



Roots and Methodology



By Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

Roots and Methodology



By Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

Roots and Methodology

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

President, Pensacola Bible Institute

B.A., B.D., M.A., Th.M., Ph.D.

COPYRIGHT © 1992 by Peter S. Ruckman

All Rights Reserved

(PRINT) ISBN 1-58026-263-5

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

The Scripture quotations found herein are from the text of the Authorized *King James* Version of the Bible.
Any deviations therefrom are not intentional.

BB BOOKSTORE

P.O. Box 7135

Pensacola, FL 32534

www.kjv1611.org

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

Preface

The following is a very brief and condensed account of the “rationale” behind the erection of a totalitarian, one world government under Satan. In this discussion, we have avoided going into matters of the Masons, Illuminati, International Bankers, Federal Reserve Board, Bilderbergers, CFR, et al., which have been dealt with at great length in a number of conservative “monographs.” Here, we are digging much deeper and getting down to the ROOTS that underlie the *thinking* processes of the planners and “conspirators.” Here, we are showing the Bible-believer the SOURCE of the trouble, with its roots lying as far back as 4000 B.C.

In our work *Discrimination: The Key to Sanity* (1992), we showed how one word could be used by the press, federal judges, and the teachers in the NEA to bring an entire nation into bondage and slavery to an *oligarchy*. In our work *The Anti-Intellectual Manifesto*, we showed how two words (“scholarship” and “godly”) could be used to back up any kind of religious false teaching or lying. In this work, we show the system or plan by which educators, teachers, professors, journalists, judges, scientists, environmentalists, humanists, philosophers, and religious leaders get rid of final authority and absolute truth so each one can force *his* authority on *others* and justify any sin that comes to his (or her) mind.

We deal, here, with the *mental processes* that “come into play” when a sinner is faced with final authority and absolute truth. The absolute truth was spoken in Genesis 2:16–17. The final authority for this truth is mentioned in the first verse in the Book (Gen. 1:1). In this essay, we see the response of depraved sinners, who aspire to be AUTHORITIES (Gen. 3:5), to this revelation. Born-again “Fundamentalists” are included right along with the popes, Mafia, world leaders, and unsaved Liberals and “Modernists.” The response is often *identical*, and the “new birth” has no effect on it, whatsoever.

Our thesis, here, is that the ROOT of totalitarian dictatorships is rejection of Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 2:16–17. The METHODOLOGY for doing this is the “Inductive Scientific Method,” and the RESULT is *international apartheid*: every nation on earth under absolute and complete dictatorial control of *one man* (Rev. 13) and ten collaborators (Rev. 13, Dan. 2, 7). In this essay, it will be apparent that apartheid is *the* official form of government in the United States and has been since 1941. The minority rules.

We hope this book will not only be enjoyable but enlightening.

“And some believed the things which were spoken, and some believed not” (Acts 28:24).

How to set up a

One World Government (or Roots and Methodology)

In our work *Discrimination: The Key to Sanity*, we demonstrated how one word can be used to enslave a nation and bring people into submission to a complete, absolute, totalitarian system where *all civil rights* are taken from every person in it. The methodology is carried out by apartheid: a *minority* destroys the “rights” of every majority in the system by claiming that the *minority’s rights* supersede the *majority’s rights*. Eventually, this produces a minority of rulers (an oligarchy) which takes away *all the rights* of their citizens. They are no longer “citizens”; they are “subjects.” One case here will do.

In 1954, a little colored girl in Topeka, Kansas went to the Supreme Court to get her “civil rights” to attend the school nearest to her house, even though it was an “all-white” school. She got her “rights.” When she did, more than *five million colored children* lost their rights to attend the “school of their choice,” and *twenty-five million white students* lost their rights at the same time. In the debacle that followed (1964), the entire public school system was taken over by the federal government and turned into the joke of the century, as far as “quality education” is concerned. It became the laughing stock of Europe and Asia. The teachers in America cannot pass their own educational standards for “qualifying,” and yet they are in charge of teaching. Affirmative Action (apartheid) made proper placements according to “quotas,” so now the public high schools have security checks, bulletproof vests, switchblades, condoms, and classes in homosexuality to keep the murder and assault rates at a reasonable level, along with VD and premarital pregnancies. At present, Affirmative Action is being applied to fruits and lesbians and, eventually, will have to be applied to chronic alcoholics and dopeheads; you cannot discriminate against *anyone* for their “lifestyle.”

Now, where did this idea originate that when two atheists wanted to join the Boy Scouts of America (1991) that the Scouts (over 300,000 of them) *must reorganize and adjust their creed to the two newcomers* (1991)? Again, here is a homosexual who sees a ripe harvest field in the Cub Scouts, but they won’t let him join because they know WHAT he is (not WHO he is, as the NEA, CBS, NBC, *Time*, and *Life* put it). Where does this peculiar “modern” concept come from, that suddenly emerges in the middle of the twentieth century—especially in America (the Japanese and Europeans have more sense)—and destroys private clubs, schools, churches, families, banks, country clubs, city parks, ball diamonds, small businesses, industries, and police forces? What do you suppose would have happened if *one* of the signers of the Declaration of Independence, or *one* of the framers of the Constitution, had demanded the “right” to omit the word “God” from either document on the grounds that *he* was an atheist? Suppose it turned out that the chief spokesman in Philadelphia turned out to be queer as a three dollar bill? Do you suppose he would have remained as spokesman (or “chair person”)?

But there is no need to go way back to 1776, or 1930 for that matter. As late as 1940, the teachers in the public school system of Florida not only had to dress properly, but they were forbidden to smoke. Why? Because of the “Surgeon General,” who was supported by income from government liquor stores? No. It was considered to be a “bad example” for the children. Question: “Is a sex pervert a good example for children, in view of the fact that every major killer in America for the last forty years (plus Idi Amin overseas) was a SEX PERVERT (Charles Manson, Donald Evans, Corryl, Son of Sam, et al.)?” “Smoking may be dangerous to your health,” the government says. Well, it’s not as dangerous as the Surgeon General or the NEA!

With AIDS still running rampant, the Apartheids are saying, “You can only get it through sexual contact,” while an unmarried virgin contracted it from a sodomite without him so much as holding her hand (1991). The homosexuals got the press to accuse an unmarried virgin of having a sexual relationship with a faggot. Her dentist was a FAGGOT. They did it and got away with it. *They are still doing it.* They are still saying (day and night) that you cannot get AIDS apart from *sexual contact* with someone who has it. This venereal disease originated with queers, and that is why it was called “GRID” (Gay Related Immunity Deficiency). “GRID” was altered to “AIDS” by the press to protect the minority “rights” of the people who started the disease.

Apartheid.

The majority had no right to “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness”; they didn’t even have the right to be warned as to the SOURCE of their killer. As a result, more than 100,000 of the victims are now “straights,” not queers.

Imagine, for a moment, a police office, in 1935, running into a black man raping a white woman in an alley at 10 o’clock at night. He hauls out his “Roscoe” and fires one shot about two feet from the “scene of the crime” and yells: “GET UP YOU #&!#! or I’ll blow your brains out!”

The rapist throws a six-inch blade at him which sticks into a crate beside the cop, so the cop proceeds to blow him away. What happens next?

1. There is a riot in that section the next day because a black man was brutally *murdered* on the grounds that he had no right to have “sex” with “whitey.”

2. The cop is arrested and thrown off the police force and charged with murder. He killed an “unarmed man.” (You see, the only weapon the rapist had was gone: HE HAD THROWN IT!)

3. The rape victim was arrested as an “accomplice” because three black junkies who worked the alley said the “victim” was a professional prostitute who “set up” the rapist for the police.

