

HOW TO TEACH THE “ORIGINAL” GREEK

By Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

2Y 4 ☉ ☹ Φ \$ Ψ Υ Β ♀ M * A
Λ Ρ ☺ Λ Θ # Ν Σ \$ Ω Π Ψ ≡ Γ
Ι Ε ♂ Τ \$ Λ Θ Φ Δ Ε Σ Ω Ξ ♁ Χ
2Y 4 ☉ ☹ Φ \$ Ψ Υ Β ♀ M * A
Λ Ρ ☺ Λ Θ # Ν Σ \$ Ω Π Ψ ≡ Γ
Ι Ε ♂ Τ \$ Λ Θ Φ Δ Ε Σ Ω Ξ ♁ Χ
2Y 4 ☉ ☹ Φ \$ Ψ Υ Β ♀ M * A
Λ Ρ ☺ Λ Θ # Ν Σ \$ Ω Π Ψ ≡ Γ
Ι Ε ♂ Τ \$ Λ Θ Φ Δ Ε Σ Ω Ξ ♁ Χ

HOW TO TEACH THE “ORIGINAL” GREEK

By Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

How to Teach the “Original” Greek

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

President, Pensacola Bible Institute

B.A., B.D., M.A., Th.M., Ph.D.

COPYRIGHT © 1992 by Peter S. Ruckman

All Rights Reserved

(PRINT) ISBN 1-58026-085-3

PUBLISHER’S NOTE

The Scripture quotations found herein are from the text of the Authorized *King James* Version of the Bible.
Any deviations therefrom are not intentional.

BB BOOKSTORE

P.O. Box 7135

Pensacola, FL 32534

www.kjv1611.org

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

1. The Greek on the Street

2. Puffing the Sucker

3. Destroying the Faith in a “Fortress of Faith”

4. Finer Points of “Scholarship Onlyism”

5. Fruits of Believing the Professional Liars

6. How Not to do it in Greek Class

7. The New King Jimmy-Wimmy Version

8. Correcting the Greek Textus Receptus With the “King’s English”

9. The Bottom Line on Teaching Greek

10. “I Had a Dream”

Epilogue

Postscript

The complete inside story of what goes on in the classrooms of PCC, BBC, Pacific Coast Bible College, Tennessee Temple, Bob Jones University, Dallas, and Grace Theological Seminaries as they follow the lead of Yale, Furman, Harvard, Stetson, Fuller, Judson, Denver, Wheaton, and Louisville Theological Seminaries in destroying the Holy Bible (Authorized Version) while USING it.

INTRODUCTION

The “chink in the armor,” or the “Achilles Heel,” of Fundamentalism is a thing that no one has ever mentioned or discussed in print. Since this fatal weakness has been THE cause of apostasy in every generation since 1800, it is carefully concealed by Christian educators in 1992, and all of them pretend that no such fatal weakness exists, or has ever existed. Just as there is an iron-clad conspiracy of silence about the sinners who talked our modern Fundamentalist leaders out of their faith in the *King James Bible* (see the *Bible Believers’ Bulletin*, May—September of 1991), so there is a “black out” when anyone tries to find out what actually goes on in a Christian college, university, or seminary (or even “institute”) that turns a Bible-believing young man into a destructive critic of the *King James Bible*. Someone has been doing something, since the days of Westcott and Hort (1880), to completely destroy young ministers’ faith in absolute authority, and whoever it is, they have been operating behind CLOSED DOORS: the closed doors of a Christian college, seminary, or university. (See the publication *King James Onlyism vs. Scholarship Onlyism*, 1992.)

As these apostate ministers are matriculated (at a rate of nearly one thousand a year since 1940), they take an unwritten oath of loyalty to *someone* never to tell *anyone* WHO it was that first destroyed their faith in the Book by which they were saved and called to preach. For two years, we published in the *Bible Believers’ Bulletin* a request for any one of these men to tell us where and when (and under whose ministry) he was converted from a Bible-believer into a professional critic. Not one man ever sent in one word of testimony. Dr. A.T. Robertson and Kenneth Wuest were both saved under the teaching and preaching of a *King James Bible*. Both pretended the Holy Spirit led them in their “life ministries” to alter the Book and destroy people’s faith in it. Ditto John Ankerberg and Chuck Swindoll. In a lifetime, Bob Jones Jr. and Bob Jones III never let out a PEEP about WHERE and WHEN they abandoned the Book that got them saved. Neither did Robert Sumner or Curtis Hutson. In two hundred years of church history, you cannot find one destructive critic of the *King James Bible* who will testify as to when he began to doubt it and began to follow men and men’s opinions, instead of the Book. Not even Spurgeon or R. A. Torrey would dare tell you from where they got their information. They let you think they got it from the Holy Spirit, without *saying* so.

In vain, one will search the autobiographies of B. H. Carroll, George Truett, John Broadus, “Hot Dog” Hymers, John R. Rice, Doug Kutilek, Bob Ross, John Gilpin, J. Vernon McGee, Jerry Falwell, Harold Willmington, Arthur Farstad, Zane Hodges, Sumner Wemp, and R. B. Thieme to find the slightest trace of the moment that they began to doubt the Holy Bible and look for flaws in it. But it happened to every one of them. Their silence is “consent.” The Lord taught them NOTHING when it came to their “insights” into “misleading translations,” “better renderings,” “unfortunate translations,” “a better reading should be,” etc. They were caught up in a conspiracy. A billion dollar educational conspiracy (\$\$\$).

In this work, we will get to the roots of this matter. We will show you *who* it was and

when it was and *how* it was that they lost their God-given, God-inspired faith in the words of God (1 Thess. 2:13) and began to take them as the words of men (John 7:17), and *misguided* men at that.

The trouble began with them, as it began with every apostate Conservative, every unsaved Liberal, every dead orthodox Evangelical, every corrupt Neo-evangelical, every unsaved Catholic, and every unsaved “Modernist” on the North American continent. *It began with a Greek teacher.* If they did not sit in class under this teacher, they at least studied a book written by this teacher or one of his pupils. If they did not HEAR this Greek teacher, they “read after him.” If they did not study under him, they believed what was said *about* him that was passed on to them. Or if they did not take an actual course under him, they read his comments passed on to them through a commentary, a “word study,” or a sermon note. The trouble BEGAN with a Greek teacher. It began that way everywhere it happened and every time it took place. If the greenhorn never even learned to read Greek—let alone “master it”—he, at least, succumbed to the “wisdom” of the Greek teacher and took his word for some matter over against the words that God gave him *when he was saved.*

There is a Greek teacher at the bottom of every Christian’s conversion from fidelity to infidelity. The damage done by these saved (and unsaved) Greek teachers through a period of one hundred years (1880–1980) is absolutely incalculable. Their influence over five generations of ministers (1880–1980) is what has produced modern, American “Christianity.” Every sin, failure, flaw, weakness, and ineffectiveness in modern Fundamentalism, Conservatism, Orthodoxy, and Evangelicalism can be traced to one root: the minister’s loss of authority, so that he speaks as “**one of the scribes**” and cannot control ANYTHING in his church or have any Scriptural effect on anyone in his neighborhood.

For this power and authority, America has substituted money, buildings, and Christian education. It is as SECULAR as the New Age Movement when it comes to the power of the Holy Spirit (2 Tim. 3:5) and the authority of the Holy Bible (Mark 7:9). “The word of God” (see *The Christian’s Handbook of Manuscript Evidence*, 1970, Chaps. 1–2) was run off the highway. It was run off by a Greek teacher; not one exception in one hundred years of church history. It is the Greek teacher who closes each class period with “DID GOD REALLY SAY THAT?” (Gen. 3:1). It is the Greek teacher who opens each class with “DO YOU REALLY HAVE THE WORDS OF GOD?” (Gen. 3:1). It was the Greek teachers who put Robertson, Sumner, Schaff, Green, Willmington, DeWette, Farstad, Hodges, Melton, Wuest, Nicoll, Kittel, Hackett, Swete, Machen, Knowling, Davis, Trench, Bruce, Thayer, and James Price on the shelf for life.

This book will show the Bible-believing Christian how to teach Greek and how *not* to teach it, or, to put it over the plate waist high: “How Greek has been taught at Pensacola Bible Institute (PBI) for twenty-nine years, compared with the way it has been taught at Louisville Seminary for fifty years.” Here, you will see the two techniques laid side by side, and you will learn why PBI produces soul-winning, Bible-believing street preachers, and why BJU and Tennessee Temple (and BBC and PCC) produce nothing but smooth, slick, amateur critics of a Book they know little or nothing about.

In studying the two techniques, you will see that they are built on two separate

foundations. Our foundation is: “God has given us the words He wants us to have and has preserved them for us in spite of ‘godly Christian scholars’ (1800–1990). What is obscure or ‘contradictory’ about these words can be solved by prayer and study coming from a BELIEVING HEART and a HUMBLE MIND.” Directly opposing this position—completely contrary to it in every respect—will be found the position of BBC, Furman, Stetson, PCC, Moody, Bob Jones, Baylor, Wheaton, Fuller, Dallas, Pacific Coast, Pillsbury, Piedmont, BIOLA, and the rest of the Alexandrian offshoots: “Since the Bible was NOT written in English but in Greek, only an accurate knowledge of Greek will reveal the true mind of God. The *Authorized Version* is filled with mistranslations and should be corrected by ‘THE GREEK TEXT.’ To do this, the determining factor in finding the truth of God is a knowledge of Greek grammar and syntax.”

The first technique produces Bible-believing pastors, evangelists, and missionaries who never lose their faith in ONE WORD of the Book that got them to Christ and into the ministry. The other technique guarantees a proud, self-righteous Nicolaitan, who not only desires to “lord it over” the AV translators with his superior “twentieth-century (!) Laodicean education,” but also to lord it over every Bible-believer who still believes the BOOK. His desire is to convert the believer to an infidel, when it comes to matters of *authority* (Nicolaitanism), and so place himself in the MIND of the believer as the “last word” as to what the Bible should say, that it doesn’t say, in English.

We hope you enjoy the book. In it, you will see that any school in America could have preserved the faith of its ministerial students in the Holy Bible. We have never had any trouble in twenty-nine years. The schools chose not to preserve their faith in the Book because they wanted the students to deposit their faith in the school. This is the standard Roman Catholic practise since A.D. 325. The sucker delivers his conscience to the Church as the final authority instead of the Book. In the Moody-Bob Jones-Tennessee Temple-PCC-Wheaton-BIOLA-BBC, etc. circuit, he delivers his conscience to the GREEK TEACHERS and the Greek scholars. The Catholic practise produced the Dark Ages; the modern Fundamentalist practise has produced the present “local church” condition that one finds in America **“from sea to sea”** (Amos 8:12).

In the deep, dark, shrouded oblivion of every apostate Fundamentalist’s background is the MAN (or the MEN) who planted the first doubt in his mind about the Book. And in the background of that man (or men) is the man (or men) who led him into the same path of destructive criticism. And behind them—it is a long line of “apostolic succession,” but it has nothing to do with New Testament Christianity or Bible-believing pastors and soul winners. It has to do with Genesis 3:1, where the founder of the Scholar’s Union tempted a woman to get a “superior education” (Gen. 3:1, 5).

Here is how to teach “Greek” and how NOT to teach “Greek.” If the apostates had known the right way to do it—which they may or may not have known—they did not choose to do it the right way. They took the Darwinian jungle expediency (“me first, you next”) of all pragmatic humanists and destroyed the pupil. If they had *wanted* to know the right way, all they would have to do would be to drop “Ruckman” a letter. They didn’t want to know THAT bad. (That is carrying a love for truth too far!) So they lied to the pupil and turned him into a LIAR.

The Greek On The Street

We now step into the classroom. We are about to embark on one of the most thrilling and spiritual adventures of the Christian life. We are going to learn Greek so we can study “THE” Greek New Testament! We are on our way to mastering “the original tongues” from which the *AV* translators translated, and once this is accomplished, we will know what God REALLY said, instead of what we THOUGHT He said. Man, what a privilege!

If our Greek teacher is “up to snuff,” he will begin by reading several quotations from Wuest’s *Golden Nuggets from the Greek New Testament*, or a similar work, to prove to the student that a working knowledge of Greek is not only helpful and desirable for a pastor or evangelist, but absolutely *essential* in order to “really understand” the New Testament. “The student of Greek ALWAYS has access to more truth than the student who doesn’t,” because the “deeper” things in the Scripture will never be available to an Englishman since he has only an English translation. (We printed several of these typical Fundamentalist quotations in our works on the *Pastoral Epistles* and *The “Errors” in the King James Bible*. (See samples on pp. 432–440 of *Pastoral Epistles*.)

It is at THIS point—the very beginning—that we teach our young men two great truths, which are purposely omitted at BJU, PCC, BBC, etc. We do this, after all, because we know Him who is THE truth and believe His words (John 8:32, 45) which are TRUTH (John 17:17) and desire to be led into THE TRUTH (John 14:17).

1. We call to the young man’s attention that the greatest Greek and Hebrew scholars the Conservatives and Fundamentalists ever produced, in the last one hundred years, were NOT great preachers, they were NOT great pastors, they had NO evangelistic zeal, they were NOT great soul winners, and the Junior Departments in the Sunday Schools of Bob Gray, John Rawlings, J. Frank Norris, and Beauchamp Vick had a better grasp of the Scriptures. When Truett, Falwell, Criswell, Wiersbe, Broadus, McGee, Riley, Scarborough, John Rice, and others attack the *AV*, they do it on the basis of what these non-evangelistic, anti-New Testament, barren, fruitless speculators had written. This explains (see the Introduction) why the men who were great pastors and soulwinners (1880–1980) were ASHAMED to tell you from where they got their information when they corrected the *AV* text. They knew the source. They were ashamed to associate themselves with this crowd because it was not their present crowd.

The scholars—Nicoll, Robertson, Knowling, Hackett, Dummelow, Schaff, Hort, Nestle, Aland, Metzger, Ellicott, Rendall, Alford, Wendt, Myer, Davidson, Conybeare, Ridderbos, Machen, Wilson, Warfield, Toy, Kenyon, Vincent, Thayer, Frankenburg, et al.—are five-point hard-shell Calvinists, Amillennialists, Postmillennialists; cold, barren, powerless, fruitless professing Christians (many of them unable to tell you, within ten years, the date of their “conversion”). The stupid Fundamentalists who took them seriously (Wuest and Thieme for example) have a “track record” that includes nothing but parading book knowledge before upper middle-class Christians who were led to Christ by

someone else. Their personal evangelism and personal “church planting” is a joke. Wuest taught that the “**falling away**” of 2 Thessalonians 2:3 was the RAPTURE, and Thieme taught the BLOOD of Christ was not even effective in your salvation; it was only His DEATH that was connected with it. (See comments on *The Theological Dictionary of the New Testament*, by Kittel, in *The Anti-Intellectual Manifesto*, p. 83). Robert Dick Wilson believed in sprinkling babies. A. T. Robertson couldn’t find the Judgment Seat of Christ or the Millennial Reign of Christ in the Bible. Machen and Warfield didn’t think Israel would ever be restored to the Promised Land. And Blackwelder (*NKJV* committee, with Curtis Hutson and Harold Ockenga) taught that any Christian could lose his “new birth” and go to Hell after his conversion. Nestle, Kittel, Driver, Keil, and Delitzsch never left a piece of paper on this earth saying when and where they were born again; neither did Knowling, Hackett, Plumtree, Hort, Westcott, Lightfoot, or Philip Schaff.

So at the beginning, Pensacola Bible Institute gets off on a different foot than the offshoots of Alexandria. Those unfortunate young men, who are gullible enough to believe their Greek teachers, start with the RIGHT FOOT (*positive*) by covering up the *negative truth*. They don’t even mention what you just read above. And what you just read above is as TRUE and FACTUAL as any copy of the “Majority Text” or the “Textus Receptus” that anyone ever published. Our starting point was THE TRUTH. Their starting point was “the smarter you are the better you can understand the Bible.”

2. We teach the student of Greek that the KEYS to understanding anything and everything in the Scripture—both the fundamental truths and the “deeper things”—are a HUMBLE HEART and a BELIEVING MIND. If these two keys are used, with constant study of the Book (2 Tim. 2:15), they will yield truths which no Greek scholar has ever been able to find in any set of Greek manuscripts studied or collated by ANY Greek scholar. Throughout the course, from time to time, we point out exact illustrations of this truth. *We do this because it is SO*. Our foundation begins with TRUTH, so the student can find out the TRUTH; not what some deluded apostate supposes is the “intent of the original author.”

Now that we have our “orientation”—note that I have listed two different *orientations*, from two different *approaches*, where two different *goals* are in mind—out comes the Freshman’s textbook. The usual textbook is something by Davis, Robertson, Machen, Mantey, or Dana, although there are a dozen more on the market today. At BJU in 1949–1953, we were given the one by Davis; Machen has been used since then. For our purposes here, we take a standard Broadman publication used throughout all of the Baptist schools (seminaries, universities and colleges) in the “convention.” It is written by Ray Summers, who professes to believe EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THE FUNDAMENTALS RECITED IN THE “BASTION OF ORTHODOXY,” *in their official creed* (BJU). He believes exactly what A.T. Robertson, Broadus, Scarborough, and Truett believed (all Southern Baptists). He could recite the creed at Bob Jones without batting an eye or crossing his fingers.

Well, the class starts. What kind of Greek is the New Testament written in? The student is told that it was the language commonly used in the Greek speaking world from the time of Alexander the Great to about A.D. 400. It is called “*koine*,” in distinction “from the classical Greek of the world of letters.” “*Attic*” was the language of

Thucydides, Aeschylus, Sophocles, Plato, Aristotle, and other demoniac bullshooters (see *The Christian's Handbook of Science and Philosophy*, 1987, chapters 1–2). The literary style of Attic is more “stilted and fixed, less flexible and changeable than the vernacular.” Robertson will tell you that some Greek teachers consider only literary ATTIC to be “first class” Greek; these were the educated jugheads (see Gen. 3:1–3) who thought if the New Testament was inspired, it had to be written in COLLEGIATE GREEK. As it turns out, the language of the New Testament is the common, ordinary, everyday language of New Testament times. Then quietly, subtly, in the most inobtrusive manner possible, Dr. A.T. Robertson tells us that “There was developed also a LITERARY KOINE, seen in such writers as Polybius, Josephus, and Plutarch” (p. 10, *A New Short Grammar of the Greek New Testament*).

Now, how will this information be disseminated in an Alexandrian Cult institution, and how will it be handled at Pensacola Bible Institute? I am sure you know, by now, that the systems will be absolute “polarities” (to cite the press and the NEA). First, I will give you how every Greek teacher in America taught this information, from the time of Deissmann and forward, and then I will show you how we uncover TWO TRUTHS that are (again) purposely concealed from the young ministerial student who is supposed to be engaged in learning THE TRUTH. If the young man has access to these two truths, he will still be able to retain his faith in the *King James* text; if he is *denied* these truths, his foundations will begin to crumble, for he has already been seduced from the “**simplicity that is in Christ**” (2 Cor. 11:3) into thinking that intellectual mastery of a DEAD LANGUAGE is going to make him spiritually superior to his congregation or his pupils—if he ever has either.

Party Line: “This shows us why, today, the archaic Elizabethan English needs to be updated every so often, so it can keep up with the common ‘man in the street.’ The archaic words such as ‘let,’ ‘prevent,’ ‘trow,’ and so forth, no longer communicate to modern man. We would actually be failing to follow in the footsteps of Tyndale and the martyrs if we did not constantly alter the *AV* to make it match the modern American vocabulary. Otherwise, we are preventing the knowledge of truth and the spreading of the Gospel!”

Would any Christian dare take this outrageous position? Absolutely; John R. Rice’s daughter put it in print, and we published it in *The Last Grenade* (1991), p. 106–109.

Observe, that here, the sucker is being set up for “revisions.” He is not told that the alibi given above had already been given *ninety times* (literally) between 1880 and 1980. The men who spread this false rumor, followed through by declaring the English Bible—translated by *anyone*—becomes “archaic” every fourteen months. The student (the sucker) is not told this. Instead, he is led to think that the *NASV* and the *NIV* are illustrations of this much needed “ministry.” At some places (Wheaton, for example), the *Living Bible* will be the example given. There will not be a peep about Haymann, Rutherford, Moulton, Gowen, Dake, Wand, McFayden, Pym, Weaver, Kissane, Lenski, Vernon, Beck, Burke, Bruce, Nols, Wuest, and the other eighty.

In the book by Kubo and Specht, you will find a few listed, but there, the alibi will be that you cannot stop at simply “updating” the *RV* and the *ASV*; you must meet the “need” of the individual (humanism) by creating one version for each need. This is the present condition of “Biblical” Christianity in America today.

Now, here is what the student is NOT told. He is not told that every Fundamental, missionary-minded school in America, AFTER 1880, was founded by a man who was saved under the teaching and preaching of a *King James Bible*, and had to USE the *King James Bible* (even if he might have lost his faith in it later!) in order to keep the institution from going Liberal or Neo-evangelical. Further, he is not told that this mammoth plague of “updating”—so one can “communicate” to modern man—brought in the Laodicean period of apostasy with a national and international “**falling away**” from Biblical truth comparable to the beginning of the Dark Ages (A.D. 500). Furthermore, at this point (where it would have been crucial to tell him), the student is not told that 98 percent of these “updatings” came from the Roman Catholic text that brought in the DARK AGES (*Jesuit Rheims*, 1582, from Jerome’s Alexandrian, New Testament Vulgate). This would include the *NASV* of Bob Jones University, the *NRSV* of the NCCC, the *NIV* of Moody and Fuller, and the *ASV*, *RV*, *Living Bible*, etc., of the other Alexandrian offshoots. (See the documented evidence in *The Last Grenade*, pp. 22, 52.)

We tell our Greek student one more thing about the “street koine” and the “literary koine” about which Bob Jones and PCC would not dare breath. We refer him to page 63 of Nestle’s Greek New Testament, which, for eighty years, published these words:

“The Greek orthography which in Hort, Tischendorf, and Weiss was substantially that of the Greek writers of the fourth and fifth centuries [*SINAITICUS*, *VATICANUS*, *ALEXANDRINUS*, etc.] has now been regulated according to that accepted by philological scholars for the time in which the NEW TESTAMENT WRITINGS ORIGINATED.” Who would think to do a thing like this? “I owe these determinations to Ed Schwyzer, Prof. of Classical Philology in Bonn, through the Mediation of Prof. Schmiedel (of Zurich). The philological scholar, Friedrich Blass, also proceeded, earlier, in a similar way, in his editions of the New Testament writings, likewise Von Soden in his New Testament and Rahlfs in his edition of Genesis” (Nestle, p. 63).

When Nestle printed his Greek text (which was used as a replacement for the *Textus Receptus* by the British Foreign Missionary Society in 1904), he had the Greek texts of *Sinaiticus* and *Vaticanus*—*written in A.D. 330*—changed in regards to accent, breathing, iota subscriptum, word separation, and proper names, so they would look like they were written before *A.D. 100*.

Do you realize what Nestle said? He said the “orthography” of *Vaticanus* and *Sinaiticus*—*written more than 220 years after the completion of the New Testament*—had been altered (revised, changed, messed with) so that they APPEARED to have been written in the first century, at the time of the “original autographs.” Who would do a thing like that? With what *motive* in mind? At PCC and BJU, they dare not even mention such matters; neither does anyone at BBC, Tennessee Temple, Liberty University, Santa Rosa Schools, or any other Bible-rejecting institution that has replaced the final authority of the Bible with the final authority of Christian Scholarship (see *King James Onlyism vs. Scholarship Onlyism*, 1992).

Someone wanted you to think that the writers of *Vaticanus* and *Sinaiticus* were writing VERNACULAR KOINE because Deissmann upset their applecart after those manuscripts were discovered. They (N and B) both contained the earmarks of LITERARY koine, long after the street koine was in print. Scholars; scholars at work. Scholars at work in a

Christian university! Scholars revising the koine vernacular to bring it into line with “refined sensibilities” and “good taste.” And now, here is your Greek teacher, in 1992, telling you that you should bring the king’s English down to street level and ignore culture and taste! The same man is talking out of both sides of his mouth at one time. This is the typical Alexandrian “stance” (see pp. 49–50 in *The Last Grenade*).

But there is still more “hidden truth” in the English “nuggets,” which your Greek teacher is not going to discuss. Walking carefully, as circumspectly as a wounded tom-cat navigating a gutter on a tin roof, your Greek teacher will give a wide berth to the truth behind “bring the language down to the common man on the street.” No Greek teacher would dare do it. None of them ever did. Kenneth Taylor (*The Living Bible*) tried it by inserting “son of a bitch” and “toilet” in his remarks, but his attempt was far short of an “update” on “**dung**.” Dodds (*NEB*) attempted to have Caleb’s daughter-in-law “breaking wind” (see Josh. 15:18) when she “got off her ass” (see Judg. 1:14), but he couldn’t handle any proposition in 2 Samuel 11:4 or 2 Samuel 13:14.

All of the fanatical “updaters” seemed to be plagued with cowardice as well as stupidity.

That “ain’t the half of it” (vernacular koine). Every time the updater was shocked by a plain, street-language word like “**vile**,” “**damned**,” “**bastard**,” or “**hell**,” he suddenly decided to get rid of the word and tone it down “to fit ears polite, and snugly keep damnation out of sight.” (For example: see the *NIV*, *NASV*, and *NKJV* in Matt. 16:18; Phil. 3:8, 21; and Rom. 14:23.) Who can forget the modern, twentieth-century “updating” of Job’s “**white of an egg**” into “the slime of the purslane” (Job 6:6)? And who can forget the *NKJV*’s 1990 rendering of “**file**” as “pim,” to help communicate to the reader in the common vernacular? I will tell you “who can forget,” for the simple reason they never knew about it to start with. They were not informed in the classroom. They were not given the TRUTH. They were not told the information given above, in the context of studying Greek in a Greek class, under a Greek teacher.

Are these reprobates sincere? Are you trying to be funny?

Well, *they* are trying to be funny.

Street Greek would sound like *this*, if the man in charge (any modern Greek teacher or Bible revisor) really believed what he said he believed about vernaculars:

“God Almighty is put out with two bit punks who hang on to a Book that they don’t believe and are messin’ with. As far as He is concerned, this is just as ungodly as hell; there ain’t nothin’ right about it! They act like they don’t believe this, but God already showed it to the rascals, and He stuck it inside ‘em where they could git it. Any nut can tell what God is like by lookin’ out the window at what He made. Hey man! You can dig the Trinity by studying the sun. You ain’t got a cotton-pickin’ alibi in the world for pretending you don’t know God. Da trouble with you is the same trouble all of these monkey men have had ever since they began to mess with the Book. They quit glorifying God, then they quit thankin’ Him for life, food, breath, clothes, and a pad, so they blanked out, man. The lights shorted out all over the circuit, and instead of gettin’ some ‘smart’—like they acted like they did—they got phased out.”

That is Romans 1:18–22 in the *Vernacular Koine* of the twentieth century.

The collegiate crowd at the twentieth-century Christian universities would turn up their noses at it, just like they would turn up their noses at the *original autographs* written by commercial fishermen (Peter, James, and John). The Alexandrians never change. They will lie like a Persian rug. Their discovery of street language koine (Deissmann) did nothing for them but lead them to make one more hypocritical “profession of faith,” about as genuine as a Federal Reserve Note in 1980. Every new discovery, which sheds “new light” on the “wealth of material” and “embarrassment of riches” in the Greek New Testament, simply leads them further into the pit of sin. Having begun with a lie, they must end with a lie. Having removed the truth from the *foundation*, the whole superstructure is subject to a catastrophe. By deliberately evading the truth at the beginning of the course, they guarantee a crop of liars when the harvest comes in. It has been coming in now for one hundred years. The first place a saved preacher loses his faith in the Holy Bible is in a Greek class under a Greek teacher. If he does not attend the class, he believes what the teacher WRITES or what his pupils wrote.

Here is John Gill, telling you what you must believe about a final authority that doesn't even exist.

“This is to be understood (2 Tim. 3:16) of the Scriptures, as in the original languages...and not of translation, unless it could be thought that the translators of the Bible into the several languages of the nations [see Acts 2 and Luke 23] were under the divine inspiration also in translating, and were directed of God to the use of words they have rendered the original [“The original *what*,” John? Having a little trouble there? Original *text*, original *language*, original *manuscripts*?], but this is not reasonable to suppose” (John Gill, *The Body of Divinity*, Sovereign Grace Publishers, Grand Rapids, 1971). “Only the original exemplar is authentic, and to the Bible [?] in its original languages [Having a little trouble there, Johnny?] is every translation to be brought.”

Note! There is no “original exemplar” to which to bring the translations.

“And so far as they (the translations) agree with the ORIGINAL [the original *what*, Johnny? That is three faked passes in a row] that they are the rule of faith and practise” (ibid).

The “rule of faith and practise,” therefore, does not exist. Period.

Puffing the Sucker

Innocently enough, the student starts with rudiments that need little explanation. He must learn the alphabet and how to pronounce it. Greek teachers differ as to the force of the German “umlaut” when pronouncing *Upsilon*; a modern Greek will give it a full “EE” sound, but as far as that goes, he will put a full “EE” sound on *Epsilon*, *Eta*, and *Iota*. Greek teachers differ on the diphthong “ei,” some giving it a “height” sound (like long “i”) and others giving it an “eight” sound (like long “a”).

The student is shown the difference between Uncial (block capital) and Cursive (lower case) letters, and notes that there are “long” and “short” vowels. Muted, palatal, and guttural consonants are marked; and the student learns about breathing marks, accent marks, and punctuation marks (for example, the semicolon is used for a question mark, etc.).

The student learns his first “declension” of a noun, and he learns that Greek, like many other languages, is not a unisex, Women’s-Lib language. Greek has *masculine*, *feminine*, and *neuter* words exactly as the Germans have them.

A “five case” system is usually taught so that if a noun is a subject of a sentence, it is called “*NOMINATIVE*.” If it has an “of” before it, it is “*GENITIVE*,” and if it has a “to” or “by” or “in” before it, it is “*DATIVE*.” If it is a direct object, it is “*ACCUSATIVE*.” Each of these uses displays a different ending on the noun. A detailed discussion will be found in Robertson’s grammar on pages 208–245 (Robertson uses an EIGHT case system adding the *ABLATIVE* to the *GENITIVE* and the *LOCATIVE* and *INSTRUMENTAL* to the *DATIVE*. The eighth addition is called “*Vocative*,” which is a seldom used term of direct address; viz “ὦ ἀνθρώπε” (Rom. 2:1).

