

**The Holy
Scriptures**

vs.

**The Holy
Koran**

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

**The Holy
Scriptures**

vs.

**The Holy
Koran**

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

The Holy Scriptures

VS

The Holy Koran

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

President, Pensacola Bible Institute

B.A., B.D., M.A., Th.M., Ph.D.

COPYRIGHT © 2001 by Peter S. Ruckman

All Rights Reserved

(PRINT) ISBN 1-58026-714-9

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

The Scripture quotations found herein are from the text of the Authorized *King James* Version of the Bible. Any deviations therefrom are not intentional.

BB BOOKSTORE

P.O. Box 7135 Pensacola, FL 32534

www.kjv1611.org

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

Table of Contents

Historical Note

Preface

- 1. An Introduction to Spiritual Insanity**
- 2. Heaven and Hell, According to a Sinless Sinner**
- 3. Explanatory Notes on Killings and Kingdoms**
- 4. Murder in “The Way of Allah”**
- 5. The Muslim’s “Holy War” Against the Koran**
- 6. The Historical Accuracy of Allah’s “Signs” and Prophecies**
- 7. A Summary of Unholy “Scriptures”**

Historical Note

SIR MONIER WILLIAMS, possibly the greatest authority on the so-called “sacred” books of the East—the *Koran*, the Hindu *Vedas*, the Buddhist *Tripitaka*, and the *Zend Avesta*—said, “They all *begin* with some flashes of light and *end* in utter darkness. There is a gulf between the Bible and the so-called ‘sacred’ books of the East which severs the one from the other utterly, hopelessly, and forever—a veritable gulf which cannot be bridged over by ANY science or religious thought.”

PREFACE

The book you are about to read, if you have the “stomach” to get through it, is a detailed analysis of the greatest piece of universally accepted “hate literature” that has ever been put in print, at least where it deals with “religion.” It is the greatest proof available, today, that every major news media source in Europe and America (CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, BBC, National and International Public Radio, *Time*, *Der Spiegel*, *Life*, *Newsweek*, 500 daily newspapers in America, etc.), which professes to be concerned with “hate speech” and “hate literature,” is just flatulent rhetoric coming from inflated gas bags who are trying to “make points” with sex perverts, women, blacks, and Roman Catholics. All are hypocritical opportunists using political expedients to “fit in” with the world system.

Anyone who has collected magazines and newspaper clippings, since 1980, on the “situation in the Middle East,” will see quickly that “hate speech” and “hate literature,” that lead to actual terrorism and war with all of its appendages, does *not* apply to ANY enemy of Israel. In Palestine, where more than 2,000,000 non-Palestinians have entered (as “refugees”) since 1948, children are not just taught how to hate Jews, they are instructed on how to get to Paradise by killing them. The instruction takes place in “summer camps,” and the photos reveal children under twelve-years-old handling grenades, bombs, and automatic weapons.

While Milosevic (Serbia) was being arrested and tried as a “war criminal” for “crimes against humanity” by the so-called “Global Community,” Yassar Arafat was leading five terrorist groups (the Al Fatah, the Hamas, the Hizbullah, the PLO, and the Fedayeen), from 1960 to 2001, in slaughter tactics—not just “hate speech” and “hate literature” (see *Israel: A Deadly Piece of Dirt*, 2001, pp. 373–412). I have the clippings: three briefcases full.

The world view (or “mind set”) now has been thoroughly established by the news media; it is characterized by the usual double standards that accompany any *criminal operation*. In *Biblical revisions*, this is the king sin of the Alexandrian Cult. In dealing with Catholic States (South Ireland, Croatia, Cuba, etc.), this is Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) at the Vatican. In dealing with *blacks* in the USA, this is SOP in all courts of law—especially District Judge’s “courts.” In *religion*, the double standard is used by Mormons (Joe Smith added to Scriptures to correct it); *Catholic traditions* are used to correct the Scriptures; the *Talmud* is for Jews to correct the Scriptures; “historic positions” are used by Protestants to correct the Scriptures; and so forth and so on.

In the UN, a Jew, who defends himself against five Muslim nations attacking him (1948), is a “Nazi” or a “child killer.” In 1967, when it happened again, the Jew was an “aggressor” and a “warmonger.” He is now a “child killer.” Each Muslim child under eighteen, who gets killed while involved in the Intifada (Arafat’s attempt to take over Palestine) getting the front page (in bold face print), every time, as a helpless, innocent *martyr*; the poor, weeping, bereaved parents are shown if possible. Anyone who collected

clippings on the Croatia-Serbia-Kosovo mess is well prepared for any of the 500 American “dailies” that are now coming out. For two years (1999 and 2000) they did nothing but print the photos of the poor, “oppressed” Catholic Croatians and the poor, persecuted, “*suffering Muslims*” (in Kosovo). Not one time, between 1998 and 2001, did you see the bombed-out *Serbian* homes, churches, factories, and hospitals, or one “poor, persecuted,” mistreated Greek Orthodox Serbian “getting it in the neck.” All photographers, for all news media outlets, had instruction on what to photograph and what NOT to photograph.

This is SOP with *all* news items that deal with Londonderry in Ulster, all news items connected with “the West Bank,” *all* news items that deal with a pope “visiting” some country, and *all* items that promote a “united Irish Republic,” Mother Teresa, and *any* “radical” conflicts or any “newsy” story of crimes committed by *sex perverts*.

The world mind-set NOW is (and has been since 1964) totally *anti-Jewish* (“racist”). The UN and the USA (consciously or unconsciously—the results are the same) have been supporting a Muslim agenda of genocide, as clearly stated, as clearly announced, as clearly defined, and as clearly being carried out as any program revealed at the Nazi Wannasee Conference in 1942 by Heinrich Himmler. The present “Palestinian Agency” and “Palestinian Authority” are nothing but carbon copies of the Hitler Youth Movement in Germany (1933–1939). They match it to the letter.

How is it that the perennial and eternal truth of history—“The only thing men learn from history is that men never learn from history”—is still as applicable in the *twenty-first century* as it was in the fifteenth to the nineteenth centuries? Didn’t any “modern man” progress *an inch* beyond 1500? Evidently not.

Barak’s dealing with Arafat, Sharon’s dealing with Arafat, and Perez’s dealings with Arafat (1964–2000) match Prime Minister Chamberlain’s dealings with Adolph Hitler to the “T” (Last letter in a Hebrew alphabet: “Tau”): continual sell-outs and continual compromises with a *bloody killer*, under threat of WAR if the demands are not met. Both situations are identical; both are rooted and grounded in RACISM: “anti-Semitism.”

No one in the UN or the USA pays anymore attention to history than blind Bartimaeus on a weekend drunk.

The UN, while claiming to be a “Global Community” as a “Family (!!!) of Nations,” has simply been so busy with the life-long occupation of all American and European politicians that it hasn’t had time to open a history book and read it. The *lifetime occupation* of *all* politicians in Europe and America is simply to *stay in office*: get rid of anyone or anything that might *prevent them* from staying “in the driver’s seat.” The UN only has one real goal; it is not to stop “hate literature” or genocide. Their global goal is the goal of every pope since Leo I: *how to protect themselves* so they can get control of all the nations on which they can get their hands. Again, this charge is not connected with ANY “allegations.” It is in print and has been for years.

The Political Structure of the European Union (2, 6, p. 3ff).

(December, 2000, via the Treaty of Nice)

I. The president of the EU will appoint twenty “commissioners” (in Soviet Russia these are called “commissars”: 1920–1945). All twenty are *bureaucrats*. NONE OF THEM ARE ELECTED. They, therefore, do not really represent ANY nation; *they represent whoever controls the president*.

II. All future laws passed by the UN are to be “initiated” by these twenty bureaucrats; they are not accountable to ANY government—not even the ones from which they are chosen. The “initiatives” include foreign policy, POLICING (Korea, 1950: “not a war but a POLICE action”), and criminal matters (i.e., arrest Milosevic and leave Gerry Adams and Yassar Arafat “at large” to kill Protestants and Jews).

Now, this is not just the “tip of the you-know-what.” It is just a little foam on the beer vat. You see, this bureaucrat setup, coming from Catholic Belgium, Catholic Germany, Catholic Austria, Catholic Spain, Catholic France, Catholic Italy, Catholic Portugal and Yugoslavia—if the pope can finish the bankruptcy of the *Serbians*—desires to create an International ARMY of 60,000 *SWAT team killers* under the guise of “peace keeping forces” (see section 5, Habeas Corpus 19).

III. They are to have the “backing” of 1,000 “policemen” who will be supported by *lawyers* and *judges*. The reporter in this political structure notes that it is to originate in Brussels, Belgium, where the huge computer called “The Beast” is located. (See any literature on the matter reported in more than 100 “newsletters” from religious organizations since 1960.)

IV. The UN—called by native idiots of various news outlets, “The greatest hope for peace that mankind has ever known”—has stated that if any political party, in *any* country, objects to the laws and “established rules” that are going to be set up by the “Centre” of the European Parliament, they are to be host to “the peace keeping forces” of the UN, from 1920–1945 called “the Center Party” in Germany. It was solidly *Roman Catholic*, and it was “dissolved” by Von Papen and the Pope *in order to give Hitler the required number of votes to become Chancellor* (see any history of Germany). The “Centre” of the EU is fifty sinners. Not ONE of them was *elected* by any nation in the UN or the EU, and they are accountable to no one for their functions as a MILITARY DICTATORSHIP on a world-wide scale.

The “greatest hope you have for peace” has already demonstrated its sincerity and effectiveness since World War II: *eighty-eight wars in less than forty-five years*.

Pax Romana: Roman peace.

V. Under the European Convention on Human Rights (!!), a European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) was established (2, 6 p. 4ff, 27). In it the judges can “strike down” the legislation of *any* nation in the UN, where those people have passed the laws *for their own country*. (FDR, 1933, Civil Rights Acts 1964: District Court judges in charge of the “laws” of states in which they don’t even live.) But who reads *history* in the EU, the EEC, or the UN? NOBODY!

Now all world government will be by APARTHEID, for the 1966 ECHR gave any *individual* in Europe the right to overthrow the laws of his own city, county, duchy, diocese, parish, state, territory, etc., by “petitioning” the ECHR. In America, this was the

13th and 14th Amendment to the Constitution (1865–1866), commonly known as “CANCER” IN THE CONSTITUTION.” (See any conservative historian on the subject.)

No leader in the UN or the EU or the EEC studies *history*.

Never forgetting that the Pope is the political head of a STATE and that he deals in geo-politics (see the work by Malachi Martin called *The Keys of This Blood*, 1990), you can see why Slovon Milosevic was tried as a “war criminal” by *Catholics* in the UN after *four popes* (Pope Pius XII, Pope Paul VI, Pope John XXIII, and Pope John Paul II) prevented all news media outlets from publishing the war crimes against “humanity” committed by *their own church members* (Bishop Stepinac and Pavelich) *while torturing and murdering 250,000 Serbian Orthodox church members in 1942*.

Archbishop Stepinac was in charge of murdering more than 100 times the number with which Milosevic was charged. (See any documented work by the English historian Avro Manhattan.)

History is not a strong suit with anyone after World War II.

Stepinac was safe as a Catholic; Arafat is safe as a *Muslim*.

Double standard: one for “Ulster EXTREMISTS” and one for Muslim killers.

One standard for Serbian Greek Orthodox church members; another one for the Vatican’s flunkies.

Double standard in order to stay in power; that is the EU, the EEC, the USA, and the UN.

Racism, religious discrimination, and GENOCIDE, backed up by Mary and Muhammad, the Pope and Arafat, the Vatican, and the Kaaba, the Catholics and the Muslims, plus an army of *professional killers* (see above) to enforce the double standard.

In Afghanistan, the federal laws state that if any man born into a Muslim family defects from the Church-State religion (Islam), he is to be *killed* by the government officials (i.e., “The Justice Department” in America). The Muslim States who do not have this law “on the books” still *practise* it just the same. That is, according to the last fifteen native Muslims to whom I have spoken in my church office since 1990.

This does not mean that the UN will take any action against any *Muslim* country that kills “anti-

Muhammadan” defectors. You see, history shows that the Supreme Court in America will never interfere with a local law in a state as long as it is in line with the news media’s position on what is right and what is wrong. The Supreme Court (“the law of the land” and all that jazz) only intervenes and takes action where the *rights* of a pornographer, race-mixer, sex pervert, women’s “libber,” atheist, humanist, evolutionist, or criminal are involved.

So the UN welcomes *racist killers* who *practise* religious discrimination. But! Not if they are from Ulster, Ireland! But! Not if they are Serbians killing Catholics! But—you see a double standard allows for all kinds of “goodies”—but not if they are from Waco, Texas or Ruby Ridge, Idaho!

If they are *Muslims* who “wet backed” their way across Jordan onto the “West Bank” so you would think they were Palestinian “refugees” (2,000,000 of them!), then they can be racist killers, and the UN will support them. You see, they are not killing Catholics or Muslims: *THEY ARE KILLING JEWS*.

In this work, you will discover the foundation or basis upon which genocide is to be carried out. You can see why all Muslims can glorify assassinations, thievery, lying, imprisonments, arrests, murder, and even torture and mutilation. The basis is RELIGIOUS, *not political*. The five Muslim terrorist groups we mentioned simply need a political setup so they can produce a *legitimate ARMY*—a government supported “peace-keeping force” in order to establish killing as a *legitimate function* of a UN “global family of nations.”

The goal of Arafat’s phony “Palestinians” is not just to drive Jews out of Palestine. All of Arafat’s forebearers and ancestors in Egypt, Morocco, Syria, Lebanon, Assyria, Iraq, Iran, Persia, Turkey, Arabia, and “Trans-Jordan” were engaged in driving Jews out of every Muslim country on earth through a period of 400 years. Imagine a Jewish “community” in Mecca, Medina, Amman, or Babylon! What then, pray tell, is *any* Muslim “community” doing in *Jerusalem* or *Hebron*?

Double standard.

If you check all the references in this work (and who will do that!?), you will arrive at the conclusion that, eventually, the UN will have to choose between what *one book* says about Israel and what the *other book* says about Israel. One Book will be what Paul called “**the holy scriptures**” (Rom. 1:2), and the other will be what Arafat called “The Holy Koran” (Qur’an).