You say, “It couldn’t happen.” With the exception of point three, it DID happen. It happened in the back room of a store after midnight (1991) with another exception: *the policeman was not even allowed to fire one shot until the rapist had thrown the knife first!*

At present, the apartheid “ruling” is, a policeman cannot fire his pistol for ANY reason—including into the air as a warning—until the criminal has a chance to kill *him* first. No overstatement. He must catch the criminal first with his bare hands and then wrestle with

him so that if the criminal is unarmed, he can at least have a chance to kill the officer *with his own gun*. This happens several times every year.

Where does this insanity come from? Where is the LAW, passed by Congress, that says you must let a rapist or killer into your house at night before you can take a shot at him, and *then you cannot shoot him unless he is* “THREATENING” you. If you shot him, you must be careful not to shoot him more than *twice*; four times is an “overkill” which shows “vicious intent.” And don’t *wound him* or you can be sued. Law? What law? When was a law passed giving “breaking and entering” privileges to rapists, robbers, and murderers, at the expense of someone trying to get some sleep after working all day at a legitimate job? How many of these people (the legit) are there compared to the “breakers” and the “enterers”? Someone is making laws for apartheid.

“Government OF the people and BY the people,” was it? Who are “THE PEOPLE”? In this case, they are the *criminals*. They have their own laws which give them their “civil rights.”

APARTHEID: The majority controlled by a minority. That is America in 1992 (see *The Damnation of a Nation*, 1991).

A subway “commuter” had been robbed *twice* by black teenagers. The third time—you understand the “law” *did nothing about the other two times*—he takes a gun along with him. When three professionals (all had been arrested for felonies before this) approach him with sharpened screwdrivers, instead of sharpened knives (you can get a six-inch blade with a screwdriver, whereas only three inches is “legal” with a knife), he fires. He gets charged with murder and then is taken to court FIVE times for the *same offense*. Is that what the “founding fathers” had in mind? Wanna bet?

When President Bush tried to put a black man on the Supreme Court (1991), he discovered that the NAACP was not really interested in promoting “Colored People” at all. It was interested in promoting left-wing, *radical*, black Socialists. Suddenly “color” had very little to do with it. Political stance determined the “evaluation.” The press was not against queers, dopeheads, sex perverts, atheists, and Communists; *they were against conservative Americans*. Apartheid. Conservative Americans, of any race, have always *outnumbered* queers, dopeheads, atheists, and sex perverts.

What took place in America, between 1910 and 1954, must have some ROOTS. I mean, what is the bedrock foundation upon which all of this insanity is built? You probably would never guess. Here, we are not talking about the “conspiracy” which, of course, certainly exists. We are not talking about FDR stealing the gold (which he *did* in 1933), or the Federal Reserve Board stealing the silver (which they *did* in 1963) or the copper (which they *did* in 1980), or the coming computerized “cashless society” where all Banks are federal, all private accounts are federal, and all incomes are federal (which will take place, Rev. 13). We are talking, here, about the METHODOLOGY adopted by the educators, scientists, journalists, teachers, editors, authors, statesmen, politicians, and the news media for bringing about the mad house just mentioned (described in detail in *The Damnation of a Nation*, 1991). What is the ROOT, the philosophical concept, the mental aberration, the thought process, or mental approach that has produced this totalitarian, computerized dictatorship of a minority (apartheid)?

Well, we know enough about the Alexandrian Cult (Bob Jones, PCC, Wheaton, Liberty, Moody, Fuller, etc.) to know that the root of *all issues* is FINAL AUTHORITY, and we have a Book that shows us that the first time this issue was dealt with, it was dealt with on a *Satanic level* (Gen. 3) where someone wanted to be the final authority (Isa. 14:12–15). The victim of the “sting” was a woman who had aspirations for higher education (Gen. 3:5) and wanted to “know.” Eve is tempted to believe that what God SAID was not absolute truth (see John 17:17). If Satan was right, then all truth is relative (see John 18:38). If there is no absolute, final authority, *anyone’s* opinion or guess is as good (or better) than anyone else’s opinion or guess (see the *ASV, NASV, RSV, NRSV, NIV, NKJV, TEV*, etc.) with the determining factor not being truth or righteousness at all, but the power and ability to *enforce* the opinion or guess. This is how Genghis Khan, Napoleon, Charlemagne, Castro, Adolph Hitler, Lenin, Anton Pavelich, Stalin, Bloody Mary, and all the popes operated. It is simple in the extreme. You assume because you have the POWER to do a thing (take Eve’s case for example), that you have a RIGHT to do it. In shorter terms, “might makes right.” In shorter terms, “the survival of the fittest.” In modern form, “Mein Kampf,” which perfectly describes a man who believed that his *right* was whatever he had *power* to get away with. No pope thinks any differently. Why would he, when he claims the title of God the Father for himself (John 17:11)?

Let us reduce it to Satan’s original formula recorded in Job 2:4, more than one hundred years before Alexander the Great’s grandmother was born: “ME FIRST, YOU NEXT.” *Self-preservation* is the animal instinct for survival. Where countries are controlled and operated on an apartheid bias—like the USA today—the “boys at the top” have only one real religious conviction: *self-preservation* (at your expense). They have that one problem! How to get rid of every group of individuals that might have enough POWER to get rid of the leaders’ “rights.” All popes think and act in this fashion.

Now, a rapist may have POWER to break into your house and rape your sister, mother, wife, or daughter, but he has no “right,” unless...! Ah, now we got it! Unless...unless all truth is RELATIVE, in which case rape could be a “value” or “lifestyle,” not a *sin* or a *crime*. Got it? In 1991, the editors in *The Scientific American* were saying that environmental ecology was a MORAL issue. The same editors (with the editors of every major daily newspaper in America, plus CBS, NBC, and ABC) were treating premarital sex, use of drugs, pornography, sex perversion, and fornication as “LIFESTYLES” with no *moral* overtones. “Good and evil” could only be applied to people who opposed *federal control of businesses and property*. They were “good” if they submitted to control and “fines,” but they were “evil” if they had any freedom. “Good and evil” are not even talked about in any high school or college in America where they touch adultery, fornication, sex perversion, stealing, cursing, cheating, pornography, or lying. You can’t even post a *standard* for “good and evil” (the Ten Commandments) in the hallway of a public school. At the same time, you are being taught that it is “EVIL” to *put your garbage in the wrong place*. What has happened?

Easy: absolute truth is out, relative truths are in, so the man who has the POWER to enforce *his set* assumes his set is RIGHT—in this case, *morally* right. No politician or government can enforce morals (chastity, honesty, loyalty, integrity, clean living, etc.), so they must enforce *something else*. At present, they are enforcing *immorality* (see *The Damnation of a Nation*, 1991) and pretending there is no such thing. “Right is might”; i.e.,

if you can enforce it (POWER) it must be RIGHT.

Hitler had power to invade Poland. Did he have the *right* to? Why not, if all truth is relative? You say, “Well, he had no right to destroy the rights of others” (i.e. “Your right to swing your arm ends where my nose begins,” etc.). Why not? My government took away *my right* to protect my home and family; they took away *my right* to live without a number attached to me from the cradle to the grave; they took away *my right* to whip my children; they took away *my right* to vote for a declaration of war against ANY nation; they took away *my right* to hire and fire employees; they took away *my right* to be buried where I want to be buried; they took away *my children’s rights* to choose a school; they took away *my right* to hunt and fish without a license; they took away *my right* to free speech when on the radio, and they took away *my right* to pass out tracts in shopping centers. *And you condemn Hitler for taking someone’s “rights”?* Do you know how Hitler and the U.S. federal government were able to destroy your rights? Easy: THEY HAD THE POWER. Might is right.