The student is then given a verb conjugation, which simply means that a Greek verb changes its *spelling* when one says, “I speak, You speak, He speaks, We speak, They speak,” etc. The German has the same phenomena. After learning how *accents* (grave, acute, circumflex) shift around from ultimas to penults and antepenults, he begins to memorize Greek vocabulary, both nouns and verbs. So far, there are no problems. Nothing taught, so far, is going to radically destroy his faith in the Bible, unless, of course, the instructor suddenly decides to give the sucker some “Greek nuggets” and inserts a remark that the Greek word for “**oath’s**” in Matthew 14:9 is a PLURAL, not a SINGULAR. You see, the sucker has now learned that the plural endings in the noun declension given him—he will start with masculine nouns—were oi, on, ois, and ous, while the singular were os, ou, o, and on. Ah! “LIGHT FROM THE ORIGINAL!” It should have been “oaths” in Matthew 14:9 and not “**oath’s sake**”! Progress. The *AV* failed to translate its own *Textus Receptus* Greek correctly. Ah! what a find! Ah, what a great spiritual truth. Boy, are we ever going to have revival now that we know the truth, for “**the truth shall make you free!**”

See how it’s done? You are now free from authority.

Broadus, Scarborough, Robertson, Wuest, Hort, Kutilek, Sumner, Hutson, Schaff, Wiersbe, Willmington, Farstad, Hodges, Price, Combs, and Wemp did not lose their faith in the BOOK until they sat down at the feet of a Greek teacher. The classroom attacks against the Holy Bible will begin in the fourth week of Greek classes and will continue for four years, at an accelerated pace, that will eventually convince the student that the only reason for preaching the *King James Bible* is to keep control of his *income, attendance, and enrollment*.

The student is now given a “paradigm” of a Greek verb; first of all, in the present indicative active. Later, the other “tenses” are taught (the imperfect, the future, and then the first and second aorist, with first and second perfect, the pluperfect, etc). He is taught the difference between first, second, and third declension nouns; Robertson goes into much detail (pp. 59–109), including the declension of *pronouns* and *adjectives*.

But your instructor deceived you again. He short-changed you again without you knowing it. You see, he knew you wouldn’t dare oppose him back there on Matthew 14:9 because you would not know that every translator who revised and updated the *King James Bible*, since 1880, translated the plural of Matthew 19:12 as SINGULAR, though it was written by the same author as Matthew 14:9. Furthermore, he forgot to inform the gullible neophyte that no Bible corrector, revisor, or translator, since 1880, has ever translated Matthew 28:1 as a plural (σαββατων), although it IS a plural.

Now, these deadly omissions, these calculated gaps and intentional evasions of THE TRUTH, form the bedrock foundations of modern Fundamentalism in the realm of higher Christian education. They also produce termites in the studs and joists that make the building unfit to live in. The student is confined in darkness by his teacher and kept there; he is kept there for a purpose. The Greek teacher’s GOAL is to get rid of the authority of ONE Book, and that Book is not “the Greek majority text” or the “Greek Textus Receptus.” Satan is not worried about a dead language that died out more than 1,800 years ago. You see, the Greek teacher is DEDICATED. He is sold out. He is a “reverent Biblicist” (Bob Jones III’s term) who is totally and completely given up to one task; he can truly say “**this one thing I do!**” That one thing is to replace the Holy Bible in YOUR MIND with the final authority of a Greek grammar or a Greek grammarian. That is his “ministry.” How well most of them succeed can be judged by their detrimental affects on men like R. A. Torrey, John R. Rice, and Charles Haddon Spurgeon.

At the point of *singulars* and *plurals*, it was a God-given mandate of the Holy Spirit for the teacher (at that time) to alert the student to the fact that “Elohim” (God) is a plural word, translated as a singular over four hundred times, and that ουρανοι (heaven) is a plural word translated *singular* more than one hundred times. The student was not alerted. He was left in darkness to think that if anyone translated a singular as a plural it was an ERROR. This is the exact position taken by Gary Hudson and Doug Kutilek in 1991 (see the work titled *King James Onlyism vs. Scholarship Onlyism*). The deception was one of *omission* (see John 16:9); it was calculated and *intentional*. It was to deceive the young man and add one more reason to his arsenal of doubts to quit trusting the English Bible of the Protestant Reformation. On goes the class in “the original tongues!”

The “moods” and “voices” of the verbs must be learned, as well as their “person” and “number” (Robertson calls the moods, “MODES”). They are the Indicative, the

Imperative, the Subjunctive, and the Optative. Now the student will be getting into deep water. Having mastered Adjectival participles (predicate and attributive), Supplementary participles, and Circumstantial participles, he must distinguish such forms as the Genitive Absolute, the Hebraistic Intensifying participle, the Periphrastic conjunction, the Accusative Absolute, and so forth. My studies in these matters were under Dr. William Brunner, a graduate of Louisville Theological Seminary, who was a private pupil of Dr. A.T. Robertson for eight years, and had memorized all five thousand-plus vocabulary words in the Greek New Testament (Nestle's).

Throughout all of this (say the student sits in a Greek class for five years, like I did), the prospective infidel is memorizing Greek vocabulary words and learning the “luo” table. The latter is a vast chart containing all the endings for the Greek verb including the infinitives, participles, modes, contract verbs, and the “mi” conjugations. (These were from “mi” verbs, which means that some verbs were “athematic” [the first person, singular active ended in “mi” (MU, IOTA)]; examples are αφημι, τιθημι, and διδωμι).

And now he is prepared to get rid of the *King James* text, once and for all. He has the foundations and “shell” for a building which will nest enough demons to give the Holy See in Rome a “run for their money.”

1. He has become inflated.
2. He has become a man follower, who believes men.
3. He has abandoned the Book by which he was saved, as his final authority and, henceforth, will alter everything in it that he cannot understand or DOESN'T LIKE. (“Baptism,” for example; see Curtis Hutson or Bob Ross!)
4. He is convinced that the *King James Bible* is filled with errors.
5. He is convinced that he himself has the power and authority to correct them.
6. He will, henceforth, use a *dead language* to correct a living language.
7. But he will *use* the English Bible in the living language to “con” the suckers who are dumb enough to think that *he* believes it!

This is the twentieth-century product of PCC, BJU, LBC, TTU, etc. The Greek teacher, in a Greek class, is given a young Christian called to preach; in two to four years, he converts him into an *egotistical hypocrite* that would shame Demas and Herod. You see, while he learned the “basics” given above (we have not gone into Anacaluthon, Aposiopesis, Asyndeton, Spirants, Syllabic Augments, Partitive Genitives, Paratactic Conjunctions, Itacisms, Hyuperbaton, or Pleonasm, etc.), the Greek teacher GUIDED him “**into all truth**” by pointing out specific instances where the *AV* translators mistranslated a word (say Matt. 23:24 or Matt. 27:44) or failed to omit articles, add articles, or to properly render tense or mode. This lovely “illustrated” course in destructive criticism concerned ONE BOOK, and only ONE BOOK, which was to be attacked: it was the *King James Version* of 1611. (See the absolute, first-hand, eye-witness account in *The Last Grenade*, pp. 156–159.)

We will now take a dozen actual cases as they are taught in class at Baptist Bible College

in Springfield, Bob Jones University in Greenville, South Carolina, and Liberty University in Lynchburg, Virginia.

Destroying the Faith In a “Fortress of Faith”

According to our *Baptist Biblical Heritage* (Hudson and Kutilek), your “heritage” is your liberty to alter the words of God anytime you cannot understand them or *disagree* with them. According to Curtis Hutson (*Sword of the Lord*), the *King James* text uses a “deception that borders on blasphemy” (*The Last Grenade*, p. 122, quoting the *Sword of the Lord*). In the *Sword of the Lord*, the *AV* has wrong translations in it, and its authors used DECEIT to deceive you (ibid. p. 122). Curtis Hutson then says that you can “fully trust” the *AV* (ibid. p. 124), and you may put “COMPLETE CONFIDENCE IN IT” (ibid. p. 124).

According to the faculty at BJU (citing their “Official Position on the Bible”), the Jesuit Rheims version at the Vatican (1582) is based on more accurate Greek manuscripts than the *King James Bible* and is closer to the “original,” both in time and in CONTENT (*The Last Grenade*, pp. 49–50). But BJU does not want to be identified with the oldest and best manuscripts; it wants to be “IDENTIFIED” with an inferior English translation (*AV*) so its enrollment will hold up (ibid. p. 50). Jerry Falwell said the *Living Bible* was the best book he had in his house for devotions, and that he owed the success of his ministry largely to studying that Bible. He said this after stating that if any Christian changed what God said in the *AV* he was sinning (“had no right to do it”), and that if whatever a man was reading didn’t agree with an *AV* he was in trouble (*The Last Grenade*, p. 90).

When Robert Sumner wasted twenty-two pages blackening Jack Hyles and two pages blackening Ruckman (1990), he was put on the spot by Reverend Don Mangus of Louisville, Kentucky, and asked why he wasted his time attacking Ruckman by insinuating (without being able to give ONE instance) that Ruckman’s morals were in question, when the issue was Sumner’s attack on the WORDS in a *KING JAMES BIBLE*. Sumner could not only not document the gossip he had picked up about “Ruckman,” he couldn’t list one verse in the New Testament that he thought was in error. He did this after publishing a pamphlet saying that no translation was perfect; *they all had errors*. He just couldn’t *prove* any and found it expedient not even to LIST any. When he was asked three simple, direct questions about the *AV* and final authority, Sumner went back to his smut sheet to print gossip (*The Last Grenade*, p. 219).

He never answered the questions. Now, what happened to the MINDS of Falwell, Rice, Hudson, Hutson, Kutilek, and Sumner? Who was it, where was it, and when was it, that they got on this fanatical ego “trip” that unmanned them and rendered them incapable of defending the Book BY WHICH THEY MADE THEIR LIVING? They never told you. They never testify. They are ashamed to let you know where they got their “wisdom and knowledge.” They want you to think they got it from God, but they don’t dare make THAT profession, so they make no profession.

Well, I will tell you who derailed them and made their ministries either of no effect or cut their effectiveness by 50 percent. I am going to show you what went on in the classroom after these suckers learned the “basics” of Greek grammar. These great revelations of “wisdom and knowledge” were passed on to John Rice, John Broadus, B. H. Carroll, George Truett, Wally Criswell, Robert Sumner, Gary Hudson, Bob Ross, Curtis Hutson, Stewart Custer, Fred Afman, James Price, Dell, Sherman, Martin, et al., by means of BOOKS and articles in papers. They are found in *The Expositor’s Greek Testament* (Nicoll), *The Theological Dictionary of the New Testament* (Kittel), A. T. Robertson’s *Greek Grammar*; Kenneth Wuest’s *Nuggets*, Trench’s *Word Studies*, and similar publications. They work their way into the MINDS of any pastor, evangelist, or soul winner who has aspirations to be accepted as a “scholar” or gain a reputation as being “scholarly,” when he is NOT.

A perfect, modern “sucker” for this type of set-up would be a prominent Christian evangelist, pastor, or educator who has been successful in attracting a large following through either evangelistic zeal or through setting up a large impressive “plant” where “high academic standards” and high standards of “separation” are insisted upon. These are the chumps who bite at the trot line when it is offered to them by a cold, dead, barren, fruitless, backslidden apostate (who, in many cases, is not even saved) in the name of “You want to be recognized, don’t you?”, “You want people to think you are highly educated, don’t you?”, “You don’t want to be *ridiculed* as a fanatic, do you?”, “Don’t you want to be classified with the great Christian intellectuals?”, “Don’t you want a chance to speak to young people in colleges and universities?”.

Satan has the sucker’s number.

A man like Robert Dick Wilson or Philip Schaff is no more of a problem to him than Uncle Bud Robinson or Pappy Reveal. As a matter of fact, “the bigger the belfry the more room for the bats.” Satan would have a much harder time deceiving Harold Sighthler or Billy Sunday than he would in fooling Stewart Custer or Arthur Farstad. Here is how he did it in the classroom, a Greek classroom.

This will be a classroom in The World’s Most Unusual University. The teacher was hired after subscribing to the official position of the Bible Department on the “Bible.” The official position is in print in *The Last Grenade* and has been mailed out periodically, by Bob Jones III, to scores and scores of Christians all over the United States and Europe in the last ten years. The official position is that Sinaiticus and Vaticanus (Σ and Β, uncial Greek manuscripts written in A.D. 330) are the best Greek texts to use for correcting the *King James Bible*, because they are not only older than the Greek manuscripts used in 1611, but they are better (more accurate), so they are closer to the originals in CONTENT and authenticity.

While BJU says it wishes to be “identified” with an inferior English translation (the *King James Version*), it recommends the *NASV* (1971) as superior to the *King James*, and they recommend its eclectic Greek text (Nestle’s, 1898) as superior to any edition of the *Receptus* by Erasmus, Stephanus, Beza, or Elzevir.

Do you know what this means? Do you know the EFFECT this has on a student who is dumb enough to trust Bob Jones III or ANY of his faculty members? Well, it means that

when any student, believing his Bible, arrives at the school, from the time he gets there until the time he leaves, the entire Bible Department is concentrating on DISARMING him. They are set on taking the Sword of the Spirit from him and sending him back out into the battle of life armed with a skate board, two bean bags, a cap pistol, and a ping-pong paddle.

“THE” Greek text, produced in the classroom at BJU, will be that of Eberhard Nestle, who never gave a testimony as to his conversion to Christ a day in his life. Neither he nor his father, who produced the standard United Bible Societies, American Bible Society, British Foreign Bible Society’s text (1904–1991), left one line of print on this earth as to their conversion to Christ. They both professed to believe the Roman Catholic “Apostles’ Creed,” recited in every Reformed and every Lutheran church in Germany. So do all popes, cardinals, bishops, and priests in the Catholic Church. Nestle’s text is the Greek text of the *NASV*, of which John Rice said, “At last, a Bible you can believe in! From the original Greek text!” (*Sword of the Lord*, September 17, 1971, pp. 8–9).

The Bible you can “finally believe in” (notice the wording in the ad above) is the official Dark Age, Jesuit Rheims Bible of the Roman Catholic Church (1582).

The Greek student begins his studies in “THE” Greek New Testament after a year of “basics,” and lo and behold, he discovers:

1. Mary didn’t have any children after Jesus Christ. “The original Greek” had no πρωτοτοκον in it (Matt. 1:25). And since “**James the Lord’s brother**” (Gal. 1:19) was just His COUSIN, the aspiring ministerial student must pretend that Psalm 69:8 (in ALL Hebrew texts) was a LIE. He must further assume that the Bible he brought to school with him—which is USED in the chapel at BJU—has violated Proverbs 30:6 in adding to the words of God.

Off to a great start, aren’t we? But who could argue with “THE” original Greek text? Stewart Custer, the head of the Bible Department, confessed that the copy he read daily was INSPIRED and INFALLIBLE (see *The Last Grenade*, p. 137).

2. Hell does not have any real “**gates.**” The Roman Catholic church taught for 1,500 years that “*πυλαι*” (Greek) meant the POWERS OF HELL—i.e., the Protestants, Donatists, Montanists, Hindus, Emperors, Buddhists, Manicheans, Baptists, etc., will not prevail against the Vatican hierarchy (Matt. 16:18). In line with the Vatican, Stewart Custer gives the student “THE POWERS OF DEATH” for “**gates**” and then alters “prevail” (*κατισχυσουσιν*) to “OVERPOWER.” This makes it fit the Catholic private interpretation.

3. The ungodly *AV*—which the student brought to school with him—violated Proverbs 30:6 again. It had *τουτο δε το γενοσ ουκ εκπορευεται ει μη εν προσευχη και νηστεια* in it, and this is a false reading. In the Greek classroom, all of these words are thrown out (*NASV*, Matthew 17:21). To show the student how grossly corrupt the book is, by which he was saved, the Greek teacher tells the green horn that the *AV* added sixteen English words to Acts 28:29 (*και ταυτα αυτου ειποντος, απηλθον οι Ιουδαιοι, πολλην εχοντες εν εαυτοις συζητησιν*) and twenty-seven English words to Acts 8:37 (*ειπε δε ο Φιλιππος, ει πιστευεις εξ ολης της καρδιας, εξεστιν. Αποκριθεις δε ειπε, Πιστευω τον υιον του Θεου εινα τον Ιησουν χριστον*). None of these words are found in Nestle’s text or the text of the

NASV.

4. Having convinced the “soldier” in the “Bastion of Orthodoxy” that his *AV* is thoroughly unreliable and corrupt, the Greek teacher now deletes thirty-four words from Acts 9:5–6, and then retains the VERSE MARKINGS of a *King James Bible* for those verses so you will think that the wording of those verses is found in the *NASV*. It is not. All thirty-nine words in English are missing from Acts 9:5–6 (ειπε δε, Τις ει, κυριε ο δε Κυριος ειπεν, Εγω ειμι Ιησους ον συ διωκεις· σκληρον σοι προς κεντρα λακτιζειν. Τρεμων

τε και θαμβων ειπε, Κυριε, τι με θελεις ποιησαι και ο Κυριος προς αυτον).

The same thing is then done in 1 John 5:7–8. After making fun of the verse numbering system used by the *AV* translators (all colleges and universities and seminaries do this), BJU uses the verse markings of the *King James* to deceive the student into thinking he has 1 John 5:7 in the *NASV*. He doesn't. All twenty-two Greek words and all twenty-two English words are missing in the *NASV*, although the verse numbering format is kept SO YOU WILL NOT SEE THE OMISSION.

Sword of the Lord: “At last a Bible you can believe in!”

See why Curtis Hutson gets to speak at BJU and PCC? Are you getting the message? The message is “Damnation,” spelled \$\$\$\$\$.

Now, put yourself in the pupil's desk in which this “ministerial” student is sitting. He is in a “Fortress of Faith” that holds “World Congresses of Fundamentalism,” dealing with the “Authority of the Scriptures.” Nothing but a *King James Bible* is being preached from that chapel (Amphitorium) when a “Bible” (!) Conference is held. But here in the GREEK CLASSROOM (that is where apostasy begins every time: Gen. 3:1), he is being taught the Book with which BJU wants to be “identified” is a CORRUPT (Prov. 30:6), inferior, misleading translation. What will this produce in “the ministry” if the young man ever gets into it?

He is taught that the *AV* translators LIED when they chose “προφηταις,” for “Isaiah the prophet” (Mark 1:2) [Καθως γεγραπται εν τω Ησαια τω προφητη ιδου αποστελλω τον αγγελον μου προ προσωπου σου, ος κατασκευασει την οδον σου]. They must have lied again when they told him that God was incarnate in the flesh (1 Tim. 3:16) and that you should study the Bible. Neither word (“**God**” or “**study**”) is to be found in 1 Timothy 3:16 or 2 Timothy 2:15. To reduce God to an unknown “He who”—whose antecedent is not a person but only a neuter (το της ευσεβειας μυστηριον) thing—the *NASV* has to add the verb “was” to the verse (1 Tim. 3:16), otherwise it would read as the silly old *ASV* read: an aborted English sentence with a subject but *no predicate*.

If that were not enough, the great, “militant fundamentalist” in the “Bastion of Orthodoxy” is now converted into a Jehovah's Witness by telling him that there are, in reality, TWO Gods: One of them was begotten and the other One begat Him! Stewart Custer and Bob Jones III told the suckers that John 1:18 should have read “μονογενης θεος”—the only begotten “GOD,” declared the *unbegotten* GOD (*NASV*, John 1:18). This Jehovah's Witness *New World Translation* is the Jesuit Dark Age text of 1582 (Vaticanus in the Vatican).

Sword of the Lord, September 17, 1971: “At last, a Bible you can believe in!

Thoroughly reliable!”

Who recommended this depraved counterfeit of the Bible? John Broadus—recommended by Bobbie Scummer!

“Do not take spurious passages. Those which are CERTAINLY spurious (Matt. 6:13, 23:14; Acts 8:37, 9:5–6; 1 John 5:7; Mark 1:1–2, 9:46, etc.) may be avoided by the use of the *American Standard Version* (Philip Schaff, above, p.39). The revisers were VERY CONSERVATIVE as to the text [they didn’t even use the same text], and any passage omitted in THAT version MAY BE SAFELY ASSUMED TO BE SPURIOUS” (Broadus, *On the Preparation and Delivery of Sermons*, Harper and Row, p. 21). “In regard to doubtful passages, further HELP may be had from Westcott and Hort’s Greek New Testament [that strikes out the ascension and worship of Christ in Luke 24:51–52, the bloody sweat in Gethsemane in Luke 22:44, the Incarnation in 1 Tim. 3:16, and the Deity of Christ in Luke 23:42; Acts 4:27; and John 9:35] and from the revision published by the American BAPTIST [!] Pub. Society” (ibid). “The preacher should be very careful NOT to use any text [*AV*— Matt. 6:13, 23:14; John 3:13; Rom. 13:9, etc.] without first consulting the *Revised Version* (1881–1885).” It omits all of those texts.

John Broadus would get you killed.

He was probably responsible for the spiritual death of more than five hundred young men called to preach. John Broadus was a blind guide who led the blind into a ditch, like Bobbie Scummer who recommended him (*Bible Translations*, p. 23). John Broadus, once he got his Adamic nature “into gear,” could destroy more young preachers in a month than Cardinal Spellman or Fulton Sheen could destroy in ten years.

We called it *SATAN’S MASTERPIECE, THE NASV*, back in 1972.

Evidently, we don’t have much in common with the *Sword of the Lord*.

After being told that the *AV* is full of inconsistent translations and being shown from “the original Greek” that they have translated the same Greek word a number of different ways—thereby proving they are *inaccurate*—the sucker at BJU is now led to believe that the *NASV* is consistent and, therefore, “more accurate.”

Again, the sin of omission is utilized to the fullest extent, and what we show all of our Greek students here at PBI is NOT mentioned in BJU, PCC, Moody, Wheaton, Liberty, Fuller, Pacific Coast, BBC, and etc. We show them how the *NASV* translated “ματαιας” (1 Pet. 1:18) as “USELESS” in 1 Corinthians 3:20 and “WORTHLESS” in 1 Corinthians 15:17. They translated “πορνειας” as UNCHASTITY in Matthew 5:32, FORNICATION in Matthew 15:19, and IMMORALITY in Matthew 19:9 (note! the same author with the same “style” in all three passages). When they got to 1 Corinthians 6:18, where fornication (πορνειας) was DEFINED by the Holy Spirit, they had to convert the Greek participle (“the one committing fornication” [ο δε πορνευων]) into an adjective modifying a noun (“the immoral MAN”). There was no “man” in any Greek text; there was no adjective in any Greek text. Then they took the same word (πορνειας) and translated it as “SEXUAL IMMORALITY in 1 Thessalonians 4:3.

They justified all FIVE changes on the grounds of “context” but never allowed that the *AV* translators had the same right to do the same thing.

“Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?”

Easy; get you a *NASV*. There you will not go to HELL; you will go to Gehenna. You won't actually get any DAMNATION, for you will escape the “SENTENCE” of Hell (*NASV*, Matt. 23:33).

You were led to believe that multiple translations of the same Greek word (take “**churches**,” for example, in Acts 19:37, or “**Easter**” for example in Acts 12:4) were errors if they occurred in the *AV* text, but were SUPERIOR SCHOLARSHIP if they occurred in the Jesuit Text (*NASV*, *NIV*, *NRSV*, and *RSV*). The word for “sky” (Greek “ουρανος”) comes out “sky” in Acts 1:11, but “heaven” in 2 Corinthians 12:2, and “sky” again in Luke 4:25. The Greek teacher then tells the gullible neophyte in the Cult that “sky” was used to indicate the immediate “first heaven” (up to the clouds), while “heaven” was reserved for the abode of God, but he is lying. The light that Paul saw shining around about him, having “**the brightness of the sun,**” came from THE THIRD HEAVEN according to the *NASV*, for they translated “ουρανοθεν” there as HEAVEN, not “sky.”

After careful training in the tenses of the “Greek verb” (see above), Bob Jones University practises exactly what they condemn the *AV* translators for practising. The Greek teacher puts a *NASV* on them and tells them that it is all right to translate παραγινεται (Matt. 3:1) as “John the Baptist CAME...” He did nothing of the kind. The verb is not an aorist; it is not even an imperfect. It is a present indicative active. *The same word is mistranslated in the NASV again in Matthew 3:13.* After raising a one-hundred-year stink to high heaven about “φιλω” and “αγαπω” in John 21:15, the *NASV* proceeded to translate “φιλω” as “LOVE” in Matthew 6:5, 10:37; John 5:20, 11:3, 12:25, 15:19; 1 Corinthians 16:22; and Titus 3:15.

After tearing the Holy Bible out of the hand of the unsuspecting ministerial student—who came to the school believing it!—by telling him that Matthew 27:44 is not in ANY Greek text, Stewart Custer must tell his students it is all right to translate without reference to a Greek text, for here is “**wisdom**” being justified by her “DEEDS” (*NASV*, Matt. 11:19), when there are no DEEDS in the verse except for a few corrupt Greek manuscripts. The word was “των τεκνων” (“**children,**” as in the *AV* of 1611).

Then the “Fundamentalist” is given the *RV* reading of the National Council of Churches (*RSV* and *NRSV*) with which to replace Matthew 19:17 in his *King James Bible*. (While being “identified” with it, of course!) Sinners were not “called” to ANYTHING in Mark 2:17 in the *NASV*. They were simply “called.” The *AV* must have perverted the truth again, for it said He was calling them “**to repentance.**” There are no “**years**” in ANY Greek text in Luke 1:18, including the one that Bob Jones III used to destroy the students faith in the *King James* English text. The word was “days” (Greek “ημεραις”). The word mistranslated by the *NASV* as “ended” (Luke 1:23) is “FULFILLED” (Greek “επλησθησαν”).

When BJU hit Luke 1:25, they added an article—which appears nowhere in the Greek text that Custer said he would defend word for word—and they added “WAY,” which is not in ANY Greek text, including the one they used for a source. “**Thy word**” (Luke 2:29) has been perverted to “THIS STATEMENT,” and the reading reproduced in the Bible (!) Department at BJU for Luke 2:14 is Origen's depravation found in all Catholic Bibles and

all translations put out by the NCC (*RSV*, *NRSV*, etc.).

Can you imagine the state of mind the student is in by now? Can you imagine what happens to some young, egotistical saphead who spends four to eight years in an institution like this and then becomes a TEACHER? I haven't gone into 2 percent of the devilment by what I have printed on the last ten pages. When that orthodox infidel gets his "higher" degree, he will have gotten it sitting under a tutelage that failed to tell him:

1. The perfect legitimacy of the *AV* in adding words, adding articles, leaving articles untranslated, changing the tense of the verb, and giving "dynamic equivalents" instead of "formal correspondence."

2. The perfect legitimacy of the *AV* in correcting "THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT" as advertised in America's leading Fundamentalist publication (the *Sword of the Lord*), for they told you the Alexandrian Greek text of the *NASV* was "THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT" (Sept. 1971).

3. The Satanic nature of Sinaiticus and Vaticanus and the FRUITS of the translations that come from them (*RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *TEV*, *NEB*, *NWT*, etc.).

4. The removal of "science" and "study" from the Holy Bible in ALL English translations, following 1880—including the *NKJV* of Curtis Hutson and Harold Ockenga (1 Tim. 6:20 and 2 Tim. 2:15).

5. The fact that the "eclectic" text for Nestle, the *NASV*, *NIV*, and *RSV* contains the Apocryphal books *as part of the Old Testament inspired canon*.

6. The approved legitimacy of the *AV*—by its enemies, those who tried to take it out of the hand of the student when he enrolled—in choosing ANY way to translate a preposition, in view of the fact that most of them have five to ten meanings.

Observe that it is the sins of *omission* that damn the faculty, staff, and student body. The faculty omits what they want to be omitted, *on purpose*, and they do it to produce an EFFECT: to bring the student to a false conclusion that will force him to put his faith in a LIE. This is done *deliberately*, morning, noon, and night, just like John R. Rice did it in 1971 in the *Sword of the Lord* and exactly as Curits Hutson is doing it right now. The student is not told the truth. He is told two-thirds of the truth, exactly as Satan told it to Eve (Gen. 3:1–5). The matter of the "DEATH IN THE POT" (see *Satan's Masterpiece, the New ASV*, 1972) is not mentioned. A positive philosophy is used to destroy the Holy Bible, by ignoring the NEGATIVE truths that would bolster the believer's faith in it. Thus, at a Fortress of Faith, faith vanishes. It is replaced first with *doubt*, then with unbelief, and finally by faith in the inconsistent, subjective, depraved, partial truths of destructive critics. *You matriculate deceived liars*. They will take every Bible-believing Christian in America to be a DECEIVED LIAR. They are on the other end of the spectrum; polarization. They were "polarized" in a Greek class, under a Greek professor.

Here is Charles Haddon Spurgeon pulling off John Rice's "con act" (see p. 23), just like Rice pulled it off.

"A man, to comment well, should be able to READ THE BIBLE in the ORIGINAL [original what, Charlie?]. Every minister should aim at a tolerable proficiency both in the Hebrew and the Greek [What is the "THE" for, Charlie? Why not just "Hebrew and

Greek?” You wouldn’t be implying something, would you, Charlie?] “These two languages will give him a library...OF SPIRITUAL WEALTH. A minister ought to attain enough of these tongues to be at least able to make out a passage by the aid of a lexicon, so as to be SURE that he is not misrepresenting the Spirit of God in his discoursings, but is, as nearly as *he* can judge, giving forth what the Lord INTENDED to reveal by the language employed. Such knowledge would prevent his founding doctrines upon expressions in our version when nothing analogous [say “Limited Atonement” and “Irresistible Grace!”] is to be found in *THE INSPIRED ORIGINAL*” (Spurgeon, *Commenting and Commentaries*, Banner of Truth, pp. 24–25).