The Muslims who swear by the *Koran* have stated thirteen times (see *Israel: A Deadly Piece of Dirt*, 2001) that their goal has nothing to do with peace with anyone, in any form. Their goal is to kill every Jew in Palestine if he doesn’t get out of it. The Muslim “plan” for the authors of the other book (the *Holy Bible*)—all of them were JEWS (Rom. 3:2), as well as their “king” (Matt. 27:37; John 4:22)—runs totally contrary to EVERY statement ever issued by the UN where it dealt with anyone’s “rights”—national, international, individual, religious, intellectual, economic, or racial. The charter of the UN, in 1950, would condemn every move that any killer in the Hamas, Al Fatah, Intifada, PLO, Hizbullah, or Fedayeen has made since 1929.

Not *one* of them, or the men that led them, was ever summoned to a UN “court” to give an account for *anything*.

Slobodan Milosevic was “summoned.” *The Pope summoned him.*

No Muslim “peace plan” has anything to do with anything but Mafia blackmail. If the demands are not met (at “Camp David” or Oslo or Wye, etc.), then the Israelites are to be butchered. It is that simple. Every “summit” was a lying fraud because every Muslim there was operating according to what his “Holy” Book taught him. His “Holy” Book taught that slavery, concubinage, torture, mutilation, murder, and thievery were perfectly proper in dealing with Islam’s enemies “in the way of Allah.” We do not say that without lengthy documentation (pp. 50, 67, 81, 108).

The alibi for murdering *unarmed Jewish civilians* right and left for more than sixty years—it started in 1921 and 1929—and literally “getting away with it,” was a *religious alibi*. It was their “religious convictions.” That was the identical alibi used by the Roman Catholic Church from A.D. 500–A.D. 1890 for mass murders, including the Crusades (1100–1200).

But newspaper readers and TV viewers never read *history* books.

All Church-States believe in killing *anyone* who ridicules their faiths or even criticizes them. All Church-States are basically totalitarian (“imperialistic”) systems that have designs on real estate and “assets”—not the conversion of sinners (see James 4:1–4 and pp. 227–240 in *Israel: A Deadly Piece of Dirt*, 2001).

This work you are about to read shows you the *inner workings* of the minds of the advocates of an imperialistic religion, which they swear will dominate the entire earth (see pp. 86, 130) in total contradiction and defiance of 500 verses of *another* “Holy” Book which says *Israel* will wind up in the “driver’s seat” (Isa. 2).

The *Holy Koran* is NOT “hate literature” according to 188 Bible-rejecting nations in the UN in downtown New York. It is just a record of someone’s “religious faith.” This stance is taken with the assurance that no news media outlet in America will dare check *the contents* of the *Koran* and report it. If they do they will not take it seriously, but if they *do* take it seriously, they will still defend it on the grounds of “we should all be tolerant of the faiths of others,” etc. I’m sure you know the “line.” It is taught in every federal school in the USSA (United Search and Seizure of Assets).

But in this case, you have a truly unique situation; for this time, it is not just someone’s belief about God, holy rituals, life after death, Yin and Yang, prayer, etc. It is seven nations—every one of them a Church-State (Iraq, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Afghanistan, Trans-Jordan, and Syria)—backed by the news media so they can kill, lie, steal, murder, break treaties, bomb civilians, and destroy property in order to get REAL ESTATE. And this time the largest, most apostate, degenerate group of professing Christians the world has ever seen is backing them up: *the Roman Catholic Vatican State*.

You see, when it comes to killing Jews (Acts 4:27), Herod and Pilate (Luke 23:12) could get together. So when it comes to killing Jews, “Mary” and “Muhammad” get along like “seven in a bed” (American: circa 1890).

The UN would call that paragraph “hate speech.” They would do it while supporting Catholic and Muslim terrorism. Double standard.

Just settle down and read for a while, and (for God’s sake) don’t believe *one word* I am about to write until you have checked every cotton pickin’ reference in this book; especially the excerpts taken from the *Koran*. And never, never, never (no never!) forget that no matter what I think about Catholics, Muslims, the *Koran*, Arabs, or Islam, I would never (no, not ever!) be so rotten and wicked and demon-possessed as to *kill* or hurt anyone who made fun of MY religion or my faith or my God or my Saviour or my Holy Bible. That is the ethical sature of Catholics and Muslims, not “*Christians*.”

Anyone who has as much access to the truth as I do (John 17:17, 6:63, 14:6) never

gets “in a huff” just because some blind, religious bigot or Catholic extremist fanatic slanders his faith or his religion or his Bible or his God or the man himself. **“What is the chaff to the wheat?”** (Jer. 23:28) is our response to verbal attacks.

Our Book can take care of itself and any Catholic or Muslim in any century on any continent. When you find some dirty, low-down, sneak-thief dog, who is so weak and unstable (and unsure of what the truth is) that the dirty, cowardly assassin would want you *killed* in order to shut your mouth, you are not dealing with a “devout Muslim”; you are dealing with an *ignorant, paranoid BUTCHER*.

That is what every “Palestinian” in Israel is doing who is engaged in trying to drive Israel out of a piece of land of which God said it is **“my land”** and said that it was “promised” to **“my people,”** because **“my holy hill”** is in it (Psa. 2:6) where I intend to set **“my king”** (Psa. 2:6) to reign over all Arabs, Muslims, Catholics, Palestinians, Europeans, Americans, and anyone else who is left alive after I slaughter 200,000,000 “peace keeping forces” (Rev. 9:16) on **“my land”** (Ezek. 38:3–9).

Now, read the book. It proves that every real, genuine Muslim in Islam believes that:

1. Ham and Ishmael produced God’s “chosen people” to *replace* the Jew and be a “standard bearer” of the truth to every American, European, Asiatic, and African on this planet (p. 131).

2. John the Baptist, Abraham, David, and Moses were not just “pure,” but like Jesus Christ, *they were sinless* (pp. 39, 68). The statement is that all of God’s “messengers” are *sinless*, so that would include Muhammad, a fornicating epileptic with fourteen wives, who claimed Jesus Christ never died for anyone nor was He resurrected.

3. No sinner can get forgiveness for an *intentional murder*, as no atonement is available for any sinner: blood does not atone for sins.

4. Jesus Christ was an *ordinary human being* born according to “the natural laws” of nature, *and He did not die on a cross* (p. 54).

5. Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John all LIED about Christ’s resurrection, and so did Paul (Rom. 1:4) and Peter (1 Pet. 1:3, 3:18).

6. The angel Gabriel lied to Mary about Christ’s conception (pp. 16–18), and he lied again about Zechariah doubting his announcement (Luke 1:20). Luke simply lied when he wrote down Luke 1:20.

7. God is all through with Israel *permanently*. The Arabians replaced Israel as God’s “people.” (The term in both Testaments—Hosea 4:8, 12; Rom. 11:1—is a reference to the twelve sons of Jacob.)

8. It is perfectly proper to mutilate and kill anyone who is a “disbeliever” if they “attack” you first; the word, in application, means anyone who *criticizes* Islam, Allah, Muhammad, or the *Koran*. Further, anyone who helps the Jews (see Gen. 12:1–4) deserves to be killed “in the way of Allah” (p. 62).

9. In spite of the fact that in the *Koran* “Allah” promised to give Palestine to the Jews (p. 102), it now belongs to 3,000,000 Muslim “refugees,” more than 2,000,000 of whom

never were “refugees,” were not born or raised in Palestine, and never got into the West Bank until AFTER 1950 (see *Israel: A Deadly Piece of Dirt*, 2001, p. 357).

10. Hell is not real “fire” (but it is! see p. 153) it is just a metaphor for your mother (but it is literal! see p. 155). Heaven is handmade for males with a dozen virgins with which each male to fornicate—plus the wives that get there with him (see p. 75), although he is only allowed four wives plus the virgins. Muhammad, being a fleshpot and a hog, got fourteen wives plus the “girlies.”

11. If you die in combat while killing Jews or Christians, you are a martyr and get a free passport to heaven, even if you *didn't* earn it by your works.

12. *Jeremiah* lied about the restoration of Israel (Jer. 30–33). *Ezekiel* lied about the restoration of Israel (Ezek. 40–48). *Isaiah* lied about the restoration of Israel (Isa. 65–66). *Amos* lied about the restoration of Israel (Amos 9). *Joel* lied about the restoration of Israel (Joel 3). *Hosea* lied about the restoration of Israel (Hosea 2–3). *Paul* lied about the restoration of Israel (Rom. 11). *Daniel* lied about the restoration of Israel (Dan. 2, 7, 12). And *Moses* lied about the restoration of Israel (Deut. 32:43).

That is, to be a good “Muslim” you must reject the witness of *eight Bible prophets*, any one of whom made more than TEN TIMES the prophecies that Muhammad made. Still you must accept *him* in their stead, although the poor fool couldn't make *one historical prophecy* on a single historical event that would take place *after* his death.

13. Anyone who doesn't take Muhammad's word against *eight Biblical writers* who were “**moved by the Holy Ghost**” when they prophesied, is “UNJUST” (p. 72).

14. Muhammad was the third member of the Holy Trinity—the Holy Ghost himself! This last piece of radical insanity came from claiming there was no Trinity; no “**Godhead**” (Rom. 1:20). So Muhammad gave himself two attributes of Deity: 1. He was *sinless* (see No. 2). He was the “**Comforter**” who was sent to dwell inside the body of the believer and “seal him” to “**the day of redemption**” (cf. Eph. 4:30 and John 14:16). If that wasn't enough monkey shines for one monkey, it turns out that Muhammad baptizes each believer into Jesus Christ (see Gal. 3:27 and 1 Cor. 12:13). The “**Comforter**” is said to be the “**Holy Ghost**” in all three chapters that identify Him (John 14, 15, 16).

Now! Don't you believe one thing I wrote in that last paragraph. Turn to the Suras and *READ* the paragraphs that deal with that matter (see p. 61) and read the Islamic scholars' comments on the Suras. All Muslims believe that the “**Holy Ghost**” of John 14, 15, 16 was *Muhammad*.

Here then is the UN's “Stalingrad” (or Waterloo, or whatever): a book. A book written by an illiterate, fornicating, epileptic polygamist and propagated for four centuries by *bloodshed*. It is accepted by the UN as acceptable “religious literature” because some moron put the word “Holy” on it: *The Holy Koran (Qur'an)*. To the morons in the UN, this makes the book equal to “**the HOLY scriptures**” (Rom. 1:2), which are “**the scripture of truth**” (Dan. 10:21); the Catholic idea being that if some inflated, bloated, egotistical, fat-headed, religious fakir *thinks* something is “holy” or *believes* it is holy, THAT MAKES IT “HOLY.”

For example, in **“the holy scriptures”** (New Testament), no church organization is “holy,” no church council is “holy,” and Mary is not “holy.” There is no such things as “holy water” or “holy sacraments” or a “Holy See,” and the only **“Holy Father”** in the New Testament is *God the Father* who begat Jesus Christ. The early New Testament Christians say *nothing* about holy crosses, holy cinctures, holy novenas, holy monks, holy nuns, holy beads, holy rosaries, holy candles, or holy “encyclicals.” All of that man-made garbage is just man-made garbage. That is what religious politicians use as an alibi to protect *their own religious organizations*. The “Vatican” is no more “holy” than downtown Las Vegas or Chinatown in Manila.

In the New Testament, the **“brethren”** in Christ are holy (Heb. 3:1), the Scriptures are holy (Rom. 1:2), Jesus is called God’s **“holy child”** (Acts 4:27), a group of angels are called **“holy”** (Luke 9:26), all believers make up a **“holy priesthood”** (1 Pet. 2:5), and Christians greet one another with a **“holy kiss”** (1 Cor. 16:20). The Old Testament **“holy place”** is mentioned in Matthew 24:15, and **“the Holiest of all”** (in the Tabernacle) is found in Hebrews 9:3. New Jerusalem is only said to be a **“holy city”** AFTER the Church Age is ended (Rev. 21:2, 10). Even after the Church has gone, Jerusalem is likened to **“Sodom and Egypt”** (Rev. 11:8) until Christ lands on the Mount of Olives (Zech. 14).

At no time do Mecca or Rome ever “win, place, or show” when it comes to anything “holy.” They are just about as “holy” as the Ganges River, Mount Fujiyame, Lourdes, downtown San Francisco, Cairo, Manila, or Hong Kong.

In the New Testament, Mary is no more “holy” than Martha or Mary, and a Pope would be nor more “holy” than Demas or Thomas. Holy *mosques* and holy *Korans* and holy *feasts* and holy “messengers” (the *Koran*) don’t exist. They are blanks. Your body is **“the temple of the HOLY Ghost,”** and He sure is not some ragheaded philosopher trying to work his way into Paradise as the thieves and robbers do in John 10:1–8.

The finished *Koran*—which was dictated by *one man* in collusion with *himself*—identifies Palestine as the Promised Land given to the twelve tribes of Jacob (pp. 101–102), which means that now (believe it or not!) SIX Arab nations, backed by the USA and the Vatican, are supporting a “holy war” *against the Koran*; a “Jihad” against Allah! Muhammad claimed that the Suras were given to him by Allah!

Thus, twenty-first century man has finally reached the apex of his intellectual prowess: *bananas gone bonkers*. A “holy war” against the book that claimed if you were against it, Hell was your destination. A “holy war” by a race who professed to believe the book! They are out to prove their own prophet (Muhammad) and their god (Allah) were both *liars*, along with Gabriel, Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, Amos, Isaiah, Peter, Paul, Jude, Ezekiel, Daniel, and Jeremiah!

International insanity. You are in it. It is not “on the way”; it is here—Laodicea; read ‘em and weep. The *Bible* and the *Koran* are so divided against each other, so separated, segregated, and isolated from each other as to source, wisdom, revelation, honesty, intent, and result that no computer or man with an IQ of 300 could successfully integrate them as two religions where “each should recognize the truth that is in the other, etc.”