That is the mess you get into once you get rid of absolute truth. *Everyone loses their rights except a minority who get the POWER to keep their rights.* In the Constitution, you do not get your rights from a power group. You get them from God—supposedly! Once you get rid of God, you are in a jungle where “might makes right.” Survival of the “fittest” (or as Nathaniel Bedford Forrest said, “He who gets there the fustest with the mostest”). The *rights* you now enjoy come from God, and God only, but they are being taken from you at the rate of about ten a month, by people who have substituted *relative truths* for the truth of God. They are concerned about your “civil rights” as long as you understand that *they* give them to you. God is not a factor with them. You will get what rights *they* will allow you, but at present, these rights amount to about one-tenth of those you had under the Constitution.

But we are not yet at the *root* of the methodology. We have only described, briefly, what takes place when absolute truth is abandoned. The question comes up, “Why was it abandoned”? On the basis of what intellectual precept was a process started which will eventually take away from you *every liberty you have* and leave you as a global clone under a totalitarian dictatorship? Who and what was it in the twentieth century that got you into this mess, at least “humanistically” speaking? (We know who the real author is—Gen. 3:1—he is identified in the Scripture with a question mark every time he shows up—Job 1:9; Matt. 27:40, 42; Gen. 3:1; Luke 4:3.)

How do you explain this raging imbecility which insists that two homosexuals have the RIGHT to change the Boy Scouts of America or two atheists have the RIGHT to get Gideon Bibles out of the motel rooms or two Jews have a RIGHT to stop prayer before a football game in a high school of 3,000 students? What is this raving, cockeyed NONSENSE that tears its way into *every* facet of American life, destroying classrooms, sport activities (women in the men’s locker rooms, etc.), private businesses, Sunday School classes, and even families (HRS)? What is this slobbering, insane *fanaticism* that renders the police department powerless to protect anyone and then jails citizens trying to protect *themselves*? What is this brutal, vicious, moronic madness that destroys “quality education” in every city in every state in the Union and then allows a President to declare war without Congress opening their mouths? What is it?

(The Children of Israel called it “**manna**” [Exod. 16:15] because they “**wist not what it was**”!)

It goes by an extremely respectable name; you would never guess its associations by its name. It is called (in all quarters) ‘THE INDUCTIVE SCIENTIFIC METHOD.’

No kidding, that is what it is called. Note the word “INDUCTIVE” substituted for “DEDUCTIVE.” Do you grasp the significance of “in” instead of “de”? *Two letters*, that is all you need to turn 250,000,000 normal people into a lunatic asylum full of crackpots. “In” for “De” is all you need.

This stuff started with Darwin back in the nineteenth century. It began with trying to get rid of Genesis 1:1. It began with trying to get rid of the source: God as CREATOR. Note how often the Bible emphasizes this root fact (Jer. 32:17; 10:10–12; Isa. 40:26, 42:5; Rev. 14:7; Acts 14:15–17). It is a fact emphasized from Genesis to Revelation without a letup. God is CREATOR. He is the source of reality. Therefore He is the source for anything and everything that is *real*. What He allows to take place (Satan, sin, evil, suffering, pain, and death) may be carried out by secondary agents (see Heb. 2:14 and Job 1, 2 for example), but *He is the source of absolute truth*. Get rid of Him, and you are “free,” you are “liberated,” you are a god, “**knowing good and evil**” (Gen. 3:5). Now YOU are the source of absolute truth, and if you are not *that* egotistical, you claim to be at least A SOURCE of truth which is just as good a source as say, Moses, for example, who wrote Genesis 1:1.

Evolution successfully does away with the true Biblical account (Gen. 1–3) of truth. Not even a theistic evolutionist has ever devised a system that could reconcile no rain showing up on earth till AFTER man was created, nor can he devise any system to explain *how the earth got here before the sun did*. Theistic evolution is simply one more way of saying “**the words of truth**” (Psa. 119:160) are NOT true, even though Jesus Christ said they were (Mark 13:19; John 17:17). Get rid of the Creator; then you become your own god. Now you have the RIGHT to do whatever you have POWER to do. It’s that simple. And it means that no saved, Christian Fundamentalist can be trusted fifteen feet in broad daylight if he does not recognize one final and absolute source of authority, that can be checked on and check out by anyone, over his own life.

Deduction starts from an *established truth*, in this case, Genesis 1:1. Induction starts from nowhere, or to be more accurate, *anywhere*. There is not one place you can put your foot down in the *inductive method*. All you will wind up doing will be floating about in an elastic net of “probabilities” which may or may not be true at various times. This is the position of twentieth century “science.” *Deduction* tells a man that “the exception establishes the rule.” Majority vote. *Induction* tells us that the “exception overthrows the rule.” Apartheid: pure and simple in its original and pristine form. That is the root of the matter before us. The United States Insane Asylum is operated by the INDUCTIVE “SCIENTIFIC” METHOD, which is as much as saying it is operated by Goldilocks, the Seven Gummy Bears, Daffy Duck, and the Big Bad Wolf.

Begin with hallucinations and *fantasy* as a base, and any social, economic, or political structure you set up will look like the leaning tower of Pisa after a major earthquake. “**If the foundations be destroyed, what can the righteous do?**” (Psa. 11:3). Nothing. The

“**righteous**” are stupid enough to believe that might is not always right; the relativists—no matter what their pious and humanistic professions are—believe in no absolute rights or wrongs, so *power* is right. Providing, of course, that “power” is *theirs* for them to exercise over *your* rights. “Me first, you next.” Pass a law (1964) giving Negroes access to the public schools, and then get YOUR kids out of the public schools as quickly as possible: *all thirteen senators did just that*. Pass a law that it is criminally wrong to carry a loaded weapon, and then carry one yourself. That is just what the *journalists* are doing right now; they have exempted themselves from the laws they want passed on to YOU. Get a law passed that you are criminally liable if you kill someone while driving intoxicated, and then when you kill eight school children by slamming into a bus and setting it on fire, claim *the bus* was faulty. It was the *bus driver’s fault* for not having the bus checked. Solution? Charge all bus owners \$5,000 a year for checkups.

Get the picture? If you don’t, tune in to CBS, NBC, ABC, and CNN any night between 5 P.M. and 11 P.M. (National Public Radio gives it four hours a day.)

You have to tell the patient’s family if he has AIDS or be sued? Okay, tell them, and then get sued for “invasion of privacy.” You have to keep your mouth shut, do you? Then have your patient die of AIDS and have some queer sue YOU for not *warning* him that his “lover” had a “dose.” Get the picture yet? It is bananas gone bonkers. *Anything goes*. It has to, for there is *no absolute, moral standard for determining right and wrong; there is no right or wrong*. There are only relative “values” which may or may not be “proper,” according to who is in power or who has the power at a particular time. Supreme Court judges alternate rulings, change rulings, and replace rulings as fast as they invent them. What was right in 1960 is not right in 1970, and what was right in 1980 is not right in 1991. Why? *Nothing was right or wrong to start with*. The whole thing was based on *political expediency* with the *news media* inventing guidelines.

You are a policeman. You have just caught a thief leaving “the scene,” after smashing a plate glass window on a “Seven-Eleven.” You cannot fire a warning shot. You holler for him to halt, which has about as much affect on him as telling a piranha to quit biting. As he runs off, laughing and thumbing his nose at you, you take up the chase. Suddenly, he stops and wheels and pulls out a 9mm Luger and points it right at you. Without hesitating, you blow him away; you are close enough where you can’t miss. What happens next?