This is the commentator (Spurgeon) who took Psalm 68 and put the whole first quarter into the past, got rid of the “**angels**” (Psa. 68:17) because they weren’t in “THE” Hebrew (see above), and then made verse 18 apply to God’s presence in the church. This is the man that said “WE” (referring to himself) should pray that “WE” *should be one of the elect*, in Psalm 87:6. This is the man—who went by John Gill’s dictum and advise—who said that the denunciations in Psalms 109 could not have been the thoughts or words of Jesus Christ, and this is the man that said Psalm 110:1–2 was the Church Age and not to be taken literally. Do you know how Charles Haddon Spurgeon got so fouled up in SOUND DOCTRINE? Simple; he told you how. He went to the “original” (in one place “languages,” in another place “THE” Greek and Hebrew, and in another place the “originals” and “THE INSPIRED ORIGINAL”) so he could “represent the Spirit of God” in his discoursing.

God is no respecter of persons. Whenever and wherever Spurgeon messed with that Book (the *AV*), God messed with his MIND: ditto Gill, Broadus, Carroll, and Torrey, as well as John Rice and Curtis Hutson.

Watch old Charlie give the worst advice to his ministerial students he ever gave in his life. Watch him contradict everything he preached from the pulpit (*The Scriptures*, Pilgrim Pub., Pasadena, Texas, 1990 pp. 111–113, 145–150, 254–256, 698–700, 702–708).

Here is the most Satanic thing that any minister can say to another potential minister; it is said to convert the novice into GOD—the *final judge and the final authority for all matters of faith and practice*. This is Spurgeon’s old nature in action, speaking for Satan: “Do not needlessly amend our *Authorized Version*. It is faulty in *many places* [none given], but still it is a grand work taking it for all in all, and it is *unwise* [not sinful] to be making every old lady distrust the ONLY BIBLE SHE CAN GET, or what is more likely, mistrust you for falling out with her cherished treasure. CORRECT where correction must be for truth’s sake [not one sample given].”

“Correct,” according to WHAT? “Correct,” according to WHOM? “Truth’s sake?” Whose “truth”?

According to what YOU think copies of copies of uninspired (by Gill’s, Torrey’s, Rice’s profession, Hutson’s profession, and Broadus’ profession) copies meant, as evidenced by what other sinners *thought* they meant. To add AUTHORITY to your theoretical guesswork, always insert the article (“the”) before the following words: languages, text, Greek, Hebrew, tongues, original tongues, original text (see Rice, p. 23), or “Greek text.” This will give the “old lady” (see above) the false impression that you

have the *original*, authentic source from which her Bible came. “For truth’s sake”!

That was Spurgeon’s carnal nature in action. Spurgeon began to correct the Protestant Reformation text, in the universal living language, with the DEAD language of the Alexandrian text (RV), used for the Jesuit Rheims Bible of 1582. God trapped him and stumbled him (Ezek. 14:1-6). God is no respecter of persons.

“The first Sabbath after his return from the sunny South—February 8, 1891—the pastor (Spurgeon) preached at the Tabernacle from Isaiah 62:6–7, using both the *Authorized* and *Revised Versions*...He had been especially struck with the revisers rendering of the text.” The Lord took Charles H. Spurgeon home the year after he preached that message (*C. H. Spurgeon Autobiography*, Vol. 2, Banner of Truth Trust, p. 497).

Today, his “tabernacle” is a ghostly monument.

Finer Points of “Scholarship Onlyism”

You may or may not have noticed in the last chapter under “point 6,” the matters of translating (in regards to prepositions) being brought up. This has to do with the *AV* translators supposedly “blowing it” when they translated “*en*” as “by,” “with,” “into,” etc.; or when they translated “*eis*” as “into,” “unto,” “with a view to,” “in view of,” etc. “*Kata*,” for example, can come out as “down,” “down upon,” “throughout,” “in the quarter of,” “among,” “in the presence of,” “by,” “concerning,” and “against.” “*Peri*” can be “about,” “concerning,” “around,” “conditions,” “circumstances,” “respecting,” or “touching”; and “pros” can refer to “by,” “at,” “at the side of,” “toward,” “unto,” “close upon,” “with,” “at,” “among,” “in order to,” “in respect to,” “with reference to,” “in conformity with,” or “in comparison with,” etc.

When you hear some blabber-mouth Alexandrian talking about how a certain preposition “SHOULD have been translated” or how much “better it was translated” in an *NIV* or *NASV* than the *King James*, you must be aware of what we tell *our students* that the Alexandrians never tell any student.

You see, the authority for correcting the *AV* here is Dr. A. T. Robertson of Louisville, who knew about as much about the Bible as Tammy Bakker or Oral Roberts. Robertson insists that no one can properly translate a Greek preposition unless he knows “the history of both case and preposition, and note[s] the precise context and MEANING of the words so connected” (p. 249). He then hastens to say that “This does NOT mean that a given preposition means a half dozen or more different things as the average lexicon may say” (p. 249). Instead, Robertson tells us that if you put each preposition through the process of an historical study of the CASE with which it was used, you can get it right.

The catch here—about which naturally Robertson REFUSES to talk—is that you break down the Genitive case into an Ablative case, so you can shift to either to prove a preposition means what you want it to mean, and you break the Dative case into Locative and Instrumental cases so you can alter the *AV* translation by claiming *they* didn’t know the proper “CASE.” Anyone could distinguish the case endings between the Genitive and the Dative, but the endings of the Ablative are exactly like the Genitive, and the endings in the Locative and Instrumental are exactly like the Dative.

They sure know when to keep their mouths shut, don’t they?

Having said this, Robertson tries to apply it, but when he does, his false thesis goes to pieces. “There is NO scientific division of prepositions according to THE CASES in which they are used” (p. 252). “Probably in the instrumental case...” (ibid). “Sometimes *δια* (Aeschylus), but *δια* looks like the instrumental case...” (p. 253). “The resultant idea will VARY with the case, the meaning of the words and context.” (p. 254) “Hence, a case like Acts 2:38...can mean *either* ‘ON THE BASIS OF FORGIVENESS OF SINS’ ...or

‘WITH A VIEW TO FORGIVENESS OF SINS’ (p. 256).

“One will interpret it according to *his theology*,” (p. 256).

A. T. Robertson was a deceived liar.

Anyone who took him seriously will come out the same way.

He just told you that the meaning of the Greek preposition (“eis”) would be determined by the meaning of the words and the context. The context of Acts 2:38 is God answering the prayer of His Son on the cross (“**Father, forgive them; for they know not what they do**”) and is confirmed by Acts 3:17 and 1 Corinthians 2:8.

The expression “**for the remission of sins**” (Greek— εις αφεσιν των αμαρτιων) occurs only FOUR times in the New Testament, and not ONE time does it ever have any reference to “with a view to, or to obtain, forgiveness of sins.” In all four cases it was a reference to sins that *had been forgiven*, and in all four cases the expression was explained by Exodus 34:7 and Hebrews 9:15, 10:4.

The English text of 1611 determined how the Greek preposition (“eis”) was to be interpreted in Acts 2:38, and it allowed no leeway of interpretation at all, no matter what any lexicon said.

If the Greek meant “in order to obtain forgiveness of sins” (I said “*IF*”), then the Greek was WRONG, and *the English* was correct. It could not mean “either,” as Dr. Robertson said; not in Acts 2:38. Dr. Robertson simply LIED. He referred you to the subjective opinions of your own theological preferences as the FINAL AUTHORITY for interpreting a preposition the Holy Bible had already “interpreted.”

Dr. A.T. Robertson would deceive you on purpose. He would lie to you if it were expedient. Ditto Chuck Swindoll, James Price, James Combs, Bob Jones III, Fred Afman, Dell, Sherman, Walker, Hudson, Kutilek, Robert Sumner, Hymers, Melton, Farstad, Waite, Hodges, and ANYONE LIKE THEM.

Now, let us go from one apostate hell hole to another. Instead of studying Greek at BJU, under the Alexandrian Cult, using the Jesuit Dark Age text of Rome, let us go to Wheaton or Moody to study Greek where the Alexandrian Cult is also using the Dark Age Jesuit text of Rome, but this time—“variety is the spice of life!”—let us study Greek under a deceived liar who thinks the *NIV* is an improvement on the *NASV*. (Progress. Darwin.)

“The expression μη γενοιτο is a fairly common Pauline locution” (Carson, *The King James Version Debate*, p. 92). This is translated by the “King’s men” as “**God forbid**” (Rom. 3:4; 1 Cor. 6:15). On the grounds that the word “**God**” is not found in any Greek text—exactly as it is not found in any Greek text in Acts 7:59—Carson says the *NIV* rendering translates the expression perfectly (ibid). How does this Jesuit, Dark Age revision translate μη γενοιτο? It says, “not at all” the first time (Rom. 3:4), but “never” the second time (1 Cor. 6:15).

Well, is “ουδεπω,” “μηποτε,” or “ουδεποτε” (“NEVER”) found anywhere, in any Greek text used by the *NIV*? No, it isn’t. They added “never” after saying you couldn’t add “**God.**” Did they translate the Optative (γενοιτο)? No, they didn’t even attempt to. They

just ignored it. The *NASV* attempted it with “MAY it never be,” but Carson doesn’t like the translating committee that put out the *NASV*, and he doesn’t like BJU (see Carson, p. 91); so presuming himself to be the final authority, he says the *NIV* catches the expression “PERFECTLY.”

It does? Well, WHO is it that lets things “be, or not be?” WHO is it that can let a thing happen or prevent it from happening? Are we to assume a converted orthodox Jewish rabbinical scholar (Phil. 3) wouldn’t have THAT in mind when he said “Let it not be!”?

Well, if you were D. A. Carson, or some blockhead on the *NIV* committee (see *The NIV, an In-Depth Study in Apostasy*, 1991), “yes,” he would have. If you were a Bible-believing Christian, you would know it was a PRAYER, as well as a denial. Paul is asking God to forbid such a thing from ever happening. (This is where the *NIV* got “NEVER” from.) God is going to forbid it from “being” (happening). But without God as the *source* for letting some things happen, while stopping other things from “becoming,” the expression is not translated at all. It is missing its most essential element: *the One who forbids*.

The Greek student, in class, will now be told that we are not waiting for “**the hope of righteousness**” (Gal. 5:5) because we do not have this righteousness NOW (in Christ). Instead, we are awaiting “THE RIGHTEOUSNESS FOR WHICH WE HOPE” (NIV). Their Greek text says ημεις γαρ πνευματι εκ πιστεωσ ελπιδα δικαιοσυνης απεκδεχομεθα, which would be literally: “For we by a Spirit out of faith a hope of righteousness are awaiting” (articles naturally appear before “Spirit” and “hope”). Thus, “We, by in the Spirit are by faith awaiting the HOPE of righteousness.” No one is waiting for righteousness to show up, and no one is “hoping” it will show up. “**Righteousness**” is NOT the object of anything; it is in the Genitive case. The object (Accusative case) was ελπιδα (“the hope”), as in the *King James Bible*.

Why did the Greek teacher take the time out in class to teach the Greek “cases” for the noun when he had no intention of translating them according to what he was teaching the student? And if he thought you could turn Genitives and Datives into *direct objects*, on occasion, why did he not TELL the student that if the *AV* translators did such things, they were not in error? Why the double standard? Easy: \$\$\$\$\$.

Carson, pretending to be a scholar—he is about as scholarly as Thurman Wisdom or Stewart Custer—says “The *KJV*’s placement of “**by faith**” is “potentially misleading.” Misleading into what? When you took the *NIV* reading you were misled into thinking that after your salvation (Rom. 10:9–10) you were still going to have to wait for the righteousness you were supposed to have gotten when you got saved. How do you hope (*NIV*) for the righteousness of Christ, when you already have it (Rom. 3:22, 4:3)? “**The hope (the object) of righteousness**,” on the other hand, is the culmination of our salvation and is so identified and defined in Titus 2:13; 1 John 3:1–3; and Romans 8:20, 24. (Note: this is the same place where “Gary Poo”—*King James Onlyism vs. Scholarship Onlyism*—blew it).

Our “**hope of righteousness**” (*AV*) is Jesus Christ, who gave us His righteousness. Look at the context (Gal. 5:4). The “**hope of righteousness**” is a perfect, sinless, new body, soul, and spirit when “**the hope of righteousness**” shows up. We already have His

righteousness. Our HOPE is the completion of our salvation.

Again, the *AV* is supposed to have “missed the point of the Greek text” in 3 John 2, for it should have apostatized with the *NIV* to produce the degenerate “Dear friends, I pray that you may enjoy good health and that ALL MAY GO WELL WITH YOU.” (Αγαπητε, περι παντων ευχομαι σε ευοδοουσαι και υγαινειν, καθως ευοδοουται σου η ψυχη —3 John 2.) Here a literal rendering would have produced “Beloved, concerning all things, I am wishing thee to prosper and be in health, even as it prospers, thy (the) soul.” The *AV* took “peri” (περι) to mean “**above all,**” instead of “concerning” (see notes on prepositions above). This was because “peri,” when attached to other stems, means “over and above,” “extraordinary,” “much,” “abundantly,” “more abundantly,” “exceedingly,” “to be over and above”; hence “**I wish above all things.**”

Down in the Greek class, where the Greek teacher is busy destroying the ministerial candidate with “THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT” (to quote John R. Rice), he is told that the *NIV* corrects this “gaff” in a *King James Bible* (see Carson, p. 94) by altering “περι παντων” to an Accusative used in indirect discourse: “I wish THAT all things...etc.” In doing this, the *NIV* eradicated “**things**”—which is a plural—whereas “**all**” is a singular—ignoring the first wish (“thee to prosper”) because it was in the Accusative case (not the Genitive plural as “παντων”), and then paraphrased the rest of the verse “even as your soul is getting along well.” The verb (“ευοδοουται”) is not a reference to anyone just “getting along well.” It is reference to someone PROSPERING or succeeding in accomplishing something.

The *NIV* is a “better rendering” than your *King James Bible*?

Another shaft that you will get at Moody, Fuller, Wheaton, etc., on the *NIV*, is that when they attacked the Virgin Birth of Jesus Christ in John 3:16, by adopting the liberal version of the Modernists in the NCC (*RSV* and *NRSV*), they did not really deny it, because the Virgin Birth (and any other fundamental; this is a famous gimmick) doesn’t “stand or fall on ONE verse.” This is an old tool, well worn with Satanic use. It is ONE word that determines the meanings of Mark 16:16 (“**not**”) and Isaiah 7:14. It is one word that determines the meaning of John 18:36 (“**now**”).

Here, in John 3:16, the compound Greek word “μονογενη” has only been HALF translated; the “γενη” part of the compound word has been left untranslated (as in the *RSV* and *NRSV*). D. A. Carson’s alibi for this attack on the Deity of Christ is that Luke 7:12, 8:42, 9:38, and other places—where *no reference* is being made to the Birth of Jesus Christ or His begotting (see Psa. 2:7)—do not need to translate the second half of the adjective. As a clincher, Carson says that Hebrews 11:17 would have been translated wrongly if μονογενη had been fully translated. Didn’t Abraham have other sons beside Isaac? Got it? Logical, isn’t it? Is anything missing? (Don’t forget that the main characteristic of the Alexandrian family of Greek manuscripts is their tendency to OMIT!)

Would an Alexandrian like Carson, Eugene Carson Blake, Dean L. Weigle, or Norman Vincent Peale (*RSV*, *NRSV*, and NCC) fail to give you some vital information? You bet your booties they would.

We tell OUR students: 1) Isaac was Abraham’s “ONLY BEGOTTEN,” in the sense that he was a type of Jesus Christ (see Gal. 3:16), and the only son begotten by promise

and command (Gen. 17:21; Gal. 4:28). 2) Abraham's other sons were not begotten from *Sarah*, exactly as every begotten son of God after Christ (see Rom. 8:14, 19; Heb. 12:7; 2 Cor. 6:18; Rev. 21:7, etc.) is begotten by the Holy Spirit, not from Mary. 3) What is the motive of a man who omits "begotten" in John 3:16, when Psalm 2:7 and Hebrews 1:6 showed him how "the original Greek text" should have been translated? 4) With the Author of John's Gospel (1 John 5:1, 18) telling the *NIV* how to translate "μονογενη," how did they mistranslate it *every time it showed up* (John 1:14,18; 3:16,18; and 1 John 4:9)?

If the *NIV* blew it five times in five verses, what was all the talk about "no major doctrine stands or falls on ONE verse?" The *NIV* sacked five of them.

This is where all apostasy begins: in a Greek class under a Greek teacher.

What does BBC (Springfield) turn out, after studying the "original Greek?" You get one guess. Here is J. H. Melton, of Milton, Florida, who was a professor at BBC for a number of years. Watch the clone "ape" the party line: "I certainly reserve the right to IMPROVE and correct the *King James* translation...I had seventeen courses in Greek and eight courses in Hebrew. I taught both Greek and Hebrew in college." SO DID I. How did we arrive at *different conclusions and different destinations unless our GOALS and MOTIVES were different?* "If a boy with my background could learn to use the languages in which the Scriptures were verbally inspired, anyone else could, if he desired to know what the Holy Spirit said, *instead of what is translated*" (cf. with Sturtz on p. 99).

Do you know what Melton actually MEANT to say in "the original?" He meant to say, "I am smart enough to correct the greatest Book the world ever saw, and *my* improvements are authoritative because my mind was shredded sitting at the feet of destructive Bible critics who couldn't lead a horse to water, let alone a soul to Christ. After four years of brainwashing that would disintegrate a granite boulder, I found out what the Holy Spirit REALLY said that Dwight Moody, J. Frank Norris, Billy Sunday, Sam Jones, Charles G. Finney, General William Booth, and Mordecai Ham MISSED *because none of them could teach Greek and Hebrew like ME.*"

Do you know how many young ministers this ONE Greek teacher probably destroyed in four years? A conservative estimate would be one hundred. There are fifty Greek teachers in America today just like him. That means that a minimum of 1,250 young men are destroyed, permanently, *every year* in Conservative colleges and seminaries. J. Melton has no evangelistic ministry, no pastoral ministry, no missionary outreach, no soul winning tracts printed, no jail ministry; and he doesn't have in his possession *one* letter, after years of teaching, from *one* young man thanking him for restoring his faith in the Holy Bible. I get better than one letter a month and have for more than thirty years.

Our GOALS and MOTIVES for learning and teaching Greek must have been different.

Here, at PBI, the Greek student is always given information *unavailable* to a student in Dallas Theological Seminary, Louisville Theological Seminary, or Grace Theological Seminary. The reason why is simple. Our *goals* are different. We are interested in turning out Bible-believing, street-preaching, soul-winning evangelists, pastors, and missionaries who know WHAT they believe, WHY they believe it, and move with, and in the direction of, the Holy Spirit. The Alexandrian organizations are interested in turning out smooth,

slick, brilliant, Bible critics who will promote *scholarship* and *Christian education* after they leave the institution.

In a Greek class at PBI, up will show Edward Hill's manuscript evidence showing that the "far greatest and oldest uncial manuscripts" are the most corrupt ever found; up pops Dean Burgon's dissertation on Mark 16 (which is still enclosed in double brackets in Nestle's Alexandrian text). Right in the middle of a class on manuscript evidence, will show up the documented, detailed analysis of Revelation 22:17, 21 where Erasmus was supposed to have "added" words from the Latin. "For the Apocalypse, he (Erasmus) had only one manuscript of the twelfth century borrowed from Reuchlin, then lost sight of, but found again in 1861; defective on the last leaf (containing the last six verses) when he retranslated it into POOR GREEK. It was made in great haste, in less than six months, and full of ERRORS" (Philip Schaff, *A Companion to The Greek Testament and the English Version*, 1883, p. 230-231).

Examples of the errors?

Not one example given in six verses, containing more than one hundred words. A man would be a bungling fool to listen to Philip Schaff for five minutes when he speaks on manuscript evidence or textual criticism. He was the head of the *ASV* committee of 1901.

Right smack in the middle of a discussion on the "reliability" of the Greek text for the *RV* and *ASV* will appear a list of changes Nestle made in that "eclectic" text, amounting to 467 reversals back to the Greek text of the *King James Bible*. After eighty years, Nestle got "converted" to 467 out of 712 changes, although the head of the Bible Department at BJU said he would defend "EVERY WORD" of the text *before the 467 changes were made*.

Tell me something; where on earth would you go to any school to get that FACTUAL information? They don't give it to you at Grace Theological Seminary.

We will show the student where no modern "updated" translation translated Matthew 16:19, or Matthew 28:1 correctly. We show the student place after place, after place in the *NASV* and *NIV*, where no Greek text was underlying the translation, and no translator followed his own Greek text at all. Again, we will show the student how ALL "modern, updated translations" add articles where there are none (1 Cor. 2:16 for example) and *violate their own laws of grammar and syntax* when attacking the *AV* (for example: Matt. 2:1, 4, 13, 17; 3:6, 7, 8; 6:4, 8, 19, 28). What does the student get at, say, San Francisco Theological Seminary? Let Dr. Kenneth Brown speak for all of the apostate Nicolaitans there:

Party Line: 1) Acts 9:5–6 is Erasmus' conjecture and shouldn't be in the Bible.

Party Line: 2) Although Westcott and Hort did not hold to a Biblical view of inspiration, you should esteem their "Biblical scholarship" of the highest sort.

Party Line: 3) "In this verse (John 1:18) the Textus Receptus has depreciated the Deity of the Incarnate One, even Jesus Christ. It would be entirely valid for the textual critic to charge the Textus Receptus defenders with a deliberate omission of the name "God" when applied to Christ in John 1:18 and thus deny the *Deity of Christ* in this passage."

Brown is referring to the Jehovah Witness' *New World Translation* of John 1:18 which

IS the reading of the *NASV*: TWO GODS, one *begotten* and the other *unbegotten*. Kenneth Brown is a “JW.”

Party Line: 4) “There are difficulties in its terminology (*AV*) and there are problems in the Greek text from which it is translated.” You are then led to believe that it was the *AV* that used the Douay Rheims text of the Jesuits, although that Greek was the ALEXANDRIAN TEXT OF THE *RV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, and *NRSV*.

Party Line: 5) “The *KJV* ought to be USED because of its elegance, dignity, familiarity, and general trustworthiness. This should not eliminate the value of other translations [the Roman Catholic Jesuit texts: *RV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, etc.] which may help to clarify the meaning of ‘GOD’S WORD.’ The *KJV* is not inerrant; no translation is inerrant.” Then he tells us that not only are the supporters of the Jesuit Rheims Vatican text [Westcott and Hort, etc.] NOT “radical, apostate, nor heretical,” but that the support and promotion of these Roman Catholic Dark Age texts “better represents the center of *Biblical scholarship* and *orthodox Christianity*.”

Whereupon, we give the student the exact readings from Vaticanus, Sinaiticus, and Papyrus 66 and 75 that show the *heretical* and *unorthodox* nature of the Alexandrian type of Greek manuscripts. The student is supposed to be studying “Greek,” right? Then shouldn’t he know the Greek evidence, in the Greek text corruptions, taught at San Francisco Theological Seminary? Of course he should. We teach them.

At San Francisco (ditto Dallas, Grace, Louisville, New Orleans, Fuller, Denver, etc.), the student is taught that it is “SLANDER” to give the FACTS regarding the beliefs of Westcott and Hort. The student is then told that Erasmus “was no model of the Christian faith. He was a Roman priest who never intended to leave the Roman Church. In fact, he dedicated his first edition to Pope Leo X.”

At this point, we suddenly overthrow all the scholarship of San Francisco Theological Seminary (and all of their peers, mentors, associates, students, and teachers) by referring the Greek student to the “Fourth Rule” of the Index, established at the Council of Trent—just after Erasmus’ text began to go into other languages (1546). If you want to know how really “pro-Catholic” this “priest” was, watch the highest official council in the history of Romanism (establishing the Tridentine Confession—which is still binding today on ALL priests) state that NO ROMAN CATHOLIC CAN READ ANY TRANSLATION MADE FROM ERASMUS’ GREEK TEXT. He does this on danger of excommunication. It is a mortal sin to READ the *King James Bible*, Martin Luther’s Bible, or ANY translation that comes from the Textus Receptus. An official Catholic Bible (*Jesuit Rheims*, *Douay Rheims*, *Challoner*, *Challoner Rheims*, *Jerusalem* [and *New Jerusalem*], *New American* [and revised *New American*] Catholic Bibles are all from the NESTLE-HORT-ALEXANDRIAN-EGYPTIAN text that Erasmus abandoned.

It is the text for the *NIV* and *NASV*.

All we do is give the student INFORMATION that he cannot get in the institutions of “higher learning,” which profess to believe in “verbal, plenary, inspired, original autographs.” San Francisco Theological Seminary (and the rest) is deficient in “scholarship” if the truth were known.

You see, the product of Erasmus and the *AV* translators is ANTI-CATHOLIC, while

the product of Westcott, Nestle, Bob Jones III, Hort, Brown, Price, Martin, Sumner, Machen, Warfield, Green, Schaff, and Wuest is PRO-CATHOLIC. What Satan tried to do in the Greek class was derail the student and get his eyes off of the fruits of the product and back on the personal “credentials” and “lifestyles” of those who produced it: SECULAR HUMANISM—of a “godly” sort, of course!

We call the student’s attention to what God DID with the Anglican-Puritan production that had “baby sprinklers” on the committee (1611). He produced the largest soulwinning, Protestant, Fundamental schools and churches in the world: Hammond, Indiana; Cincinnati, Ohio; Detroit, Michigan; Pontiac, Michigan; Greenville, South Carolina; Chattanooga, Tennessee; Fort Worth, Texas, etc. The ten largest Baptist churches in the U.S.A. (1960–1990) were set up on the “Anglican,” baby-sprinkling, Septuagint-believing, Church of England translators in England, while the Baptist fools (who hollered that “baptidzo” should have been translated as “immersion”) have never produced one version of the Bible in any language, from any set of manuscripts in two hundred years, that God ever used to set up a coffee house.

Party Line: 6) Dr. Kenneth Brown says that no believer in the Textus Receptus has any place in “evaluating textual problems at all,” and the Roman Catholic influence is to be found in the *AV*, not the *RV* and *ASV*. To put over this lie (and it is bigger than Jim Jones’ profession of faith), he appeals to the Old Testament—not the “GREEK” text in the New Testament—and then fails to explain how matching verse for verse, in the New Testament, produces forty-two cases where the *NIV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *RV*, *RSV*, and *NRSV* match the Greek text of the Jesuit Rheims Douay of 1582, whereas *every* edition of a *King James Bible* from 1611 to 1980 *rejects* these Greek readings.

At PBI, we lay the Jesuit Rheims alongside the *AV* and then add Weymouth, Goodspeed, Lamsa, Berkeley, Phillips, the *RV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*, *The Living Bible*, and seventeen more versions to show that Dr. Kenneth Brown is a bald-faced liar in his attempts to make you think the *AV* is any friend of the Roman Catholic, New Testament Dark Age text of the Jesuits. We have a student read the standard (*AV*), and then more than twenty-five of them read *directly from the translations* that came from Kenneth Brown’s “better and more accurate Greek manuscripts.” It takes the class five weeks to do this, but we get it done. We always give any student more information, that is FACTUAL, than he can obtain at Dallas, San Francisco, Chicago, Denver, New Orleans, or “Grace” Theological Seminaries.

Dr. Brown—attacking every Bible-believer on the continent—says that some recent defenses of the *King James Bible* “tend to lead fundamental men into false assumptions [imagine that, after the remarks he made on Erasmus and the *Douay Rheims*] and soldiers defending a cause which cannot be justified.” Proof? The proof they give you in seminary (any Alexandrian offshoot) is that there are so many *doctrinal difficulties* and “textual problems” that must be solved by Greek scholars and textual critics that it is impossible for a Bible-believer to solve these problems if all he has is a *King James Bible* (see p. 27).

Note: this is the original position given by the Greek teacher, the first day in the classroom (p. 72). It is the eternally repeated LIE that the “key” to solving doctrinal and textual problems in the Bible lies in an intellectual grasp of Greek grammar and syntax. Watch this demonstrated as the Greek teacher now invents problems and puts the

“difficulties” on the student, leading him to believe that the only way they can be solved is by getting rid of the *King James* text. Heeeeer’s Johnny!

Dr. Kenneth Brown, speaking for BBC, PCC, and BJU.

- a. “Was the body of the Lord broken (1 Cor. 11:24)?” (Note the Gen. 3:1 overtone.)
- b. “Do all men have the peace of God (Luke 2:14)?” (Note the Gen. 3:1 overtone.)
- c. “Is baptism essential to salvation (Mark 16:16)?”
- d. “Were the writers of the Scripture especially holy (2 Pet. 1:21)?”
- e. “Can the believer in the church be free of danger with poison and snakebite (Mark 16:18)?”
- f. “Is it possible to believe partially (Acts 8:37)?”

That is what every chump is faced with in a theological seminary, run by men who believe in “the plenary, verbal inspiration of the infallible, original autographs.” What you are actually looking at is the helpless whining of a confused child who knows less about the Holy Bible than the seventeen and eighteen year-olds in my Sunday School class. Do you know how these insuperable “doctrinal difficulties” and “textual problems” were solved at Bob Jones University? I know; I went there five school years. They were handled exactly as this apostate (Kenneth Brown) handled them. The first one (a) was handled by *removing the words* “**which is broken for you**” from the text. This is what every church in the NCC did, in line with the *RSV* and *NRSV*, and this is what Bob Jones University did with the *NASV*. When they found a verse they couldn’t understand, they threw it out. This is what the writer of the Alexandrian text did, back in A.D. 330, when he saw the clause.

Now get this! You are being told by saved “Doctors,” in Fundamental seminaries, that the only way to solve a textual problem that comes up in the *AV* is to *destroy the text*. That is what Kenneth Brown did. He did it at the dictates of three men who never gave a testimony as to their conversion once in a lifetime: Eberhard Nestle, Anthony Hort, and Brooks Westcott.

In order to appear “scholarly” with “high academic standards,” Bob Jones Jr. and Bob Jones III followed suit immediately and fell into line as obedient, little passive dummies, without a brain in their head. This is “Christian scholarship” in the twentieth century: brainless, brainwashed *clones* who abandoned their authority because of a “textual difficulty” that Bible-perverters would solve for them. They “solved it” by tearing words out of the Bible.