They cannot be reconciled. Opinions to the contrary are either from uninformed fools

who never even checked the texts or else from heady, highminded “think tanks” who have been worshipping their own brains for so long they think “with them all things are possible.” They are not. The *Bible* and the *Koran* are more violently in contrast and at odds with one another than the theologies of Bertrand Russell and Billy Sunday.

The UN, by force of Exxon and the oil companies, will have to *pretend* the two books are compatible, or at least we should be “open minded.” The UN will do this while following the Vatican into the valley of Armageddon (Rev. 14; Joel 3) with the USA and the EEC as “fellow travelers” on their way to an anti-Semitic, anti-Biblical, anti-intellectual, bloody SUICIDE (Zech. 10–14).

Happy Ramadan!

An Introduction to Spiritual Insanity

Since America decided to cast her lot in with Marx (Russia) and Mary (the Vatican) and Muhammad (Islam) against Israel (1967), we thought it might be appropriate, at this point, to give American Christians a foreview of what is “going on” in this ungodly alliance. You see, there is no way to invent a “Palestinian State” out of five Muslim terrorist organizations (The Fadayeen, the Hamas, the Hizbullah, the PLO, and the Al Fatah) without also exchanging the *Holy Bible* for the Muslim’s “*Holy*” *Koran*. The Pope can make the transition without “too much sweat” as all Catholics (for more than 1,500 years) have been rejecting passage after passage in the *Holy Bible* that was just as “anti-Catholic” as the writings of Avro Manhattan, Paul Blanshard, Walter Montano, Jack Chick, C. I. Scofield, and Alexander Hislop.

In spite of the Catholic crusades (1090–1250) against the Muslims, Catholic leaders and Muslim leaders have always had a great deal in common if you know anything about amirs, sheiks, muftis, caliphs, monks, priests, bishops, and archbishops. To begin with, both religions believe in *totalitarian religious systems* (their own, naturally!) which are destined to rid the world of all Buddhists, Hindus, Presbyterians, Baptists, Greek Orthodox, Jews, Methodists, and so forth. Both groups believe that such things as murder, mutilation, slavery, torture, imprisonment, exile, assassinations, broken treaties, and out-and-out lying, under oath, are perfectly acceptable if the cause for them is to “glorify God” or Mary or “Allah” or the Church or whatever.

Both systems are what we call “Cainite Fruit Stand” religions (see Gen. 4), where any sinner can work his way to heaven (or “Paradise”) by being a “do-gooder” and following the ethical rules dictated to him by the church (their own “church” naturally). The notes in the *Koran*, by their best Islamic scholars, declare that the “virgins” in Paradise are metaphorical and that the fire in Hell is metaphorical. In A.D. 2001 the Pope confirmed the latter theological teaching and so did *Billy Graham*.

No one in either system (Catholic or Muslim) had anything to do with the writing of the “**holy scriptures**” (Rom. 1:2) or “**the scripture of truth**” (Dan. 10:21), either directly or indirectly. When the popes added the *Apocrypha* to the Hebrew Scriptures, they simply took for granted that no Hebrew would know what the correct canon of Scripture was; he would have to have a non-Jew tell him. Paul said “**the oracles of God**” were given to the JEWS (Rom. 3:2), not the “**Israel of God**” or the “spiritual Jew” or some Gentile wino in Rome who had ignored Romans 11:15, 25.

“**The King of the Jews**” was a *Judean Jew*, so every writer from Genesis to Revelation is a racial descendant of JACOB. That is why the “**King of kings**” is destined to rule the “**house of Jacob**,” not some “church”—real or fictitious (Luke 1:33; Isa. 2:3).

Now, the “*Holy*” *Koran* states that all “disbelievers” wind up in Hell. That is, if you disbelieve *anything* that I listed in the Preface of this book you are a “disbeliever.” This would include Billy Sunday, Otto Von Bismark, Ludwig Van Beethoven, George Handel, Queen Victoria, Florence Nightingale, JFK, all popes, Frank Sinatra, Charles G. Finney, J.

Frank Norris, Luther, Whitefield, Thomas Edison, Calvin, Knox, Wesley, President Bush, Jack Hyles, and Billy Graham. According to the *Koran* (Suras 47:12, 72:23, 3:9, 66:10, and 40:70–72) all “disbelievers” go to Hell. Don’t believe a word I said: check the “Holy” *Qur’an*.

That isn’t all. You are a “disbeliever” unless you believe that Jesus Christ died a *natural death* sometime AFTER He was taken down from the cross, and that He was no more God’s “Son” than Moses or Abraham (Suras 2:116, 3:44, 3:83, 19:30–35, 4:163, 4:171, 5:75).

Don’t believe a word of it; check it out.

But further! You are going to Hell if you do not believe that the Holy Ghost who *indwells your body* is not the old, ragheaded polygamist himself: *Muhammad!* “**The Comforter**” who is the “**Holy Ghost**” (see John 14:16, 26) is *Muhammad*. Look at Sura 61:6 which links Muhammad to John 16:12–13 and then read the comments by Islam’s most erudite and brilliant commentator on the *Koran*’s text: Maulana Muhammad Ali, p. xi, under “The Perfect Revelation of the Divine Will” (*The Holy Qur’an*, Ahmadiyyah Anjuman Isha’at Islam, Lahore, Inc., 1995).

“Grieve not Muhammad whereby ye are sealed to the day of redemption!?”

Now, that is only one-twentieth of the theological differences between the Holy Scriptures and the “Holy” *Koran*. In what follows, I will be citing the official Muslim edition of the *Qur’an* as given above; its editions (revised to change the meaning of the words in the *Koran* so they will not be so offensive to twentieth century ears) run from 1917 to 1998.

After making it clear that God never begat *any* Son at anytime, by *any* means (Suras 2:116, 3:44, 3:46, and footnote 427), the Muslims pretend they allow Christ a Virgin Birth. But it is a very strange virgin birth because the “SEED” is not God’s—it is Joseph’s. You are told that Christ’s birth was according to the *laws of nature* like any person is born today, which means the angel Gabriel LIED to Mary (Luke 1:35). “**The life of the flesh is in the blood**” (Lev. 17:11, 14); thus, Christ’s blood is called God’s blood in Acts 20:28, Christ was only the seed of man (Rom. 1:3–4) in the sense that He had a mother and a foster father who were directly related to David (Luke 3:31; Matt. 1:16).

The Holy Scriptures refer to Christ as the “seed of the WOMAN,” knowing that no woman has any seed. The *seed* has to come from the male; Christ, as the Word incarnate (John 1:14), is an *INCORRUPTIBLE SEED* (1 Pet. 1:23) NOT like unto “**corruptible MAN**” (Rom. 1:23). If Jesus Christ did *not* have a supernatural conception, totally *contrary* to the laws of nature—these laws say no woman has any “seed”—*His blood was Joseph’s blood*. This means simply that Jesus Christ would have had to die just as natural a death (in line with the “laws of nature”) as He would have had a natural birth. THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT MUHAMMAD BELIEVED AND TAUGHT. He even taught that Jesus Christ, like Adam, was made of DUST (Sura 3:58).

If you do not believe THAT, you are a Hell-bound “disbeliever.”

All right, here is the *Koran*, as dictated by MUHAMMAD; first of all we have its own

recommendations of itself, according to Maulana Muhammad Ali. He eulogizes the work till it appears to be an improvement on the New Testament.

I. The *Koran* is the “world’s *greatest* spiritual force” (p. vi). It is “destined to bring *humanity* to perfection.”

The samples to prove this (ability to bring humanity to perfection) are Abraham, who *lied* about his wife twice and didn’t *obey* his original calling when he left Haran (Gen. 11:31); Moses, who *murdered* intentionally (Exod. 2:12), lost his *temper* (Num. 20:11), and was forbidden to enter the Promised Land (Deut. 32:50); David, who actually never committed *adultery* or *murder*; Zachariah, who really didn’t *doubt* the Angel (Luke 1:20); and Muhammad himself, who died screaming, “Oh, Lord, destroy all the Jews and the Christians” (see p. 65)!

The footnote comment of M. M. Ali (see p. 39) is that all of these sinners were SINLESS. If that is “perfection,” then humanity reached a state of “perfection” before Muhammad’s great, great grandmother was born.

“**THE SCRIPTURE OF TRUTH**”: The Holy Spirit is the greatest spiritual force in the universe. He was active in CREATION (Gen. 1:1–2) and CONVICTION OF SIN (John 16:8–9) and REGENERATION (John 1:13, 3:5–8) and INSPIRATION (2 Tim. 3:16), the REVELATION OF THE TRUTH (! Cor. 2:10), the INCARNATION OF DEITY (Luke 1:35), the SANCTIFICATION OF SAINTS (1 Cor. 2:10), and INTERCESSION FOR THE SAINTS (Rom. 8:26–27).

According to his own testimony in *The Hadith* (Islam’s second most “holy” book), Muhammad never *encountered* the Holy Spirit one day in his entire lifetime: *that would be sixty-two years*.

Furthermore, completely contradicting the Islamic scholar, the Holy Spirit Himself (who wrote the Scriptures) stated that the majority of “humanity” would not only never be “perfected,” *they would wind up in a lake of LITERAL fire* (Rev. 20–22). See Matthew 7:13–14, 24:5–10; 2 Timothy 3–4; and 1 Corinthians 1:18–31. That is *three witnesses*: Jesus Christ, the apostle to the Gentiles, and the Holy Ghost.

The Holy Spirit (the third person of the Godhead) has always been a greater “spiritual force” than Muhammad or anything he said or anything his followers wrote. The Holy Spirit wrote (2 Tim. 3:16) more than *1,180 chapters* of a “Holy” Book using “**holy men of God**” (2 Pet. 1:21). On the other hand, the poor, old, illiterate Arab couldn’t get “Allah” to give him enough material to write *114 chapters* of a “holy” book. There are more doctrinal and spiritual truths in the book of Psalms (150 chapters) and Proverbs (31 chapters) than can be found in all 114 Suras in the *Koran*.

II. “The *Koran* will make all falsehood vanish and allow men to attain the goal of life” (p. vi).

Which is? Which is what? What is the goal of life, old buddy?

Why, the poor raghead doesn’t have an idea in the world.

How did he miss saying something about ETERNAL LIFE? Look at 1 John 5:13 and John 20:31; if that isn’t the *goal* of all human life, what is?

Evidently Muhammad didn't know *what* the goal was. If it was to "please Allah" or "glorify Allah," why didn't he say so? The old Presbyterian Creed said, "the chief purpose for man being here is to glorify God and enjoy His presence FOREVER!" How did Muhammad miss the *chief purpose* while blabbering about some unknown, unnamed, unidentified "*chief goal*"?

You tell me, sonny. I don't see how any sinner could be that stupid.

III. All opposition to the *Koran* is to be "swept away," because "not even the whole world could produce a book *like it*" (p. vi).

Granted. As a religious curio it is in a class by itself. Who on earth would want a book "like it" after they had read the *Holy Bible*? God gave His Book to the world and finished it (Rom. 1:2, 3:2; 2 Tim. 3:16) *more than 480 years before Muhammad married a nine-year-old girl*. When God produced this Book He had Isaiah challenge Muhammad (and all "prophets" like him) to prove they could write a *greater* book than He had written (Isa. 41:21–25, 41:26, 42:8–9, 43:10–13, 44:6–8). Muhammad, as ALL Arabian prophets, was a miserable failure. He didn't dare to write ANYTHING that Jehovah God dared him to write (see Isa. 44:25–26, 45:18–22, 48:3–9, etc.).

You are right, the world has never produced a book "like it"—a *religious* book without one attempt by the author to prophesy *anything* in anyone's future that would take place in *history*.

The whole world needs books like the *Koran* ("like it") like you would need a parachute on a submarine.

Beside the "**holy prophets**" (Acts 3:21) of the *Holy Bible*, the prophet of the "Holy" *Koran* appears as a spiritual cripple.

IV. We are told that the *Holy Bible* cannot compete with the *Koran* when it comes to "unparalleled transformations" (p. vii).

Of what?

No transformed individuals are mentioned, *not even one*.

With the Bible producing more than 500,000,000 "new creatures" (see 2 Cor. 5:17) since A.D. 90, and translating them from one kingdom to another (Col. 1:12–13) and from Satan to God (Acts 26:18), how is it that no follower of Muhammad even experienced a *new birth* (John 3:3, 35), let alone an "unparalleled transformation"?

The author only says that one race (Arabs) attained a higher civilization. That is what killer Cain's descendants did (see Gen. 4:20–22). Scientific gadgets are not "unparalleled transformations." He mentions military conquests, some scientific researches, some fancy architecture, and a few higher standards of living in some places where an enforced unity, *under threat of death*, got Arabs to follow *Muhammad's suggestions* on ethical practices.

Nobody got "transformed." No Muslim experienced the new birth, and no Muslim thought enough of Muhammad himself even to thank him for his "advancement" in song or commemorate his life in song. You see, when you compare the author of what the scholars call "Christianity" and the author of what the scholars call "Islam," you find

yourself facing one of the most irrational, confounded contrasts that ever showed up on this planet, because all Muslims believe that Jesus Christ is just as DEAD as Muhammad (Suras 4:157, 4:159, 5:75, and comments on p. 264).

But here, lo and behold, pop up more than 600 songs written about a *dead prophet* (Jesus Christ) which little children still enjoy singing 1,930 years *after* the prophet is dead! The other prophet is such a blank *no one* after his death praised him in song for anything he said, thought, or accomplished! There are more than 5,000,000 hymn books in America, each one of them containing more than 400 songs about ONE founder of a “religion.” *Islam’s founder* did not call forth any songs from anyone. People sing when they are happy (James 5:13). The largest Book in the *Holy Bible* is a SONG book (Psalms), and Muhammad professed to believe that the writer of at least *ninety* of those songs was a *sinless man* (see pp. 39, 58, 69). Well, in those songs (Psalms) you will find the information that deals with (1) The Virgin Birth of a Son of God (Psa. 2), His betrayal and His crucifixion (Psa. 22), His resurrection (Psa. 16:10, 30:3), His present position in the universe (Psa. 110), His return to earth, and His 1,000-year reign on top of the Mosque of Omar on the Dome of the Rock (Mt. Zion, Psa. 2).

Where are the songs about *Muhammad’s* life or his teaching? Not worthy of even *one* song?