You are then *dismissed* from the police force for “police brutality” and *tried for second degree murder for killing an unarmed teenager*. You see, he had a *toy* pistol. (Anyone can get an exact replica of any major pistol in the world [9mm Glock, 45 Caliber Colt, 357 Magnum, 22 Colt Revolver, 380 Beretta, 9 mm Luger, P38 or Police “Special,” etc.].) The kid was just bluffing. He couldn’t have killed you with the pistol, but he was given the right to make you *think* he could. In the dark you couldn’t check the pistol. You were not entitled to scare him into stopping. In one case in Ohio (1990), under similar circumstances, the crook (in fleeing) fell into a swollen stream. The police officers were tried for negligence of duty because they didn’t rescue him before he drowned: they were to endanger *their lives* to save a criminal who had endangered *them*. Apartheid.

A handful of robbers and killers run a nation of law-abiding people by the *Inductive* method. You see, every case is a “SPECIAL” case with no rule by which to go; *you make them up as you go*. This is the “scientific method” in application. You take one case to

overthrow a rule or law and make each exception into a major issue, where it will overthrow another law. Finally, you have thrown out every law in the books and are at liberty to do as you...please by making your case the “exception” every time it comes up. *Me first, you next.* You find one skeleton of a deformed bird (Archaeopteryx), whose bone structure was altered by disease, and you pretend this proves that birds came from snakes. (You will find this same picture in every textbook on Biology ever given to any high school or middle school student in the USA between 1920 and 1990). The rule is “Birds do not come from snakes,” but ONE apparent exception overthrows the rule. You *invent* a rule from the *isolated details* you pick up. That is the “inductive” method. You do not interpret the isolated *details* you pick up in light of *known truth*, for you have assumed that all “known” truths are subject to change. What do you do? You change them.

How do you change them? *To benefit yourself.*

If you are a politician or a federal employee, it would be in the best interests of your own situation to get people on the government payroll who can be *controlled*. This way, there are more pay hikes for yourself and more tax money from the suckers and less trouble shoving through the instruments for long tenure and control. Pretend women are men. That way you can fill the banks with *female employees* who will gossip about the accounts, report everything to the IRS, *and get rid of those who deal in cash*. Since the nuts in the asylum actually believe that you can control white, male Protestants as easily as you can control black women, no one will raise an eyebrow. When half the newscasters become *women*, you will never stop to think how easily they could be fed material without any inquiry coming; you were brainwashed into thinking they were man’s equal. Why would you be suspicious if one-third of the Army turned up black, led by WOMEN? Who would even *think* about it? If the queers have the female genes—many of them claim that is why they are perverts (they were “born” that way)—why wouldn’t they make ideal employees to handle all Public Broadcasting systems and all canned news from local radio stations. What would be the difference in a black Catholic lesbian and, say, Oliver North or Jesse Helms, when it came to handling TRUTH? *Wouldn’t they all react the same way?* Or what difference would it make *how* they reacted? How could you dare think that Reagan and Jane Fonda would THINK differently, and one be right and the other wrong?

Do you get the picture yet? There can’t be any “right” and “wrong.”

You found a woman bouncer who had thrown forty men out of a bar without ever taking a trip to the hospital herself. Doesn’t this prove that women are as strong and tough physically as men? Okay, hire ‘em. You found an odd duck (a Duck-billed Platypus), so that one animal proves...what? You found one sex pervert who had a good rating as a Captain in the Army. This proves...? Go on promote ‘em, then put the women in the tents with male “buddies”—they’re “equal,” you remember—and then switch values, standards, rules, and regulations when one of the “buddies” shows up *pregnant* and the other one doesn’t.

This is the inductive method. This is the Methodology, the grass roots of the Civil Rights Movement and the New World Movement; power is “right.” All else is relative—relative—relative to the power.

What are the Marine Corps’ laws on “Sexual Harassment” for? They are for one sex

and one sex only. They are an admission that the sexes are in *no way equal*, for no male ever complains of “sexual harassment.” Someone has shut their eyes, spun the wheel, hit the ratchet with their nose, and called it “scientific progress.”

The nineteenth century Funnymentalist “scholars” who devoted their lives to judging the Scriptures by the humanistic opinions and preferences of sinners, *like themselves*, react to final authority exactly the way *unsaved Liberals* react. Being men-pleasers who follow men (they call this part of their secular humanism, “historic positions”), they are not going to allow any BOOK to be the final authority in their own lives, even though they might USE it and call it the “Bible” (see the misleading nomenclature on the NASB: New American Standard BIBLE) or the “Holy Bible” (see misleading nomenclature on the RSV: “The Holy Bible, Revised Standard Version”) or “The Scriptures” (see misleading nomenclature in Awana for “memorizing SCRIPTURE”). Their final authority is *their opinions* about the opinions of critics who have *opinions*. This has been documented to the tune of over eight hundred pages (see *The Last Grenade*, 1990, and *The “Errors” in the King James Bible* [formerly published under the title *Problem Texts*], 1999) with the Funnymentalists attaching their signatures to their “professions of unbelief.”

The rule is simple. Every English Bible published between 1800 and 1980, with the exception of reprints of the *King James Authorized Version*, were Roman Catholic Bibles from the Jesuit Greek text of 1582 (*Douay Rheims*). Exceptions (i.e., places where these apostate corruptions followed the *AV* against the *Douay Rheims*) prove the rule; that is, they do if you follow the “*Deductive*” method. If you use the Inductive method, the exceptions overthrow the rule, and make the apostate corruptions acceptable because they are not Catholic productions. If you want to see this in operation, observe the following:

1. “All of the fundamentals of the faith can be found in the *NRSV*, therefore....”
2. “Not one fundamental of the faith is missing from the *NASV*, therefore....”
3. “Not one fundamental doctrine is affected by the *ASV*, therefore....”
4. “You can lead a soul to Christ with a *NKJV*, therefore....”

Therefore *what?* All of the fundamentals of the faith are found in a textbook on Theology by Schafer, Berkhof, Kuiper, Hodge, and Calvin, and you can lead a soul to Christ with a *Living Bible* or a *Wordless Book*. Therefore...? Therefore what? Someone is trying to make you think that this mass of apostate garbage is equal to (or superior to) a *King James Bible*. Are they? Are *any* of them? The rule, born out by fifteen hundred years of history, recorded by every major historian since D’Aubigne, is that the FRUIT of the Jesuit text (called “Alexandrian” or “Hesychian” by the Alexandrian Cult) was the DARK AGES. Jerome’s New Testament Latin *Vulgate* IS from this text. The fruits of that “Biblical” text were the Inquisition, Bloody Mary, the Armada, the Black Death, the Thirty Years War, the 100 Years War, the flagellants, the destruction of Central and South America financially and politically, the Crusades, World War I, World War II, the Serbian Holocaust (1942), Vietnam, The St. Bartholomew Massacre, and the rape of Europe by Charles II, Tully, and Wallenstein. **“By their fruits ye shall know them.”**

When that Dark Age text was revived in 1880 by Westcott and Hort, *and recommended by every major conservative scholar in Europe and America* as a replacement for the *Authorized Version*, up showed the Franco-Prussian War, the

Philippine Insurrection, the Spanish-American War, World War I, World War II, the destruction of England and America's economic systems, Adolph Hitler (Catholic), Franco (Catholic), Mussolini (Catholic), Kennedy (Catholic), Castro, Batista, Noreiga, Sandino (Catholic), the Great Depression, the end of "quality" education in America, the collapse of missionary vision and burden in every major Christian college and university in America, the Mafia, the Cosa Nostra (Catholic), a drug traffic the size of General Motors and U.S. Steel, the takeover of whole cities by sex perverts, while the Ten Commandments, prayer, and the Bible were eradicated from classrooms and replaced with jungle music, condoms, and instruction on how to fornicate "safely."