Kenneth Brown (along with the first stupid corrector of 1 Cor. 11:24) thought that “body” had to be *bone structure*, and since “not a bone of Him was broken” (see Psa. 34:20), he had to get rid of the words of God if they didn’t confirm what he already knew about “THE WORD OF GOD.” The fruitloops in the Fundamental colleges and universities forgot that Christ’s SIDE was “**broken**” when a spear punctured it, and His hands and feet were broken when nails entered them. (You see, when they messed with the Book, God messed with their minds.) The words for “**broken**,” in the Bible, stand for “to be rent, to be crushed, to be bruised, to be lost or destroyed, to be SMITTEN, to be

brought down, to be beaten down, and to be cleft through.” Dr. Brown, if he knew his Bible, took advantage of the fact that you would not be able to find the references. (The chances are ten to one *he* didn’t know where the references were.)

In the same epistle (1 Cor.), this poor, deceptive reprobate—who is trying to get you to give up your Bible and exchange it for his ignorance and the accumulated ignorance of the men who taught him—read that “HANDS” and “FEET” were part of “**the body**” (look at 1 Cor. 12:15–25). In Genesis 24:22, you learn that the WRIST is part of the hand. Christ’s “**body**” was broken in FIVE places, without one Greek teacher or one Greek scholar in one hundred years being able to find the references (1880–1980). I guess they weren’t in the “original Greek text!”

And how do we solve the problem of “**on earth peace, good will toward men**” (Luke 2:14), when all men don’t have “THE PEACE OF GOD” (see [b] above). Easy; do what you did to 1 Corinthians 11:24. Get rid of any word you cannot understand. So said, so done. The *NIV*, *NASV*, *ASV*, *RV*, *RSV*, and *NRSV* all threw out “**peace, good will toward men**” and inserted the Jesuit Rheims’ reading of 1582 (“TO MEN OF good will”) which is Origen, converting a Dative into a Nominative (ευδοκίας to ευδοκία). This is a Greek topic that deals with Greek grammar. In this case, the CASE of a feminine noun.

Why was it not taught at BJU? *There was no “PEACE OF GOD” in either text.* Kenneth Brown made that up. It is not in any manuscript from any “text type,” in ANY family of manuscripts. The term “PEACE OF GOD” was plagiarized from Philippians 4:7 (written after the resurrection to saved people in the Body of Christ) and applied, throughout the Dark Ages by the Roman Catholic Church, to “cease fires” that periodically took place during wars between barons and kings. Origen—just as stupid as Augustine, Schaff, or Hort—was a Postmillennialist, and he could not understand all hell breaking loose during and after the birth of Christ, so he altered the angelic announcement to suit his own ignorance (Luke 2:14). Ditto Bob Jones Jr., Bob Jones III, Machen, Warfield, Philip Schaff, and Kenneth Wuest.

A fifteen minute study in 1 Peter 1:11, Luke 1–2, Matthew 17:1–8, and Malachi 4, would have enabled any eighth-grade English reader, with an English Bible, to correct the stupid, blasphemous bungling of the Greek teachers and Greek scholars who thought the text contradicted with the facts and created a “doctrinal problem.” No problem was present. *The problem was with the Greek teacher.*

In the third sample (c), the solution was simple: THROW OUT THE LAST TWELVE VERSES OF MARK so you don’t have to “reconcile” the poison-drinking and snake-handling. That is exactly what Bob Jones III and Dr. Kenneth Brown did. They accepted Nestle’s text (at BJU this is said to be inspired: see documented evidence in *The Last Grenade*, as given by the head of their Bible Department), which puts the whole paragraph in double brackets, while stating that “double brackets” indicate that the words so enclosed “FORM NO PART OF THE ORIGINAL TEXT.” Burgon proved *they did* more than ninety years ago.

Any student at PBI can handle the last three “doctrinal and textual problems” given by Brown, by simply opening any edition of the *AV*, printed anytime since 1611, and straightening the stupid Greek teacher out. (They all get flakey and kinky from too much

infidelity. Know what I mean, jelly bean?) No knowledge of Greek, Greek grammar, Greek manuscripts, Greek New Testaments, or Greek scholarship is necessary for solving ONE problem that Brown pretended existed in the *King James* text. Ditto for ANY problem brought up by ANY Greek scholar, anywhere on earth, in the last 380 years.

At PBI, we take up four hundred of these “problems” (see *The “Errors” in the King James Bible*) and have the students iron them out without reference to ONE Greek or Hebrew word found in any edition of any Hebrew Old Testament or Greek New Testament. Why can we do this, and the colleges, universities, and seminaries cannot? Simple: they are on another track going another direction (\$\$\$); they are not interested in BIBLE STUDY to find *the truth*. They are engaged in deifying Christian scholarship as the final authority over the believer: Nicolaitanism.

If you are studying Greek, in a Greek classroom, under a Greek teacher, and he fails to inform you of the following FACTS, he knows nothing about the “finer points” of scholarship at all but is simply majoring in DETAILED NON-ESSENTIALS that prove *nothing*.

1. The original autographs certainly could have contained grammatical errors, for failure to follow in number or gender is a characteristic of Koine Greek (Robertson, p. 399).

2. They could have failed to put proper words together (Zeugma), for all the copies show they did just that (ibid. p. 402).

3. They could have been poorly written as far as grammar is concerned, and considering the fact that commercial fishermen wrote NINE of the New Testament books, you have no reason to think that any of them would meet the highly exacting and “scientific” academic standards of the modern, apostate Fundamentalist who is teaching Greek (ibid).

4. The present participle expresses linear action and can be used with a VERB to go into the past, present, or future.

5. The joke about the present (linear) active of the verb “ποιει” in 1 John 3:9 is nothing but an attempt to make you think that the Greek teachers (and those like them) do not practise sin *continually*; they just goof up “once in a while.” Which is the equivalent of saying “If I don’t live like you live, I am saved and you aren’t.” This “linear” present action is dropped, over and over again, in other verses where the self-righteousness of the Greek teacher is not an issue. Show the student these places.

6. “Person” and “tense” can be changed in direct and indirect statements.

7. Sometimes a statement is interrogative and sometimes it is not, and it is *impossible* to tell for certain in every case (ibid. p. 361).

8. When “an” is used in a relative clause, it can make it not only more definite *but more indefinite* (ibid. p. 324).

9. Two inspired accounts, using the same vocabulary words, can differ in TENSE (Mark 15:10 with Matt. 27:18, for example).

10. Where an *ASV*, *NASV*, or *NIV* has translated the Greek aorist as a past perfect, they

are in ERROR, according to the laws of Greek grammar (ibid. p. 304–305).

11. The present tense is sometimes PUNCTILIOUS in action and at other times PURELY LINEAR (ibid. op. 299).

12. Anyone can translate a Greek article, or not translate it, according to his FEELINGS (ibid. p. 282). Emphasis can mean definiteness, but then again, it may not (ibid. p. 283).

You can see why this material is not given to the student. It would place a DOUBT in his mind about all of the newer translations that profess to have more knowledge of Greek than the *AV* translators. Again, it shows that there are definite elements of CHOICE, PREFERENCE, and UNCERTAINTY involved in Greek scholarship, which destroy it from having any claim to being the absolute and final authority about anything. So the material above is OMITTED from the classroom, just like Bob Jones III and Kenneth Brown omitted Acts 8:37; Acts 9:5–6; 1 John 5:7; and Matthew 23:14 (Nestle, Aland, *ASV*, Metzger, *NASV*, *RV*, Hort, and the popes) from the Holy Bible.

Fruits of Believing

The Professional Liars

Now here we lay side-by-side two baskets of fruit; two samples of what is produced in the “hothouse” (or greenhouse) of higher Christian education. One of these will be the professions of faith and confessions of belief from men whose faith was destroyed in the classroom of a Christian college or seminary (a Fundamental or “Orthodox” school) and came out as Alexandrian clones believing in Greek scholarship as the determining factor in finding the truth. The other will be some men who were exposed but looked a little “deeper” into the material they were being given. They came to the conclusion that since the whole system was based on the preferences and opinions of men who were trying to get rid of one Book, that their scholarship should be JUNKED.

First up to bat will be Dr. Wierville (1971), who will give you *POWER FOR ABUNDANT LIVING*, by giving you the official standard position on “the Word of God” as held by John R. Rice, Curtis Hutson, Bob Jones III, B. H. Carroll, Robert Sumner, J. Gresham Machen, Benjamin Warfield, and Gary Hudson.

You will never find it worded better than here. One reading will show you how Curtis Hutson, in 1991, got into such a mess when he talked about “the Bible,” “correcting the Bible,” and “*Unnecessary Divisions Among Fundamentalists*” who all believed the *King James Bible* was “the Word of God.” Ditto James Combs (*Baptist Bible Tribune*), at the same time.

Party line: “We are interested in the accuracy and integrity of God’s Word. We must get back to that original Word which was given when holy men of God spoke as they were moved by the Holy Spirit. We must strip ourselves of translators’ theologies...and once more discover the perfect GOD BREATHED ‘Word.’”

“*The Bible* IS the revealed Word and Will of God...Most people do not believe that *the Bible* IS the revealed Word and Will of God. They take out one segment of the Word of God and insert another. But to be logical and consistent, either *the entire Bible* IS the Word of God from Genesis to Revelation, or it is not the Word of God anywhere...One of the greatest errors in the translation of *the Bible* was placing the four Gospels in the New Testament...At this point (2 Tim. 3:16), the translation has failed to communicate the IMPACT of the verse. *The Bible*, the Word of God, in its originally revealed form, is the Word of Truth. When I refer to the ‘Word of God’ I do not mean a copy or a translation or a version: I mean that Word of God which was originally given by revelation to holy men. When we get *back* to that ORIGINAL GOD-BREATHED WORD—which I am confident we CAN—then once again we will be able to say WITH ALL THE *AUTHORITY OF THE PROPHETS OF OLD* ‘THUS SAITH THE LORD.’”

“We must go *back* to the *Word of God*. No one can know the WILL of God without knowing *the Word of God*. *The Bible* is the revealed *Word of God*; THIS *WORD OF GOD*

means what it *says* [present tense] and *says* what it *means* [present tense], and God has a purpose for everything He *says* [present tense], where He *says* it [present tense], why He *says* it [present tense], how He *says* it [present tense], and to whom He *says* it [present tense].”

Those are from pages 5, 19, 128, 133, 141, 154–155, 165, 191, and 209 in *Power for Abundant Living*. You will find that position, given exactly as that, on an hour and a half tape from the auditorium at BJU under the auspices of Bob Jones Jr., Bob Jones III, Wisdom, Panosian, and Stewart Custer. Curtis Hutson repeats the last part of the second paragraph almost verbatim when writing to Dr. Noe of Livonia, Michigan [1990]. (See the direct quotation in *The Last Grenade*, p. 113.)

Now, do you realize the extent of the depravity and insanity in the three paragraphs just quoted from Wierville? Do you yet fully comprehend what these apostate Fundamentalists are giving you, in the name of the Greek teachers and scholars at Moody, BJU, Fuller, PCC, Tennessee Temple, Dallas, Biola, Piedmont, BBC, Pillsbury, and Liberty University? All right, go back and read it, and note the italics.

1. Translations are theological attempts to *deny* what the *Word of God* says.
2. No translation is the “*Word of God*” because no translation is “*God breathed*.”
3. No preacher can say “Thus saith the Lord” with any authority until he has read *the ORIGINAL, GOD-BREATHED “Word” of God*.

Moody, Spurgeon, Sunday, Booth, Knox, Whitefield, Luther, Chrysostom, Finney, Sam Jones, Bob Jones Sr., John Patton, David Livingston, and William Carey had no authority for their life ministries.

4. No Christian alive on this earth today can know *the Will of God* because the “Word” of God WAS (past tense) lost *more than 1,800 years ago*.

5. Bob Jones Jr. cites the quotation on “what I mean when I say ‘the Bible’ or the ‘word of God’” in *The Last Grenade*, pp. 154, 290, 302. Buy a copy and read it.

6. After talking like a perfect idiot for thirty minutes, Wierville suddenly reverses field and says that the Bible IS (not *was*) the revealed Will of God and “this Word of God” can be read, memorized, studied, and understood. Bob Jones Jr. did exactly the same thing when he stated in his advertising brochures that BJU believed “WHATEVER THE BIBLE SAID IS SO” and all things are to be judged “BY THE BIBLE.”

What Bible?

Go back and read chapters 1 and 2. What Bible?

NO BIBLE ON THE FACE OF THIS EARTH. (See John R. Rice: p. 63.)

No Bible that ever WAS on the face this earth. The “original autographs” were never found in ONE BIBLE of any kind; *a “Bible” is a BOOK*.

This is the result of studying “New Testament Greek” under a Greek teacher in a “Bastion of Faith,” a “School for Champions,” or a “Fortress of Faith.” It is, by Webster’s definition: “IRRESPONSIBLE MADNESS.”

And this explains, thoroughly, why these wretched apostates get bent out of shape

about “Ruckmanites” and “King James Onlyism.” They are Kookites hung up on “Disneyworld Onlyism.”

Let us now turn the coin over and see how a scholar comes out who didn’t take his classroom training seriously, especially where it ran afoul of THE BOOK. This is *C. H. Waller*, cited by Charles Haddon Spurgeon. Here is a “historic position” from 1887, more than twenty years before my mother was born, and this position is now called “RUCKMANISM” by the apostate Fundamentalists (1990) who lost their faith in the Bible listening to (or reading after) a Greek teacher.

Truth: “Contradiction is a very different thing from apparent discrepancy... INSPIRATION DOES NOT STOP AT THE AUTOGRAPHS. Those who hold that the words of Scripture are God’s word written, very often limit their statements to the *autographs* of the first writers [Bob Jones Jr., Bob Jones III, Robert Sumner, James Combs, Chuck Swindoll, John Rice, Curtis Hutson, etc]. This, of course, is necessary if inspiration attaches to the *authors* of the Bible RATHER THAN TO THE BOOK ITSELF. But if the Scripture is to last forever it is manifest that its authority must last too. The inspiration of Scripture must extend to the whole meaning and purpose of Scripture in the mind of its divine Author. IT CANNOT BE LIMITED to that portion which lies within the horizon of the original scribes.”

Evidently, Bob Jones III, Curtis Hutson, Kenneth Wuest, A. T. Robertson, Wierville, Arthur Farstad, Wiersbe, Willmington, Wilbur Pickering, Hudson, Hymers, Hobbs, Sturz, Afman, Price, Combs, Martin, and Homer Duncan are HERETICS.

Why wasn’t the student in the Greek class given this information by Waller, since it dealt directly and immediately with THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGES and the ORIGINAL MANUSCRIPTS? Why was only ONE view of 2 Timothy 3:16 given in the Greek class, when 2 Timothy 3:16 itself was NOT a reference to “original autographs” to start with? (Boy, when it comes to sins of OMISSION, you couldn’t beat this bunch with the Sanhedrin!)

Truth: “Moses and the prophets, for example, cannot possibly have foreseen all that their utterances would mean for the Gentiles when expounded to them by the teaching of Christ and His apostles in the New Testament. Nor can St. Paul have foreseen all that his epistles would convey to English readers. And yet, wherever the Greek application of the Old Testament or the English application of the New lies fairly within the confines of the original, the authority of the latest form of Holy Scripture is as GREAT AS THAT OF THE EARLIEST. IN THIS SENSE, even translations are *practically* the INSPIRED WORD OF GOD. Nothing that is mistaken [Vaticanus, Sinaiticus, Alex-andrinus, and Cantebrigensis, for example!] or erroneous [the *RV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, or *NRSV*, for example!] can be so.”

Observe that Waller has caught the significance of God BREATHING or NOT BREATHING, on a translation. He got this from refusal to confine the BREATH of God (see Job 32:8 and Ezek. 37:5, 12) to a ONE-TIME, BY-GONE, PAST EVENT. All the Greek scholars did just that. They killed God so that He died when the Greek language died, and that was more than 1,800 years ago.

Truth: “Verbal inspiration requires that the *words* of the Gospels should be GOD’S

WRITTEN WORD, and that is all. If our Lord spoke *Aramaic*, as He certainly did on many occasions, the words which He spoke in one language have necessarily been *reported* in ANOTHER. The report, therefore, CANNOT BE VERBAL. The title on the cross, which was written in three languages, has been reported to us in ONE alone. Being in three languages, they could not possibly be WRITTEN IN THE SAME WORDS. But that is not the point. The record, which as reported by them, may be relied upon as *THE VERY WORD OF GOD.*”

Why was the Greek student not given this information?

Why wasn't this article by C. H. Waller ever published in *The Biblical Viewpoint* (BJU), *The Biblical Evangelist* (Robert Sumner), *Our Baptist Biblical Heritage* (Hudson), *Christianity Today*, *Moody Monthly*, *The Fundamentalist Journal*, or *The Baptist Bible Tribune* (James Combs)?

Is some Christian hypocrite afraid of the conflicting views of a scholar who is just as saved and just as consecrated (and just as separated and just as “once married”) as he is? It appears to be so.

C. H. Waller: “It will be evident on reflection that VERBAL INSPIRATION has been strangely *TRAVESTIED BEFORE ITS REJECTION* (!!!) on the ground that it was supposed to demand a verbal report of what was actually spoken and not only a report of everything that was placed on record, *GIVEN IN GOD’S WORDS.*”

Do you know at what Waller is driving?

The denial of the plenary, verbal inspiration of the original manuscripts *STEMS* from *rejection of the authority of the English scriptures that God gave to the world in 1611*. You take that step first. You always take THAT step before you take the final step of the “Liberal” or “Modernist.”

Charles Woodbridge: “Where are our SCHOOLS leading us? Are we like silly sheep following their slippery paths?” Yes, son, YOU sure are, and so is any silly sheep who follows the path of Nestle, Hort, Schaff, and the *NASV*, *RSV*, *NIV*, or any other Roman Catholic perversion of the Scriptures. “The sad story of educational compromise in other schools is long, tedious, and disappointing. It is wise for Christians who desire to remain loyal to *THE WORD OF GOD* to keep their eyes on *THAT WORD* and not to deviate from its mandates no matter how strong, persuasive, or magnetic the forces of compromise may be.” (Woodbridge, 1971, *Bible Separation*).

Having given you the historic position of Bible-believers back in 1887, let us step up to 1989 and see how the Alexandrians are doing who took Westcott and Hort seriously—along with their retinue (Trench, Gloag, Knowling, Lightfoot, Goodspeed, Ellicot, Schaff, Green, Deismann, Thayer, Rendall, Nicoll, et al.).

Here is one. Here is a first class, grade A, Alexandrian clone put together by a master craftsman with all the “curious works of art” that only a genius could devise. This is Homer Duncan, speaking up for every apostate, Bible-rejecting, Bible-denying, destructive critic in America who USES the *AV* to make a living. This is the oft-repeated “Creed of the Cult” that has been repeated so many times since 1880, that the sucker who sits under a Greek teacher now thinks that it was the position held by the Body of Christ

from A.D. 300 to 2000. It is not two-hundred-years-old, and it doesn't come from "the Body;" it comes from an isolated, separated, deadly minority of backslidden scholars who spent their lives behind closed doors carrying out "ministries" that were no more "Pauline" than those of Kenneth Wuest or Dr. A. T. Robertson. On comes Homer Duncan to say what any of us could repeat by rote memory before he ever said a word of it. This is the FRUIT of taking a Greek course in Greek *seriously*, where you are denied three-fourths of the information you should have been given.

Party Line: "First, I believe the BIBLE, as given in the original autographs, IS (!) the verbally inspired, infallible, inerrant Word of God. I have studied, preached, and taught THE BOOK for forty-eight years."

Bonkers. "THE BOOK" Duncan preached was not the Bible. "The Bible" was a lost pile of papers (according to what he just stated). He did not preach a lost pile of papers for forty-eight years because he never saw one of them, let alone read them.

Party Line: "I love, BELIEVE, study, and preach from the *King James Version* of the Bible. As my father before me, I BELIEVE the *King James Version* is unsurpassed in the beauty of its language."

Bananas; he didn't say he believed ANY of it was true, let alone all of it.

"Some of the differences between the *King James Version* and the modern translations depends on which Greek text is used." He then tells you that it is all right to strike "God" out of 1 Timothy 3:16, since one verse cannot do away with a major doctrine (see Chapter One), and that you can take the "blood" out of Colossians 1:14, because either way it doesn't do any harm, and then you can strike 1 John 5:7 out because you don't need it to prove the Trinity. Notice: three *negative attacks* on one text (the AV) to get rid of it, without giving the reader any of the manuscript evidence for one text listed. The evidence for 1 Timothy 3:16 will be found in *The Bible Believer's Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles*. The evidence for Colossians 1:14 is listed in *The Bible Believer's Commentary on Galatians-Colossians*, and the evidence for 1 John 5:7 can be obtained from the Bible Baptist Bookstore (Pensacola, Florida).

Party Line: "By 'THE BIBLE' I do not mean any particular English version of the Scriptures...but the Scriptures as originally given...all versions are a substantially accurate rendition of the Hebrew and Aramaic of the original Old Testament manuscripts, and of the Greek of the original New Testament manuscripts. To that extent they are the 'Holy Scriptures,' the 'Bible,'" (Duncan citing Torrey).

Twinkies: By that statement, R. A. Torrey (as Curtis Hutson) never preached "THE BIBLE" a day in his life. Read the first twenty words. He preached from what he said you could call a "Bible," but since you had no originals with which to compare it to see if it was a "substantially accurate rendition" (see above), you simply lived "by faith" and LIED ABOUT YOUR FAITH.

"To all intents and purposes, we have the autographs, and thus when we SAY we believe in the verbal inspiration of the autographs, we are not talking of something imaginary and far off, but of the texts WRITTEN BY THOSE INSPIRED MEN and preserved for us so carefully by faithful believers of a long past age (Laird Harris)."

Fruitloop City: You do NOT have the autographs; they are afar off; and now they exist only in the imagination, for they perished, “in reality,” at least five hundred years before the first Crusades. The term “texts” covered five thousand manuscripts and pieces of manuscripts which deny the Deity of Christ, deny the Virgin Birth, deny the Blood Atonement, attack the gospel of the Grace of God, present two gods, lie about who quoted the Old Testament (Mark 1), invent an eclipse at Calvary, call Barabbas “Jesus,” omit Christ’s bloody sweat, and delete more than five hundred words from the Protestant “texts” of the Reformation. This is the result of taking your Greek studies “seriously.” You become a professional LIAR.

And what does Robert Scummer say about this madcap nonsense? “That is our position exactly!” (*Bible Translations*).

Party Line: “We think any who make exclusive use of that translation (*AV*) as a test of fellowship (for example, Philpot, below) are wrong, especially when they infer a recommendation of another version—which has been translated by competent, evangelical scholars—is a departure from the faith...there are good recent translations of the holy Scriptures, such as the *NASV* and the *NIV*, translated by godly Bible-believing men...No major doctrine of the Bible is changed one way or another *regardless* of which Greek text is used” (Duncan).

See how you do it? By attacking the Bible believer who believed his Bible, Duncan ran two Roman Catholic, Dark Age texts in on you that came from Sinaiticus and Vaticanus. After doing this, Homer Duncan (with all the piety of a boiled owl) says, “Though I have not been able to find a perfect translation of the Bible, for more than forty-eight years I have built my life on the unchanging foundation as found in the ETERNAL WORD of the only true and living God.”

Booby hatch: He couldn’t find a perfect translation in forty-eight years, but “built his life” on a non-existent foundation that no man living or dead has seen since 800 B.C.? And what about the Book that Homer read, preached, and taught all his life? He says it erred on Revelation 22:19, because he heard a Greek teacher say that; he says 1 Kings 7:16 and Jeremiah 52:22 are errors because Wally Criswell couldn’t reconcile the passages; he says that Jehoiachin couldn’t be eighteen (2 Kings 24:8) because of 2 Chronicles 36:9, so that is another error; and he says that 2 Samuel 8:4 and 1 Chronicles 18:4 show someone is lying.

All four “DOCTRINAL PROBLEMS” were solved in *The “Errors” in the King James Bible* (1980) without one reference to one Hebrew or Greek manuscript or one Greek word ever written or spoken.

In the case of Revelation 22, the Greek manuscript evidence was given showing that Erasmus was correct, and the critics simply didn’t know about what they were talking. But as we said on pages 6 and 13, the Greek students in the classrooms are not shown this evidence. It is available from the Trinitarian Bible Society, and has been available for more than two hundred years.

Now, taking for granted that Homer Duncan is giving a late “historic position” that popped up after Westcott and Hort, let us go back before the *RV* (1885) of Westcott and Hort and look at a real “HISTORIC POSITION.” We will see how Bible-believing people

looked at the *King James Bible* before R. A. Torrey was born, before Duncan's grandmother was born, and before Curtis Hutson's great grandmother was born. We regress to 1869 (*The Gospel Standard*).

Truth: "The present English Bible (*AV*) has been blessed to thousands of the saints of God. It is, we believe, the grand bulwark of PROTESTANTISM; and we should be *traitors* in every sense of the word if we consented to give it up to be rifled by the sacrilegious hands of the Puseyites, concealed papists, German Neologians (NESTLE!!), and the whole tribe of the enemies of God and godliness. If the new translation were once to begin where would it end? THE SOCINIAN NEOLOGIAN WOULD BLOT OUT "GOD" FROM 1 TIMOTHY 3:16 AND STRIKE OUT 1 JOHN 5:7 as an INTERPOLATION (!!). We should have a Bible that nobody would accept as THE WORD OF GOD, to which none could safely appeal, and on which none implicitly rely."

And thus, forty-two years before World War I, Philpott explains why BJU wants to be identified with a Book in 2000 they do not believe, and yet recommend a translation that REMOVED "GOD" FROM 1 Timothy 3:16. Philpott called their hand before the turn of the century. At that time, Bob Jones Sr. was not shaving.

Consider this remarkable prophesy. Here is a man over in England, writing more than fifty-eight years before Bob Jones Sr. founded BJU (Lynn Haven, FL, "College Point"), and he says that if BJU abandons "King James Onlyism," they will kick God Almighty out of the Incarnation in 1 Timothy 3:16—which they did—and get rid of the "Johannine comma"—which they DID. If that weren't enough, look what the Trinitarian Bible Society had to say in 1980, more than one hundred years after Philpott's prophesy.

Truth: "We are opposed to the progress of *ERROR in the guise of knowledge*. Evangelical ministers, writers, teachers, and scholars do NOT serve the cause of TRUTH by insisting that all the versions are theologically the same...that their variations are insignificant and trivial." (This is Sumner's position in *Bible Translations*.) THESE STATEMENTS ARE FAR FROM TRUE, and when they are made, they need to be challenged and shown to be wrong." (So BJU refused to defend the truth and chickened out just like Warfield and Charles Hodge had done earlier.)

"If every minister with a little Greek and a handful of books on textual criticism begins to amend the text piecemeal (see Custer, for example, p. 21), there will be as many different forms of texts as there are pulpits. The spate of modern versions, with their numerous omissions and startling innovations, BREEDS CONFUSION, doubt, misunderstanding, and DIVISION," (*The Bible, A Sure Foundation*, pp. 20–21).

Who were the "hell-raising, trouble-making, church-splitting, divisive brethren who sowed discord among the brethren"? (See Bob Jones III and John R. Rice in *The Last Grenade*, p. 88, 305.) They were the faculties and staffs of OXFORD, BOB JONES, CAMBRIDGE, PCC, YALE, HARVARD, TENNESSEE TEMPLE, LOUISVILLE AND DALLAS THEOLOGICAL SEMINARIES, BBC, THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, COLGATE ROCHESTER, SANTA ROSA CHRISTIAN SCHOOLS, LIBERTY UNIVERSITY, AND EVERY OTHER CHRISTIAN INSTITUTION IN AMERICA THAT ATTACKED "KING JAMES ONLYISM."

Now compare 1980 (above) with these words penned in 1869 in regards to "King

James Onlyism.”

Truth: “What a gloom too it would cast over the minds of many of God’s saints to have those passages which had been applied to their souls translated in a different way, and how it would seem to shake all their experience of the power and preciousness of God’s word! But beside all this, there would be *TWO BIBLES* spread throughout all the land, the old and the new, AND WHAT *CONFUSION* WOULD THIS CREATE IN ALMOST EVERY PLACE! Nothing settles disputes so soon as when the contending parties have confidence IN THE SAME UMPIRE and are willing to abide by his decision. But this JUDGE of all disputes, this UMPIRE of all controversy [FINAL AUTHORITY!] would cease to be the healer of strife if its present acknowledged AUTHORITY were put to an end by a rival.”

It now has 125 rivals.

The rivals did cause gloom to the saints.

The rivals did do away with final authority.

They did create confusion.

There is no way to heal the strife for there is no arbitrator.

Ever since the “rival” showed up (*RV*, 1885), the Christian educators have been trying desperately to settle the STRIFE and CONFUSION, they created, by appealing to the Christians TO GIVE UP THEIR AUTHORITY AND ACCEPT CHRISTIAN SCHOLARSHIP AS THE UMPIRE. This is the brand NEW “historic position” that cropped up in 1885 with Westcott and Hort. Why weren’t the students in every Greek class in America given the material by Philpott and the Trinitarian Society? After all, it dealt directly and specifically with THE GREEK WORDS IN THE GREEK TEXTS USED FOR THE *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*, *NEB*, and *TEV*.

Someone’s education (any fool who took Tennessee Temple, Liberty University, PCC, Dallas, Denver, Moody, BIOLA, BBC, Fuller, etc. seriously) is woefully deficient in CONTENT. *They were defrauded of their education.*

Now about Baptist Bible College in Springfield? Well, here it is from the editor of the *Baptist Bible Tribune* (James Combs, Jan. 12, 1989) on the official stationary: 1) He thanks God for a pamphlet by Gary Hudson (see, *The Last Grenade*, pp. 224–235) on *Why I Left Ruckmanism*. 2) He says it “meets an important NEED.” 3) He says he USES the *King James Version*, and so do the faculty members at BBC, but they, of course, realize it is not INSPIRED. 4) He commends Hudson for getting Donald Waite’s approval and blessing on the pamphlet—Hudson dumps Waite and the Dean Burgon Society in less than ten months after the publication—and recommends that you USE the *King James Bible* because it is the best translation.