“Strange interlude,” eh what?

None of his converts experienced *any* transformation that affected their inner lives. They simply became *monotheists* like every demon in the universe has been since its foundation. **“Thou believest that there is one God; thou doest well: the devils also believe, and tremble** (James 2:19)

All devils are monotheists.

V. When Muhammad finished dictating his book “a blaze of light was cast on all the great questions which had hitherto puzzled man” (p. viii).

Like what, for example? I said, “*like what*”?

Why couldn’t Allah or Muhammad name *one*? If you couldn’t name *one*, how did you answer *more* than one.

“Great questions?” Well, how about the question of religious disunity? It has been around since 3000 B.C. and is still “alive and well” in A.D. 2002. How about the problem of crime and war? What “blaze of light” did the *Koran* put on that? It didn’t slow down either one or stop either one on a single continent on this globe.

I’ll give you some questions, Allah, and see if your book (the one Muhammad SAID was your book!) can give us a ray of light: we’ll let you off with that. Never mind the “blaze.” There isn’t enough light in the *Koran* on the future of mankind, the nations, Israel, Jerusalem, the UN, or “the end time” to light a cigarette.

1. *Why do the righteous suffer* (Job 2:2)?

2. **“Wherefore do the wicked live, become old, yea, are mighty in power?”** (Job 21:7).

3. **“For what is a man profited, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul?”** (Matt. 16:26).

4. **“How can a man be born when he is old?”** (John 3:4).

5. How can “humanity” solve the problems of *disease* and *death*?

6. **“What is man, that thou art mindful of him?”** (Psa. 8:4).

7. **“How shall we escape, if we neglect so great salvation?”** (Heb. 2:3).

8. Explain how *sand* on the seashore is (or was formed) and why all *snow flakes* are six cornered.

9. **“Have the gates of death been opened unto thee?”** (Job 38:17). They were to Jonah (Jonah 2:6) and Jesus Christ (Eph. 4:8–12). How come Muhammad missed the trip? Jonah and Christ came back up; why did “Allah’s prophet” stay down?

10. **“What shall be the end of these things?”** (Dan. 12:8). Why, poor, old Muhammad had no more idea than a Madison Avenue executive lost in the Okefenokee swamp.

Muhammad never discerned the difference between his own *soul* and *spirit* (see Gen. 2:7; Job 32:8, 33:4; 1 Thess. 5:23; Heb. 4:12–13; Rev. 6:9–11; Isa. 57:15–16; and 1 Cor. 2:12–14), and rather than reveal it to him in a “blaze of light,” his god (Allah) contracted lockjaw. Neither had any revelation to give to *anyone*. Isaiah, Paul, Moses, Job, and John had already given it 500 years before Muhammad breathed air.

Neither Muhammad or Allah could tell you why all men were monotheists *before* the flood but turned to idols AFTER the flood (see Gen. 31:19 for the first mention).

Neither Muhammad nor “Allah” had enough answers about the redemption of sins, the justification of the sinner, the regeneration of the spirit (John 3:3, 5), or the salvation of the soul (1 Pet. 1:9) to give any sinner an intelligible “plan of salvation.” One set of Suras say that you will go to Hell if you do a dozen actions (Suras 2, Sections 34 and 38; Sura 4, Section 6, 19; and Suras 14:16, 13:18, 13:5, 11:106, 11:17, 10:27, 10:8, 9:109, 9:95, etc.), but if you abstain from *these* you will *still go to Hell* if you don’t DO another dozen set of good works (Sura 2, Sections 21, 23, 25, 28, 32, 37; Sura 4, Sections 1, 2, 3, etc.).

When it comes to the **“free gift”** (Rom. 5:15)—the **“gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord”** (Rom. 6:23)—all you get from the *Koran* is a raft of works, a tone of ethical instructions, and a mountain of do’s and don’ts that would create nothing but a self-righteous, Hell-bound sinner, if they were observed. The “blaze of light” you get on the “great questions” from the *Koran* is quite similar to the explosion of a tar factory.

VII. But no! The *Koran* is the “judge” of all other Scriptures written before that time (according to M. M. Ali), and so it was written to remove the “falsehoods” from the *Holy Bible* as well as the Puranas, the Shastas, the Sutras, the Puranas, the Vedas, the Tripitaka, etc. (p. x).

The writer of this outrageous conceit was simply saying that he could correct *all* holy writings that anyone thought were “holy.” *He* was God’s final authority: fourteen wives,

nine-year-old bride, mutilated prisoners (see p. 94), epileptic fits, illiteracy, and the “whole bag of tricks.”

When he lists Allah’s sinless “messengers” in Sura 4:163 and 3:83, he sticks in ISHMAEL, *whom Sarah kicked out* (Gen. 21:9–10), and Abraham kicked out (Gen. 21:14), and GOD kicked out (Gen. 21:12). Ishmael’s mother came from one of Ham’s sons. No descendant of Canaan (Ham’s son) will be allowed on the Dome of the Rock when Jesus Christ returns as **“King of kings, and Lord of lords.”** **“And the LORD shall be king over all the earth: in that day shall there be one LORD, and his name one”** (Zech. 14:9). **“Yea, every pot in Jerusalem and in Judah shall be holiness unto the LORD of hosts: and all they that sacrifice shall come and take of them, and seethe therein: and in that day there shall be no more the Canaanite in the house of the LORD of hosts”** (Zech. 14:21).

Ishmael’s mother came from *Mizraim* (Egypt: “Hagar the *Egyptian*” (Gen. 16:1): Canaan’s brother, via Ham. This was the seed that God cursed in Genesis 9:25. *He cursed Ham’s seed because He could not reverse Ham’s blessing given before in Genesis 9:1.* Mizraim is *Ham’s* seed. Arafat is an Egyptian. If a Palestinian claimed to own the land of Palestine then he claims to have owned **“the land of Canaan”**—that is what it was called (Gen. 12:5, 13:12, 16:3, 17:8, etc.).

VIII. You are also told (by M. M. Ali) that the *Koran* can be used to judge **“the holy scriptures”** (p. xi) because the *Koran* is “correct history.”

Then why doesn’t it ever dare to deal with future history? **“The holy scriptures”** do; they deal with it in more than *500 verses*.

This continual “patching up” and “smoothing over” and “whitewashing” of Muhammad’s bum work is the outstanding thing about the Islamic scholars who pretend to be experts on what is “holy.” Being extremely dishonest (as well as stupid), they are all unaware of the fact that every time they do it they *create* another contradiction in a text that is already so full of contradictions it looks like a Roman Catholic “missal.”

IX. Having made a liar out of the AUTHOR of the Holy Scriptures (John 6:63; 2 Tim. 3:16; Rev. 22:19) a half a dozen times in less than four Suras, the *Koran* now declares that ANGELS (*plural*) spoke to Mary, which they did not, and that they called Jesus “Jesus, Son of Mary,” which they did *not* (see Luke 1:31–32). *Those two big, fat lies are in Sura 3:44.*

Any reader who has spent any time reading the literature of the Knights of Columbus or *Our Sunday Visitor* knows who uses that phrase (“Jesus, Son of Mary”) habitually: *it is the Roman Catholic Church*—Mary and Muhammad.

Muhammad hangs out with a strange crowd: the disbelievers who deny “Islamic monotheism.” But fear not! Be not dismayed! There is nothing unusual about this at all in the twenty-first century. You see, the bloodiest, most immoral Muslim terrorist in Palestine is married to a Roman Catholic: *Herr Yassar Arafat*.

X. In commenting on the spurious Sura, M. M. says that the word for **“Christ”** (anointed: “Messiah” in the Old Testament) doesn’t really refer to God anointing Him

—*although that is what Hebrews 1:9 says*. “The Arabic word”—ah, here we go! There is old Zodiates, Kenneth Wuest, and A. T. Robertson. There they are, right in the middle of the *Koran!*—“doesn’t really *mean* an anointing like David, Saul, and Jehu got (1 Sam. 10, 16; 2 Kings 9:6).

Oh no! “In the original...”—ahhh! There we go! There is Chuck Swindoll, James White, Zane Hodges, Harold Willmington, and Stewart Custer! There they are, right in *Mecca* with their holy black stone!—“it meant ‘one who travels much’” (p. 142).

How will little Bo Beep (M. M. Ali) get his lost sheep corralled after a fool comment like that? Easy; he quickly explains the word by saying that “evidence *recently discovered* shows...”—AH! There you are again, Westcott, Hort, Aland, Metzger, and Tischendorf! This time you are right in Muhammad’s HAREM with his fourteen wives!—“that Jesus traveled to the EAST [Iraq, Iran, and India] and preached to *the ten lost tribes* who had settled in...Afghanistan and Kashmir” (p. 142).

“The ten lost tribes?”

What in God’s name are “the ten *lost tribes*”?

What ten lost tribes? Name *one*. You can’t. Neither could Garner Ted Armstrong or Herbert W. Armstrong or the Moonies or the British Israelites.

“Ten LOST tribes”?

Why, you silly, superstitious clown—and that is a compliment in your case!—*ALL TWELVE TRIBES* from Jacob show up *AFTER THE CHURCH AGE IS OVER*; read the list. It was in print more than 1,400 years before Muhammad began to collect his harem (Rev. 7). Only “**Dan**” and “**Ephraim**” are missing, and Dan was replaced by “**Levi**” while Ephraim was converted to “**Joseph**” (Rev. 7:7–8).

Can’t the great, Islamic scholar read? *No, he can’t*. Just like Philip Schaff, A. T. Robertson, Zane Hodges, Joe Smith, Pope John Paul II, Pastor Russell, James White, Annie Besant, and the revision committees of the *NASV* and *NIV*, he couldn’t understand any two or three syllable word if it said something he didn’t like or couldn’t grasp. (I gave you a list of 320 Biblical illiterates just like him in the back of *The Christian Liar’s Library*, 2000. Not all of the professional liars take pilgrimages to Mecca or Medina.)

In dealing with M. M. Ali, the Scriptures are absolutely merciless, for they tell that ignorant camel jockey that not only will *all twelve tribes* be here *AFTER 2002* in “**the time of Jacob’s trouble**” (Jer. 30:7), but all twelve will be *reigning on earth* (Ezek. 48) after their twelve Jewish judges (Matt. 19:28) have judged them (Matt. 19:28; Isa. 60:12).

If the *Koran* is such a “blaze of light” and such a “correct history” (see p. xi), how is it that its devotees, upon reading it, come out as crooked as a dog’s hind leg. Look what “**the Comforter**” (John 14:26) said about Ali’s asinine comments: “**For, lo, I will command, and I will sift the house of Israel among all nations, like as corn is sifted in a sieve, yet shall not the least grain fall upon the earth**” (Amos 9:9).

God Almighty COMMANDED that (look at the verse).

He said He wouldn’t lose *one grain*, let alone one tribe.

“Ten *lost* tribes!” Listen, fathead, take a long walk on a short pier; you are dangerous to yourself. Any man who can spit on **“the holy scriptures”** like you do has no business yapping about Bible believers making fun of your “prophet” or your “god.” *Practise what you preach.*

Your prophet has *lockjaw*. He doesn’t even know the history of the *chosen race* that he thinks his race *replaced*. He is a “profitless prophet.”

XI. Now, when I said “spit on the Bible” I meant spitting on Jesus Christ at the same time, for in Sura 3:46, we are told that Jesus Christ was born just as *naturally* as Jesse Jackson, Jack the Ripper, Moses, Ahab, Peter, Paul, Arafat, Gandhi, Hitler, Goliath, Abraham, Noah, or John Dollinger.

The comment on the Sura (p. 143) informs the uninformed that Jesus Christ was NOT conceived “out of the ordinary source of nature.”

Look at the Holy Spirit’s comment on that Islamic spittle. **“And the angel answered and said unto her, The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God”** (Luke 1:35).

That was Muhammad’s pet angel (Gabriel) talking to Christ’s mother. The **“Gabriel”** of **“the holy scriptures”** is NOT the Gabriel with which Muhammad dealt. Muhammad wanted Christ to be born the same way *he* (Muhammad) had been born; he wanted to be *equal* with God’s Son, without believing on Him or receiving Him (John 1:12–13). My, what a bloated, puffed-up, self-righteous clown! *Imagine the nerve of that character!*

And now you can understand why, once Muhammad damned his soul, he had to continue along the “broad way.” You see, the historical proof of a *supernatural conception* (in the case of the Lord Jesus Christ) was the eye-witness testimony of *500 witnesses* that He was *resurrected* (1 Cor. 15). Your proof for the *virgin birth* was the resurrection; read Romans 1:1–4 and Acts 17:31. (Read it; quit bellyaching and griping about “Ruckman’s language.” Read the passages.)

The proof that Jesus Christ did *not* have a conception by the ordinary “course of nature” is that if a dead man walks out of his grave after being buried for three days, he could not have had an ordinary conception or *birth*. Muhammad stayed in the dirt. Buddha stayed in the dirt. Mary stayed in the dirt—in spite of the monkey shines of “monks” who tried to get her out of it so she could claim another attribute of Deity—THE IMMACULATE CONCEPTION.

Mary and Muhammad: this time both of them are together—in *the dirt*.

Ali’s comments (p. 439) on Sura 3:45 has to run to the “original” again to get rid of the resurrection of Christ. He does this with Jesus Christ looking over his shoulder (Heb. 2:12–13) and telling him, **“I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell and of death”** Rev. 1:18!

“The whole creation [which is *not* the birth of one man] is brought about [he stumbled, he meant to say “WAS” brought about]; we are told again and again, by the divine word ‘Kun’ [Ahhh! Yes! There you are again Trench, Vincent, Thayer, Rendall, and Kittel!

There you are bowing five times daily on your prayer mat!] yet no one supposes that creation is not brought about [he meant “WAS”; blew it again] according to the *LAWS OF NATURE*” (p. 143).

Now, analyze this rabid jackrabbit for a minute.

1. “The *WHOLE* creation” has to include *Genesis 1*, not just the birth of someone after Noah.

2. Even then, confining it to the birth of *one person*, the poor, stumbling, blind fool forgot that *neither Adam nor Eve were CONCEIVED and neither one of them was BORN*.