The next things that go are the banks and the cash. **"By their fruits ye shall know them."**

Whoever translated the *RV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*, and *NKJV* couldn't get the Author of the "Scriptures"—whatever that is a reference to!—to give them "the time of day." God simply acted like they hadn't done anything to improve anything. To the contrary, He bankrupted BOTH nations that produced these bibles, after using one man (Hitler) to kill six million Israelites who would not accept—not one of them—the Catholic "canon" of the Old Testament on which Hitler was raised in the Benedictine grade school. (See Toland, *Adolph Hitler*, 1976.)

The *Douay Rheims* African Bible (Alexandria is in Egypt) was the "Bible" on which all of Hitler's family was raised, as well as Goebbel's family, Goering's family, Hess's family (Commandant of Auschwitz), and Stangl's family (Commandant of Treblinka). This goes by the name of *Challoner Rheims*, *Douay*, *Douay Rheims*, *American*, *Challoner*, *New American*, *Jerusalem*, *New Jerusalem* (there is one for you!!), and others. It is Jerome's *Latin Vulgate* containing the Apocrypha as PART of the "inspired" Old Testament canon.

Rule: *ONE* English Bible produced the British Empire and the United States of America, *ONE* German Bible produced Protestant (Luther) Germany, giving them the highest standards of living on earth and causing them to be the target of destruction for every country that stuck with the pope and his "bible." The so-called "Third-World Nations" are simply nations who followed the Catholic list of "Ten Commandments." *The Catholics have always excluded the second commandment from this list* (they do now as always). The "Third World Countries," then, are the ones who kept their idols and their gods and remained untouched by the Protestant Reformation; this includes most of Africa, all of India, most of South and Central America, and most of Mexico. The RULE is not hard to see; exceptions PROVE the rule. If you were *inductive*, you would blame the condition of their countries on *capitalism* and *imperialism*.

In the Inductive method, you handle the problem above like this: you say "But what about so-and-so...?", and cite an *isolated exception*. See how it is done? The isolated exception ("I knew a man who got saved reading a *Living Bible*," etc.) overthrows fifteen hundred years of documented historical FACTS. That is the methodology. "But what about so-and-so? He used the *RV* and corrected the *AV* occasionally. Doesn't this prove that...?" Prove what? It proves there can be exceptions to established rules, and the exceptions *prove* the rule, for they are just THAT—*exceptions*. The rule is that where the *AV* is believed, read, used, preached, and practiced, it produces the highest type of civilizations

on earth with the highest standards of living on earth, plus the most effective moral standards and restraints against crime, plus the most Christian “after products”—schools, hospitals, Bible societies, tract societies, missionary societies, etc. EXCEPTIONS PROVE THE RULE.

Where the *AV* is discarded, ignored, slighted, attacked, criticized, or disobeyed—say, for example, in regards to Deuteronomy 32:8–9; Nehemiah 13:20–28; Romans 14:16, 21; and Genesis 24:3 (that last one was “under GRACE” not the “LAW”!), the crime rate triples faster than the population rate, and nothing anyone proposes or does has any effect on it (see *The Damnation of a Nation*, 1991). Where the English texts of Ephesians 5:22–30, Proverbs, Colossians 3:18, and Titus 2:5 are disobeyed or ignored, the divorce rate will multiply at a rate ten times faster than the population increase, and there is nothing that anyone can do about it now or later, and never has been in the past. Where scholars alter 1 Timothy 6:5, 9, 10, 20; and 2 Timothy 2:15, and Romans 1:18, 25 to meet the demands of their own depravity, the people who follow them (*NKJV*, *NIV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *TEV*, *NEB*, *RV*, etc.) cause materialism and humanism to take over Christian schools and churches, the doors to the mission field to close, Bible study to cease, anti-Catholicism to disappear, Modernists and Liberals to thrive, street preaching to stop, and interest in the Bible to disappear. THAT IS THE RULE. The rule remains in spite of any isolated “exceptions.”

The truth is that every leading Christian “celebrity” in America who brags about “verbally inspired, original autographs,” “THE Greek text,” “communicating to the receptor the original intent of the author,” etc.—while attacking and altering the *AV*—is just as guilty of treason and the destruction of America (as a democracy) as any member of the SDS, Jane Fonda, Jesse Jackson, ACLU, Benedict Arnold, John Kennedy, or Brennan and Marshall (Supreme Court Justices).

“What about so-and-so....?” Yeah, what about him?

The rule is simple. Where the text of the *AV* is believed, honored, used, preached, and practised, God prospers a nation to the maximum extent. Where it is USED, without being believed (Bob Jones III, Arlin Horton, Dayton Hobbs, Fred Afman, John Ankerberg, Curtis Hutson, Billy Graham, James Combs, et al.), God cuts the prosperity in HALF. Where it is abandoned (England 1904, Spain 1588, Italy 325, etc.; they all had access to the Syrian type text of the *AV* and Luther’s Bible), God bankrupts the country and turns it over to Satan. You say, “But what about....?”

Exactly; *that is what proves the rule, as stated.*

You can find a diamond in a sewer and a twenty dollar bill in a dumpster; that doesn’t mean that *sewers* are jewelry stores or *dumpsters* are banks. You can find “all of the fundamental doctrines” in an *ASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NKJV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, etc.; that doesn’t mean they are Bibles or the Scriptures. The RULE by which you judge an English translation is fixed; *God fixed it*. Exceptions prove the rule, unless you are a secular humanist who believes the word “SCIENCE” (*AV*, 1611) shouldn’t be in 1 Timothy 6:20. In which case, you will erase THAT word from your Bible. The *ASV*, *RV*, *NASV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*, *TEV*, *NEB*, and *NKJV* did just THAT.

If you are going to use the Inductive method for overthrowing final authority, the

warning AGAINST “science” must be obliterated (1 Tim. 6:20). *It has been*. It has been removed from *every English translation on the market* (over one hundred versions in the last one hundred years). The saved Funnymentalists have adopted the METHODOLOGY of the NEA, ACLU, HRS, *Time*, *Life*, CBS, NBC, and CNN. They do it to be rid of absolute truth and final authority so they can replace it with *their own* opinions and preferences (Gen. 3:1–6). Par for the course.

We know that children and adults are not “equal,” and the exception proves the rule: i.e., I’ve got a ten year old child prodigy who can speak, read, write Latin and Greek, etc. But in the Inductive method, the exception overthrows the rule: i.e., all children are equal to adults. They just haven’t had yet the proper *environment* and *training*; some day....!! We know men are stronger than women, but if we get the women to lifting weights (thereby producing grossed-out freaks) we can prove...? You’re trying to break laws. You are trying to get rid of *reality* and replace it with *Disneyworld*. One deluded idiot said, “The way you can spot a cult leader or demagogue is by his use of the expression ‘EVERYONE KNOWS THAT SUCH AND SUCH....’” Do you know what that nutty old reprobate was trying to tell you? He was trying to say that the plain facts of life and history that *are* self-evident should be done away with SO THAT *NOTHING IS EVIDENT, NOTHING IS OBVIOUS, NOTHING IS CLEAR CUT*; and thereby *no one* can know such-and-such.... Once he puts you in that position, then he can teach you to believe any outrageous lie (Gen. 3) and bring you under *his* dominion, *his* agnosticism, and *his* delusion. That is the Inductive method applied.