After this, James Combs went around the country (I have the tapes) bellowing, “BBC believes the *King James Bible* IS the Word of God! I believe the *King James Bible* IS the Word of God!” etc., to keep the enrollment up. But Archer Weniger (*Ecumenical Folly*) lets the cat out of the bag on this “Word” business. Note, the designation with a capital “W” is given as though the speaker or writer were honoring the WORDS of God by capitalizing *them* as in references to Deity (God, Jesus, Lord, Christ, et al), but this is

fraud. The “Word,” in the book, is Jesus Christ (John 1:1–3), and the “word” is a reference to WORDS that He spoke (see John 6:63, 8:47). Thus, James Comb’s pious profession (duplicated by Dell, Sherman, Dollar, Henderson, Walker, Melton, Kutilek, et al.) is nothing but rank hypocrisy in its rawest form. You see, not one man in the bunch said he believed the *King James Bible* was “THE SCRIPTURES.” You say, “what difference would that make?” Archer Weniger is about to show you the difference, although he still is in fellowship with two of the most confused and contradictory Alexandrians in the Cult: Bob Jones Jr. and Bob Jones III.

“Dr. J. Carter Swaim, NCC Director of the Dept. of English Bible (*RSV, NRSV*) set the tone of the entire Assembly (Dec. 5, 1961). Theologians are assuring us that what is primary is not the church nor *the Bible*, but the *Word of God*...some would not agree to the proposition that *the Word of God* is MORE IMPORTANT THAN *THE BIBLE*.” (This was Homer Duncan, verbatim, in the National Council of Churches, now being condemned by Archer Weniger. It is the position of Bob Jones Jr. and Bob Jones III. Watch the birdie!) “... Because THEY regard them as one and the same. *The Bible* IS the ‘*Word of God*’ [Duncan, Sumner, Jones, Combs, Kutilek, Custer, Afman, Martin, Price, Ross, Hymer, Horton, Hobbs, Hindson, Dobson, Hudson, et al.] YET *THE SCRIPTURE* SUGGESTS that the two ARE NOT TO BE *EQUATED*...the prophet did not say ‘*THE BIBLE*’ will stand forever...Paul cannot have in mind the Bible...when he says, ‘The word of our God will stand forever.’ God’s best Word to man is NOT A *BOOK* but a *Person*. There is a sense in which the Bible is still an open-ended book. We beg you therefore to HEED GOD’S *LIVING WORD* as it comes to you *THROUGH THE SCRIPTURES*.” The Word is NOT the same as the *Scriptures*, but somehow, mysteriously, it can come *THROUGH THE SCRIPTURES*.

Why wasn’t this information given out at PCC and BBC?

We have taught this matter at Pensacola Bible Institute since 1965.

Someone is paying money for an education they are not getting.

On Monday, December 5, 1961, at a luncheon held at the Foothill Boulevard Baptist Church in Oakland, “Bible-believing” Fundamentalists met with one hundred ministers and they drafted a bold, militant, Fundamental statement for “historic militant Fundamentalists” to take in their bold “militant stand” for historic Biblical Christianity. Do you know what it said? It said just what the Liberal, Dr. J. Carter Swaim had just said; in other words: “Any merger of Protestant denominations...based upon the sacrifice of Scriptural principle, and that denies the *VALIDITY OF THE BIBLE AS THE INFALLIBLE ‘WORD OF GOD,’* is a merger against the Gospel of Christ.”

What Bible?

Not any Bible on the face of this earth (see Wierville, p. 50).

Weniger and BJU have never seen an “infallible” Bible a day in their life, and they would be the first to tell you so if you wrote them.

The “bold, militant historic” Fundamentalist “congress” of December 5, 1961 worded their statement so only the “Word” was *INFALLIBLE*. *That is what the unsaved Liberal at the NCC had just said* (Dr. J. Carter Swaim).

Watch dear old “godly” Dr. John R. Rice embrace J. C. Swaim.

Here is the view of the *unsaved liberal* (Carter Swaim), above, given by Dr. John R. Rice, exactly as Curtis Hutson copied it from him. “Here, then, is a clear BIBLE DOCTRINE that the WORD OF GOD abides forever, it endures forever, it shall never pass away! So, if we know the DETAILED METHODS by which God preserves His ‘Word’ (note the Neo-orthodox designation as used by James Combs of the *Baptist Tribune*) well and good...and not altogether, perhaps, in one copy or in one translation, but IN THEM ALL COLLECTIVELY [*ASV, NASV, NWT, RV, RSV, NASV, NRSV, CEV, NEB, TEV, NKJV*, etc.] God has preserved His PERFECT WORD [not words, not a Book, not Scripture] NEVER TO BE DESTROYED, never to pass away.”

So when the naive young man reads, “**PREACH THE WORD**” (this is the call to the minister in 2 Tim. 4:2–4), he takes this to be “Preach the *Douay Rheims, New World Translation, ASV, RSV, NRSV, NASV*, The Cotton Patch Version, the *New Jerusalem Bible*, the *Contemporary English Bible, NEB, NKJV, NIV*, and the other eighty.” And when he reads, “**HE THAT IS OF GOD HEARETH GODS WORDS**” (John 8:47), “**THE WORDS THAT I SPEAK**” (John 6:63), he is to understand that he can never find these words or read them without a copy of the *ASV, NASV, RV, RSV, NRSV, TEB, NKJV, NIV, NEB, CEV, Weymouth, Berkeley, Moffatt, Goodspeed, Hort, Nestle, Aland, Metzger, AV, NWT, TEV, Riverside, Wuest*, and the other eighty.

Observe that John R. Rice, Bob Jones III, Harry Emerson Fosdick, Dean Weigle, Robert Sumner, Barth, Curtis Hutson, Brunner, Bishop Eugene Carson Black, John MacArthur, and Carter Swaim all have one great “Christian” (and “Biblical”) conviction in common: i.e., THE “WORD OF GOD” IS *NOT* A BOOK.

Did you notice that? That is why they “CALL” some Bible “the Word of God”—to make you think they believe what they do NOT believe. When pinned to the mat, all ten will admit that NO BOOK ON THIS EARTH IS “the Word of God.” John Rice just confessed it in print, with his name attached to the book he wrote. Do you know why James Combs (BBC and the *Tribune*) “CALLS” the *AV* the “Word of God?” We do. Because the Book he “uses” is not “Scripture” and the “Word of God” is NOT a BOOK; it is the *message* contained in one hundred corrupt English translations, plus five editions of the Greek Receptus, plus nine critical editions by Nestle, Aland, Metzger, Hort, Souter, Alford, Lachmann, Vogel, and Merk. “You see, then, that God is determined HIS WORD shall be known, and He has wonderfully preserved IT, though sometimes it be not all in one translation, nor in one copy, nor in the preaching of one preacher” (John R. Rice). Well there are many translations [none listed]. The *differences* in the translations are so minor, SO INSIGNIFICANT [this is the mark of a *SINFUL COWARD* according to the Trinitarian Bible Society: see page 58], that we can be sure not a single doctrine, nor a single STATEMENT OF FACT [for example, 2 Tim. 2:15; 1 Tim. 6:9–10; 2 Cor. 2:17; 1 Thess. 5:22] has been missed in OUR *TRANSLATIONS* [without naming one]. And where the WORD OF GOD is not perfectly translated in one instance [no instance given], it is CORRECTED in *another translation* [no other translation given]. And besides, we can go back to THE ORIGINAL HEBREW AND GREEK TEXTS to check for ourselves the translations...use *Youngs Analytical Concordance*. THERE YOU WILL FIND IN EVERY SINGLE CASE *THE ORIGINAL GREEK OR HEBREW WORD.*”

John R. Rice is a liar.

He will lie to make you think he has the ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT.

He will lie just like Bob Jones III and Stewart Custer (p. 25).

When it comes to Alexandrian cloning and brainwashing techniques, John R. Rice will lie to you like Curtis Hutson or Robert Sumner.

There are no “ORIGINAL HEBREW AND GREEK” texts for *anyone* to go back to, and *Young’s Concordance* does not have in it ONE WORD FROM ANY ORIGINAL HEBREW OR GREEK “TEXT.”

Professional liars bring forth fruit “**after their kind.**” They produce professional liars. The line of succession is unbroken through one hundred years (1890–1990).

TRUTH: “Good, godly, kind, sweet, charitable, wonderful, soulwinning, Fundamentalist men of God” WILL LIE LIKE A PERSIAN RUG WHEN IT COMES TO A CONTEST BETWEEN GOD’S ABSOLUTE AUTHORITY (THE HOLY BIBLE) AND THEIR ADAMIC, UNREGENERATE NATURES. No Fundamentalist is exempt. Rice is simply one more example among five hundred saved preachers whose egos got the best of them when they tried to avoid ridicule for standing by THE BOOK. The woods are “full of ‘em.”

John R. Rice’s position and Bob Jones III’s position is the position of J. Carter Swaim, speaking for the National Council of Christian Churches: NO BOOK IS THE “Word” of God.

The two positions are *identical* and were prophesied to be so in 1869, five years after Lee surrendered at Appomattox. The “Word” of God is an inerrant, infallible, inspired, non-existent SPOOK that can be found somewhere in translations that attack the Deity of Christ (*NASV*), the Virgin Birth (*NASV, NIV*), the Incarnation (*NASV, NIV*), the Ascension (Nestle’s, *ASV, NASV*), and cover up the sins of modern Bible revisers (Rom. 1,2; 2 Cor. 2, 1 Tim. 6 in the *ASV, NASV, NIV*, and *NKJV*). At BJU, they have been recommending to the student more than thirty thousand changes in the *AV* text, since 1930. These were based on the *ASV* until 1959 and then, after that, on the *NASV*, until 1991. At Moody and Fuller, they recommend more than thirty thousand changes in the *AV* text, based on the *NIV* and the *NRSV*, using the *ASV* until around 1952. There is not ONE major, Christian college, seminary, university, or Bible institute on the continent that has ONE copy of THE SCRIPTURES. All they have is uninspired, fallible, errant CORRECTIONS of the *Authorized Version*. Twenty-six years before World War I broke out, Charles Haddon Spurgeon said this about the faculties and staffs of these “Orthodox” landfills:

Truth: (*Sword and Trowel*, 1888) “The act of altering the Word of God is SINFUL. ONLY UNHOLY (!) MINDS WOULD ATTEMPT IT.” (How is that for “secondary separation”?!)

“The desire to alter the Word of God is WEAKNESS. The authority, the power, the meaning, the terror of God’s truth must be preserved in all their FULNESS [Hell, not Hades; BASTARD, not illegitimate; DUNG, not offal; WHORE, not harlot, etc.] if God’s purposes are to be carried out.”

“The ambition to alter the Word of God is PHARISAIC. Our Lord reproved this spirit in scathing and unmistakable language.”

“The craving to alter the Word of God is accursed. THIS IS THE CRIME OF THE PRESENT DAY (*RV*: 1881–1885). The Lord preserve us from it.”

Charlie’s prayer was not answered. Unfortunately, on occasion, he wished to strut his own knowledge before his congregation and his students. On those occasions, he overruled his own convictions, GIVEN TO HIM BY THE HOLY SPIRIT (see above), so his prayer fell flat. God did not preserve England or the United States from the Pharisaic (see above), accursed, sinful CRIME (see above) of tampering with the Book. The Christian leaders divorced the Book from the “Word” and then claimed they were not tampering with the “Word” because they were only destroying THE BOOK (see Weniger, Sumner, Duncan, and Swaim above).

The Book says (not “the Word of God,” etc.), **“BY THEIR FRUITS YE SHALL KNOW THEM.”** Spurgeon’s success as a Baptist pastor had nothing to do with his lapses of faith in the Book he preached. Arlin Horton’s income (PCC) certainly might be connected, but in his case, he spent a lifetime AVOIDING the issue so that the funds would keep coming in. Spurgeon, at least, faced the issue. Bob Jones University’s financial and spiritual success was not due to the “official position on the Bible” taken by the faculty. It was due to the wisdom and spiritual power of the now deceased founder, and the wisdom of those trustees who handled money matters. There is nothing about R. A. Torrey’s ministry, that was spiritual, which could be attributed to the stupid corrections he occasionally made on the *King James* text. Those were his short comings (“sinful crimes,” according to Spurgeon). **“The fruit of righteousness”** produced by BBC, Tennessee Temple, etc. (and there are many), never have ANY connection, directly or indirectly, with any staff member correcting the *King James Bible*. They were due to prayer, soulwinning, good teaching in the home before going to school, a local church that believed the *King James Bible*, and the truths that were taught from THAT Book, without leaven or alteration.

The confounding of spiritual power and spiritual success with destructive criticism of the Book is the work of a DEMENTED MIND, or according to Ruckman’s Law, “If-fen hit don’t make sense, they’s a buck in hit.”

We teach students at PBI that any jughead, stupid enough to think that the spiritual successes of Hyles-Anderson, Liberty University, PCC, Moody, Fuller, Wheaton, and etc., were due to the brilliant intellectuality of the faculties and their ability to revise the *AV*, should take a long walk on a short pier for the good of mankind. It will “make the world a better place to live in, etc.”

How Not to do it In Greek Class

As one can see from the above (Chapter 5), the trouble had to begin with a Greek teacher. All of this sudden “light on the originals” and “older and better manuscripts” and “better and more accurate renderings,” etc., had to come from some cold, dead, back-slidden, non-Pauline type of bookworm without the common sense of a muskrat (Kenyon, Clark, Streeter, Swete, Colwell, Pickering, Nestle, Vogel, Weiss, Lachmann, Tischendorf, Deissmann, Briggs, Rendal, Vincent, Schaff, Lightfoot, Ellicott, et al.). So let us go back into the classroom and see what the Greek teacher is telling the young people (2000) in his class, as he prepares them to graduate as proud, stupid, self-righteous, flakey NINCOMPOOPS.

I will pull two dozen random samples out of my hat: the same one that had the rabbits in it. As near as I can remember, from my five years of Greek and three years of Hebrew, it went something like this:

a. “The translators of the *Authorized Version*, not in possession of the light archaeology has shed on the passage in Joshua 11:13, ERRONEOUSLY rendered the text **“but as for the cities that stood still in their strength.”** It should have been “stood on their mounds”—because some blockhead found out they built cities on mounds.

b. “Second Peter 1:20 does NOT speak of interpretation at all [!]; in FACT, the word translated **“interpretation”** SHOULD have been translated “origin.” That is, “no Scripture is of private ORIGIN.”

c. “What gives the *King James* translators the RIGHT to translate the SAME GREEK WORD as **‘author’** in Hebrews 12:2 and **‘Prince’** in Acts 3:15? It would have been BETTER if they had translated the word as “author” in Acts 3:15. Now, this is a thought you could NOT GET from the *KING JAMES*, which is clearly in the Greek, and one more example that our ULTIMATE AUTHORITY should be the wording of *THE GREEK TEXT*, and not any English translation.”

d. “No one should **‘put on the whole armour of God’.**” The *RV* (Westcott and Hort, 1885) correctly renders this as “TAKE UP, etc.,” and the word **“condemnation”** in the *AV* at Romans 8:1 should be “judgment,” as in the *RV* (Westcott and Hort, 1885). Alter the words **“condemned”** and **“condemnation”** in John 3:18 and John 5:24 to Westcott and Hort’s more accurate rendering in the Jesuit *RV* or *Douay Rheims* (“judged” and “judgment”).

e. Paul was in error when he wrote 1 Corinthians 10:8, but that is all right. It still backed up “the point he was making.” This was probably just a copyist’s mistake anyway and not Paul’s original. The mustard seed is NOT **“the least of all seeds”** (Matt. 13:32), for since then we have found smaller seeds. Hebrews 6:1 is “very faulty and misleading in

the *AV*.” “We” is a Christian in the passage, so Matthew 13:24 is also for a Christian.

f. “The indefinite article is objectionable in John 4:24, because the ORIGINAL reads as the *NASV* reads.” “The name of the angel in Daniel 8:13 is ‘Palmoni,’ though, unfortunately, not given in the *AV*.” “It is unreasonable and unscriptural to suppose that the ‘**sons of God**’ in Genesis 6 are anything but the ‘sons of SETH.’” “**The powers of the world to come**” (Heb. 6:5) should read “the inherent abilities.” Hebrews 6:1–4 is “crystal clear” *if you understand the original Greek grammar and syntax*. “**If**” in the *King James Version* (Heb. 6:6) is not acceptable. One cannot understand such a passage apart from the grammatical principle of antecedent action related to the main verb.”

g. In Hebrews 3:14, it is apparent that you must WORK to get into union with Christ, for the believer can “apostatize.” Hebrews 10:26 is a reference to Pauline Christians. Half of Romans 8:1 is false and should be thrown out of the Bible, because, clearly, it was not in “the ORIGINAL text.” In regards to Exodus 34:35; the misconception of this incident has “no doubt arisen from one word *ERRONEOUSLY supplied in the AV*. More correctly, we might supply the word ‘when.’ It does not SEEM, however, that it is necessary to supply ANY word.”

h. “It is strange that the *AV* renders Luke 2:34 the way it does. Here the *AV* departed from the *Textus Receptus*. Whatever the reasons why the *AV* departed from the *Textus Receptus* in this passage, a CAREFUL EXAMINATION OF THE FACTS [don’t you know, baby!!] will show that the TR must be correct.”

i. “For all SERIOUS study of the book of Revelation, one MUST use the *RV* of 1885 or one of the later versions. The expression ‘**GOD IS LOVE**’ (1 John 4:8) is not so, because the article should have been before God. The word is in the predicate and is *ANARTHROUS*. It is not the cities referred to in Jude 7, but the ANGELS who were ‘**in like manner**’ destroyed. Inspiration extends to the grammar of the Westcott and Hort Greek text but not to the *AV* text.” (Here, the teacher said “THE GREEK WORDS ARE VERBALLY INSPIRED,” after quoting the *RV* of Westcott and Hort).

j. “The idea of permanency would never occur to the student of the English Bible in Ephesians 3:17, but is CLEARLY SEEN in the GREEK TEXT by the student who has ‘eyes to see.’” Philippians 2:6–7 means “change of rank or station” in the Greek text, not just “change in shape.” “The student who uses his Greek Testament has access to MORE CLEARLY PRESENTED TRUTH than the student of the English Bible, and is therefore less liable to arrive at erroneous conclusions (see below)!”

k. In 1 Peter 5:10, “εἰς” should be translated as “with a respect or view toward.” “Matthew 11:3 is wrongly translated in the *AV*; it should be ‘another KIND’.” “The word ‘εἶδω’ for ‘γινώσκω’ clears up another difficulty in understanding the Bible that would remain UNSOLVED in the English text.”

l. To understand Hebrews 10:26–33, you have to understand *the Greek* of John 19:30 and Ephesians 2:8. “Such practical distinctions are lost to the student of the English Bible but readily available to the student of *THE Greek New Testament*.”

m. The punctiliar action in John 1:12 and Acts 16:31 “solves another problem, which in the English translation, could NOT be solved. The English reader simply cannot COPE with Hebrews 5:7. The Greek student who knows his *Greek Testament* always has more

truth on hand than he has an opportunity to give out. Understanding the *original Greek* of Mark 14:71 and Hebrews 12:2 solves problems, which in the English translation, could not be solved.”

Every quotation I gave came from the mouth of a born-again, saved Fundamentalist who subscribed (100 percent) to all the “Fundamentals” of the World Congress of Fundamentalism in 1992. Every man I cited believed in the “verbal, plenary, inspiration of the original autographs,” and every man I cited was a professional LIAR from the crown of his pate to the sole of his “dogs.” Every apostate Fundamentalist I cited referred to the Jesuit Vatican text of Nestle as “THE” Greek text. Everyone of them implied that you could find advanced revelations and hidden truth in the Greek text that you could not find in a *King James Bible*. And every flop-eared, pin-whiskered, bifocaled jackass in the list sat in judgment on the *AV* like he himself was the final word in what God should have said, that He did not say. [Observe the trouble they had with Hebrews (see *The Bible Believers Commentary on Hebrews*, 1989), and you will understand why we have often made “biting” and “caustic” and “abrasive” remarks about their stupidity and lack of sincerity.]

Shall we continue? Back into the classroom to learn “the original Greek,” so we will get “insights” into the word of God denied to people like “Ruckman”!

n. Actually, you are not saved **“by grace”** (Eph. 2:5), you are in a “saved state” or a “state of salvation.” The Greek text makes this clear. And you were not actually **“DEAD IN...SINS”** as the *AV* states; you were dead THROUGH your sins. The Greek case is Instrumental, not Locative.

o. Also, you are not **“in heavenly places”** in Ephesians 2 at all. “The Greek student knows this should have been translated as “the heavenly sphere.”

p. The *AV* reading for Ephesians 1:6 is definitely in error, for no one is **“accepted in the beloved.”** “*THE Greek text*” should be rendered as “which He has freely given us.” This is more accurate than the *AV*, because **“accepted in the beloved”** is not found in the Jesuit Rheims Bible of the Vatican (1582). **“Vile”** (Phil. 3:21) should be toned down to “weak,” as in all modern English versions, and **“dung”** certainly is a mistranslation of “σκυβαλα,” which is only “refuse.”

q. Ephesians 6:24 is extremely corrupt in the *AV*, for the word **“sincerity”** has no business in the text. “An undying love” (*NIV*) is better, or any reading found in the *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, or *RV*—all of which omit “sincerity” (αφθαρσια).

r. “There can be no **“fruit of the Spirit”** in Ephesians 5:9, because the *AV* translators did not properly understand Greek grammar and syntax. Correctly rendered, this should be ‘FRUIT OF THE LIGHT’ as is in the *RV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*, and other ‘reliable translations’.”

Now, can you imagine the confusion (of which Philpott spoke in 1869) by this time? Can you imagine the state of mind of some young man—say twenty to twenty-four years of age—after sitting FOUR YEARS under this type of thing? Why, bless my soul, he wouldn’t have any MIND left. As a Christian, he is destroyed. His authority is gone, his faith is gone, his Bible is gone; and it was stolen right out from under his nose by men who professed to be “Bible” believers and said “THE BIBLE” was the “Word” of God.

“Now the serpent was more subtle than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made.”

He can knock R. A. Torrey out of the ring quicker than he knocked out Moses, Noah, David, Peter, and Paul. “As a rule, the *RV* (Westcott and Hort’s Roman Catholic text of 1885) is a more accurate rendering of THE ORIGINAL [the original WHAT, Brother Torrey? “text?” “language?” “languages?” “Greek text?” “manuscripts?” Having a little trouble there, are you, R. A.?] than the *Authorized Version*, but there are some glaring exceptions to the contrary.” (For example? “Sorry, this is a recorded announcement.” He is going to leave it up to YOU to decide what the “glaring exceptions” are.) “I THINK it is the OPINION of the majority of BIBLICAL [see p. 58!] scholars that the *ASV* is, upon the whole, the MOST ACCURATE RENDERING into English of the ORIGINAL HEBREW OF THE OLD TESTAMENT and THE ORIGINAL Greek of the New” (*Practical and Perplexing Questions*, Moody Press, p. 17).

Did you mean the original Hebrew “TEXT” and the original Greek “TEXT?”

Why is it that these super-educated, super-intelligent, Christian scholars have such terrible trouble with GRADE-SCHOOL ENGLISH?

Did he mean the original “tongues” when he said “THE ORIGINAL HEBREW OF THE OLD TESTAMENT”? Did he mean THE ORIGINAL GREEK “LANGUAGE” when he said “THE ORIGINAL GREEK OF THE NEW?”

Why does R. A. Torrey always speak in an “unknown tongue?”

I will tell you why. He wants to IMPLY—without saying it—that the *RV* and the *ASV* came from THE ORIGINAL, INSPIRED HEBREW AND GREEK, OLD AND NEW TESTAMENTS. He wanted to deceive you, and he did, if you took him seriously. He did exactly what Charles Haddon Spurgeon and John R. Rice did (pp. 75–76), and this is exactly how “THE” Greek text is taught at BJU and every other Alexandrian institution on the North American continent: **“DECEIVING, AND BEING DECEIVED.”**

This is how “good, godly, dedicated, spiritual, soul-winning, militant Fundamentalists” put five hundred young men a year SLAP OUT OF THE MINISTRY. It has been going on since 1880.

I am showing you how NOT to teach Greek. I am showing you how we do NOT teach it here at PBI and have not taught it here one day for twenty-nine years. I am showing you how it is taught in the classrooms of every major recognized Christian college, seminary, and university in America, bar none (Fundamental, Liberal, Catholic, Evangelical, Neo-orthodox, Orthodox, Modernistic, Humanist, or Conservative). Every man I am about to quote is a saved Conservative, Orthodox, Fundamentalist who subscribes to “verbal, plenary, infallible, inerrant, inspired NOTHINGS” that don’t exist and vanished more than 1,800 years ago.

s. “We should not drop any verse unless we are very sure it was not part of the inspired original text.” Alter **“dung”** to “fertilizer” in Luke 13:8, and alter **“dung”** to “rubbish” in Philippians 3:8. And for heavens sake! get rid of the **“piss”** and **“dung”** of Isaiah 36:12 and make it “waste,” so you don’t offend any of the “devout women” who hold Sword conferences on marriages to get money for the “Sword”!

t. The *AV* is mistaken in Genesis 1, by translating the Hebrew as “**and**” before each verse (*NKJV*), because this is “prosy journalism” and is “monstrous” to do. The “**and**” should be omitted from verse 2, the “**and**” should be converted to “then” in verse 3, 9, and 11, but converted to “so” in verse 13 and “thus” in verse 6. (The *NKJV* is much more consistent in translating the same word the same way each time it appears!)

u. The word “**taxed**” is wrong in Luke 2:1–2. The original Greek actually means “registered,” except where it means “enrollment,” except where it means “census,” OR SOMETHING ELSE (*NASV*).

v. Philippians 1:16 and 2:3 favors “selfish ambition” instead of “**contention**,” although ἐριθεία means “STRIFE” or “WRANGLING.” The word “**file**” is archaic in 1 Samuel 13:21. A modern update translation (*NKJV*) must have easy to understand words for “*file*,” like “PIM.”

w. The word “**communicate**” in the *AV* actually should be translated as “SHARE” or “SHARING.”

x. “Reconciliation” is correct for Romans 5:11, not “**atonement**.”

In promoting the *NKJV* “WE WANT A BIBLE THAT GIVES US WHAT THE TEXT SAYS, NOT WHAT SOME SCHOLAR (*AV*) THINKS IT MEANS!”

“The divine inspiration of translators cannot be supported by Scripture (see p. 72).” (The writer writing this is James Price, who confesses in the same breath THAT HE NEVER READ ONE VERSE OF SCRIPTURE A DAY IN HIS LIFE TO SEE WHAT IT SUPPORTED.)

“The multiplicity of meanings may lead to doctrinal error” (James Price, again, while engaged in creating another “meaning” in passages after it had been done ninety times in the ninety years preceding his work). On page 123 of Farstad’s work (*The NKJV: In the Great Tradition*), the student is told that before a modern translation is made, the REVISERS AC-TUALLY ANALYZE THE “*ORIGINAL TEXT*.”

Do you see what is going on in the classroom? Liars are reproducing “**after their kind**” (Gen. 1). They are producing liars. This has been going on for over a century (1880–1990).

I have not yet given one-tenth of the material that a Greek student is given in four years of attacking the Holy Bible in a classroom. Gary Ferkel, who attended BJU, describes a classroom in a “Fortress of Faith” as follows:

Truth: “Dr. Neal had just finished discussing the subject of textual criticism in New Testament Introduction where the *KING JAMES VERSION OF THE BIBLE* [the one the school wanted to be “identified with!”] was ridiculed. Westcott and Hort were described as ‘very devout men’ who believed in the fundamentals of the faith [ditto Pope Paul VI, Pope John XXIII, and Pope John Paul II]. Not only did Dr. Neal make those who support the theory of Westcott and Hort look good [Sumner, Kutilek, Schaff, Green, Robertson, Machen, Davis, Warfield, Carroll, Broadus, et al.], but many of those who oppose it were made to look SILLY. David Otis Fuller was described as being ‘either DISHONEST or very IGNORANT.’ Dr. Neal stated that he believes the former (dishonest). The testimony of others was discounted on the grounds that they were not SCHOLARS [See *The Anti-*

Intellectual Manifesto, 1991, Chaps. 1–2]. The laughter of students, AS DR. NEAL WAS MOCKING THOSE WHO SUPPORT THE *KING JAMES VERSION* OF THE BIBLE [the “Bible” Bob Jones III said the school wanted to be “identified” with!], made it obvious that they have been thoroughly indoctrinated with the LIBERAL THEORY OF TEXTUAL CRITICISM during their studies at BJU” (March 2, 1976).

Where do you lose your faith in a *King James Bible*?

You lose it in a Greek classroom under a saved Greek teacher.

Where are you converted from a Bible-believer into an INFIDEL?

In a “BASTION OF ORTHODOXY” which is a “FORTRESS OF FAITH” holding the “WORLD CONGRESS OF FUNDAMENTALISM.”

Those were the people who invented the terms “King James Onlyism,” “Ruckmanism,” and “Ruckmanite.” That is them. Their life long ministries are destroying the faith of young men and women in the Holy Bible. That is their “calling.”

Truth has always been stranger than fiction. Apostasy always begins (always, not one exception in one thousand years of church history) in a Christian institution of “higher learning” that professes to believe the FUNDAMENTALS, as given in the Apostles’ Creed. There are no exceptions (A.D. 325–1992). The only thing men learn from history is that men never learn from history.

This time, going back in the classroom, I will not merely show you how the Book is perverted by a professional liar, I will show you HOW he perverted it. That is, here is MY system for “teaching Greek,” in the classroom, laid alongside the Bob Jones-BBC-PCC-Liberty-Grace-Dallas-Moody-Fuller way of teaching it.

First, I will list the apostate Fundamentalist in the role of a lying thief (no overstatement, see p. 76), and then, I will show how we overthrow his Mickey Mouse scholarship, and his lying corrections on the text.

a. “A person cannot be a THEOLOGIAN unless he is first a GRAMMARIAN” (Blackwelder, *Light from THE Greek New Testament*, p. 30). This man was on the *NKJV* committee with Truman Dollar, Curtis Hutson, and A. V. Henderson.