(You see why we keep referring to highly educated scholars as “Biblical illiterates”; when it comes to *THE Book* they are blinder than a sick cat in a blizzard.)

3. As a colored brother once said, “Adam was *BORN* full-grown!” Ali had made a liar out of Allah, for the *Koran* claims Adam was created supernaturally. Eve was conceived according to the “laws of nature,” was she? You mean with no sperm, no ova, no eggs, no intercourse, no pregnancy, no mother, and no father; and “the *whole* creation” is “brought about according to the *laws of nature*”?

And we pure, dumb, stupid Christians are to take YOU (M. M. Ali) seriously? I’ll tell you what, Doctor: either become the fourth Stooze or the fifth Marx Brother or at least “Ali, Laurel, and Hardy.” Do you mean to tell me that a *MAN* showing up on this earth (Gen. 2:7) before there was *one rainfall* is what geologists, evolutionists, paleontologists, and scientists teach as weather “*by the laws of nature*”?

There isn’t a naturalist or a scientist on this earth that believes that “Man” preceded the first drop of water from the sky (Gen. 7:4, 2:5). And what is this thing in Genesis 1:16 where the *EARTH* shows up before the *sun* is created? Why not even C. I. Scofield believed that! “The laws of nature!” Rubbish. “Ordinary course of nature”? Ridiculous! *BIRDS* before snakes (Gen. 1:21)? That is the “*ordinary course of nature*,” is it? Ask your nearest college professor.

Ali evidently never read a college textbook on evolution, geology, ethnology, paleontology, physics, biology, or zoology.

Why did Muhammad and his *SOURCE* (“Allah”) lie about Christ’s conception?

Because, as sure as a fornicating killer can’t stand a rebuke, then Jesus Christ got His *BLOOD* from *GOD*, if the Bible account was true. Read it: it is in a *King James Bible* at Acts 20:28.

“**The holy scriptures,**” shedding a “blaze of light” on the obscurities of the “Holy” *Koran*, reveal that “**the life of the flesh is in the BLOOD**” (Lev. 17:11); hence, “**flesh and BLOOD cannot inherit the kingdom of God**” (1 Cor. 15:50). Muhammad is out. *His blood is no good*: he got it from Adam. In Adam “**ALL DIE**” (Rom. 5:12–19). So the genuine Holy Book gives you only two *genealogies*: Adam’s (Gen. 5) and Christ’s (Matt. 1). In Adam’s (Gen. 5), someone dies every three verses with one exception (Enoch: vs. 24). In Christ’s line, *NO ONE DIES*. The expression “**and he died**” occurs nowhere in the genealogy of Christ given in Matthew 1.

These historical, chemical, scientific, and spiritual FACTS show why Muhammad rejected the entire New Testament where it dealt with the salvation of individual sinners, like *HIMSELF*.

“Humanity” was born wrong. “Humanity” needs a blood transfusion. “Humanity” is never going to “rise” (see p. 5) to any stage of perfection, now or later, here or hereafter, unless its “life”—“**the life of the flesh is in the blood**”—source is changed. Muhammad never got it changed: he never even *professed* to have had it changed; neither did any Islamic scholar from A.D. 620 to 2002.

This means that Muslims have only *one way* to answer the claims of Jesus Christ in the New Testament—*kill His followers*. That has been their solution to their doctrinal and theological problems since A.D. 600. That is their solution in the twenty-first century. That is the core and kernel of all the trouble in the Near East and the Middle East and has been since A.D. 600. The ghastly reality is that the “*Holy*” *Koran* is so stuffed with nonsense, trivia, self-righteousness, and blasphemy that no Muslim can really defend it at all—*except by murdering its opponents*.

The ghastly, soul-shattering truth is that a *real* prophet (Jeremiah for example), prophesying more than 900 years *before* Muhammad showed up, put his ridiculous *Koran* (Sura 3:44–46 and notes) off the map. Jeremiah told you that if Jesus Christ has been born or conceived “according to the laws of nature” then *Joseph* would have to be his father. In that case, Jeremiah (Jer. 22) told you that Gabriel *lied* to Mary when he said that Jesus would “**sit on the throne of his father David**” (Jer. 3:17, 22:30; Luke 1:30–33), *for NO MAN from Jeconiah’s seed* (Jer. 22:30) *could ever sit on that throne*.

If Christ had a human father, or if a man was even involved in His conception, it would have been a descendant of *Jeconiah*, according to Matthew 1:11, 16. Joseph was in that line, so if he was Christ’s father “according to the laws of nature,” Jesus Christ would never sit where He SAID He was going to sit. (Read Matt. 5:35, 19:28, 25:31; Jer. 3:17; and Psa. 2:6.) Don’t stop there; check out Acts 2:30, 34; Luke 1:30–33; and Psalm 110:1.

So your “*Holy*”—God have mercy on your soul!—*Koran* just made a liar out of *Jeremiah* (Jer. 22), and *Luke* (Luke 1 and Acts 2), after making a liar out of *Matthew* (5:35) and *Moses* (Gen. 1). That is how much “advanced light” the *Koran* sheds on the New Testament.

“In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful!”

That is not any “Allah” that had anything to do with writing “**the holy scriptures**” (Rom. 1:2).

The “Allah” that the *Koran* was supposed to be revealing in Suras 2:124, 131; 3:66; and 4:125 is NOT the God who was the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ or the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. THAT God gave His oracles to *the Jews* (Rom. 3:2) without any regard for one Arab who ever lived or died before or after the oracles were given.

Ishmael still hates Isaac’s guts because of it (Gal. 4).

Here, we shall “take a ten minute break.” It is time for a “breather.”

At this point, in our examination of the *Koran*, any devout Muslim would be so

infuriated he would kill his own son or daughter if he caught him with this book in his hand. Obviously, the reason is because it proves that the foundation of his Islamic salvation-by-works system is *corrupt* from top to bottom. The Bible has stripped him of his fig leaves. If the *Koran* is right, *Simon Peter* lied in 1 Peter 1, 2; *James* lied in James 4; *Paul* lied in Romans 5, 9–11; *Matthew* lied in Matthew 1, 5, 19, 25, 27–28; *Gabriel* lied in Luke 1; and *Jesus Christ* lied in Matthew 11, John 8–9, 14, 20–21.

If the *Koran* is right, then only *Muhammad* told the truth, while he (not Jesus Christ) was **“THE TRUTH”** (see Sura 17:81, p. 560, which corrects Jesus Christ’s profession that HE was **“the truth”**—John 14:6).

If there were any Bible-believing Christians in downtown New York (the UN Assembly), they would find themselves tied, hand and foot, to the strangest “Global Family”—where the Pope says that God is “the father of all men”—ever assembled, because right next to themselves (and of equal “status”) in the stalls for Morocco, Indonesia, Libya, the Sudan, Syria, Turkey, Pakistan, Arabia, Iraq, etc., are characters who believe that Muhammad baptized Christians into Christ’s body and then Muhammad sealed them to **“the day of redemption**. Check the references: Ephesians 4:30; 1 Corinthians 12:13; John 14:16; Acts 2:11, 2:15–16. For as we noted back on page xv, all Muslims believe that Muhammad was the *Holy Ghost* according to Christ’s “prophesy” on the **“Comforter”** (John 14:16, 26).

You see, Jesus Christ said that the Muslim’s “Comforter” was **“THE HOLY GHOST.”**

“But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you” (John 14:26).

All Muslims believe the **“Comforter”** was Muhammad.

For a moment, I will assume that the God of the Bible is the “Allah” of the *Koran*, and that the third person of the Trinity is *Muhammad*. With this Islamic orientation, I now pick up my *King James Bible* and read it in the “advanced light” of the *Koran*’s “blaze of light” which will eventually “bring all humanity to perfection” (see pp. 5–6).

- a. “Know ye not that your body is the temple of *Muhammad*?”
- b. “Grieve not *Muhammad*, whereby ye are sealed to the day of redemption.”
- c. “They were all filled with *Muhammad* and began to speak with other tongues as the Spirit gave them utterance.”
- d. “He breathed on them and said, Receive ye *Muhammad*.”
- e. “Go teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of *Muhammad*.”

That is how the New Testament should read after the *Koran* “corrected all of the falsehoods in it” (see p. 6). I am not kidding. That is how it would have to read if the polygamists who think the *Koran* his “holy” believe that Muhammad is an improvement on Peter, James, Jude, John, Luke, Matthew, Paul, and Mark.

That is the “blaze of light” you get from reading the “Holy” *Koran*.

Your body, in the *Holy Koran*, is NOT “**the temple of the Holy Ghost,**” it is the temple of an epileptic, fornicating polygamist! Joy to the world! Happy Ramadan!

According to *The Hadith* and all of Muhammad’s biographers, the ole’ camel jockey never had one encounter with the real Holy Spirit in his entire lifetime.

According to all 114 Suras in the *Koran*, Muhammad was unable to give any light at all on: the new birth, imputed righteousness, eternal life, eternal security, the details of the Church Age, the Tribulation, the Millennium, the Judgment Seat of Christ, the Rapture, the Advent, the restoration of Israel, the rebuilding of the temple, how to study the Scriptures, how to preach the gospel, how to lead anyone to a saving knowledge of any God, the New Jerusalem, the White Throne Judgment, the UN, the local church, or the spirit world.

Alongside Genesis–Revelation, the “*Holy*” *Koran* is nothing but a spiritual “black hole” in the outer space of religious *fiction*.

Having abandoned any attempt to meet Isaiah’s challenge dealing with prophecy (Isa. 40–48), Muhammad gave himself up to philosophical *conjectures* about life after death—about which he knew nothing, having never died and come back to tell about it (Eph. 4; Acts 1:3). “The Last Day” lies outside the realm of “history.” In the Bible, man’s “history” stops in Revelation 20:11. After *that* you are dealing with the “ages of ages” and “eternity.” (Of course Muhammad knew nothing about this either, having never been with “Allah” “**BEFORE the foundation of the world,**” *as Christ had been*; John 17:24.)

So—and I will be as brief as possible this time, as it is more than apparent, by now, that “the prophet” is simply in love with his own imagination—I will condense as much of his non-historical theorizing as possible.

Heaven and Hell, According to a Sinless Sinner

Having deceived several hundred million lost sinners with one of the most filthy lies ever told—i.e., that all of Allah’s “messengers” were not only PURE, but “SINLESS” (see pp. 59–60, 69), it is not hard to go from that wretched piece of miserable falsehood to the following.

1. Death is a “stage of *evolution*” (p. xv). No such word describes any operation in nature, the normal, the paranormal, or the supernatural. Nothing has ever “evolved” and nothing ever will “evolve.” The word means “to unroll something.” Man, animals, plants, planets, and nature grow, mature, develop, wear out, die, and rot; and man can invent things or create things or improve things or “develop” things—*BUT NOTHING “EVOLVES.”* Allah was evidently taking Darwin, Einstein, Huxley, Epicurus, Plato, and Aristotle seriously; no real Christian would make that mistake.

“The life after death...opens out a wide vista of progress before man, a new world of advancement” (p. xv). Not to any man in Hell; no, it certainly does not, unless Allah’s Hell is a Pope’s Purgatory. What is this “new world” that advances man after death? *Muhammad confesses that no one knows, no one has ever seen it to describe it, and it has not been revealed to anyone* (pp. 26, 52). This is because the average Muslim’s conception of Paradise is “eat, drink, and be merry with your virgins.” (M. M. Ali is sorry to tell you that all of those “metaphors” were to be “allegorized.” *They weren’t really there* [pp. 2829; see p. 492 in Ali’s *Koran*].)

The “blaze of light” that is now shed on Allah’s *ignorance* of his own Paradise comes from a Jewish commercial fisherman in A.D. 90.

“In my Father’s house are many mansions: if it were not so, I would have told you. I go to prepare a place for you” (John 14:2).

“Father, I will that they also, whom thou hast given me, be with me where I am; that they may behold my glory, which thou hast given me: for thou lovedst me before the foundation of the world” (John 17:24).

“It is not expedient for me doubtless to glory. I will come to visions and revelations of the Lord.

I knew a man in Christ above fourteen years ago, (whether in the body, I cannot tell; or whether out of the body, I cannot tell: God knoweth;) such an one caught up to the third heaven.

And I knew such a man, (whether in the body, or out of the body, I cannot tell: God knoweth;)

How that he was caught up into paradise, and heard unspeakable words, which it is not lawful for a man to utter” (2 Cor. 12:1–4).

“And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are, and shall be tormented day and night for

ever and ever.

And I saw a great white throne, and him that sat on it, from whose face the earth and the heaven fled away; and there was found no place for them.

And I saw the dead, small and great, stand before God; and the books were opened: and another book was opened, which is the book of life: and the dead were judged out of those things which were written in the books, according to their works” (Rev. 20:10–12).

“But as it is written, Eye hath not seen, nor ear heard, neither have entered into the heart of man, the things which God hath prepared for them that love him.

But God hath revealed them unto us by his Spirit: for the Spirit searcheth all things, yea, the deep things of God” (1 Cor. 2:9–10).

Notice that, although the *Bible* cannot possibly equal the *Koran* when it comes to “answering all of man’s hardest questions,” it *does* succeed in giving out *ten times the information* on ANY subject with which Muhammad tries to deal.

God’s description of “Allah’s Paradise” runs two full chapters (Rev. 21–22) *with fifty details given*.

Muhammad couldn’t produce ONE chapter on it, and every time he attempts to describe it, it is with general or allegorical terms that indicate HE SAW NOTHING.

Not content with demonstrating a perfect agnosticism about life after death, Muhammad says that both Heaven and Hell are REALITIES (not “metaphors”) down here on this earth *before* a sinner dies (Sura 47:6, 2:25). But then, again, there is no Heaven or Hell in Islam as a PLACE or places; Heaven and Hell are only “conditions” (p. 1032, note 2454 a.). And the punishment “in Hell” is not *everlasting* (p. xxi). Hell is just an Arab “purgatory” copied from the Popes; it is meant just for “purification” (pp. xx, xxi [Suras 6:129, 11:106–107]).