You found ONE skeleton of a man who had some disease (say Piltdown Man, Neanderthal Man, “Lucy,” Java Man, etc.) and this proves...? It is supposed to prove that a race of men, just like the ONE you found, lived and died out more than 1,000,000 years ago (some say 400,000 to 1,000,000; Leakey says 2,000,000 to 3,000,000, etc.). The rule is:

1. No ape or monkey can talk.
2. No ape or monkey shows any signs of becoming a man.
3. No ape or monkey gets under conviction or has a bad conscience.
4. No ape or monkey can sing or paint, nor could any of their ancestors (lemurs, tarsiers, etc.).
5. No ape or monkey makes tools or keeps a record of history.
6. No ape or monkey would try to build a fire out of anything.
7. No ape or monkey (or any animal related to them) handles money.

But you found this one “missing link,” did you? And this *one* link proves that...? Simple: if you are just as looney as Daffy Duck, you believe the exception overthrew the rule. Men are so anxious to exempt their own sins by claiming they are *exceptional* cases, they are willing to dig down to hell or rocket to the moon to find just ONE exception that will overthrow the rule. This is what “liberates” them. It gives them “power.”

Do you know what is the latest thing in genetics, I mean the very most up-to-date, intellectual, objective, scientific discipline now taking place on earth? Do you know? *It is*

trying to find ONE place where order accidentally came out of chaos in genetic structures, in order to prove Darwin was right after all! This, after one hundred years of proof that he was just as nutty as pecan pie. In analyzing DNA and RNA, what they have run into are structures so complicated that the investigators had to admit, in print, that the “germ messengers” in the molecules are more intelligent *than the men analyzing the molecule*. How did it get this way? Accidentally, if you are going to continue to ignore Genesis 1:1. Put it this way: *Accidentally*, if you are willing to renounce your sanity on the spot, at once. The truth of the matter is, the first real essential requirement for a “New Age World Citizen” in the “New World Order” of “Global Unity” is to be a cloned fruitloop, *an imbecile*. The mind with an ability to discriminate and select must be destroyed (see *Discrimination: The Key to Sanity*, 1991). In this coming Hollywood, electronic, computerized jungle, one must remember that canned laughter (all sit coms), artificial light (all electricity is artificial light), artificial foods, and artificial manners are just as essential as huge toys posing as animals (Jaws), stuffed monkeys operated by men inside with machines (King Kong), and Bibles (*ASV, NIV, RSV, NASV, NRSV*, etc.) that are no more “Bibles” than telephone books. With this comes artificial fuel, artificial eyebrows and hair, plastic guns, and computer “chips” that can be manipulated by sinners so they can rob any individual (or corporation) out of \$40,000 to \$40,000,000 overnight.

The money is artificial (paper), the people are artificial (transvestites), and the whole Hollywood, plastic, artificial, hollow, faceless, amoral jungle is held together by a seamless, electronic web of electronics, bionetics, and APARTHEID. The only question is the one discussed one hundred times a day in every newspaper and every TV station in the country, where it mentions war, politics, money, medicine, sports, education, religion, or science: *WHO GETS TO RUN WHOM?* Who WAS the top dog? Who IS the top dog, and who WILL BE the top dog: the “king of the mountain”? *Final authority* has always been the issue and always will be, in any branch of man’s activities, in *any* time of his history, on *any* continent that he ever inhabited. It is the ROOT issue of Isaiah 14:11–15 that takes place before Genesis 1:3.

The *root* is rejection of Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 3:1.

The *methodology* is the Inductive scientific method.

The *result* is APARTHEID, called in the Bible “Nicolaitanism,” the conquering of the common man—in this case, the “masses.”

Genuine Communism has never been attempted one time by any government on the face of this earth. China, Cuba, and Russia—the supposed “showplaces” for Communism—were *three military dictatorships*; all three of them. What Marx and Trotsky taught—the dictatorship of the Proletariat—has never been tried anywhere, because, like “evolution,” the term (“communism”) originated in Disneyland. It has no substance. Neither word is the invention of a SANE MIND. *Nothing evolves* (not even inventions, grass, civilizations, or “quasars”), and no country is “communal.” A minority always *controls or rules* a majority (apartheid), and it is only the working out of a demented mind that would lead anyone to think that ANY majority would ever control anything for any length of time. All majorities are dispossessed, sooner or later, and it is nearly always sooner. Who ran Russia before the Bolshevik Revolution? *A minority*. Who ran it after the revolution? *A minority*. Who ran China before Mao Tse-tung? *A minority*. Who has run it since Mao? *A minority*.

How many people in America are against personal income taxes? What does this amount to? How many people in America were against America entering the Vietnam War? What did this amount to? How many people in the South did NOT want to stay in the “Union” (1861)? Do you think the “majority” got their “rights”? Ask the people in Lithuania, Estonia, and Latvia in 1945–1991.

No “workers and peasants” or “workers and farmers” (Hammer and Sickle) ever ran ANY government, and they never will. Communism is a NON-SCIENTIFIC term for irrational *ANTI-INTELLECTUALS*. That is why it was thought so highly of in every college in America from 1950–1990 (see *The Anti-Intellectual Manifesto*, 1991).

Back to our *methodology*. A man in California walks out on a playground and kills a dozen students with a “semi-automatic weapon.” In steps “god,” the great “fixer,” whose omnipotent power can solve all man’s problems! Who is he? He is a Christ-rejecting, Bible-denying FRUITLOOP raised on a religion that taught: 1) Discrimination was a SIN. 2) Environmental pollution was a SIN. 3) Sex perversion was normal. 4) Criminals should be allowed to kill policemen before they can use their guns (see above). 5) Abortion and pornography are not evil or bad. 6) It is a sin to hire a white man when you can hire a black man, etc. “God,” here, is the federal government, allied to the news media. *They* are going to save you. *They* will stop such a thing from ever happening again! The God of Genesis 1:1 is of no use at all because He doesn’t exist, and if He did, He would be incompetent to handle the problems of “modern man.” Modern man will solve them: *he will replace God* (Gen. 3:1–5).

In Chicago, a plane crashes, killing forty-five passengers and wounding fifty others. In steps “god,” the great “fixer,” who goes by the dogma that “man is the measure of all things” and therefore “man” can handle any problem “man” has. Thank “God” for Uncle Sam! Here comes the Federal Aviation Administration, Medicare, the insurance companies, the runway constructors, the control tower, the survey of checks and maintenance, etc.; and now we can be *sure* “this will never happen again!” Once again MAN is triumphant over circumstances! He can “control his environment.”

A six-year-old child is brought in from the “inner city” with cigarette burns all over him. He was found chained to a bed post where he had been three days. The God of the Bible blew it again! What is needed is an EFFECTIVE “god” that can *prevent* such things from happening! Who will volunteer? The crusading news media, again, the HRS, and the Federal government. *They* will see to it that “the world is made safe for democracy,” “free men everywhere may fear nothing but fear itself,” and “social justice for oppressed minorities will be the rule rather than the exception,” etc.

In Colorado, a ten-year-old gets into his father’s gun cabinet and accidentally discharges a weapon, killing his fourteen-year-old sister. Quick! Where is “God”? Can’t anyone stop this “senseless slaughter”? Of course: National Public Radio, CBS, NBC, CNN, ABC, *Time*, *Life*, *Newsweek*, and *U.S. News and World Report*! They will tell “god” (the federal government) what to do and how to stop such a thing from ever happening again!

A little Negro boy quits school and takes up with drug traffic before he has finished the eighth grade. He quits because his feelings were hurt. He couldn’t pass the exams, and

after flunking twice, he became bitter and left school. Quick! Where is “God”? Think of it! This life might have been saved! “It is a terrible thing to waste a mind!!”