Correction: the word “theologian,” in the “original Greek,” means “KNOWLEDGE OF GOD” (God-knowledge). If we take the lying thief seriously, we must exclude Billy Bray, Sam Jones, Pappy Reveal, John Patton, Peter, Andrew, James, John, Dwight Moody, Mel Trotter, Billy Sunday, George Grace, Jack Hyles, and George Whitefield from having any knowledge of GOD. That is what the word “theology” means in “the original Greek.” (He didn’t know the difference between systematic and practical theology).

b. “He who knows the Greek has a tool to help him toward accurate exposition of the Scriptures and generally, he is less liable to err in interpretation than he would otherwise be” (p. 30).

Correction: Dr. A. T. Robertson, the greatest Greek scholar America ever produced, was Amillennial; he was contemporaneous with Billy Sunday, Charles Fuller, Dr. DeHaan, and Mordecai Ham, who were PREMILLENNIAL.

c. “Classical Greek had at least four words meaning ‘love.’ Various shades of meaning were expressed with the terms *eros*, *storge*, *philos*, and *agape*. The Platonic use of ‘Eros’ ennobled the word somewhat. He used ‘eros’ to denote the supreme DESIRE FOR KNOWLEDGE” (p. 33).

Correction: the word “**love**” is defined in the English text of 1611 (and all subsequent editions) in Genesis 22:1–2, where its “first appearance” determines its definition, as confirmed in the New Testament (1 John 4:10; Rom. 5:8) in ELIZABETHAN ENGLISH. The word “eros” IS NOT IN THE NEW TESTAMENT, IN ANY GREEK TEXT.

d. “*Phileo* was...fondness for a person or thing” (p. 35). “*Agapao* and *Phileo* were sometimes used practically SYNONYMOUSLY in classical Greek and also in the Scriptures” (p. 37).

Comment: then what was the point in claiming “error” in the *AV* translation of John 21:15? There wasn’t any.

e. “In rendering *zo-on* and *therion* by the same term (“beast”), the *King James Version* obliterated for the English reader the distinction between these two Greek words” (p. 43). “The *zoa* or living beings which stand before the throne of God are part of the HEAVENLY SYMBOLISM...This FACT, along with the etymological distinctions, should preclude any confusion of the two terms. An understanding of these terms is ESSENTIAL for the proper interpretation of many passages in the Revelation of John” (p. 43).

Correction: you didn’t give one example.

Correction: the beasts are not “Heavenly Symbolism”; they are FOUR BEASTS who represent the four main branches of creation. By taking out the word “beasts” you lost the reference to the FIFTH CHERUB (Satan): see Ezekiel 1, 10 and Genesis 3. Thus, A “MISTAKE” IN THE *KING JAMES BIBLE* TURNED OUT TO BE *ADVANCED REVELATION* which was not available to the Greek scholar who went to a lexicon to translate a Greek word, instead of the English text for the meaning of the passage. (This is the kind of thing that turns apostates like Curtis Hutson inside out! “MISTAKES IN THE *AV* ARE *ADVANCED REVELATIONS*.” That is what drives modern apostate Bible correctors “up the wall!”)

Correction: not one thing Blackwelder said was “essential” for anything. He was just aping the “party line”; i.e., you cannot understand the Scriptures or “properly interpret” the Scriptures unless you study Greek under a destructive Bible critic who knows Greek.

f. “A number of New Testament translations, including the *King James*...do not make a consistent distinction between the Greek word for regret and the word for repentance...It is true that *metameleia* and *metanoia* were used interchangeably at times in Koine Greek” (p. 38).

Comment: good. Then the *AV* is not in error when it does the same thing. A correct theological interpretation of “repentance” in the Bible doesn’t hinge on any Greek word, nor is any understanding of any Greek word to get the truth. “Repentance,” according to Job 42 and Luke 5:8 and 2 Corinthians 7:10, is “sorrow for what you ARE.” On the other hand, Exodus 9:27 and Numbers 22:34 and Matthew 27:4 show “regret” is sorrow for

what you have DONE. The English gave light on the “original Greek” that Blackwelder couldn’t find; neither could Kenneth Wuest nor A. T. Robertson.

g. “An understanding of the significance of tense clears away a *contradiction* [see p. 82 for more of this Alexandrian baloney] which some translations [i.e., *King James*] make between John 20:17 and Matt. 28:9. The verb “hapto” means not only “to touch” but “to cling to.” In John, the LATTER IDEA is evidently meant as indicated by the present tense... Bearing each of these elements of *syntax* in mind, the total idea expressed in Jesus’ exhortation is, ‘Stop holding to me,’ or ‘Cease clinging to me’ (p. 73).”

Correction: then she DID touch Him if you were stupid enough to think that a knowledge of Greek grammar and syntax would protect you from error and give you the right interpretation. Observe how a knowledge of Greek and Greek syntax enables a fool to blot out the truth and DESTROY THE SCRIPTURES. If Mary had touched Him, she would have brought a sinless Saviour back into contact with defiled flesh after He had just completed a payment for that item (“SIN”— John 1:29) AND HAD NOT YET PRESENTED HIMSELF TO THE FATHER ON THE THRONE (Heb. 6:20, 9:12), IN THE THIRD HEAVEN. The *NKJV* committee (Blackwelder) knew nothing about these things. Hebrews 9:28 was also altered in the *NKJV* to cover up this great New Testament Scriptural TRUTH. The name of Blackwelder’s book is *LIGHT from the Greek New Testament*. Black light. His exegesis is a black hole. It is the scholarship of the *NKJV* committee (see Chapter 7 for the details).

h. “An awareness of the ANAPHORIC use of the article is a great HELP to the INTERPRETER” (p. 142). “Since the dragon of chapter 12 [Revelation] is NOT LITERAL, and inasmuch as he is the same monster described in chapter 20, we conclude that the dragon [honest to God, you are suppose to be taking this just as seriously as some stupid young man twenty years old, sitting in class]... *cannot be a LITERAL creature, but is SYMBOLIC*” (p. 142).

Correction: knowledge of the “anaphoric use of the article” causes deliberate rejection of Biblical truth and proves to be a hindrance to learning the Scriptures. The “symbolic” dragon’s face, body, skin, breath, bones, and scales are described in Job 41. Here, the *NKJV* said it was a “large animal; identity UNKNOWN.” That is what the “anaphoric use of the article” did for Arthur Farstad, James Price, and Curtis Hutson.

When Blackwelder gets to hell, he will see how “symbolic” the dragon in Revelation 20:2 was. You say “What a thing to say of a Fundamentalist who believed in plenary, verbally, inspired originals!” I didn’t say it; he did. Blackwelder taught that he himself could go to hell after he was saved. “An awareness of the tenses is ESSENTIAL for an interpretation [sounds like a broken record, doesn’t it?] of 1 John 3:6, 9.” “Saving faith” involves both the initial act of believing... AND THE CONTINUOUS ATTITUDE OF TRUSTING IN HIM” (p. 72). Every person who believes in Christ HAS eternal life... HAS IT WHILE AND AS LONG AS HE IS TRUSTING. Thus we see the importance of Jesus’ statement, ‘He that ENDURETH TO THE END SHALL BE SAVED’! (p. 106).

Now go back to pages 90–94 and read what Blackwelder and Kenneth Wuest said about improper interpretation and “being liable to error” from not knowing Greek. The biggest bunch of bombed-out bunglers, who ever broke out of a booby hatch, are the

blockheads who think proper interpretation of Christian doctrine lies in a GREEK CLASSROOM where a GREEK TEACHER worships a GREEK GRAMMAR.

i. καὶ in Matthew 3:11 should be ‘EVEN with fire,’ and καὶ in John 3:5 should be ‘even the Spirit’, (pp. 113–114).

Correction: you are a God-forsaken liar. The baptism of fire in Matthew 3 is NOT for anyone saved, and Acts 1:5 and Acts 11:16, in the 1611 English (and ALL editions since then), gave this light on “the original Greek” that no Greek scholar could find. If the “καὶ” in John 3:5 were “even,” you would be equating a material birth with a SPIRITUAL BIRTH (hello Rome!). Blackwelder taught two anti-scriptural heresies all of his life, and the *NKJV* committee chose him, knowing this. The advanced revelation found in the ENGLISH text was unavailable to the Greek scholars. (Oh, how that sets the hair on apostates like John R. Rice, Curtis Hutson, Robert Sumner, and Gary Hudson! Oh, my God! Do they have a time with THAT!!)

j. Without the article on Galatians 3:23, it is UNINTELLIGIBLE, because someone is liable to think that no one exercised any kind of faith before Christ (p. 143). Without an article on James 2:14, one would think that faith could not save a man (p. 143). In the New Testament, THE TRUTH means “the MESSAGE of the Gospel, the revelation of God in Jesus Christ” (p. 144).

Correction: it means *nothing* of the kind in John 17:17 or in 2 Timothy 2:15 or 4:4 or in James 1:18. You just gave Barth and Brunner’s Neo-orthodox position before you sat down on the *NKJV* committee to help poor old Sam Moore give folks a Bible they could “understand” (see p. 104). Bruce Blackwelder was the FIRST New Testament translator named in Farstad’s work on *The NKJV: In the Great Tradition* (p. 144). Blackwelder couldn’t find a bowling ball in a bathtub at 12 o’clock noon.

k. “It is ESSENTIAL [broken record] that the student master the conjugation of the verb before he attempts exegesis, for without such a background, *exegesis* is IMPOSSIBLE” (p. 51).

Do you see what is being pounded into the student? He is being led to believe he will never be able to understand a verse or explain it unless he is as STUPID as the translators of the *NKJV*.

Correction: you have just told your class that Oliver Green, Harry Ironsides, J. Frank Norris, Bob Jones Sr., Art Wilson, Lester Roloff, Bob Gray, Hugh Pyle, Gen. William Booth, Charles G. Finney, and Billy Sunday were UNABLE to exegete Scripture. Not one of them “mastered” the “conjugation of the Greek verb.”

Now, I am about to close this chapter on “How NOT to do it in Greek Class.” I think, by now, you should have a thorough understanding of what is done to the MIND of the ministerial student who is involved in “higher Christian education,” and HOW it is done. Note the constant, irrational dogmatism that accompanies this Satanic brainwashing technique: “it is ESSENTIAL,” “it is IMPOSSIBLE,” it is “absolutely NECESSARY,” “without THIS” you can’t make it, etc.

In “Scholarship Onlyism,” Greek grammars are gods, and the Greek teacher is the Almighty who constantly warns the young ministerial student that “without him he can do

nothing” (see John 15:5). By now, you could guess what is going to happen when the *NKJV* comes out, professing to be just another edition of the *King James Bible*. It is going to be nothing but a mass of private interpretations thrust upon the body of Scripture to alter (at least five hundred times) some *essential truth* that a Greek scholar could not find in the “original Greek text” (John Rice’s term: see page 64). When Blackwelder, Blaiklock, Boyer, Burns, Cameron, Farstad, Sturtz, and John Sproule finally get their confused (see above), unbelieving (see p. 106), defiled hands on that Holy Book, they are going to make mince meat out of it and then play “cat and mouse” with you to get you to *think* they were sound in the faith.” How “sound” they were will soon be evident.

1. “The application of the distinction between “*λαλεω*” and “*λεγω*” helps clear up an otherwise DIFFICULT PASSAGE in 1 Corinthians 14:34–35.”

Correction: you lie like a dog. There is nothing difficult about 1 Corinthians 14:34–35 in any edition of a *King James Bible* from 1611 to 1991. “There are four keys which UNLOCK the passage; the meaning of the verb, the tense, the situation, and the ANTITHETICAL FORM of the prohibition” (p. 56).

Correction: the poor, blind, deluded egomaniac is wandering in the back end of a dead-end alley. First Corinthians 14:34–35 has been exegeted and expounded correctly by more than fifty commentators and preachers in the last fifty years without making ONE reference to ANY Greek word in either verse.

m. “An understanding of this element of GRAMMAR will often solve what appears to be a SERIOUS PROBLEM OF INTERPRETATION, or enable a student to ascertain depths of meaning *not possible otherwise*” (p. 60).

Correction: that is what characterizes all CULTS, according to every anti-gnostic writer in the last two centuries: the teaching that if you follow the *secret knowledge* of the cult leader, you can get *superior knowledge* denied to the “masses.”

After deliberately deceiving you, Blackwelder now demonstrates how you should translate the Textus Receptus. He takes Romans 6:15 and gives you this: “In the light of this fact, what is to be our course of action? Shall we commit OCCASIONAL ACTS OF SIN BECAUSE WE ARE NOT UNDER legal principle but under God’s favor? Never!” (p. 71).

Correction: you didn’t translate ANY GREEK TEXT. You didn’t translate the Greek words in *your own* Greek text the way you just told the students they HAD to do. While stating that a man “could not be a theologian before he was a grammarian,” you violated THREE rules of Greek Grammar in one verse:

1) You didn’t translate “*Τι ουν*” (“**What then**”).

2) You refused to translate the word for “**law**” correctly which is “**law**” (*νομος*) over 150 times in the New Testament.

3) You gave the *NIV* rendering of “*μη γεινοιτο*” (see p. 38) which fails to translate the OPTATIVE and omits the PERSON of the verb (3rd singular).

This is the Greek scholarship that was behind the NKJV.

This is the Greek professor at work on the prospective ministerial student. After four

years of gas about the NEED for something being absolutely ESSENTIAL to “PROPER INTERPRETATION,” the deluded, lying thief gives you his own *private* interpretation of a Scriptural passage without ANY regard to one rule of Greek grammar he just “sold you on.” Of this *private* interpretation—which created the *NKJV*—Sturz says: “We want to know what GOD says, not what some translator *thinks* He meant” (Farstad, p. 133). In the *NKJV*, from cover to cover, you get what some translator *thought* God should have said that He did NOT say. Sturz was on the committee with Blackwelder. Their “peers” were Truman Dollar, Curtis Hutson, Harold Ockenga, Duke McCall, Jerry Falwell, A.V. Henderson, Adrian Rogers, Robert Picirilli, John A. Sproule, and Allan McCrae.

You become a self-righteous, stupid, Bible critic in a Greek class (see J. Melton, p. 43). The way Greek is TAUGHT determines your destiny. At Pensacola Bible Institute, we give the students all the FACTS, which prove that when these apostate Fundamentalists talk about “the *facts*” and “facing the *facts*” and “dealing with the *facts*” and “the *fact* of the matter is,” etc., they simply mean two-thirds of the truth, carefully omitting ANY (and ALL) “facts” which show that the “key” to understanding and interpreting the Scripture has nothing to do with linguistic ability or knowledge of the “original languages.”

The keys to understanding the Bible are a humble heart, a believing mind, and time spent searching the Scriptures. Blackwelder, Sturz, Pickering, James Price, Robert Sumner, Bob Jones III, and A. T. Robertson know nothing about these “keys” at all, for they are found in the ENGLISH texts (from 1611–1992) of 1 Thess. 2:13; Isaiah 28:9, 66:2; and 2 Kings 22:11, which the bloated egotists *rejected* as Final Authority when they began to deceive others.

We now leave the Greek classroom momentarily to pick up the final PRODUCT of four hundred years of Satanic attempts to replace the *Authorized Version*. This is the last twentieth-century, Laodicean publication put out by the publishers who put out the *RSV* of the National Council of Churches. It professes to be the greatest revision of the *King James Bible* ever made, and it modestly professes to be the FIRST revision of that Bible in 371 years that made it “UNDERSTAND-ABLE.” John Wesley, Billy Sunday, Gen. William Booth, Charles G. Finney, Sam Jones, J. Frank Norris, Lorenzo Dow, James McGready, Peter Cartwright, Sheldon Jackson, C. T. Studd, Jonathan Goforth, Adoniram Judson, Oliver Green, Mordecai Ham, B.B. Crimm, Art Wilson, Harvey Springer, and John Rice all missed the boat. They were stuck with a book they could not “understand.” They were not around in 1938 when the New King Jimmy sashayed out of the “wings” onto the stage.

The New King Jimmy-Wimmy Version

(For Greek Scholars Who
Don't Like Westcott and Hort).

Around 1970–1980, after *The Christian's Handbook of Manuscript Evidence* got on the market, along with *The Bible Babel* and *The Bible Believer's Commentary on Revelation*, a strange thing took place among the ranks of the destructive Bible critics who formerly had sworn by Nestle, Westcott, and Hort. Suddenly, there was a mass defection from the Alexandrian Greek text of the Jesuit Rheims Bible to the Syrian Greek text of the Textus Receptus, or “Majority Text.”

Not even Nestle himself, back in Stuttgart, could escape the “ground swell.” He suddenly reversed field and altered his “standard” text, being used by the British and Foreign Bible Society (1904), in more than 450 places, re-inserting the Greek text for the *KING JAMES BIBLE*. He did this to the tune of more than eighty in Matthew alone, more than forty in Mark, more than fifty in Luke, more than sixty in John, and more than eighty in Acts, before he even got to the Pauline Epistles. This mammoth defection from the “ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT”—that is what John R. Rice called it (see p. 23)—“inspired” Thomas Nelson and Sons to clean the suckers out of another several million dollars by producing a “*NEW*” *King James Bible*, built on the Syrian Receptus instead of the Catholic Egyptian text.

Between 1979 and 1980, the destructive critics had to change their habit of correcting the Holy Bible with the ALEXANDRIAN Greek to correcting it with BYZANTINE Greek. There were two “catches,” however, in this movement. First, BJU, and others, stood by the original Jesuit Dark Age Greek text of 1582 (*RV*, 1885). Two, when the “*New*” *KJV* came out, it was discovered that it had altered the *King James* text in more than five hundred places to bring it back into line with the *RSV* of the National Council of Churches and the *ASV* of 1901; both of these depraved corruptions (plus the *NASV*, *NRSV*, and *NIV*) came from Alexandrian text, not the Byzantine. Both of them were Origenistic-Jerome-Nestle-*ASV*-Hort-BJU productions; they were not from the Syrian texts (Majority or Textus Receptus).

Thus, it came to pass that the Greek teachers in the Greek classrooms went right on with their divisive and destructive ministries without “missing a lick.” While they were doing it, the crown fraud of fraud—out frauding the *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NEB*, *TEV*, and *NASV*—showed up: THE “NEW KING JIMMY,” sporting Curtis Hutson and Harold Ockenga on the same committee, with Truman Dollar, Jerry Falwell, Duke McCall, and A. V. Henderson.

Under the guise of reproducing a *New King James Bible* in the “TRADITION” of the old one, the readings of the *ASV*, *RV*, *RSV*, *NASV*, and *NRSV* were thrust back into the English text to replace the 1611 readings, which had appeared IN EVERY EDITION OF

THE *AV* UP TILL THAT TIME. The matter was not discussed in any classes on Greek beyond pointing out that the *NKJV* readings were right and the *AV* readings were wrong.

This double-barreled fraud (carried out by men who all believed in “plenary, verbally, inspired, original autographs”) was done as follows:

1. First, the sucker was told that the New King Jimmy would make the Bible “*UNDERSTANDABLE*” for the first time in 371 years. This was said after more than 100 translations preceding it had just made the SAME profession (see p. 104). But to prove the lie, the student was given a review of Tyndale, Coverdale, the Bishop’s Bible, the Geneva Bible, and the Great Bible to prove that each of those revisions was an attempt to “UPDATE language.” They listed eight Bibles for a period of seventy years, knowing their own revision was one among more than fifty in the last sixty years.

2. You were then led to believe that since there were critics of the *AV* when it came out, that criticism of modern translations (*ASV*, *NASV*, *NKJV*) would come from the same source, which, of course, they would NOT.

3. You were then told that the spelling and punctuation in a *King James Bible* would be discouraging obstacles to modern reading; however, you were referred to the original 1611, not to the COPIES WHICH EVERY CHRISTIAN HAS IN HIS HAND TODAY. It is a copy, TODAY, that the translators of the New King Jimmy were trying to get rid of, and that will be more than apparent in what follows.

4. Next, you were informed that the real reason for not sticking with the *King James Bible* you have in your hand was because the English language had changed in the last four hundred years (Farstad, p. 28). You are told this after the following men and committees “updated” the language ten to one hundred years before the New Jimmy showed up: *Phillips, Amplified, Goodspeed, Jerusalem, New English, Moffatt, Williams, RV, ASV, NASV, RSV, Rieve, Verkuyl, Hanson, Doward, Lewis, Weeks, Wuest, Lamsa, Riverside, New World, Weymouth, International, Fenton, Dake, Callan, Wand, Kent, Gowen, Royd, Wade, Martin, Spencer, Hayman, Godbey, Lloyd, Forster, Bourne, Weaver, Cunnington, McFayden, Anderson, Askwith, Nols, Beck, Gelineaus, Bruce, Laubach, Hudson, Meissner, Vernon, Lenski, Leslie*, and fifty others.

Fraud. In the words of J. L. Sasse: “NOTHING BUT A SMOKE SCREEN OF COMMERCIALIZED VANITY. THEY CAN BE DISPENSED WITH.” How many of them? ALL of them. The alibi used by Sam Moore, of Thomas Nelson and Sons, was that he was “deeply concerned” about “so many Christians” not being able to “FULLY UNDERSTAND” the *AV* because of its “archaic phraseology” (Farstad, p. 31). This was the alibi for taking “**science**” out of 1 Timothy 6:20, “**appearance**” out of 1 Thessalonians 5:22, “**corrupt**” out of 2 Corinthians 2:17, and making a “servant” out of God’s “**child**” in Acts 4:27. I have been saved forty-three years. I have never met a Greek or Hebrew scholar on the face of this earth who “FULLY UNDERSTOOD” (see Sam Moore above) any version of any Bible, in any language, no matter who translated it from what set of manuscripts. If you “FULLY UNDERSTOOD” (see Sam Moore above) the Bible, you would be Jesus Christ. “Sam baby” gave you the shaft, and you paid a price for it, believe me.

After explaining “Complete Old Testament Textual Data” and “New Testament

Textual Data” and “Complete Equivalence in Translation,” Farstad had letters of recommendation printed (for selling the New King Jimmy) by “youth leaders,” educators, pastors, evangelists, and missionaries. They say, among other things, that it will help AWANA, so young people will understand the “Word” better (see p. 58), and “NOW we have a Bible that is easily memorized, as well as being easily *understood*.”

Unfortunately, your memorization isn’t going to enable you to retain the truth.

“Do I use the old *King James* anymore? NO. Why should I when I have the *NKJV*—EVERYTHING THAT WAS GREAT IN THE OLD (see p. 106), plus a whole lot more?” “The *NKJV* makes reading the Bible much clearer, but IN NO WAY DILUTES THE TRUTH (see p. 109), or distracts from the most effective flow found in the original *King James*.” “There is no translation on earth that has the beauty of the *New King James Bible*.” “I am profoundly thankful to God that we have AT LAST (!) a *trusted text* that is easy to read.”

Michael Cocoris, pastor of an interdenominational charismatic mess out in Glendora, California, says that if you hold on to the *King James Bible* you are bound by tradition. (He words this cautiously as “The problem is WE tend to hold on to tradition.”) Then he states that sticking with the *King James Bible* will interfere with your understanding God’s “Word” (This is cautiously worded as “That’s fine UNTIL it interferes with understanding and obeying God’s Word.”) The rest of the recommendation (with an illustration) shows that what this mealy-mouthed, double-tongued “sharer” is trying to say is that if you desire in your heart to understand the Word of God, you WILL DUMP YOUR *KING JAMES BIBLE* AND TRUST ONE THAT HAS THE ENGLISH TEXTS OF THE *ASV*, *RSV*, *NASV*, *NRSV*, and *NIV* ALL THE WAY THROUGH IT (Farstad, p. 139).

Now, back into the Greek class! Here is the destructive critic again, this time armed with a Textus Receptus instead of Nestle or Aland and Metzger; and now he will justify the *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, and *NIV* readings with a *NKJV* instead of a *NASV* (like they did at Bob Jones, p. 89). Off we go!

Keep in mind that the one guiding principle behind everything I have said about these scholars, since page one, was their desire to get rid of, or nullify, the effects of one Book. It is one Book, and ONE BOOK only, on which they are setting their sights. The *NIV* translators waste no time attacking the *ASV* or the *RSV*. The *NASV* translators don’t waste five minutes attacking the *RV* or the “*Living Bible*.” They are confederate against one Book. The trick is, “How many changes can I make in that one book to destroy its authority?” That is why the Greek class was formed, and why they hired a Greek teacher to teach Greek. This is the American “King Jimmy” who has no more real spiritual authority than Jimmy Hoffa or Jimmy Dorsey.

Party Line: “**Damnable**” should be “destructive” (2 Pet. 2:1); “**riot**” should be “carouse” (2 Pet. 2:13); “**hell**” should be “hades” (Rev. 6:8); “**slaves**” should be “bodies” (Rev. 18:13); “**whoremongers**” should be “sexually immoral” (Rev. 22:15); “**reprobate**” should be “disqualified” (Titus 1:16); “**an heretic**” should be a “divisive man” (Titus 3:10); your “**profession**” should have been only a “confession” (Heb. 3:1); Christ is not “**touched**” with the feelings of your infirmities but only “sympathizes with them” (Heb. 4:15); “**worldly**” should be “earthly” (Heb. 9:1); “**building**” should be “creation” (Heb.

9:11); a “**commandment**” is just an “instruction” (Heb. 11:22); “**profited**” should be “advanced” (Gal. 1:14); there are no “**heathen,**” just “Gentiles” (Gal. 2:9); “**reprove**” should be “expose” (Eph. 5:11); “**body**” should be “substance” (Col. 2:17); and your “**affection**” just has to do with your “mind” (Col. 3:2); and so forth.

This is retaining the SENSE of the *AV* text in its tradition, is it? This is “*everything* that was in the old,” is it? It “in no way dilutes *the truth,*” does it? If “**Diana**” should have been “Artemis” (Acts 19:24) and “**Jupiter**” should have been “heaven” (Acts 19:35), haven’t you deliberately covered up revealed truth? No? Then get the *Bible Believer’s Commentary on Acts* and look at the comments under Acts 19:24 and 19:35. You are being defrauded. It is done in a classroom, under a Greek teacher.

Truth: “BOYS” (John 21:5) is not a translation of “*παῖδια.*” As a matter of fact, that was the word the same *NKJV* board translated as “SERVANT” in Acts 4:27 when attacking Jesus Christ. The alteration of “**man**” to a neuter 319 times in the New Testament, by the *NKJV* board, shows their preoccupation with sexism. If you think converting a male to a neuter (anyone, everyone, someone, each person, human being, etc.) is in the “tradition” of the *King James Bible*, you don’t know what a King is, who King James was, or what a Bible is.

In the *NKJV*, it is all right for a woman to get drunk (Titus 2:4), because in spite of the fact that every edition of a *King James Bible* for 380 years said “**to be sober,**” the “New” King Jimmy throws all three words out of the text. The alibi is, since the words were not found in the Receptus, they should be out. But lest you should be deceived into thinking these are honest men consistently following their “text,” turn to Acts 7:59 where the word “GOD” has been inserted. IT IS NOT FOUND IN ANY MANUSCRIPT OF ANY EDITION OF ANY COPY OF THE MAJORITY TEXT OR THE TEXTUS RECEPTUS. Fraud.

At Philippians 2:8, the New King Jimmy inserted the reading of the *RSV* of the National Council of Churches (ditto the *NASV*). This reading—“EMPTIED” for “**humbled**”—was considered to be an attack on the Deity of Christ by every major Fundamentalist leader who rejected the *RSV* when it came out in 1952. It was accepted by Truman Dollar, Curtis Hutson, A.V. Henderson, and Tennessee Temple (James Price).

After saying that they would italicize additions, the New King Jimmy Version added words to Hebrews 2:16 that are not in italics, and in adding them, they completely destroyed the meaning of the verse AND the context in which it appeared.

With their obsession on “sexism,” the New King Jimmy put the *NIV* reading into Romans 1:31 to protect those who had UNNATURAL AFFECTIONS. The *AV* reading, found in every edition of the *AV* for 380 years, was “**without natural affection.**” For the benefit of lesbians, abortionists, and homos, this was reduced to “UNDISCERNING” in the King Jimmy. (Now at this point, go back again and read those “recommendations” that Arthur Farstad had printed in order to sell this anti-*King James Version.*)

God never “passed over” the sins of anyone in the Old Testament. He often forgave them (see Exod. 34:7), but when you read the King Jimmy (1983), you find God “passing over” sins that He actually REMITTED (Rom. 3:25). In making this mammoth, theological blunder, Hutson, Dollar, Price, Martin, Farstad, Ockenga, McCall, et al.,

erased one of the FOUR references to Acts 2:38, which interpreted the Greek preposition in that clause (see page 36–37). God only passed over the Jews at the passover, physically, on the basis of blood that COULD NOT TAKE AWAY THEIR SINS. They were forgiven as David’s sins were and Jehoshaphat’s were (or any other saint under the law). They were *remitted* without being REDEEMED. This is one of the greatest truths found in both Testaments (see Heb. 9:15 and Exod. 34:7 in the RIGHT *King James Bible*). We have access to truths to which no Hebrew or Greek scholar has access (see p. 40).

After all that hot air about archaic language and poor Sam Moore’s burden for folks to “fully understand the Bible,” up pops “*denarii*” and “*mina*” (Luke 19; Mark 18). Fraud. Despicable fraud.

But one must remember that in the Laodicean age, FRAUD is “godliness,” if it accompanies “good, godly, dedicated scholarship.” It is one of the “signs of the times.” While professing to be restoring the Textus Receptus of Luther, Tyndale, Diodati, Valera, Olivetan, and the Geneva Bible, Farstad, Blackwelder, MacRea, McCall, and Co., restored the *RSV* readings of the NCC that came from the Alexandrian text of Nestle, Hort, Schaff, Origen, and the popes. The *RSV* readings are in the *NKJV* in Job 3:7–8, 26, 4:4, 17; 13:18, 24:24; 26:6, 13; 30:29 and 32:15–16. That is ONE Book out of sixty-six. Bob Jones University’s Alexandrian corruption (the *NASV*) restored the *RSV* reading to Romans 8:1; Revelation 22:14; Acts 1:3; Matthew 6:13, 12:6; 12:42; Hebrews 11:6; and Luke 4:4, 8, 23:42, and 24:51–52.

After professing to being more “consistent” than the *AV* in translating “the same Greek word the same way,” the *NKJV* gave you the following variations on “*ιδε*” and “*ιδου*” (**behold**): “Look, suddenly, indeed, at once, see, here are, observe, here am I, and now.”