That is, you can be your own “heaven” and “hell” (Christian Science), but if Hell is in some of you, it is purifying you now, so your “Hell” won’t be quite so bad when you go into it. [A little later (see p. 153) you are told *you do* fall into it, and it is *burning* when you fall into it (p. 150).]

Now, I realize that if you are sane you are having a hard time following this type of exposition, but THAT is what the *Koran* teaches, according to its most brilliant Arabian scholar (Maulana Muhammad Ali—no kin to Cassius Clay!).

If you take Muhammad’s text as it stands, without M. M. Ali whitewashing it to make it look respectable, you have a Paradise where every polygamist that “makes the grade” shows up with all *four* of his wives (the maximum allowed; Muhammad allowed himself *fourteen*—he was more “spiritual”!!), and then he is dealt a dozen dark-haired, black-eyed “beauties” just waiting for someone to “score” on them. This mob of *fornicating polygamists* then recline on eating beds [couches] in a garden and drink supernatural wine while they talk with people in Hell; see Sura 7:90.

No one in this mob wastes five minutes praising his “Savior” (Rev. 4:8–11) or giving

Him the honor and glory due to Him (Rev. 5:13–14). You are to assume that all of the females in the harem (multiple wives, *plus concubines*) get the “SAME blessings” as the *men* get (Sura 13:23, pp. 489, 856, 1249). M. M. Ali just pretends the celestial “virgins” don’t show up, even though he lists thirty cross references in the *Koran* on “Paradise,” but his is a virginless Paradise. It is a “CONDITION,” not a “place.”

In thirty cross references, he confesses that Muhammad described NOTHING in Paradise, because although he was caught up to the seventh heaven in a dream (p. 1, Shakir’s translation of the *Koran*, 1999), *all he saw was a “parable”* (Sura 13:35, p. 492). Ali says the *true* description of Heaven—after rejecting forty-eight verses describing it back in A.D. 90 (Rev. 21–22)—is ZERO. (See Ali’s note no. 1961 on Sura 32:17, p. 796).

This *blind, pagan agnosticism* about life after death, after getting a “blaze of light” that “explains all obscurities” (p. xi), because it is such a “prefect revelation of Divine Will” (p. xi), is called “a correct history” (p. xi).

Muhammad’s “rivers” in Paradise are not even there: they just *represent* “faith” (Sura 50:23, p. 984). No fornicator reclining on a couch having sex with wives and concubines is *really* eating any “fruit.” There is no “**tree of life**” (Rev. 21–22) in Muhammad’s paradise: the “fruit” is just a reference to the “fruits of *deeds* done” (p. xviii).

No “**book of life,**” no “**tree of life,**” no “**healing of the nations,**” no one wiping away tears, no “**cherubim,**” no seraphim, no “twenty-four elders,” no Trinity, no “**rainbow**” around a throne, no “**holy city,**” no “**foundations**” to any city, no gold like “**transparent glass,**” no “**mansions**” for anyone, no “**crowns**” listed as rewards, no one is singing Muhammad’s praises, no musical instruments show up, no “**new heaven,**” no “**new earth,**” no constellations divided up for twelve Gentile nations to inhabit, and no increase of “Allah’s Kingdom” (see Isa. 9:7; 2 Pet. 3:13) after the “last day.”

In plainer words, the *Koran* is just one shallow book full of fleshy, materialistic *bullshooting* by a self-righteous sex pot who couldn’t control himself.

It reveals NOTHING about the afterlife, beyond death.

To make this sex-crazy pagan LOOK spiritual, everything he said about his paradise was ALLEGORIZED or SPIRITUALIZED or “METAMORPHIZED” by his followers so you would *think* you were reading a spiritual book.

The “boiling water” in Hell is a *metaphor*; the black faces are a *metaphor*; the “fire” is a *metaphor* (Sura 4:56, footnote 590 [61:6, p. 1056; 5:20, p. 995]) etc. (Origen; Alexandria, Egypt, popping up 500 years late in Arabia. That is where the *allegorical method* of private interpretation came from: *Jam* in Alexandria, Egypt [see Jer. 44:26; Matt. 2:15; Gen. 50:25].)

The Islamic scholars are sweating blood in their efforts to cover up the ghastly *fact* that Muhammad never had the slightest idea of what Heaven or Hell were like except what he had heard Jews and Christians talk about; these rumors he converted into make-believe places that the Islamic scholars had to deny; they converted them into “conditions.” Muhammad claimed that Heaven and Hell were in *this* life because he probably led one “hell-of-life” like a lot of sinners do who have “**the WRATH OF GOD**” ABIDING ON

THEM because of John 3:36.

It was Enoch, Elijah, Paul, and John that were caught up into the third heaven (not the *seventh*: 2 Cor. 12:1–6). Moses was taken up DEAD and then showed up alive 1,600 years later (Matt. 17:1–6). Muhammad never got any higher off the ground than a palm tree.

When it came to the underworld (Eph. 4:8–12; Jonah 2:1–6), what Muslims call a “metaphor” or a “condition,” Jesus Christ called a *burning fire* into which sinners are cast. None of them burn up, and none of them get “purified.”

“The Son of man shall send forth his angels, and they shall gather out of his kingdom all things that offend, and them which do iniquity;

And shall cast them into a furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth (Matt. 13:41–42).

“Then he said, I pray thee therefore, father, that thou wouldest send him to my father’s house:

For I have five brethren; that he may testify unto them, lest they also come into this place of torment.

Abraham saith unto him, They have Moses and the prophets; let them hear them.

And he said, Nay, father Abraham: but if one went unto them from the dead, they will repent.

And he said unto him, If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead (Luke 16:27–31).

“Then shall he say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels” (Matt. 25:41).

“If a man abide not in me, he is cast forth as a branch, and is withered; and men gather them, and cast them into the fire, and they are burned” (John 15:6).

“And many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt” (Dan. 12:2).

Whereas Daniel is only given information, John actually *sees* the lost going into the Lake of Fire (Rev. 20). But the Lord Jesus Christ dies, goes down *through* Hell (Acts 2:27, 31), and comes up to *talk about it* and dictate to Peter (1 Pet. 3:18–20, 4:6) and Paul (Eph. 4:8–10) about it. And Muhammad? Well! that ole’ fornicating raghead never went *anywhere* and “never saw nothing” and wasn’t told *anything*. His whole life was an “allegorized” parable.

Now, let us analyze this raving madness for a minute. How and why did any Islamic scholars ever give Muhammad “the time of day,” let alone credit for writing an *improvement* on the *Holy Bible*? What could be behind this constant double-talk, whitewashing of words, alteration of meanings, and private interpretations that contradict each other; these wild eulogies to make you think a book was “sacred” when it was nothing but the rambling and ravings of a depraved imagination coming from a man who knew *nothing* about sin, salvation, redemption, justification, atonement, remission of sins, life, death, the afterlife, or the spirit world?

Well, the *Koran's* "Allah" (as its Muhammad and its M. M. Ali) began by ignoring a basic, simple, *fundamental of fundamentals* when describing an Absolute Being for any religion.

While they slapped the word "Holy" onto mosques, feasts, books, and prophets, they simply refused to see what the word *meant*—at least where it applied to GOD. It is Isaiah who heard the seraphim praising the Trinity: "**HOLY, HOLY, HOLY**" (Isa. 6:3). The *Holy* God of the *Holy* Scriptures is "**HOLY.**" THAT is the word that means "sinless." It means "complete, whole, perfect," the cognates being "heal, hail, heil, hallow, hallowed, hello, health," etc. To be "God," or whoever you are trying to conjure up (Jehovah, Zeus, Jupiter, Baal, Allah, Marduk, Osiris, Apis, Nergal, Odin, Wotan, Shiva, et al.), your Supreme Being must not only be omnipotent, omnipresent, and omniscient, He must be perfect *love*, perfect *hate*, perfect longsuffering, perfect *jealousy*, perfect *wrath*, and perfect *HOLINESS*—with no sins of *any kind* and no *toleration* of any sin of any kind. The God of "**the holy scriptures**" would do something that Allah would not dare do or even think of doing. He would meet out capitol punishment to a sinner for taking food off a tree. *He did.*

"But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die" (Gen. 2:17).

Allah wouldn't dare do that; neither would Muhammad.

No Muslim would think of such lopsided judgment, and he wouldn't dare *put it in print* if he contemplated doing it. The One who said, "**MY thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are YOUR ways my ways,**" never showed Muhammad *anything* regarding a real God's first, Divine attribute: HOLINESS. Muslims might call their god "holy" day and night, and praise him for being "holy" day and night, but when it came to their God's attitude toward *sin* and the punishment of *sin*, their praises would be only Pharisical "lip service" (see Mark 7:6–13; Ezek. 33:30–33).

You see, Hell is ETERNAL punishment in "**the holy scriptures.**" It is a PLACE (not a "condition"), and it does NOT purify *anyone*, nor does it cease.

Muhammad couldn't believe that; neither could Judge Rutherford, Pastor Russell, Mary Baker Eddy, Madalyn O'Hare, Albert Einstein, Sigmund Freud, or the Secretary of the UN. Not even Pope John Paul II and Billy Graham could believe that the *FIRE* in Hell was *literal*. All Popes were so confounded at the Biblical description of Hell that they invented a "half-way house" where a sinner could stop and get *purified* (Purgatory) without actually winding up where the Book *said* he would wind up (Luke 16:23).

Two theological (doctrinal) issues are at stake here, and the *Koran* doesn't even know what they are. Allah and Muhammad are in total darkness when trying to imagine what a sinner would suffer who sinned against an ETERNAL BEING—*who was HOLY.*

The first one is "If a sinner sins, is the sin against man or God?". Muhammad failed on the first question, and since the *Koran* never could answer ANY of the "great questions which puzzled man" (p. viii), the *Koran* decided that *no sinner can sin against God*; the sinner can only sin against HIMSELF (Sura 4:111). This obscene *fiction* was dictated in the face of the following Divine revelations:

“Against thee, thee only, have I sinned, and done this evil in thy sight: that thou mightest be justified when thou speakest, and be clear when thou judgest” (Psa. 51:4).

“There is none greater in this house than I; neither hath he kept back any thing from me but thee, because thou art his wife: how then can I do this great wickedness, and sin against God?” (Gen. 39:9).

“If one man sin against another, the judge shall judge him: but if a man sin against the LORD, who shall intreat for him? Notwithstanding they hearkened not unto the voice of their father, because the LORD would slay them” (1 Sam. 2:25).

All of which means that the *basic foundation* of Islam is a denial of the depravity of man as he is related to *his Creator*. The basic tenants of Islam state that even the sin of apostasy does not deserve punishment (M. M. Ali, p. xiv, citing Suras 2:217, 5:54, and 3:89). They are not even to be “punished,” let alone *killed*, although all Muslims try to kill apostates!

Something is wrong with Allah in regards to his understanding of SIN. **“All unrighteousness is sin: and there is a sin not unto death” (1 John 5:17).**

Allah is not very “holy.” His Biblical competitor who produces the Scriptures (Rom. 1:2) would kill a Muslim if he touched the *wrong piece of furniture*. He did.

“And the king and his men went to Jerusalem unto the Jebusites, the inhabitants of the land: which spake unto David, saying, Except thou take away the blind and the lame, thou shalt not come in hither: thinking, David cannot come in hither.

Nevertheless David took the strong hold of Zion: the same is the city of David” (2 Sam. 5:6–7).

No Muslim can think like a holy God thinks, so when God commits to writing what He thinks about SIN, no Muslim dares to *believe it* or go by it. The Bible scared Muhammad’s britches off him, so he decided to *replace* it. He invented a god that would think like *he* thought; at least about SIN. Being a good, healthy sinner, and enjoying his sins immensely, Muhammad produced a god who would line up with *his own thinking* on the matters.

The proof of this—the evidence that this is *exactly* how Muhammad thought—is revealed the moment he approaches the subject of *punishment in Hell*, for once this approach is taken, he strips his god of another essential attribute—HIS ETERNITY.

“For thus saith the high and lofty One that inhabiteth eternity, whose name is Holy; I dwell in the high and holy place, with him also that is of a contrite and humble spirit, to revive the spirit of the humble, and to revive the heart of the contrite ones” (Isa. 57:15).

“And God said unto Moses, I AM THAT I AM: and he said, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you” (Exod. 3:14).

The reason for this is apparent if you spend any time in jails, hospitals, law courts, or graveyards. For in those places, you see the **“wages of sin”** in evidence. You see that men,

here in this life, can pay for their sins without paying for them forever: death ends the payment. The stiffest sentence you can get (double life-sentence) can be served because life ENDS. *In the Bible, it doesn't end.* Only physical life ends; the soul goes right on.

“For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart” (Heb. 4:12).

At this point, *everything* Muhammad taught about life “after death” comes to pieces “at the seams,” for in the *Holy Book*, the sinner meets THE ONE WHOM HE SINNED AGAINST, and the One he sinned against is ETERNAL. How long will it take to pay for a sin against Him? As long as He lives!

The “*Holy*” *Koran* answers: “You cannot sin against Him” (Sura 4:111). So no eternal payment has to be made! *You “beat the rap.”*

This blind, stupid blunder marks the *Koran*, forever, as a defective, religious book that cannot be trusted for anything that is going to happen to any sinner after he dies. Either Muhammad’s god was not ETERNAL—if he could be sinned against—or else he was not HOLY, so sins against him didn’t matter (Sura 5:111).

And there it is in a nutshell, and I mean “NUT” shell.

Muhammad’s “god” could not be “HOLY” with the standards his prophet attributed to him. In the *Holy Bible*, some of the occupants of Hell are simply “liars” and cowards. **“But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers, and idolaters, and all liars, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death”** (Rev. 21:8). Their payment is *eternal* (Rev. 14:9–11; Dan. 12:1–4), while all of the Muslims “get off the hook.” They only burn for a while, and many of them were not “fearful” (Rev. 21:8), and naturally, none of them claimed to be “liars.”