A man, using a sensitive detector, picks up traces of volcanic ash in *Wyoming* that came from a volcanic eruption north of Manila in *Luzon*. “The world is coming to an end!” Quick! Where is “God”? Help sustain the “ozone layer,” “look out for the greenhouse effect!” “Save the tropical forests!” *REPENT, THE WORLD IS COMING TO AN END!*

All the “prophets of doom” in this decade are *educated* journalists, politicians, scientists, and professors and everyone of them *professes to be related to lemurs, tarsiers, apes, and monkeys*. There isn’t one Bible-believing preacher in the lot.

The six paragraphs above are not just “rhetoric.” They are far more significant than they appear, for every one of them was an ISOLATED CASE, chosen to overthrow a *rule*. Every one of them was picked and magnified by the *news media* to CREATE a body of laws, rules, and regulations to overthrow the Constitution of the United States. The news media, after 1945, became a secret police organization (NKVD) whose “job” was to pry and poke its nose into every facet of your life—public and private—in order to *sell* “news.” Their job was to take advantage of that depravity in human nature which is always intent on finding out something it shouldn’t know. (See Gen. 3:1–5 for the original account!) Their job is to *create* CRISES so that federal control can step in under the guise of being “savior and redeemer” to *solve the crisis*. God is NOT to be trusted, in spite of the inscription on our currency. “Reverence for human life” that makes the doctors and nurses labor in the ICU for days with a “vegetable” has nothing to do with “reverence for life.” The same hospital will let a man die in the street if he cannot drum up an “ENTRANCE FEE.” They will let a woman miscarry on the street when she is in labor, if she does not have an insurance policy, Medicare, or “lettuce” on her person. By the same token, the crises created by the news media—they try to create about ten a day—have nothing to do with anyone’s concern over FREEDOM, TRUTH, or RIGHTEOUSNESS (see *The Damnation of a Nation*, chapters 4 and 5, 1991). They are the marks of unsaved sinners trying to play “God” by “doing good to their fellow man” and accomplishing for them what “God” was unable to accomplish.

The six cases, given above, are *alibis* for destroying your freedoms.

1. Outlaw semi-automatic weapons and then, using the “drug crisis” (note the word!) as an alibi, break into the home of *law abiding citizens* and arrest them and put them in jail two years for having a Browning semi-automatic shotgun in their closet. See how it’s done? APARTHEID. Loss of freedom, arrest, imprisonment, and confiscation of goods in the name of “helping the poor children out who got killed on the playground.” Got it?

2. Pass a law that you cannot take off or land in certain kinds of weather, require extra checks to cost the airline \$5,000,000 a month, and then hike the price of all the tickets. Put up security checks and security guards—another \$5,000,000 a month—and then search all of your personal baggage before you “take off” with a load full of people that are on dope, liquor, sex perversion, dying of lung cancer, AIDS, heart attacks, leukemia, and (many times) on their way overseas to get shot. The government didn’t solve the *death* problem once. Only God can solve the death problem (1 Cor. 15:49–55).

3. Pass a law that parents are using cruel and unusual punishment when they ask a child to take his plate back to the kitchen, take out the garbage, or turn off the TV. Pass a law that it is a felony to leave a bruise anywhere on a child's anatomy if he curses you, kills the cat, sets fire to the house, nearly kills a playmate, or smokes pot in his room. Pass a law that only "god," and those selected by "god" (government Day Care centers and foster parents), are proper to raise someone else's children because they will let them do as they please (thus doubling the prison population every five years) *while YOU pay the bill for it* (HRS).

The isolated incident was chosen to prove the "rule" was not *RIGHT*, at least not when using the "inductive method." In the Inductive method, the isolated particular overthrows the rule: APARTHEID.

4. In the fourth case, you make it a felony to teach your boys how to care for, and shoot, a 22 rifle. You make all gun owners keep their weapons UNLOADED, so a criminal getting into the house can get the "drop" on them. Then you make rules that the guns must be LOCKED UP so that no minor child can get to them, and *you can't either when you need them!* At present, Congress is at work to disarm every citizen in America except three classes, which will be allowed to carry loaded weapons (journalists, congressmen, and policemen). Beijing. You want the "rule"? The rule is found in *The Armed Citizen*, a monthly tabulation of 1,000 national cases where a home owner or a store operator has saved his or her own life—and many times the lives of several others—by having on hand *a loaded weapon which could be fired immediately*. But the "exception overthrows the rule" if you bought Darwin's "scientific method."

5. In the fifth isolated experiment—blown into full scale, internationally, by the press—you solve the problem by passing ALL of the flunking Negroes whether their grade average is sixty or twenty. You then pretend that it is a SIN (morally wrong) to give out *grades*, so you matriculate one million high school graduates a year who are *functionally illiterate*. Since no employer wants a staff of these cloned "social promotion" dummies, "god" must step in and give them their jobs—BY FORCE, *thus violating every basic principle and idea behind the Constitution and the Bill of Rights*. You automatically lose the right to hire and fire anyone, regardless if they cannot handle the responsibilities of the work or not. Your business has to go down the tube. If you don't give the faggot couple a room in the motel, you offend them. If you do, you may offend the next *five hundred potential customers* who find out about it because the AIDS virus is still active in a motel room *four days after the faggot leaves*. Two queers dictate the bankruptcy of your business. APARTHEID.

6. In the last case, you pass laws that bankrupt industry, research, factories, mines, and private businesses so that the unemployment rate doubles, and then you blame it on "military spending" or "lack of sensitivity" on the part of Conservative politicians. Limit of six Salmon, six Mullet, salt water license for a cast net—even when you are NOT a commercial fisherman. "Don't destroy the sea oats," "Fasten your seat belt," "Don't litter," etc. GOD IS AT WORK! What is "god" doing? *He is taking your freedoms from you just as fast as the news media can find isolated cases for overthrowing law and order*. "Look out for the White Owl!" "Nuke the unborn baby whales!" "Be kind to animals; kiss a shark." "Clean up your city; shoot pigeons." "Stop over population; shoot a stork," etc.

Put in the asbestos; take it out. One commode for each ten campers at a Christian camp, but only one commode for each five hundred theatre attenders. Save your aluminum cans. Do you have any dangers magnetic waves under your house? “God” will take control of your property and get rid of its “cancer producing” factors. You can count on “god.”

Now, this is not just the “tip of the iceberg.” This is a teaspoon of whipped cream on top of 300,000 tons of arsenic. The drug *crisis* will demand that your money be turned in and replaced (they already did it partially three times: 1933, 1963, and 1980). The Persian Gulf *crisis* will cost you \$4,000,000,000, and to get it back you will hand out \$9,000,000,000 more to the people who owe it to you! The Iranian Hostage *crisis* came to nothing. So did the Lebanon *crisis*, the Near East *crisis*, the Gulf of Tonkin *crisis*, the Watergate *crisis*, and the next five. While you saved ten cents a barrel on oil by bombing some ragheads in Iraq (1991), you plugged up (with cement) more than five thousand oil wells in west Texas (1980–1990), raising the price sixty cents a barrel. Your paper dollar is now worth twelve cents in copper, but twelve pennies don’t contain twelve cents worth of *copper*; they contain six cents worth of ZINC.

Somebody kept you occupied with “CRISES” while *they* stripped you of your money, your right to “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness,” and placed you in a position where you could be IMPRISONED for defending your life, the life of your family, or your property. While doing this, they proposed that “government” could do away with sickness, death, war, poverty, disease, famine, unemployment, and even “hurt feelings.” Government is “god.” This was the position of Adolph Hitler and Hegel. It was practised by Lenin, Stalin, and Mao and is being practised today by the news media, Castro, the UN, and the USA.

The *root* was getting rid of God in Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 3:1.

The *methodology* was the INDUCTIVE “scientific” method.