In Acts 9:10, the word “*ιδου*” is completely missing, although it is in every copy of the Textus Receptus in print (Erasmus, Stephanus, Beza, Elzevir, Colinaeus, etc). It is again ignored in Romans 11:22 (*ιδε*), after complaining about the *AV* translators lack of knowledge compared with the twentieth-century Laodiceans (i.e. “These recommendations cannot be justified (*AV*) on the basis of SUPERIOR SCHOLARLY JUDGMENT of the 1611 translators because there are equally competent scholars today and textual-critical knowledge IS MORE *ADVANCED*,” James Price: Farstad, p. 99).

So, the dirty, lying, hypocrites (and I say that with charity!) refused to translate *their own Greek text*, and then accused the *AV* translators of ERROR in doing the same thing (see pp. 55–56).

“You serpents, you generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?”

You see, they used *their own sins* to get rid of *your Bible*, and then claimed it was sinning when it followed THEIR example. You couldn’t find a more UNGODLY bunch anywhere on the face of this earth. Their profession is like a Mafia “Don” confessing to live by the Golden Rule. It is like “Smilin” Al Capone who said: “Gosh, what’s wrong? I just wanted to live and let live.”

Observe how “mistakes” in a *King James Bible*—that is, what these God defying, Bible-rejecting Fundamentalists CALL “mistakes”—often contain revelations of the truth that evidently cannot be found in ANY Greek Text.

The samples we are about to give are from the Textus Receptus, if the *NKJV* translators told the truth about their sources. We are going to lay an *Authorized Version* of the Holy Bible alongside the Greek scholarship of the men who created the New King Jimmy Version (*NKJV*: The Nutty Kook's Jackleg Vision) and show the Greek student how the King's English contains truth that must be ABSENT from their Greek "Receptus," for all the scholars who swore by it (Zane Hodges, Arthur Farstad, Richard Chase, Cortez Cooper, Truman Dollar, Wilbur Pickering, Jerry Falwell, A.V. Henderson, W. A. Criswell, William Glass, Herschel Hobbs, Stanley Mooneyham, Curtis Hutson, Rufus Jones, Duke McCall, Adrian Rogers, Henry Morris, Elmer Towns, Thomas Zimmerman, Jack Wyrzten, and James Price); (see Farstad, pp. 141–159) COULD NOT FIND THESE TRUTHS IN THAT GREEK TEXT, not even "THE" Greek Receptus. Hang on to your hats, kiddies, this is the kind of thing that makes an apostate come "unglued!"

Correcting the Greek Textus Receptus With the “King’s English”

In this chapter, the term “TEXTUS RECEPTUS” will be used to indicate the source of an official, accepted orthodox revision of the *King James Bible*, in 1983, by the *NKJV* committee, which professed to be translating THIS text. We assume that this *NKJV* is the CORRECT TRANSLATION OF THE GREEK TEXTUS RECEPTUS, according to the men who professed to be translating that text. We assume that where they corrected the “King’s English,” in their work, that they did so on the basis of the GREEK TEXTUS RECEPTUS, exactly as we assumed that everywhere Neal, Custer, Schaff, Bob Jones III, Panosian, Sumner, and A. T. Robertson corrected “the King’s English” they did so on the basis of the Alexandrian text of Westcott, Nestle, and Hort (the Jesuit Rheims Dark Age text of 1582).

Thus, you will find here the King’s English CORRECTING the Textus Receptus, for the Textus Receptus, *as translated by the NKJV committee, used it to correct the Authorized Version*. Understand this thoroughly, because for ten years now the apostates (Curtis Hutson, PCC, Hot Dog Hymers, and Bob Jones III mainly) have been throwing up their hands in holy horror at “Ruckman’s teaching” that you can “correct the Greek with the English.” When we demonstrated how this could be done—say on Mark 1:1–2; Matthew 6:13, 23:14, etc.—they quickly reversed field and said “Oh, we meant the Textus Receptus Greek!” *No, they didn’t*. From 1880 to 1980, they meant the Greek text of the *ASV*. I have it in writing right here on my desk. *No, they lied*.

“The Greek text” at BJU and in the *Sword of the Lord* (see John R. Rice, p. 23) was not the Textus Receptus at all, nor was it the “Majority” text. It was the official New Testament Greek text of the *Jesuit Douay Rheims*, and we have been demonstrating that in our classrooms at Pensacola Bible Institute for more than twenty-eight years, using twenty-eight to thirty-two different versions on forty-five to fifty readings. So the next time you hear some double-tongued, two-faced politician like Curtis Hutson raving about the heresy of saying, “you can correct the Greek with the English,” make a note. Note what he *DIDN’T TELL YOU*. “Omissions” are the foundations on which the Alexandrian Cult is built (see Gen. 3:1–5).

1. You cannot learn from the Textus Receptus that God wants you to STUDY the Bible, for the Textus Receptus, as translated by those who professed to believe it was the final authority, couldn’t find the word “**study**” in the Textus Receptus (see 2 Tim. 2:15). You can only get that truth from a *King James Bible*; any edition for 380 years.

2. You can find no warning to look out for “**science**” in the Greek Textus Receptus, because every translator on the *NKJV* committee (thirty of them) said the word was not in

the Greek Textus Receptus. So, it is not found in the *NKJV*. If you want to get the warning you can only obtain it in ONE Bible: the one that is 380 years “out of step with the times.”

3. You can find no warning against Bible corrupters in the Textus Receptus because the *AV* reading (2 Cor. 2:17) was said to be in error (for 371 years), and the word should have been “peddling,” according to “THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT.” We simply correct the Greek with the English. We know why “**corrupt**” was changed to “peddle.” That way, you could claim that no one was corrupting the SCRIPTURES THEMSELVES, at the time Paul wrote. They were simply making money “preaching.” However, someone was corrupting the Scriptures before Paul wrote, for the *Apocrypha* had been written before Paul wrote, and “**many**” (see 2 Cor. 2:17) were involved. To show you how “**many**,” observe that the post-Christian Septuagints (Vaticanus, Sinaiticus, and Alexandrinus) all contain apocryphal books *as part of the Old Testament inspired canon*.

But this *advanced revelation* cannot be found in the Greek Textus Receptus—at least not according to James Price, Zane Hodges, Elmer Towns, Jerry Falwell, A.V. Henderson, Truman Dollar, Curtis Hutson, Harry Sturtz, and Arthur Farstad. It is missing from the *NKJV* as it is missing from the *RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NEB*, *TEV*, and *NIV*. Evidently “KING JAMES ONLYISM” is the key to a proper understanding of the New Testament and manuscript evidence.

4. “**The love of money**” is NOT “**the root of all evil**” in the Greek Textus Receptus, if we are to believe its supporters (1 Tim. 6:10). It is only “a root of all kinds”; if we are to believe our “fundamentalist” Greek teachers. We will now correct the Alexandrian Greek text (*RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, and *NASV*) with the English and then correct the Byzantine Syrian Greek Text (*NKJV*) with the English.

A. “Kinds” is not in the text: *any Greek text*.

B. When you stated the article should not be there (“**the root**”), you forgot to tell your readers that you ADDED the article in Matthew 27:54; Mark 15:39; Acts 17:27, 26:6; 1 Corinthians 3:16; Hebrews 11:10; and numerous other places.

Citing Newport White (*The Expositors Greek Testament*, Vol. IV, p. 144), “A root of all kinds of evil (*NKJV*) is NOT satisfactory. The position of ριζα in the sentence shows that it is EMPHATIC.”

Or to mimic a member of the *NKJV* committee: “An AWARENESS of word order and a knowledge of THE GREEK ARTICLE will solve the difficulty found in the *NKJV*, which is impossible to solve without a knowledge of Greek grammar and syntax.” Well, thank God we know *both*, so we simply corrected the Textus Receptus Greek with the *AV* before consulting White or *The Greek Expositors New Testament*. Two centuries of Christians were correcting “the Greek” with the English before Nicoll edited *The Greek Expositors New Testament*.

5. We do not hesitate to correct the Greek for 1 Timothy 6:5 with the English, for that Greek Receptus text (according to the *NKJV*) said “who suppose that godliness is a means of gain.” Correct it with the *Authorized Version*. That verse in the *NKJV* was written by a bunch of professional con artists who thought that “**gain is godliness.**” That is why the verse was altered in every English translation on the market but ONE. Guess which one? “Is a means of” is not found in any Greek text on earth. The infinitive “εἶναι” never meant

anything more than “TO BE” since Plato kicked the bucket. It is the present infinitive of “εἶμι.” (Thank God we have an “awareness” of the Greek infinitive! So we will be “less liable” to arrive at “erroneous interpretations!” Glory, Hallerluyer!!)

6. Acts 4:27. Correct the Greek “παῖδα” with the English “**child.**” The “original Greek” was “SERVANT,” according to the *RV*, *ASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *TEB*, *NEB*, *NWT*, and *NKJV*; the latter coming from the TEXTUS RECEPTUS GREEK (the “right” Greek text, etc). Throw it out. **“Evil communications corrupt good manners.”**

7. Romans 1:18. Correct the Greek with the English. The Textus Receptus Greek here reads as the *RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *NEB*, *NWT*, and the *NKJV*. Using the Textus Receptus as the most authoritative Greek text, Farstad and Company simply gave you the Alexandrian reading of Nestle’s “Neologian text,” again, as it already had been printed in the *ASV*, *NASV*, *RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, and *NEB*. The treacherous liars who tried to cover up their sins on this passage saw, immediately, that the *King James* text was exposing men who “HELD THE TRUTH” in their hands when they weren’t right with God (see Ezek. 14:1–10). They knew who this was; it was *them*. So they altered the word for “**hold**” (“κατεχοντων”) to “**SUPPRESS,**” because no one could prove that they were “**SUPPRESSING**” it. This was a “true rendering” of the “original,” from BOTH Greek texts, by every Greek scholar that ever set out to get rid of the Authorized English text. They did the same thing in the next verse.

8. Being engaged, full time, in changing “**the truth of God into a lie**” the committees of the *RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NEB*, *NWT*, *NIV*, and *NKJV* all saw the double-barreled shotgun now (v. 25) aimed at their own heads (or more properly, “hearts”). Here was Romans 1:25, saying that God was ANGRY with a sinner who was engaged in changing His Truth “**into a lie.**” Every apostate Fundamentalist who believed in a Textus Receptus or a “Majority Text” dove for the nearest bomb shelter erected by the Neologians of Alexandria. All of them (*RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *NEB*, *TEV*, *NWT*) had changed the verse to cover their sins. The *NKJV* immediately followed suit and, again, gave you the *RSV* reading of the National Council of Christian Churches (“exchanged the truth of God”) to protect themselves.

The *NKJV* translators altered the same Greek word (“μετηλλαξαν”) to “exchanged” in the next verse (v. 26). But here, their slip began to show, for these same translators were about to cover up for the queers in the same chapter (v. 31) by translating “**without natural affection**” as “**UNDISCERNING.**”

Now, in the context leading up to this “undiscerning” fruit—the English adjective means “UNABLE TO TELL WHETHER ANYTHING IS RIGHT OR WRONG”—the double-breasted finks just EXCHANGED one lifestyle for another without “discerning” what was involved. In the *King James Bible* (and I don’t mean “King Jimmy”), the sex perverts CHANGE the truth of God by ALTERING GOD’S WORDS, and they also CHANGE their *natures* by *practising* sex perversion. There is no “undiscerning exchange” involved in EITHER transaction, in the *AV*. The translators of the Textus Receptus simply saw their number when it came up. So did the *ASV*, *NIV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, and *NRSV* translators. One Bible has the right reading. Guess which one? *Correct the Greek with the English.* It is always the best policy; the one that God will bless.

Feel free (with a clear conscience) in always correcting the Greek Receptus with the Holy Bible in the following places:

Luke 11:15, 39; 12:26; 13:8, 24, 33; 15:13; 16:14; 17:19; 19:14; 21:18; 24:28; 19:45; 20:16. You may also use the Holy Bible to correct the *NKJV*'s Textus Receptus at Mark 14:3, 5, 14, 27, 48, 72; 15:4, 29; 16:1, 16; 4:30; 12:42; and Matthew 2:16; 4:24 (three times in this verse); 5:9 and 5:32. Don't pay any attention to the Greek Textus Receptus (*NKJV*) in 1 Corinthians 8:4; 10:14, 19, 28 or 2 Corinthians 3:18; 5:17, 6:14; 7:10; 10:5 or other places like those. Correct "the Greek" with the English in Romans 14:23, 15:27, and 16:18.

It might be beneficial also to correct the errors of the "preserved Greek text" as they appear in Galatians 1:14; 2:9; 6:1; Ephesians 3:12; 4:32; 5:5; Philippians 1:6, 9; 2:3, 8, 15, 16, 29; 4:8; and Colossians 1:13; 2:17, and 3:2.

Certainly, the English text of 1611 is superior to the Greek text that produced the *NKJV*, at least in Luke 2:46, 48; 3:7, 4:13, 18, 20, 37, 42; 5:4, 16, 34, and any other five hundred places you might feel led to make the corrections.

Genesis 1:28 conceals doctrinal truth in a *NKJV*.

Genesis 2:18 is a lie in the *NKJV*.

Genesis 3:16 is a lie in the *NKJV* ("sexism").

Genesis 24:22 is a lie in the *NKJV*.

Genesis 49:6 is a lie in the *NKJV*.

Exodus 3:22 covers up a doctrinal truth in the *NKJV*.

Job 25:5 covers up a revelation in the *NKJV*.

Number 33:52 eliminates one of the most important WARNINGS against sin found in either Testament (*NKJV*).

Proverbs 18:1–2 has been altered to hide the sins of scholars—exactly as the *NKJV* did it in Romans (see above).

Ecclesiastes 12:11 gives the credit to scholarly teachers for finding the WORDS of God in a Book (see the context: v. 12) when the context was "the preacher" (v. 10), NOT THE SCHOLAR.

Isaiah 9:3. Every copy of the authorized Masoretic text was rejected by striking out the word "not"—which was in all of the copies. (See a discussion of this "problem text" in *The "Errors" in the King James Bible*, 1999.)

All of the dragons and satyrs and unicorns have been REMOVED from the Old Testament text on the grounds that Arthur Farstad never believed ONE word regarding them in his life. ("OTHER WORDS THAT NEED UPDATING ARE BRUTISH, BRUTE, AND THE *NON-EXISTENT DRAGONS*," Farstad, p. 51.)

Hosea 4:18. The capital twentieth-century SIN of 90 percent of the pastors in the United States is *obliterated* completely to protect covetous "bishops."

Habakkuk 3:13. The fulfillment of the first Messianic promise in the Bible (cf. Gen.

3:15 and Rom. 16:20) is *obscured*.

Zechariah 11:17. Covering up for Satan, the *NKJV* throws out a reference on the Antichrist that links him to the Roman Catholic Church.

Correct *all* English versions with the *King James 1611 Authorized Version*—any edition in any century—and correct all of them, no matter from what Hebrew text they came or from what Greek text they came. The key to proper interpretation and proper understanding of the Bible is never connected with nineteenth or twentieth century Greek or Hebrew scholarship *OF ANY KIND, FROM ANY SCHOOL, MAKING ANY KIND OF A PROFESSION ABOUT ANYTHING*.

The Bottom Line On Teaching Greek

We have now seen not only HOW a young man is put out of a New Testament Pauline ministry, but WHERE he is bushwhacked, WHO bushwhacks him, and HOW they do it. This is what the Christian celebrities of 1900–2000 would not discuss. They would not even MENTION it. They had no “testimony” as to the source of their own doubts and infidelity regarding the Book whereby they were saved and wherewith most of them made their living the rest of their lives.

We testified for them because of their lack of *manhood* and *guts*.

They would have you think this was “objectiveness” or “love” on their part. It was a lack of courage: *no backbone*. The “brethren” need to spend some time in the Airborne Rangers or the Seals. Their education was deficient, and we have now proved that to the tune of about one hundred pages.

Now, did you really take note of how the brainwashing was done? If not, go back and read the book through again, and take a “magic marker” with you and “underline” the following expressions: “impossible to, etc.,” “solving textual difficulties,” “solving textual problems,” “solving doctrinal difficulties,” “avoiding erroneous interpretations,” “having access to truth,” “finding more truth,” “exegeting properly,” “superior knowledge,” “more ability,” etc.

Do you see at what the Greek teacher was REALLY driving? He was convincing the naive and the unwary (the positive thinkers) that they could never learn the Bible or know what it really teaches UNLESS THEY CORRECTED THE AV TEXT; if the teacher is able to do this, he will automatically be more intelligent than his congregation or his students and he will have access to REVELATIONS that are denied to them. He will be “a god, knowing good and evil.” If you think ONE word of this is overstatement, read the last chapter in *The Last Grenade* which deals with these problems in an actual death-bed case history, reporting the verbal exchanges, verbatim.

At the Pensacola Bible Institute, we play a “shut out” every time we get in a game with Alexandrians. We shut them out in every game because we have access to information which they either do not have, or else they are too cowardly to disseminate. We tell the students FACTS that they will not dare tell their students, for they would endanger their educational systems (\$\$\$) if they gave out the facts; at least, that is the fear that turns them into deaf mutes. This explains why “Terrible Timothy” (2 Tim. 2:15, 3:15; 1 Tim 6:5, 9, 10, 20) gives these carnal materialists so much trouble. Their trouble is “**the root of all evil,**” and so they try to serve two masters. The *NASV*, *NIV*, and *NKJV* are the result of trying to serve the two masters; that is why all three change every verse in 1 Timothy and 2 Timothy just listed. If you don’t believe it, check the verses out.

Now, the way to teach Greek is as follows, and this is the way we teach it at PBI and have taught it for twenty-nine years.

I. Give the student a brief background in the origins of the language by discussing the differences between the ancient dialects (Phoenician, Doric, Aeolic, Attic, etc.) and the Literary Attic, which became “Greek” at the time of Alexander the Great. Vernacular Attic is the ancestor of the Koine, and a literary Koine survives alongside the street language. *Note!* At this point emphasize two things.

1) Greek is now a DEAD language, as far as “vernaculars” are concerned, and has been a dead language for more than 1,800 years. The universal language today is ENGLISH and the “vernacular” is UNPRINTABLE, at least in America.

2) The Alexandrian manuscripts bear the earmarks of LITERARY KOINE, not the “street variety,” and Nestle confesses that the orthography of these texts has been adjusted to make them *look like* they were written in the first century. This passage can be READ to the student in the class on Manuscript Evidence.

II. Go through the alphabet with the student, pronouncing each letter; have him memorize the alphabet. *Note:* point out that the sounds of New Testament Greek would be a joke to a modern Greek, for now the epsilon, eta, and upsilons are all given the long “e” sound, as in “feet.” Next, teach the student about breathing marks and accent marks and teach him the three kinds of accents which occur on three places in the word (ultima, penult, antepenult). Next, teach him the rules of accent and give him some vocabulary words to learn; preferably, masculine NOUNS to start with.

III. Next, start him out with the “λω” verb and a number of verbs like it, and show him the conjugation in the present indicative active. Explain the variety of endings and show him what the “stem” of a verb is. *Note!* At this point, show him places in the *NIV*, *NASV*, and *NKJV* where a present indicative active is not translated as “linear” at all; no “progressive” action is indicated. Point out that it is NOT an error every time and, therefore, is NOT a legitimate criticism of the *AV* text where such things occur.

IV. Next, teach him the Second Declension of nouns with their cases, briefly explaining each case. *Note:* show how Origen altered a Nominative to a Genitive, because he couldn’t figure out Luke 2:14, since he was not a Premillennialist. Teach him Robertson’s Eight Case System (Nom., Gen., Abl., Loc., Inst., Dat., Acc., and Voc.) and show him how SUBJECTIVE INTERPRETATION can be involved in choosing the meaning of a preposition preceding one of these cases: for example, “ev” can come out “in,” “with,” or “by.”

After showing him the endings for masculine, feminine, and neuter in the Second Declension, go on to the First Declension. During this time, add about twenty vocabulary words to each lesson. By now, you can give him short Greek sentences to translate, using the words you have taught him.

After the nouns, show him the declension of masculine, feminine, and neuter adjectives, which are SUPPOSED to match their nouns. Point out that they do not always do this and give examples.

V. Teach him the fourteen main prepositions and show him how the case with which

they are used can alter their meanings (thus “para” can mean “from” or “by the side of” or “beyond,” etc.).

VI. Then, in order, teach him the Present Indicative PASSIVE forms, the Present MIDDLE Indicative forms, and show how the PASSIVE can be translated as MIDDLE, and the MIDDLE sometimes as ACTIVE (Robertson’s grammar, p. 291, Jamieson, Fausset, and Brown, p. 987. See Acts 2:26, 2:40; James 4:10, *Expositor’s Greek New Testament*, Vol. 2, p. 669, etc).

The rest is routine: Demonstrative pronouns; proclitics; enclitics; deponent verbs and infinitive; the IMPERFECT active, middle and passive; FUTURE active, middle, and passive; and then, the First and Second Aorists. The modes and participles will come last.

Three things should be emphasized throughout the Greek classes, and examples of these things should be given to the student.

1. The absolute insanity of translating any Greek text *literally*, word for word, in order to give a reader THE WORDS God wants him to have in another language. Over and over again, the student should have placed before his eyes the constant failure of every English translation since 1880 to translate “WORD FOR WORD” its own Greek text.

2. The absolute *falsehood* of talking about “THE” GREEK TEXT, when that is nothing but a legendary myth that exists only in the imaginations of professional liars, who are trying to make you think their corrections on the AV come from THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT (see Rice and Hutson for example, p. 23). There are at least twenty-five Greek texts around: Tischendorf, Lachmann, Von Soden, Tregelles, Alford, Hort, Nestle, Souter, Elzevir, Metzger, Erasmus, Beza, Mill, Fell, Walton, etc.

3. The great *flexibility* that Greek grammatical rules allow translators, which they pretend don’t exist until they try to justify their own corrections of the AV English text.

Throughout the three years, our Greek students are taught that a knowledge of Greek is good for two things, and two things only: To shut the mouths of half-educated idiots whose bellies are bigger than their brains, and to reinforce TRUTH ALREADY REVEALED IN THE ENGLISH BIBLE (AV).

Not once is the student ever given the impression that “WORD STUDIES” can bring to light anything “hidden” in the Scriptures. Word studies dig vertically DOWNWARD till they dead-end, thus giving information about ONE word which may, or may not, be correct. Our students are taught to compare Scripture with Scripture and use “word studies” in the light of what the Scriptures say about the Scriptures. In this respect, we have a tremendous advantage over all the faculties and staffs and all the student bodies of EVERY Christian college, university, and seminary in America, for you see, WE HAVE THE SCRIPTURES TO STUDY; *they do not*. All they have is the “Word of God” (see p. 58), and this they avow is NOT given by inspiration, nor is it infallible, nor is it inerrant.

Not once is any student given the impression that a knowledge of Greek grammar and syntax will aid him in PREACHING or TEACHING one verse in either Testament. He is taught that the *Author* of the Scripture (which he *has*) is the Interpreter of the Scripture (which he *has*), and that “light on the text” will come through a prayerful study of the entire Bible, if the HEART is kept right.

Finally, in Manuscript Evidence, Problem Texts (second year), and Church History (first and second year), he is alerted to the Halloween theories of Schaff, Hort, and Nestle, and the Mickey Mouse scholarship of Bob Jones III and Stewart Custer, and the fraudulent versions recommended by the National Council of Christian Churches, Grace Theological Seminary, Dallas Theological Seminary, and Louisville Theological Seminary. He is armed with *THE LAST GRENADE*, *THE CHRISTIAN'S HANDBOOK OF BIBLICAL SCHOLARSHIP, WHICH BIBLE?*, and *THE KING JAMES BIBLE DEFENDED*, plus studies in thirty English translations, comparing Scripture with perversions of Scripture.

He graduates believing the Book, by which he was saved and called to preach, is the *final* and *infallible* authority for faith and practise in his life, and anyone to whom he is called to minister. Instead of matriculating as a smooth-faced, cultured, hypocritical, Bible-rejecting, milksop, he comes out a grown man believing **“EVERY WORD OF GOD IS PURE”** and **“LET GOD BE TRUE, BUT EVERY MAN A LIAR”** and **“I BELIEVE GOD, THAT IT SHALL BE EVEN AS IT WAS TOLD ME.”**

The *difference* is what he was taught in class: the Greek classes, where GREEK was taught. Our Greek teacher here is a STREET PREACHER. (I taught it from 1964 to 1970, along with Hebrew. I still teach Manuscript Evidence, which goes into the matters discussed in this treatise.) We don't graduate infidels. We don't bat 1,000; nobody does. We have “fruitloops” and “dingalings” like anyone else, but our average graduating class is 90 percent solid, and the males are MALES; they are not “persons.” None of them would be stupid enough to think the *NASV* or the *NIV* was anything more than “commercialized vanity” (Sasse, p. 104) that can be dispensed with immediately without fear of spiritual loss or retribution. They know what the New King Jimmy Version is a bastard coalition of the *ASV*, *RSV*, and *NASV*, hiding under the camouflage net of a *Textus Receptus*.

“By their fruits ye shall know them.”

The fruits of the *RV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *NEB*, *TEV*, *NWT*, *NKJV*—and the next FIVE coming up—are: two World Wars, Vietnam, Korea, Afghanistan, the United Nations, the crime rate in New York, Miami, Houston, Detroit and Washington, D.C., one divorce out of two marriages, international terrorism, the drug traffic, the destruction of the Constitution, the Antichrist taking over, the end of the American dollar, and Christian millionaires (Graham, Falwell, Swaggart, Roberts, Schuller, Bakker, et al.), whose EFFEMINATE, INTERDENOMINATIONAL, HOLLYWOOD organizations and schools cannot bring about a national revival, after matriculating more than five thousand students a year.

No combination of English Bibles since 1611 has ever produced one-twentieth of the spiritual fruit that came from the *Authorized Version*, and it never will. The apostates who promote and recommend and publish these abominations like to blame the condition of their nation on TV, Communists, the CFR, government control, the IRS, or the public school systems. But those things are *themselves* the FRUITS of destroying *Biblical authority* for four generations of young people. The translators and revisors go right on slapping each other on the back and bragging about each other's “godliness,” “militancy,” and “faithfulness to the historic doctrines,” but the truth of the matter is these professional liars have the blood of a nation on their hands. They are LYING THIEVES, and the thing

they stole from you was your divine authority for “faith and practise,” and they LIED to do it. *They are lying right now while you are reading this print.*

The problem all Greek teachers and all Greek scholars have is “How can I reduce the ministerial student to MY level of *ignorance* and *infidelity*?” That is the problem he faces every time he attempts to “teach” the Bible. Scholars have had this problem since the days of Origen (A.D. 184–254). Witness Origen, back in A.D. 200, getting run over by Luke 2:14 like a possum going under the front wheels of a Mack truck. He could not understand “peace on earth” coming at the first coming of Christ. So to reduce the neophytes, greenhorns, dudes, suckers, and nearsighted of his day (A.D. 200) to his own level of stupidity, he added one Greek letter to one Greek word to alter a Nominative case to a Genitive case.

This produced the desired effect, so eighteen centuries later the gullible, naive, and artless fools who put out the *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, and *NIV* would “have the reading of THE GREEK ORIGINAL,” instead of the reading of the English Bible of the Protestant Reformation. That is exactly what they have.

Origen solved the problem by “going to the original.” That is how all Greek teachers and scholars solve the problem; the problem being, “How can I deceive this young man into rejecting the words of God because I don’t like them (*Infidelity*), or they don’t make any sense to ME” (*Ignorance*).

Notice how constant appeals to the “original” are NOT for the purpose of giving Scriptural light on ANY Scripture, nor do they hardly ever have anything to do with *finding the TRUTH* or even what the Scriptures teach about the Truth. The constant reference to the “originals” (this is often left standing like a cold cat in a blizzard without any noun of explanation such as “text,” “texts,” “manuscript,” “manuscripts” “language,” “tongues,” etc.) leaves a FALSE IMPRESSION in the mind of the sucker, dude, novice, beginner, etc., that he is getting something *superior* to the English *Authorized Version*. He is not. He is being led into a blind alley, a dead end, where his ministry will make “carwreck” (original Greek for “**shipwreck**” in 1 Tim. 1:19) under the guidance of an apostate who had nothing in mind when he started but to DESTROY THE YOUNG MAN’S FAITH IN THE HOLY SCRIPTURE.

I will give two cases here. (In *King James Onlyism vs. Scholarship Onlyism*, you will find eight more, where the Greek teacher used the Textus Receptus of the NKJV to cover up truth and destroy the truth as already revealed in the AV of 1611.)

Witness Doug Kutilek, a lifelong destructive critic of the Holy Bible (according to those who went to school with him and sat under his teaching). Here is Kutilek trying to make preaching a respectable calling by altering 1 Corinthians 1:21 to mean that it is only the “message” of the cross that is “**foolishness**,” not PREACHING.

Now, don’t you know that a man-pleasing, men-following, man-worshipper of men’s “historic positions” would worry about a thing like that? You see, RIDICULE (what Paul got all of his life: see 2 Cor. 10:10, 11:6) is the bubonic plague for any proud, stupid, conceited sissy who is trying to get into the Scholar’s Union by attacking the *Authorized Version*. Apostates like Bob Ross, Bob Jones III, Fred Afman, James Price, James Combs, Robert Scumner, and Gary Hudson are more afraid of RIDICULE than they are God,

death, or the Judgment Seat of Christ. I mean that literally and actually. They can take anything but *RIDICULE*, and this explains why they try to ridicule every Bible-believer out of his faith in the word of God by trying to connect him with “Ruckmanism.”

They use the tactics that they know would work on *themselves*, because THESE WERE THE TACTICS *THEIR OWN TEACHERS* USED WHEN THEY SUCCEEDED IN DESTROYING THEIR FAITH IN THE BOOK. This is no overstatement. Look at the thing taking place in the classroom (1980) of the “Fortress of Faith” (see *The Last Grenade*, p. 67).