You are to *presume* that since (as a race) they were God’s “chosen people” (p. 30) *they could get away with sins that Jews and Christian, Taoists and Buddhists, Atheists and Humanists, Hindus and Catholics and Protestants could not get away with.* I have seen quotations by Muhammad from the *Hadith* where he confessed that all Muslims, including HIMSELF, would have to spend some time in Hell! (See Sura 19:71–72)

In THE Book that surpasses the *Koran*, in *all* matters of wisdom, prophecy, understanding, revelation, and holiness, a sin against an eternal being requires an *eternal payment*; and since the eternal Being is “**a consuming FIRE**” (Heb. 12:29), the price is third degree burns, *forever*. That is totally beyond the grasp of any unsaved sinner on the face of this earth. Muhammad was no exception; he was just as *degenerate and blind* as any other depraved sinner.

You see now why the real Book said, **“the FEAR of the Lord is the beginning of wisdom.”** Neither Muhammad nor his “sources” had any more idea of what the word really meant than a Catholic Pope who lets his flunkies call him “**Holy Father**” (John 17:11).

Muhammad just corrected God’s thoughts (Isa. 55:8) with his own thoughts (Isa.

55:9). Such sins as murder, torture, adultery, fornication, lying, swearing, fraud, stealing, heresy, kidnapping, pedastry, sex perversion, deceit, treachery, sodomy, assassinations, embezzlement, etc., do *not* require ETERNAL payments: you pay for them *down here* in sorrow, suffering, prison sentences, hangings, gassings, electric chairs, loneliness, doctor and lawyer bills, and pain. If a man could commit ANY sin that would require *eternal burning*, it would have to be more than a million times worse than *all of those sins combined*. That is as plain as the nose on your face. So what would that sin be if it could be committed?

The *Koran* answers: “It cannot be committed.”

The Holy Scriptures correct the *Koran* in no uncertain terms:

“He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life: and he that believeth not the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of God abideth on him” (John 3:36).

“And when he is come, he will reprove the world of sin, and of righteousness, and of judgment:

Of sin, because they believe not on me” (John 16:8–9).

“Then Job answered the LORD, and said,

Behold, I am vile; what shall I answer thee? I will lay mine hand upon my mouth.

Once have I spoken; but I will not answer: yea, twice; but I will proceed no further.

Then answered the LORD unto Job out of the whirlwind, and said,

Gird up thy loins now like a man: I will demand of thee, and declare thou unto me.

Wilt thou also disannul my judgment? wilt thou condemn me, that thou mayest be righteous?” (Job 40:3–8).

“Then will I also confess unto thee that thine own right hand can save thee” (Job 40:14).

Muhammad committed it. He pitted *his righteousness* against *God’s righteousness* just like the Jews did in Romans 10:1–5. He claimed that any Muslim could EARN heaven by good works, WITHOUT GOD’S RIGHTEOUSNESS.

“For they being ignorant of God’s righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God.

For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth” (Rom. 10:3–4).

“For I say unto you, That except your righteousness shall exceed the righteousness of the scribes and Pharisees, ye shall in no case enter into the kingdom of heaven” (Matt. 5:20).

“My righteousness is near; my salvation is gone forth, and mine arms shall judge the people; the isles shall wait upon me, and on mine arm shall they trust.

Lift up your eyes to the heavens, and look upon the earth beneath: for the heavens shall vanish away like smoke, and the earth shall wax old like a garment, and they that dwell therein shall die in like manner: but my salvation shall be for ever, and my righteousness shall not be abolished” (Isa. 51:5–6).

Jesus classified Muhammad as a *thief* and a *robber* (John 10), just like all those who came before Him (John 10:1, 8).

Muhammad stumbled at the stumbling stone (1 Pet. 2:6–8; 1 Cor. 1:23), just as surely as Pilate and Herod did, along with Caiphas (John 11:47–49) and Judas. He was told that *God’s righteousness* was another Man—a JEW (Acts 17:31). Muhammad was jealous of Jesus and hated the Jews’ guts; so Muhammad said that Jesus did *not* die, He did *not* atone for sinners, and He did *not* come up from the dead. Muhammad intentionally dragged *God’s Righteousness* down into the dirt *with himself* so he would be equal to Him. In the Bible, that is the sin that puts adultery, blackmail, sodomy, masochism, sadism, lying, swearing, stealing, cursing, and murder “out of business.”

Muhammad, as “Killer Cain” (Gen. 4), refused to offer a LAMB for his sins (see Exod 20); not even **“the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world”** (John 1:29). He offered, instead, the filthy, rotten rags (Jer. 38:12) of *his own self-righteousness* (Isa. 64:6): ditto every unsaved Muslim, Hindu, Buddhist, Catholic, Protestant, Humanist, Atheist, Taoist, and Jew of the face of this planet.

To Islam, Jesus Christ was an ordinary sinner like any sinner (Sura 3:6, p. 130, and then seven comments on the matter from M. M. Ali; nos. 436, 2637, 1613, 443, 161, and 381. In addition to this, note Suras 3:58, 2:73, 4:163, 5:117, 19:89–93.)

The *Koran’s* “blazing light,” that clarified all of the “obscure places” and answered all of man’s “great questions,” was nothing but a pious collection of *religious nonsense* to give a sinner an alibi for rejecting **“the gift of God [which] is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord”** (Rom. 6:23).

Several million chumps (“suckers”) took the bait and swallowed it: hook, line, and sinker.

In order to cover up his dirty rotten sins, Muhammad’s followers made Abraham, David, and Moses *sinless* so that they could *also* claim that Christ was sinless if “pushed to the wall” (which I have been doing for more than forty pages). On page xi, M. M. Ali informs us that the Bible was in error in ascribing sins to the prophets. So according to the *Koran*, Moses never murdered anyone (Exod. 2), and he never lost his temper (Num. 20), although something knocked him out of getting into the Promised Land (Deut. 32–33). Ali then informs us that Aaron did *not* build a golden calf, that Lot did *not* commit incest with his daughters, that Abraham did *not* drive Ishmael away, that Solomon never broke all three commandments that were given to all Jewish kings (Deut. 17:16–17), and David never committed adultery with *anyone* a day in his life. (p. xi and note 1534; Sura 2:130 and 16:120)

So Ali could say we “slandered Muhammad” for claiming Christ was a sinner (even though he claimed Christ LIED), because Muhammad excused HIMSELF of all the sins he committed. But then he had to exonerate David, Moses, Abraham, Lot, Solomon, et al.,

also, or you would accuse *him* of pride. Once he had covered up his dirty, rotten life with that alibi, Muhammad relaxed, not realizing that, by doing so, he had declared, openly and publicly, *in print*, that whoever wrote Deuteronomy lied, whoever wrote 2 Samuel lied, whoever wrote Genesis lied, whoever wrote Exodus lied, and whoever wrote Nehemiah 13:26 lied.

Three of those books were were in the Torah written by Moses. Talking like the lying hypocrite he was, Muhammad claimed that he and all Muslims believe Moses was a messenger of God and the *TORAH* was Holy Scriptures which were to be OBEYED.

Here is what Jesus Christ said about Muhammad's "stance":

"I am come in my Father's name, and ye receive me not: if another shall come in his own name, him ye will receive...."

Do not think that I will accuse you to the Father: there is one that accuseth you, even Moses, in whom ye trust.

For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me.

But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words" (John 5:43, 45–47).

Moses wrote Genesis, Exodus, and Deuteronomy.

Explanatory Notes on Killings and Kingdoms

We have just finished browsing through a few of the prefatory remarks made by the Islamic scholar Maulana Muhammad Ali on “*The Holy Qur’an*”—translated from the Arabic text and published by Ahmadiyyah Anjuman Isha’at Islam Lahore, Inc. (1995).

We learned that, contrary to what you may hear various Muslims say, Muhammad was the *Holy Ghost* Christ spoke about in John 14–16; Jesus Christ *did not* die for anyone, and He did not die on *Calvary*; Jesus Christ was no more the “Son of God” than Moses or Abraham; Moses, Abraham, Ishmael, David, and Solomon were *sinless*; the *Koran* is superior to the *Holy Bible* and is able not only to correct the falsehoods in it but give “a blaze of light” on all of the “great questions that man has about obscure things.” Finally, we learned that Paradise is a *parable*, Hell is a *metaphor*, and Hell is not only temporary, it doesn’t contain any *real* FIRE.

Before getting into the actual texts of the *Koran’s* 114 Suras, which, by the way, *have Allah giving all of Jerusalem and Palestine to the Jews* (not the “Palestinians”: Sura 7:161, 5:21, and 17:104), we should make a note of the two most outstanding things about the *Koran* which makes it less than *worthless* when laid alongside “**the scripture of truth**” (Dan. 10:21), called “**the holy scriptures**” (Rom. 1:2) in the Scriptures.

The first of these is the glaring reality that in 114 chapters of religious chitchat, Allah’s “prophet” is *sterile*: he is unable to prophesy ANYTHING. When it comes to *prophecy*, Muhammad is a deaf mute. He cannot make one prophecy of an historical nature—something that is going to take place in history—one minute *after* he is dead. All he can do is repeat some past prophecies taken from the Old Testament about which he heard; he hadn’t even read them: Muhammad was illiterate. He had *no* prophetic insights whatsoever, although “**the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of PROPHECY**” (Rev. 19:10).

For example, all Bible believers *know* where they are going when they die, and many of them know *when* they are going to die (see 2 Pet. 1:13–14; 2 Tim. 1:12; 1 John 5:13; 2 Kings 2:1, 10; John 14:3, etc.). Nothing in the *Koran* indicates that Muhammad had absolute assurance of salvation for any of his followers (Sura 19:71–72). There is not one *personal statement* of faith in 114 chapters. All you have is his own words that if anyone does what *he thinks they should do* they are bound to get to Paradise. “**Let God be true, but every man a liar**” (Rom. 3:4).

When it came to the 500 *historical prophecies* found in the Old Testament—of which 100 have already been fulfilled—Muhammad did not have an educated guess to make on *any* of them. These prophecies wrote out the details of future history where it dealt with crops, famines, weather conditions, astral phenomena, the rise and fall of nations, the names of men and what they would do, the locations and times of events, and even the kind of ANIMALS they would use in transportation (Gen. 49:11). All of this material (Genesis–Deuteronomy, the Psalms, Isaiah–Malachi) is so far over the head and so far beyond the reach of Muhammad that he couldn’t even discuss it.

As a “prophet,” Muhammad was a *camel laugh*. In spite of this, modern (twenty-first century) Muslims believe and teach that if any Christian makes fun of Muhammad or accuses him of being a false prophet *he should be killed or imprisoned*. No sinner could be that vicious and at the same time that ignorant unless he was *demon possessed*. Holy Bibles are available worldwide. Anyone can study the 500 prophecies that Muhammad *missed*.

The second outstanding thing we noticed about the *Koran* (that puts it in a class by itself as extremely inferior religious literature) is the startling revelation that Muhammad knew practically nothing about the god he *invented* for the Arabian people. In spite of all his pious exclamations such as “Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful...Allah is compassionate...Allah is Mighty, Wise...Allah surely is Knower of it...Allah is surely Hearing, Knowing, Forgiving, Merciful...Allah is Ample-giving...Thou art the most liberal Giver...O Allah owner of the Kingdom!...He is the Self sufficient...Glory be to Him...thy Lord is ever Powerful...He is the Mighty, the Wise...Allah is my Lord and your Lord” etc., and a similar raft of statements, all you get is one man’s opinion of what *he thinks* God is like.

God is “all Powerful,” but not so anyone can confirm it. Muhammad didn’t *see* the creation, he didn’t *see* the Flood, he didn’t *see* the plagues on Egypt, he didn’t *see* the parting of the Red Sea, he never *saw* the ground open and swallow sinners up alive (Num. 16); but Allah is “powerful” is he? How would Muhammad know? Moses *saw* God’s power to heal (Exod. 4), to kill animals (Exod. 9) and men (Num. 25), to drown armies (Exod. 15), to destroy crops (Exod. 10), and turn water into blood.

Muhammad saw nothing. He just called Moses a liar and rejected his writings (see Ali’s notes on Lot, Abraham, and Moses on p. 113).

God is “All-knowing,” is he? *Like what?*

Muhammad couldn’t back up anything he said.

Enoch knew about the Second Advent of Christ (Jude 14) before He came the first time; Noah knew about a universal flood before anyone had ever seen rain (Gen. 6–8); Abraham knew how long his great, great grandsons would stay in Egypt (Gen. 15:13); David knew his wives would get “laid” in public before it happened (2 Sam. 11:4 cf. 12:11–12); Samuel knew what would happen to Eli’s sons before they knew it (1 Sam. 2–3); Christ could read the minds of His adversaries (Luke 6:8) and His friends (John 6:6). And Allah is “All-knowing,” is he? *Like what?*

Muhammad was just shooting off his mouth.

He *invented* a God and had to put some tags on him to make him look like a god.

“Allah is compassionate, is he?” Well, not much. **“Greater LOVE hath NO MAN than this...etc.”** (John 15:16). If Allah was as compassionate as a “MAN” is—look at the verse (John 15:13); look at it!—he would lay down *HIS LIFE* for the men he created. The “Allah” of the *Holy Bible* did:

“For scarcely for a righteous man will one die: yet peradventure for a good man some would even dare to die.

8 But God commendeth his love toward us, in that, while we were yet sinners, Christ died for us” (Rom. 5:7–8).

“Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins.... We love him, because he first loved us” (1 John 4:10, 19).

How is that for “compassion”? *Allah* doesn’t qualify. Neither does his “prophet.” Neither one of them could *demonstrate* Divine compassion; it was recorded in Isaiah 53, more than 2,000 years before Muhammad invented a counterfeit god.

You see, there was so little personal communication between Muhammad and his fictitious “Allah” throughout the entire lifetime of the “prophet,” that 114 chapters (which are “the revelation of the Divine Will”!) don’t reveal a man who even KNOWS God, let alone *serve* as a “messenger of God.”

If you want to see Muhammad stripped down to his underwear, standing before God unjustified, unredeemed, uninformed, and only able to kill those who make fun of him, compare the book of Psalms and the book of Job with the “*Holy*” *Koran*. It is almost too embarrassing to talk about.