The *result* is perfect and absolute APARTHEID, with the USA giving the world the best demonstration of it they have seen, or will ever see until Revelation 13. You look for exceptions to overthrow moral rules, standards, and constitutional laws and “rights.” *You then magnify these isolated cases* until they appear as “crises” that “demand something to be done, etc.” What is DONE is the violation of any, and every, moral standard or Constitutional right that any *majority* had, and the replacement of that majority’s rule with the minority’s “exception to the rule.” *This is the Inductive Scientific Method in action.* Freedoms are taken away from Americans by the news media and the federal bureaucrats; they are taken away in the name of “sensitive compassionate concern for the rights” of children, atheists, queers, muggers, rapists, bums, robbers, pornographers, Communists, and out-and-out killers. The process goes on twenty-four hours a day on every news outlet in the country (radio, TV, magazines, newspapers, etc.) and will go on until every freedom you have is GONE. In Revelation 13, the world’s populace will appear as *drugged clones* bearing a computerized mark that links them, electronically, with *Satan* (Rev. 13:14–18). At that time, God will upset the “ecological balance” (Rev. 7–18) with a series of natural disasters (Isa. 24) that will undo everything the environmentalists will accomplish in the next ten years. It is His creation (Gen. 1:1) and not theirs (Isa. 40:26, 42:5), and He will *burn it up* when He gets ready (2 Pet. 3:8–12) without a “greenhouse” or “ozone” layer within 500,000,000 miles in any direction.

EPILOGUE

By now, you can see the name of this essay could have been “A little leaven leavens the whole lump,” or “Big oaks from little acorns grow.” For some men to reject Genesis 1:1 would seem like a harmless operation, which might be confined to “differences in religious belief” or “differences in faith,” but it doesn’t turn out that way. The rejection of Genesis 3:1–5—Origen, all popes, Westcott and Hort, and all Catholic universities reject it as a literal, historical account—may seem like only a matter of “religion,” “choice,” or “preference” (see any copy of an *ASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *NKJV* on 2 Tim. 2:15; 1 Tim. 6:5, 9, 10, 20; Acts 4:27, etc.); but it turns out to be a matter of literal life, literal death, and literal freedom or literal *slavery*.

You were supposed to have gotten your “equal rights” from GOD. Without God—see the atheists in the Boy Scouts—who gives you your “freedoms”? That’s easy; *whoever is in power*. That’s why revolution is the recommended solution in the Communist’s system, except that no Communist leader believed anyone had the right to revolt when he (the “Communist”) was in power. The man who is “right” is the man who can keep a revolution down to maintain his own “rights.” Moral RIGHT and WRONG have no bearing on either man. “Good and evil” are not in the operation one time from start to finish, for all “truth is relative” (i.e., either side can prove it is “right”) and “man is the measure of all things” (i.e., God never gave any standard for judging which side was right). Result? “Me first, you next,” while talking about “compassionate caring”; “survival of the fittest,” while talking about “being sensitive to the needs of others”; and complete destruction of every right guaranteed under the Constitution in the name of “CIVIL RIGHTS.” Apartheid: you take the rights of 250,000,000 people away from them by magnifying the rights of 10,000 people, or at times, 400 people, or (as in case after case after case) the “rights” of ONE person. Apartheid.

Once you question what God SAID (Gen. 3:1) as being SO, you must have a substitute for it (*ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NKJV*, etc.). Who will make the substitution? Who is “top dog”? Who will assume the place of final authority? The volunteers are legion. All deny absolute truth. All deny that a Bible you can READ is the final authority. All will exalt an humanistic opinion or preference over something the Bible says, and over 90 percent of them (in any decade) will profess that Genesis 1–3 is a lie and that *accidental*, spontaneous generation from natural elements produced every form of complex life that is here. The mathematical chances of this taking place are *one out of ten to the 1300th power*.

Leave your brains on the table. Check you sanity out at the counter. It’s “fruitloop time” at the Funny Farm. Blow out the pilot light and play the game with forty-one cards. These are the “options” for rejecting God as Creator and Author of truth. *This is the path America chose between 1933 and 1964, and this is the path it is on right now*. The news media and the bureaucrats, with the aid of the NAACP, CFR, HRS, IRS, and ACLU, are out to arrest you and get you killed to preserve someone’s “civil rights”: i.e., *theirs*.

To do it they will overthrow *every rule in the book* with an “exceptional” case; this is the INDUCTIVE METHOD of “modern science.”

Instead of beginning with a basic foundational truth (“**Thou shalt not bear false witness,**” “**Thou shalt not steal,**” “**Thou shalt not commit adultery,**” etc.), you begin with NO FOUNDATIONS and erect a structure built on exceptions to the correct foundation. Instead of “**Thou shalt not commit adultery,**” you got to “except under unusual and extraordinary circumstances,” to “unless you can get away with it,” to “unless the other party is a consenting adult,” to “if you will have a bad conscience about it,” to “DO IT IF IT DOESN’T HURT ANYONE ELSE,” to “do it with anyone who believes it is all right,” to “it’s perfectly all right if it has value to you.” Instead of “**thou shalt not steal,**” you got to “except when robbing the rich to help the poor,” to “unless it is necessary,” to “unless it turns out all right in the end,” to “if you get caught,” to “what is here belongs to everybody,” to “what is yours belongs to me,” to “I am entitled to a fair chance to break, enter, and rob, and if not, I’m not getting my ‘rights.’”

“**Thou shalt not kill**” becomes “Thou shalt not kill rapists, muggers, robbers, killers, and terrorists” if they kill someone else. “**Honor thy father and thy mother**” means “obey them when you feel like it, but get your own set of ‘values’ and use your own mind and judgment in deciding whether to honor them or not.” And the first commandment becomes a joke. How do you LOVE a nonexistent being if you are an atheist? How do you love an invisible Person who has no flesh and bones? How do you love Someone who allows babies to be burned with cigarettes, double digit inflation, seat belts to break, litter on the highway, racial prejudice, destruction of the ozone layer, whites to get killed, black people in the ghetto to go unemployed, the drug traffic to prosper, Saddam Hussein to live, Catholic nuns to get killed, etc., etc. Solution? Get rid of all Ten Commandments as soon as possible. How? The Inductive method. *Don’t begin with any absolute truth.* Begin in mid-air while in mid-flight, without reference to any azimuth on the compass or any point on the map, and then work toward a set of rules that will replace the foundational truths. This has been accomplished in America (see *The Damnation of a Nation*, 1991).

ROOT: rejection of God as Creator and Author of the truth.

METHODOLOGY: The Inductive “scientific” method.

RESULT: Apartheid in a jungle.

Put men out of work by using the “rights” of *women*. Get rid of honest citizens by using the “rights” of criminals. Get rid of Christians by using the “rights” of atheists. Get rid of the Bible by using the rights of “Christian scholarship.” Get rid of parental leadership in the home by appealing to the rights of children. Get rid of morality by appealing to the rights of fornicators. Get rid of the family by appealing to the rights of homosexuals. Get rid of white, Anglo-Saxon, Protestant leadership (Jefferson, Washington, Adams, Lincoln, Franklin, Henry, McArthur, Hamilton, Jackson, et al.) by appealing to the rights of African women. In short, get rid of GOD by appealing to *Laodicea* (“the rights of the people”). *Man becomes the final authority instead of God*, so man sets up the standards to replace God’s standards. Cost? Three world wars coming up (Rev. 6, 19, 20), two billion combat casualties, total bankruptcy of all nations, Satan ushered into reign on earth (Rev. 13; Ezek. 28; Isa. 14; Rev. 14), and the damnation of

over two billion souls (Rev. 14:9–11).

“BIG OAKS FROM LITTLE ACORNS GROW.”

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

Entire publication list at

www.kjv1611.org