFT by nurturing the changes that will bring HAPPINESS to the world.”

There it is; a “gift” that is disconnected from Christ’s work on Calvary. There it is; all men are in “one family,” although the Book states that all men are in TWO families (2 Cor. 6:14–17; Gal. 4; Eph. 2:1–6; Matt. 23:15; John 8:44–47; Mal. 3:16–18).

“We must work for PEACE...we, ourselves, must take the responsibility to realize the HEART and mind of GOD...the material, or the spiritual world. In REALITY they are ONE and the SAME thing.”

There it is: love what God told you to avoid (see John 17:9,14). Equate material flesh with the Spirit (Gal. 5:16–17; Rom. 7:16–24), in direct violation of the direct will and mind of God (John 3:1–6).

“The only ORIGINAL SIN is to lose this connection with our ORIGIN, and to be oblivious to the great GIFT of OUR life...once we are truly aware of the universal PLAN and its DIVINE purpose, we no longer have any real choice but to apprentice ourselves to the service of the most superb and SACRED endeavour. The infinite UNIVERSE and Aikido are THE LIGHT that signals the DAWN of the consciousness of HUMAN BEINGS.”

And there it is. Fake “dawn” (cf. Mal. 4:1–4; Matt. 13:43). Fake light (cf. John 1:1–6 and John 1:9–10). Fake “original sin” (cf. Rom. 5). No knowledge of the “universal plan” (see 2 Pet. 3; Rev. 21–22). No knowledge of the future (see Isa. 41–46).

Total rejection of the depravity of man, the need for the new birth, the atoning work of Jesus Christ, the love of God manifested at Calvary, the end of history as recorded in the Bible, and what the Book says about the destiny of sinners who reject the love of God while PREACHING ABOUT IT (Rev. 20; Matt. 25).

But ALL the “necessary” elements are present to hook the Adamic suckers: love, joy, peace, one family, harmony, light, unity, and even a sacred “gift.” Sensei Ueshiba has given the world the greatest counterfeit “plan of salvation” the world has ever seen outside of a sinner going to a Catholic altar and pretending that a Baalite hood can get him out of Purgatory with a glass of liquor and a magic “cookie.”

The benediction of 2 Corinthians 13:14, given above, means that if you miss the love of the God who is LOVE, you miss love altogether; no amount of plastic man-made substitutes will do anything for you, permanently, for this LOVE comes from One who hated sin and judged sin (and sinners). This Holy One actually poured out His wrath on His own people as a jealous LOVER who hated pride, lust, Satan, covetousness, idolatry, impurity, and the WORLD—the competitors for their affections to Him. He then “became

sin” (2 Cor. 5:21) and became a **“curse”** (Gal. 3:13) for them, after pronouncing twelve curses on their “life styles” (Deut. 27:15–26). Do you know of anyone who loves you that much?

Who? Mary? Mohammed? Sensei Ueshiba? The Universe? Gandhi? Joseph Smith? “The Divine Plan”? Some pope?

- **“God is love.”**
- **“Our God is a consuming fire.”**
- **“Love...the coals thereof are as coals of fire.”**
- **“The Lord thy God is a jealous God.”**
- **“The spirit that dwelleth in us lusteth to envy.”**
- **“I have loved thee with an everlasting love.”**

The love of God is not what you find, at all, in the world’s major religious “systems”; not even when their leaders talk about it. They are only talking about sentimental humanism, or philosophical concepts. And the religions who did retain gods who were “jealous” (or “vengeful”) could not retain any man whom they loved enough to sing about. They have no man who loved them enough to save them from the fierce anger of their “god.” This explains their muteness when it comes to SINGING. They have no song books; we do. This automatically makes Biblical Christianity—I did not say “ROMAN CATHOLICISM” or “PROTESTANTISM”—the only “faith” in the world that has any real proof that its “plan of salvation” works.

Biblical Christianity produces musical praises to praise the LOVE of a HUMAN prophet. The Christian is in love with a MAN, whom he calls **“THE Lord”** (1 Cor. 12:3; 1 Tim. 2:5). He loves Him as he loves **“his own soul”** (1 Sam. 18:3). He loves Him because this Man loved him in that He **“hath poured out his soul unto DEATH”** (Isa. 53:12) in order to save him and give him eternal life.

**“BEHOLD HOW HE LOVED HIM!!”** (John 11:36).

It was God’s hatred for sin that caused the sinner to die. It was God’s love for the sinner that caused Him to die in the sinner’s stead; it was the “Kiss of Death” that brought the sinner back to life. When the saved sinner suffers, after he has received eternal life, it is only the chastening and rebuke that comes from a loving Father who guards “His own” with a jealous and HOLY care (Heb. 12; 2 Cor. 11).

**“AS MANY AS I LOVE, I REBUKE!”** (Rev. 3:19).

*“Oh LOVE that wilt not let me go!*

*I rest my weary soul in thee.*

*I give thee back the life I owe,*

*that in its richer flow,  
my life shall fuller be!”*

*“LOVE lifted me! LOVE lifted me!  
When NOTHING else could help,  
LOVE lifted me!”*

*“LOVE brought  
my Saviour here to die on Calvary,  
for such a sinful wretch as I;  
how can it be?”*

*“LOVE bridged the gulf twixt  
me and heaven,  
taught me to pray.  
I am redeemed, set free, forgiven,  
LOVE found a way,  
LOVE found a way to redeem my soul.  
LOVE found a way  
that could make me whole!  
LOVE sent my LORD to the  
cross in SHAME,  
LOVE found a way;  
O PRAISE HIS HOLY NAME!”*

Dear reader!! You haven't been able to really understand one line that I have written since I quoted **“our God is a consuming fire”** (p. 33), if you haven't been to Calvary and seen your true condition there.

Life “begins” with Jesus Christ (1 John 5:10–13), and it is everlasting life (John 5:24), going beyond the grave into eternity (Rom. 8:28–30), because it is based on an eternal God's LOVE for sinners—like YOU.

**“GOD IS LOVE.”**

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

Entire publication list at

[www.kjv1611.org](http://www.kjv1611.org)