Kutilek, Ross, and Hudson suddenly hit 1 Corinthians 1:21 like three minnows hitting a five pound bluefish. Jeopardy! Danger! Red Alert! Four Alarm Fire! The verse says that if you have been called to preach that your *life occupation* is FOOLISHNESS! My God, what a thought for a young man who wants to maintain his respectability and his image as a great “defender of the faith”—a “godly” scholar who stands with “unquestioned” fidelity for a lost pile of a papers he never read! **“It pleased God by the foolishness of PREACHING.”** Preaching is “foolishness” in a *King James Bible*; therefore, the man who practices it is a FOOL. Not so in the *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *RV*, *NKJV*, *NIV*, etc., no matter from WHAT Greek text they came, or who professed an “original,” whether it be text, language, manuscript, tongues, or “reading.”

If you will just throw out your *King James Bible* and accept Doug Kutilek’s *opinion*—which he got from the opinions of opinionated critics like HIMSELF—then you will find out what the verse REALLY meant and what it REALLY says, because you will be going by the “original” (text, language, tongue, reading, manuscripts, etc. Any lying stratagem to give a false impression).

What is Kutey Kutilek trying to do for thin-skinned sissies in the Scholar’s Union? Why, he is trying to protect THEIR integrity; not the integrity of the Holy Bible. His problem is how to reduce YOU to *his level of infidelity*, because HE doesn’t like the verse as it stands. It implies that he is a FOOL called to do a FOOLISH thing, if he has been called to preach. (He probably was once, but he failed so miserably, as a preacher, that he joined the ranks of amateur Bible-correctors to make a living.)

So if you will just abandon the Holy Bible for Doug—like HE abandoned it for a Greek grammar or Greek Lexicon—you will come out with “THE FOOLISHNESS OF THE MESSAGE.” You see, that way YOU retain *your* wisdom, respectability, image, and honor; you are “somebody!” (For an analysis of the Greek article, in this case, a comparative and thorough discussion of the problem involved is in *King James Onlyism vs. Scholarship Onlyism*, where Hudson brought up this same problem TWO years before Kutilek cloned him.)

Now, what is the truth? If this sinful critic has improved on the *AV*, then the Scriptures should confirm his “find” that he got from “properly translating the original” (text, language, tongues, manuscript, reading, etc. All omissions are to leave a false impression in your mind). Does Kutey’s private interpretation (found in the *NKJV* and *NASV*) line up with the rest of the Scriptures? Of course it doesn’t. That is why he didn’t give one Scriptural comment on the verse he just perverted. He led you to a Greek grammarian instead of the Scriptures: ditto, A.T. Robertson, Trench, Rendall, Thayer, Vincent, Wuest,

Kittel, Nicoll, Schaff, Farstad, Bruce, Metzger, Aland, Nestle, and Hort.

“What saith the scripture?”

Well, here they are laid alongside Kutey’s “original” (text, manuscript, autograph, reading, tongues, etc.).

Notice carefully! *If* Kutey is quoting THE VERBALLY INSPIRED ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT—which Custer claims to read, and which all of Kutey’s gang says “for all practical purposes we may say we HAVE”—then the English text of 1611 succeeds in giving Scriptural light on the “VERBALLY INSPIRED ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT” (don’t leave out that conditional clause I just wrote, *you old PERVERT, you!*). If you had believed the English text of 1611—*which occurs without alterations from 1611 to 1992*—you would have been in line with Scriptural truth as given by the same author of the same letter (1 Cor.), that was being perverted by going to the “original.” The Greek teacher went to the “original” (text, manuscripts, reading, autograph, tongue, tongues, whatever; all liars talk alike in these matters) TO COVER UP THE TRUTH, so that you would be reduced to HIS level of infidelity. That is why he went to the “Greek.” That was his MOTIVE. If his motive had been to *give you the truth*, he would have explained the verse with the following Scriptures:

“A FOOL’S VOICE IS KNOWN BY A MULTITUDE OF WORDS.”

“WE ARE FOOLS FOR CHRIST’S SAKE.”

“IF ANY MAN...BE WISE IN THIS WORLD, LET HIM BECOME A FOOL, THAT HE MIGHT BE WISE.”

“(I SPEAK AS A FOOL) I AM MORE.”

“THE FOOLISHNESS OF GOD IS WISER THAN MEN.”

You see, apostate Fundamentalists have no conception of what a New Testament Biblical ministry is, *because they have never been in it*. They know nothing, experimentally, about Pauline, New Testament Christianity, for they are strangers and aliens to it. Kutilek had to go to “the original Greek” to DESTROY what the Scriptures said about Paul’s ministry. He didn’t want to get what Paul got (see 2 Cor. 11) for telling the truth, so he lied. But he had to “go to the Greek” to do it. THE LIE WAS NOT IN THE KING JAMES TEXT; it was in the “original Greek.” In this case, the “Textus Receptus,” which Kutey used to get rid of the words of truth. The sissies in the Cult had to make preaching “respectable” because they worshipped men and desired to glorify man.

Face it. I have been on the battlefield now for forty-three years. After observing nearly every human activity on the face of this earth, either by direct personal observation or by eye witness testimony or written record, I believe that the most foolish thing on this earth—if it had anything to do with a sinner winding up in eternity with a perfect body, soul, and spirit—would be *a sinner standing up somewhere and SHOOTING HIS MOUTH OFF FOR FIVE TO THIRTY-FIVE MINUTES*. Nothing could be more foolish. It is so foolish that, to this day, there are more than 800,000,000 professing Christians who do not even believe that any sinner can be saved by this method.

A blabber-mouthed sinner, speaking words, is the last thing on earth that would ever

insure anyone's entrance into heaven according to the "historic" catechisms of the Presbyterians, Tridentine Confession of Faith (Rome), and Wesley's Methodist Handbook. There is not a Catholic, Methodist, Buddhist, Atheist, Moslem, Taoist, Anglican, Lutheran, Charismatic, or Presbyterian on this earth—if they hold to the "historic positions" of their "historic creeds"—that believes God saves sinners by A MAN SIMPLY GETTING UP AND SHOOTING HIS MOUTH OFF ABOUT ANYTHING, including 1 Corinthians 15:1–6 and 2 Corinthians 5:19–21. It takes more than *that*, buddy boy! It takes more than that as a "means of grace," if you are even a saved Catholic. It takes *sacraments* (which have nothing to do with "preaching the cross"); it takes *baptism* (which has nothing to do with "the preaching of the cross"); it takes *the Golden Rule* or the *Ten Commandments* (which have nothing to do with "the preaching of the cross"); or it takes prayer, good deeds, meditation, and *alms giving* (which have nothing to do with "the preaching of the cross"). **"The preaching of the cross is to them that perish foolishness,"** so it **"pleased God by the foolishness of preaching to save them that believe."**

You couldn't imagine a more FOOLISH and USELESS method of saving sinners from Hell than another sinner getting up and TALKING. But that is what God chose to do, because **"the foolishness of God is wiser than men."**

It has often occurred to me in the midst of an exposition of Genesis, Exodus, Daniel, Matthew, Acts, or Hebrews, standing before 100–180 young men and women, that if the world knew what they were doing—SITTING FOUR HOURS A NIGHT IN FRONT OF A SINNER SHOOTING OFF HIS MOUTH—they would mark everyone of them down as "plain nuts"—"out of their skulls." What could possibly be more boring and "non-productive" than listening to a man talk for four hours out of a Book that is 380 years out of date and covers up the truth with "archaic" words that no one can understand? I have seen four hundred young people sit under that "spell" for four hours *and want to go on*. Do you know what this is, in the eyes of every major political, educational, scientific, scholarly, religious, humanitarian leader in the world? IT IS *FOOLISHNESS*.

The thing is, stuffed shirts, like Kutilek, Sumner, Hudson, Ross, et al., don't want to look like fools. They fear *ridicule* worse than death or hell. Having exalted every Bible-corrector on whom they could get their hands—to justify their own sins of infidelity and pride—they are under obligation now to make the CALLING of these men look "respectable" in the eyes of the world and the worldly wise. You see, *THOSE WERE THE ITEMS UNDER DISCUSSION IN 1 CORINTHIANS 1–2*.

This explains the utility of "THE ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT."

The Greek (or "THE Greek text" or "the ORIGINAL Greek" or "original Greek TEXT") is the handiest and most expedient tool any apostate has for:

- 1) Justifying his own sins.
- 2) Destroying the faith of others in the word of God.
- 3) Proselyting converts so that his "misery" can have some company. (Ministerial rejects feel much more at home when they can destroy someone else the way *THEY* were destroyed. Soon this becomes their life GOAL.)

4) Reducing a young man to their own level of stupidity, to guarantee that at no time in the future will he ever be able to find anything in the Scriptures beyond what the teacher knows.

5) STOPPING *BIBLICAL TRUTH* SO THAT NO FUTURE REVELATIONS CAN BE OBTAINED FROM THE SCRIPTURES.

This is the “ministry” that goes on in a Greek class.

Kutey Kutilek is no one special; he is just one more very small cog in a vast machinery of would-be scholars who have been altering the truth or stopping the truth or holding the truth in unrighteousness or suppressing the truth or getting rid of the truth since the days of Origen (A.D. 200). There are, probably, in the United States today, five hundred of these clones, all giving out with the party line.

Here is the second case. (Keep in mind, throughout, the basic fundamental truth: THE GOAL OF THE GREEK TEACHER IS TO DESTROY ONE BOOK, AND ONE BOOK ONLY, and he is going to use “the original” [without defining the word] to reduce you to HIS level of ignorance and infidelity. He is going to “work you over” like his teacher worked him over, clean back to Alexandria, the first “Christian” university.)

Our modern ministerial reject (say Willmington, Wemp, Hudson, Sumner, Ross, Walker, Duncan, Melton, Dell, Sherman, Combs, Kutilek, or anyone like them) hits 2 Timothy 2:15—or, more precisely, it hits *him*. Here it stands, in 1992, exactly as it stood in 1611 and 1613, without a variation. Three-hundred and eighty years of consistent statement of truth.

1) There are Scriptures which are called **“the word of truth.”**

2) You should *search these Scriptures* to find the truth (Acts 17:11).

3) The *method* of study should be to note the things that DIFFER and make the proper DIVISIONS between them.

4) This cannot be done without *STUDYING*.

Now! What could be “obscure” or difficult about that?

Do the “archaic” words give you trouble?

Does it need “updating” because there is something there “you don’t understand?”

If you want God’s approval (see the text), you will have to “rightly divide” **“the word of truth.”** To do this, without *STUDYING the words of truth*, would be an impossibility.

Have any trouble grasping this? Well someone did, because someone (the committees that produced the *RV, ASV, NASV, RSV, NRSV, NKJV, and NIV*) erased the word **“study”** from the text, and then erased the word **“dividing”** from the text. Who would do a thing like *that*? Bless your soul, honey, nobody would do a thing like that unless they had a motive and a motivating force that would make Hitler’s “drive to succeed” look like Step-N’-Fetchit on an “off day.”

It would have to be a GREEK TEACHER. It would have to be someone who didn’t want you to *STUDY* “the words of truth,” and it would have to be someone who didn’t believe in **“rightly dividing the word of truth.”** It would, by all odds, have to be (beyond

any reasonable shadow of a doubt) an apostate who believed in the fundamentals of the “faith,” but deeply resented the ADVANCED REVELATIONS God gave from the Scriptures to Darby, Scofield, Cronin, Bullinger, and Stam SINCE the “historic positions” of the Baptists, Anglicans, Catholics, Methodists, and Lutherans were stated. Who would this be? It would have to be someone who was trying to get the word “**study**” out of your Bible, because 2 Timothy 2:15 was the only place where the word showed up connected with Bible study.

Furthermore, it would have to be someone who wanted desperately to convert the written WORDS of truth (“**the word of truth**”) into SPOKEN MESSAGES, DEALING WITH GENERALITIES. This would leave the door open to continue to attack the *written words* of truth and get rid of them. Who could do this better than a “good, godly, militant, dedicated, recognized, Conservative Greek Scholar?” No one; no one on earth. So up shows every perversion of Scripture from Hort (1885) to Farstad (1983) with “HANDLING” for “**rightly dividing**” and “be diligent” for “**study**.” (Any other variations still remove all THREE words. Samples: “Give diligence,” “Do your best,” “Work hard so,” etc).

No modern Bible corrector STUDIES the Bible in order to “RIGHTLY DIVIDE” “**the word of truth**.” The reason why he doesn’t is because he is not working for God’s approval (see the text). He is working for “**the praise of men**” (John 12:43). That is how you get into the Scholar’s Union. That is the first requirement.

Now, observe how this happened.

1. The Fundamentalist found himself in the Laodicean period where such words as “DIVISION” and “SEPARATION” and “SEGREGATION” were considered to be cuss words describing IMMORAL SINS. He found himself in an environment where the key phrase was “DON’T ROCK THE BOAT.” Everyone “get together.” Don’t “DIVIDE” anything; “share it.” This was working on the apostate’s mind when he sat down to attack the Reformation text from Philadelphia, *whether he was conscious of it or not*.

2. He had just learned some things from studying Bullinger and Scofield that proved, beyond all cavil, that many of the “historic positions” of A.D. 1500–1900 were FALSE. In order to maintain these “historic positions,” the apostate must *stop people from studying DISPENSATIONAL TRUTH*, for that deals with “**rightly dividing**” passages. The trick was to pretend God never showed Bullinger, Larkin, or Scofield anything, for they all printed the Authorized English text of the *King James Bible (Companion Bible, Scofield Reference Bible, and Dispensational Truth)*.

You see, the Greek teacher could not let anyone know about this; this is a “no-no,” for no one is supposed to find out anything more about Scripture than they knew in A.D. 325, unless he goes to the “GREEK ORIGINAL” to get it. Bullinger, Scofield, and Larkin did not have to go to ANY Greek text for the information they gave on Dispensations. Occasional suggested corrections by these men have no effect (nor are they related) to their *DISPENSATIONAL TEACHINGS*. They are like Spurgeon’s occasional corrections: wasted time, trying to demonstrate the intelligence of the Adamic nature before professional scholars whom they wished to *impress*.

Bob Ross went so far as to say that Charles Haddon Spurgeon could not have found

out that water baptism should be by immersion UNLESS HE HAD LEARNED GREEK. Like Spurgeon, I was raised Anglican; like Spurgeon, all my people were baby sprinklers; and like Spurgeon, I became a Baptist pastor. I NEVER HAD TO LOOK AT ONE LETTER IN A GREEK ALPHABET TO SEE THAT BAPTISM WAS A BURIAL IN WATER (1 Pet. 3:21; Rom. 6:1–3; and Acts 8:39).

Neither did B. B. Crimm, Jack Hyles, Bruce Cummons, Sam Gipp, or Queen Elizabeth: *SHE was immersed*. Schaff says Anglicans often were immersed (*Church History*, Vol. 2, p. 251) while “Baptists” were being persecuted.

The “Greek” had nothing to do with it. But if some man SAID that, the very first man in the world to grab it and use it for Satan’s glory would be a Greek teacher whose ministry was destroying the faith of young men in THE BOOK.

The word “**study**,” in an AV, is the “dynamic equivalent” that matches the CONTEXT of the word (σπουδασον), and the “**rightly dividing**” (ορθοτομουντα) is the Greek word meaning “TO CUT STRAIGHT.” The Greek teacher lied to you again. He had to ruin you like HE himself had been ruined. That was his “ministry.” It is a “full time ministry,” which requires absolute “dedication.” Apostates like Ross, Hudson, Sumner, Hymers, Duncan, Melton, Price, Wisdom, and Farstad are absolutely “CONSECRATED.”

“I Had a Dream”

When Peter Ruckman and Zane Hodges died, the Lord hauled them both up to the Judgment Seat of Christ at the same time, since they had “bound” some matters on earth that were still **“bound in heaven”** (see Matt. 18:18). First, the Lord commended Zane Hodges on the terrific job he had done in destroying the faith of two thousand young men (which included several dozen teachers) in an archaic translation that no one could understand; one that had been translated from a handful of manuscripts. He further commended Brother Hodges for the marvelous work he had done in restoring the faith of Bible-correctors in the Syrian or Byzantine type of text, used by Chrysostom.

Then “Ruckman’s” turn came. Naturally, he was severely castigated in the same terms by which he had castigated others (Matthew 7:1–2) and was given to understand, in no uncertain terms, that his failure as a Bible teacher was due to the fact that he had absolutely refused to correct a “reliable translation” with the ORIGINAL GREEK TEXT. When Ruckman complained that he had never seen a copy to use for corrections, the Lord told him, “That is no excuse! John R. Rice had a copy, and so did Stewart Custer. And you heard Broadus, Carroll, Sumner, and Gill say a hundred times that ‘faithfulness to the ORIGINAL’ was the ultimate validation for any translation.”

Naturally, Ruckman was deeply humbled by this severe rebuke given in the presence of angels, cherubim, seraphim, and **“the spirits of just men made perfect”** (Heb. 12:23). He hung his head in shame as he knelt before the fire that burned up forty years of his ministry as a Bible teacher and commentator. It could not abide the fire (see 1 Cor. 3:10–16) because of his false motive. He had been trying to create believers IN THE WRONG BOOK.

“You see,” said the Lord, “the reason why Zane Hodges always found more revelations in the Bible than you did and was able to dig out the ‘deeper things’ in the Scripture that you missed, is because he, as a faithful servant, created trust in unseen, unread, lost pieces of paper that I discarded more than 1,800 years ago.”

Naturally, Ruckman confessed this heinous and terrible sin, and in abject humiliation he begged God to forgive him for producing several hundred deceived members of a “Cult” who believed the *Authorized Version* of their Bible, in their language, was the Holy Scriptures.

“Well enough then,” said the Lord, “let that be a lesson to you! You see now why Christians learned so much more Bible sitting under Zane Hodges and his friends (Wilbur Pickering, Arthur Farstad, and Donald Waite), and why, in a lifetime, you weren’t able to teach anyone the Word of God. This explains why the graduates of Dallas led so many more souls to Christ than your pupils did. They were more **‘rooted and grounded’** in the truth than yours were. You were a failure as a Bible teacher, Ruckman, and what little truth can be found in your 115 books wasn’t essential for anyone to know, anyway. As you know, by now, I stopped revealing truths in the Scripture in A.D. 90.”

“Yes sir. Yes, Lord. I am so sorry.”

“Now, I am going to give you an opportunity to atone for your misguided ministry which produced nothing but a cult. I am going to let you balance the scales if you are willing to repent!”

“Oh yes, Lord! Yes, yes! I’ll do anything to make it right!”

“Good! Here is what you are to do. See those brilliant Bible teachers over there who produced ten times as many real believers as you did?” (And here he pointed to a group composed of Chuck Swindoll, J. Vernon McGee, Stewart Custer, James Price, Cliff Robinson, Bob Jones III, John MacArthur, Wiersbe, Willmington, Hindson, Hutson, Hymers, Hobbs, Hor-ton, Wemp, Dell, Sherman, Melton, and Walker.) “Here is what you are to do! You are to spend the first one thousand years of eternity (see Rev. 20:1–2) picking fruit off of the tree of life for THEM, and your job will be to keep them supplied daily with this fruit. Don’t forget to peel and core the fruit that needs it, and be sure and take all the seeds out so they don’t swallow any. Got it?”

“Yes sir! Thank you sir! Yes, Lord, I will! Pronto! Right on the money! Thank you, Lord! Thank God for giving me a chance to help out some REAL Bible teachers! Boy, what an opportunity!”

And so Zane Hodges put Ruckman on a leash and tied him up to a hitching post; taking him for a daily stroll to the river of Life where he picked fruit for the real Bible teachers who had put God and the Bible first instead of their own opinions and preferences. “AND THEY LIVED HAPPILY EVER AFTER.”

(Just kidding, folks. “**A MERRY HEART DOETH GOOD LIKE A MEDICINE.**”)

Epilogue

The following letter was mailed to Dr. Zane Hodges of Dallas Theological Seminary more than fifteen years ago (August 19, 1977). He was THE champion of the Textus Receptus (or “Majority” Text”) at Dallas. The letter was written in answer to a letter that Zane Hodges wrote to a certain Mr. Gregg in Chattanooga, Tennessee—a born-again, saved, soulwinning, once-married, Bible believer. The letter speaks for itself and for Zane Hodges.

He never answered; not one peep in fourteen years. The reason will be seen immediately when one checks paragraphs 6, 7, and 8. Zane Hodges had assumed, from the start, that he was dealing with a red-neck “jack-leg” with no background in Manuscript Evidence, the Greek Critical Texts, the History of Textual Transmission, or “the textual problems” (see pp. 70–72 of this work) involved in getting rid of a *King James Bible*. When he saw his “buddies” (“collaborators” is the Alexandrian cliché) NAMED in paragraphs 6, 7, and 8, Zane Hodges decided that “discretion was the better part of valor.” He did not answer my letter, and he never wrote to Mr. Gregg again about ANYTHING.

You see (by my letter), I have dealt with the “celebrities” in the same language you find in this book. And there is not ONE of them alive today who doesn’t know that my *BITE IS AS BAD AS MY BARK*.

August 19, 1977

Dear Brother Hodges:

I received a copy of a letter which you sent to a certain Mr. Gregg in Chattanooga. And although it represents the standard “party line” taught by all the “recognized scholars” in the Scholars’ Union, I thought I might answer briefly and send a copy to your friend.

1. You seem oblivious of the FACT that you have asked Mr. Gregg to accept YOUR OPINION about errors in the *AV* text without presenting one single verse or word. Aren’t you a little foolish to write things like: “Why should anyone take Dr. Ruckman’s word for it that the King James Version is inerrant?” when you are rascal enough to try to convince a young man that it has errors on the basis of YOUR OWN OPINION—undocumented with any facts whatsoever?

2. My assertion that the *AV* does not contain errors does not make that true; however, if it contains no errors then my assertion is true. Since you listed none, mentioned none, or sent none to Mr. Gregg, I am to presume you haven’t found any either, and are simply trying to bluff your way through. Robertson, Vincent, Thayer, Souter, Trench, Aland, and Metzger OFTEN DO THAT, and if you would like documentation, I would be glad to send

it.

3. Don't be too surprised if some of us are "persuaded" to accept the *AV* text against your scholarship and the scholarship of other "good, godly," etc. men like yourself. In every age since 1611, there have been several million saved sinners who had more confidence in the Authorized Version than the egotistical dunces who thought they could correct it.

4. Ruckman does not "defend errors of the AV" (see your letter), so don't go around lying to young men about such a thing. You do say "IF" Dr. Ruckman does, but, of course, that carries the implication with it—based on your word only—that there ARE errors in it. What does your opinion matter, my good brother, alongside the authoritative God-honored text? NOTHING.

5. Please don't worry about getting Gregg to help anyone who is "confused." You birds who think you are smart enough to correct the greatest book on this earth have been confused for so long it is pitiful.

6. I will await some "reasonable discussion" from you or Mr. Gregg; this is what you both seem to think is missing in the philosophy of those of us who think that the AV is able to correct the bungling of Nestle, Kittel, Delitzsch, Keil, Robertson, Rendall, Mauro, Wuest, Metzger, and other members of the "Union."

7. We both know, Brother Hodges, that no translator translates all the words the same way every time; we both know that Anacaluthon would be found in the "originals." We both know that errors in grammar are relative to the grammarian; we both know that gender does not always follow gender; we both know that neither you or your friends would translate every article in ANY text; we both know that articles are often added to ANY text; we both know you have no Scriptures given to the Apostolic church with which to CHECK anything (see your letter); we both know that what you and your friends call "mistakes" in translating from ANY text (Beza, Stephanus, Colineaus, Elzevir, Tregelles, Scholtz, Griesbach, Tischendorf, et al.) COULD NOT BE PROVED IN COURT WITH A BATTERY OF LAWYERS. So don't put on airs to young men who don't know what you and I know.

8. I would not have stated that I believed the AV was able to correct YOUR scholarship (anytime, any place, anywhere) unless I had not thoroughly checked the men who taught you what you know. My "Expositor's Greek New Testament," ISBE, and Greek and Hebrew lexicons are no different from yours. I don't give any opinion without checking Nestle's and Aland's apparatus with the works of Burgon, Miller, Scrivener, Philpotts, and one of your own men—Pickering.

9. Since you were kind enough to warn the young man about people being "confused" by a man who claimed an "advanced revelation" (see your letter), I take the liberty, with your permission, of warning Mr. Gregg to beware of any conceited ass who thinks because he has had 30 years of formal education he is equipped to judge the word of God and correct it. Conceited asses like that are a dime a dozen these Laodicean days.

Sincerely in Jesus Christ,

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

PSR/slr

P.S. Don't ever bother your little ole' head about "Ruckman" being troubled by the "uncertainty engendered by textual and translational differences" (see letter). Having checked every word of Aland's and Nestle's text with every reference in the apparatus, I am no more "uncertain" about "texts" and "translations" than I am about Darwin's theory of evolution. Go kid the kiddies who don't know the score.

Postscript

The “Kiddies”

“There is the INSPIRED WORD OF GOD! THE BIBLE! The Bible IS the inspired Word of God! And when I READ the INSPIRED and stately writings of the prophets I hear THE VOICE OF GOD! We believe THE BIBLE IS BREATHED BY GOD: it is either all true or it should be discarded, and thank God it is ALL true!” Dr. Wilbur Nelson, a Neo-evangelical (Moody Founder’s Week, *Moody Monthly*, 1957).

“As far as having an INSPIRED and INFALLIBLE BIBLE, Yes, I have one ... THE Greek Testament that I hold in my hands ... I will defend EVERY WORD OF IT. So, as far as having a VERBALLY INSPIRED BIBLE, I READ IT EVERY DAY.” Dr. Stewart Custer, apostate Fundamentalist (Head of the Bible Department, Bob Jones University, 1981).

“We have never corrected THE BIBLE. We believe THE BIBLE is the word of God! It is Peter Ruckman who has corrected THE BIBLE. Peter Ruckman ... uses the English BIBLE to correct THE Greek text.” Curtis Hutson, (Editor of the *Sword of the Lord*, July 1989).

While you are reading this print, the kiddies are telling anywhere from one hundred to five hundred ministerial students the following—which can be seen at once, by any HONEST man, to be news media “Double Speak.” This is their lying creed and profession, which they repeat endlessly, in order to STEAL your authority from your desk, pulpit, library shelf, and MIND.

“Since no single doctrine of the faith is *destroyed* by any of these Greek manuscripts, and since we have in our possession literally *thousands of copies*, we may honestly say FOR ALL PRACTICAL PURPOSES, that any translation by devout godly men can be CALLED ‘the Word of God,’ and is ‘SCRIPTURE’ in the places where it faithfully translates the original.”

There it is like a dead skunk on the Interstate. Every fundamental doctrine of the faith can be found in the *NWT* (Jehovah’s Witness translation), the *Jesuit Rheims* (official Vatican translation), the *Cotton Patch Version*, the *CEV*, the *ASV*, *RSV*, *NASV*, *RV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*, *NKJV*, and ANY OTHER.

Do you know what the lying thief above actually said? He said this:

“Since we can attack any doctrine *any place* we please, and since we can alter all Greek texts where we can’t *understand them*, we may say (as humanistic pragmatists) that any translation of which *we approve* can be called something it is not, nor ever shall be, by simply *pretending* we have the originals *when we don’t*.”

That is the honest content of the profession above.

You just saw it demonstrated for 120 pages.

It was demonstrated again for 342 pages in *The Last Grenade*.

It was demonstrated for another 378 pages in *The Christian's Handbook of Biblical Scholarship*. That is 820 pages of evidence.

Do you want to see what an HONEST confession of faith looks like where no “double speak” or secular humanism is involved? (I’ll give you one, since you asked! I will be happy to oblige.) Here, for you, is a comparison of two different confessions of faith coming from two different *sources*, guided by two different *motives*, which produce two different *kinds* of ministers. Here is the confession:

“Since no apostate fundamentalist has ever proved *conclusively* that the Bible we have in our hand is not the Holy Scripture given to us by inspiration (in spite of Christian scholarship), we accept this *Authorized Version* we have in our hands to be the *living words* of the living God, as they profess to be so in 2 Timothy 3:16 and Hebrews 4:12–13, and take this Bible to be, unreservedly, the absolute authority for our lives in ALL matters of FAITH and practise.”

Do you see the difference?

The first profession was that of a professional *con-artist*, who thought he was God; the second profession is that of *ORTHODOX EVANGELICAL FAITH*. There is no “double speak” found anywhere in the latter profession. All the “talking in unknown tongues” is in the first one.

The *latter* profession is the profession of Lester Roloff, Bob Gray, Bruce Cummons, George Grace, Ken Blue, Sam Gipp, Jim Modlish, Billy Kelly, Maze Jackson, John Mitchell, Jim White, Tim Green, Tim Lee, General William Booth, Sam Jones, Pappy Reveal, Mel Trotter, Jack Hyles, B. B. Crimm, Beauchamp Vick, Bill and Larry Bartlett, John Rawlings, Claude Bonam, and all the CONVERTS saved under Billy Sunday, Charles G. Finney, George Whitefield, John Wesley, J. Frank Norris, Bob Jones Sr., Gypsy Smith, Peter Cartwright, and Dwight Moody.

The *former* profession is that of B. H. Carroll, Westcott, Hort, John Broadus, Bobbie Scunner, Bob Jones Jr., Stewart Custer, John Gill, Eberhard Nestle, F. F. Bruce, Bruce Metzger, Arthur Farstad, Pope Paul VI, Dr. A. T. Robertson, James Price, Alan MacRae, Truman Dollar, Pope John XXIII, Curtis Hutson, Arlin Horton, Dayton Hobbs, Pope John II, Bob Jones III, Wilbur Pickering, Gary Hudson, Cardinal Spellman, Doug Kutilek, J. G. Machen, Father O’Brien, A. V. Henderson, James Combs, Hot Dog Hymers, Cardinal Sin (Manila), Sumner Wemp, Elmer Towns, Harold Willmington, Duke McCall, Harold Ockenga, Fred Afman, Chuck Swindoll, Bob Jones IV, John MacArthur, and THE VATICAN HIERARCHY.

When you get to the Judgment Seat of Christ, you will find out which profession was the correct “historic position.”

For the present (1992), use the second profession to CORRECT all the works, writings, theories, teachings, conjectures, suggestions, corrections, scholarship, and

recommendations of the first profession of faith. You only need ONE BOOK to do it with: the one you profess to believe is the “Word” of God, not because you can “call it” that, but because you believe *it is just THAT*.

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

Entire publication list at

www.kjv1611.org