Moses *talks* with God, *eats* with God (Exod. 24:11), *argues* with God (Num. 11:11, 21), *complains* to God (Num. 16:15), *speaks* for God (Exod. 4:16), and *preaches* to God (Exod. 32:14). David does the same throughout his entire lifetime (Psa. 25–27, 30–31, 35, 38–39). Job does the same thing; page after page records his dealings with God as an *individual* on a *personal* level (Job 7, 9, 14, 16–17, 23, 31).

And Muhammad? Are you joking? Are you trying to be funny?

Job SEES and EXPERIENCES God’s wrath (Job 1–2) and his compassion (Job 42) in a way Muhammad couldn’t *discuss* with anyone. Allah’s “messenger” has *no message* to give along these lines: he has had no experience. Job has it. Job was written more than 3,000 years before Muhammad invented “Allah.”

In 114 Suras, the “prophet” (God help you, sonny!) doesn’t even talk to his god long enough to ask him for anything or thank him for a specific answered prayer. Nowhere does he record praising Allah for anything he did in HISTORY—see David’s treatment of this in Psalm 105–106, 126, 136, 68, etc.—except what he borrowed from an Old Testament *that he had never read*.

Muhammad operates on pure philosophical *guesswork*, based on heresy (see pp. 131, 136) from start to finish. Are you afraid to test this hypothesis? Are you afraid to test it NOW—here in the great twenty-second century where Muhammad’s “blaze of light” has had 500 years to clear up all “obscurities”? Well, will you test it or not? Before you sharpen your scimitar or load your gun or hide your “shank” under your robe or strap a bomb to yourself or adjust the scope on your AK-47, how about doing something *courageous* instead of something cowardly. Uh? Uh, boy?

All right, if you have the guts, pick up, say, two chapters from the book of Psalms—it contains thirty-six more chapters (150) than the entire *Koran*. Take, for example, Psalm 69 and 71, or if that doesn’t settle your mind, pick up Psalm 18 and 19.

In those *four* Psalms, making up less than 3 percent of ONE book in the Holy Scriptures, you will find more actual, *real*, personal, direct contact between David and *his* Lord and God—Muhammad claimed that God was “Allah!”—than Muhammad recorded in 114 chapters of pretending to represent Allah as his “messenger.” Evidently, he had never been in “Allah’s presence” long enough to even get a message.

This documented evidence, which resembles a diary, will forever stand (Ps. 119:9–160) as a *written testimony* against any raghead or camel jockey who claims that the collected ramblings and pious hot air of Muhammad constitute the world’s “greatest spiritual force” (see vi) or a “perfect revelation of Divine Will” (p. xi).

Having seen *in print* the absolute truth regarding these two matters (prophecy and personal fellowship with a living God), we now can turn to the text itself in an official version of the *Koran*. We know exactly, now, what to expect. No surprises. We know exactly what is coming. We are going to run “smack dab” into a mammoth pile of “explanations” since the text is *faulty* in 2,000 places, if you take the words as they stand. *The text must be explained away*. Those of us who have spent half a century studying the scholarly experts who are responsible for more than 60,000 changes in the *King James* text (*NASV, NRSV, RV, ASV, NIV*, etc.) know exactly what is “in store.” “Out West,” the term (the polite term!) is “Texas cow pies.”

In the Midwest, where folks are more “genteel” and civilized, it is “road apples.”

Paul called the scholar’s interpretation of the Old Testament in his day “**DUNG**” (Phil. 3:8). You will not find that word in any “up-to-date translation” of the *AV* (1611). It is too plain.

What you are to get ready for is more than 1,200 pages of “the word *actually* means...,” “the prophet *meant* to say...,” “the word in Arabic is...,” “what it is *trying* to say is...,” “this is just a parable...,” “this is not to be taken *literally*...,” “this is just a metaphor for...,” etc.

No surprises, children. “Nothing new under the sun.” Same old gas. Same old theological “flatulence.” Same old Sanhedrin (Mark 7:7–9), same old *hypocrites* (Matt. 23:25, 27, 29), same old con men trying to run the world by their own standards, desperately working to make a sixth-century raghead look like a genius by “fixing up” all the places where he would offend “modern man.”

The explanatory notes, in the official edition of the *Koran*, make up a body of baloney almost the size of the *Koran* itself. Even before you read the first Sura, you are exposed to *forty-nine pages of small print* designed to justify Women’s Lib, no fornicating in Paradise, no literal fire in Hell, no eternal punishment in Hell, ecumenical overtures, religious liberty, equality of sexes, good will towards Jews and Catholics, etc. In the body of the text, you will find that revisions of the text to make it palatable to twenty-first-century man often constitute sentences *four times as long as the text*, and on occasion, they are ten times as long as the text. Those pages are as follows 78, 81, 82, 83, 85, 71, 130, 132, 125, 129, 105, 107, 120, 95, 96, 97, 98, 93, 368, 379, 142, 365, 330, 143, 337, 658, 685, 144, 644, 145, 640, 599, 147, 1122, 1094, 1086, 1074, 1075, 1056, 1057, 150, 157, etc.

The Muslim's tone in these explanatory notes is unmistakable; you couldn't miss it if you couldn't find a bowling ball in a bathtub. I have seen it in at least *forty commentaries* on the Scripture, *twenty books* on Hebrew and Greek exposition, *twenty lexicons* and dictionaries, *five* different sets of encyclopedias, and have heard it demonstrated in at least *200 sermons a year* for *fifty-three years*.

These are the "pros." *They lie as easily as they breathe*. You will find a list of 320 of them in the back of *The Christian Liar's Library*, and not one of them is a Muslim or a Catholic. When it comes to the *Holy Bible*, as God's final authority on this earth, they are all the same peas in the same pod.

The WORDS of the text of the *Koran*, as I will record them and comment on them, are NOT the words of Muhammad that he dictated, at least not when the Islamic scholar gets through "explaining" them. Often the scholar had to write a 150-word paragraph to "explain" one word in the *Koran*. Nothing that complicated (see Rom. 16:19; 2 Cor. 11:4) could be the work of an honest man.

In my own personal researches, going through more than 23,000 books plus the sixty-six books in the Bible more than 150 times, I have never encountered any body of literature more *similar* to Islamic scholarship than the Roman Catholic apologist literature (1900–1990) which tries to "explain" Catholicism. Watching a Roman Catholic priest or bishop or cardinal or pope or archbishop trying to "explain" why Mary never bore the children Jesus Christ *said she had* (Psa. 69:8; John 2:17) or explain why the "early Christians" sprinkled babies or believed in "the sacrifice of the Mass" is like watching M. M. Ali trying to explain how Islam conquered Turkey, Arabia, Pakistan, Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, Cyprus, Algiers, Morocco, Libya, Constantinople, Palestine, and parts of Spain, Italy, and the Balkans by *DEFENDING* herself against her "attackers."

Muhammad died in 632. Islam was established by *bloodshed*. It was almost invincible because salvation was offered to any killer who would kill a non-Muslim "in the way of Allah." To make sure that their 300 years of bloodshed was justified, they called ALL of the invasions and attacks "Jihads," conveniently given the label of "*defense against attacks*."

Muhammad raised an army of 114,000 Muslims to defend HIMSELF, and with AbuBakr as his successor, they destroyed the Empire of the Sassanids. In fifteen years they attacked Syria, Palestine, Cyprus, Rhodes, Armenia, and Egypt: being of course attacked FIRST by these seven countries! (How *two islands* [Cyprus and Crete] attacked Arabia is not to be found in the annals of Arabic history.) The Muslims attacked and destroyed Carthage in 697, and then the Berbers were "converted" (*at sword point*) to Islam. The bloody butchers then attacked Spain, conquering the Visigoths who had settled there. This time they pretended the Jews were "good folks" because they offered to fight the Spaniards *with them*: double standard, split tongue, two-faced, etc.

In 732 Charles Martel "gave them the boot" (Tours) and ran them out of Western Europe permanently. They retreated and attacked Italy and Sicily, while retreating (846), and finally Rome itself. In 915, they got their tails kicked out of Rome and Sicily but went back to *re-attack* and conquer Antioch, Jerusalem, Jaffa, Tyre, Sidon, etc. From there they

dared the Pope to come and get them, so he *did* attack under (under Pope Urban II): that began the Crusades.

All American intellectuals who try to justify the anti-Christian (and anti-Semitic [Jewish]) stand of “radical” or “fanatical” Islamic sects conveniently equate Christians with ROMAN CATHOLICS; then they holler that you cannot be simplistic in stereotyping Muslims.

Typical NEA rot. If the Crusades were carried out by only fanatical, radical terrorists, the Muslims would all be ANTI-CATHOLICS. Ninety-eight percent of the Crusaders belonged to Adolph Hitler’s church.

Islam didn’t fight five *defensive battles* in more than fifty battles through 400 years.

Muhammad’s Muslims just LIED, like they do in the twenty-first century; same crew, same book, same “religion.”

While you are reading this, Muslims in Africa and Indonesia are slaughtering *unarmed men and women* and are *selling children into slavery*—“to defend their faith.” (See p. 143 where the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem—Arafat’s uncle!—set up a killing ground by pretending that praying Muslims “might be *attacked*.”)

Anyone who needs Catholicism “explained” can easily get all of the explanations from official Catholic books published by Catholic book houses, and all the books bear the Imprimatur of the archbishop plus the Catholic “censor’s” *Nihil Obstat* (Latin: “nothing objectionable”).

O. C. Lambert of Winfield, Alabama, published two volumes (1956) which contained nothing but the official, dogmatic statements of Roman Catholic popes, cardinals, bishops, and archbishops as found *in their own works*.

The summation of this mass of *official* Catholic literature so resembled the *Koran* that it is shocking. In both publications you can find verse after verse, somewhere, that teaches exactly *the opposite* of what another verse teaches, and a Pope who teaches exactly what another Pope calls *heresy*. At every place this happens, the “scholar” shows up to show you that if you read it HIS WAY, *instead of the way it was written*, it would make sense.

Soooo! Off we go “into the wild blue yonder”! “Fasten your seat belts and make sure your tray table and your seat are in the upright and locked position!” This is a typical Cainite, Fruit-Stand religion (Gen. 4:1–6) with all of the trappings of a sinner who wants to appear pious and spiritual without offering a LAMB (1 Pet. 1:19).

1. There are *seven* heavens for Islam—not *three*. (See 2 Cor. 12:1–5 for the revelation Muhammad never got from his god.) According to Sura 2:29, there are seven, and the Islamic scholar, Shakir, declares that the greatest miracle Allah ever recorded was the catching up of Muhammad to the seventh heaven (p. 1, Shakir’s translation of the *Holy Qur’an*, 1999) in order to counterfeit Enoch (Gen. 5) and Elijah (2 Kings 2). Muhammad “described it in *minute details* all that he had seen the seven heavens.”

Where is the description? *It isn’t anywhere in the Koran*.

Ali, on the other hand, breaks out in a cold sweat when he reads John’s description of

the “seven heavens” (Rev. 5–19) and Paul’s description (2 Cor. 12:1–4). Simon Peter said that Paul’s writings were “**SCRIPTURES**” (2 Pet. 3:16). So the camel jockey (Maulana Muhammad Ali) quickly writes a mammoth paragraph of 250 words in his haste to cover up Muhammad’s error. First he tells the suckers that the word for “seven” doesn’t have to *mean* “seven.” It can mean “a very large number.” Like “THREE” perhaps (2 Cor. 12:1–4)? Or it *may not mean* any exact number because it is used in a “vague manner.” Not in 2 Corinthians, no. You mean in the *Koran* it is “vague.” The number *could* refer to (p. 16, note 46) “seven orbits of PLANETS,” or again, it *could* have been “seven EARTHS,” in which case both of the “prophet’s” words were false descriptions.

Yes, and it could have been the seven Gummy Bears or Goldilocks and the Three Bears!

The remarkable thing about all this is that Ali is working overtime to justify a *dumbbell* to whom Allah showed *nothing*, after claiming to have been raptured (a “mi’raj”), which Shakri says was “through the seven HEAVENLY STRATAS.” (Preface, p. 1, *The Qur’an*, Yasin T. al-Jibouri, trans. by M. H. Shakir, Tahrike Tarsile Qur’an Co., 1999).

His buddy, Ali, says Muhammad didn’t see *anything* even in the seventh heaven (or “planet” or “strata” or whatever); see p. xviii.

Two liars: M. M. Ali and M. H. Shakir, plus Hussein, Arafat, and Clinton.

Perjury under oath; throw the case out of court.

2. Salvation, in the *Koran*, is by good works. There is no “**free gift**,” there is no blood atonement, there are no sins that are already paid for, and there is no GRACE involved in any Muslim’s salvation:

“For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God” (Eph. 2:8).

“And if by grace, then is it no more of works: otherwise grace is no more grace. But if it be of works, then is it no more grace: otherwise work is no more work” (Rom. 11:6).

If you have “done good,” you cannot go to Hell, according to Sura 2:62.

Muhammad thumbed his nose at the Holy Spirit working through Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, Peter, and Paul and gave you a fire escape out of Hell without:

- a. Perfect righteousness (Rom. 10).
- b. The new birth (John 3:1–7).
- c. The blood atonement (Heb. 10:8–12).
- d. God’s righteousness imputed to you instead of your own (Rom. 4:3–8).

We may assume that Muhammad, having set himself up as being superior to Moses, David, Peter, James, Noah, Paul, Abraham, and John the Baptist, was speaking not only for himself but every self-righteous egomaniac *just like him*.

“But we are all as an unclean thing, and all our righteousnesses are as filthy

rags;” (Isa. 64:6).

“As it is written, There is none righteous, no, not one” (Rom. 3:10).

“They are all gone out of the way, they are together become unprofitable; there is none that doeth good, no, not one” (Rom. 3:12).

“For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God” (Rom. 3:23).

Muhammad simply excused *himself* from the list. To M. M. Ali and M. H. Shakir, the word **“ALL”** in Romans 3:12, 19, 22, and 23 should have been translated “ALL except the Muslims who believe the *Koran*.” All conservative and “democratic” Muslims believe that.

I am making no “overstatements.” That is exactly what they believe and teach and have believed and taught since Muhammad kicked the bucket.

3. Some jackrabbit has inserted “as” into Sura 2:65 so you would not take Muhammad *literally*. Ali says the verse is a “parable.” *There is no “parable” anywhere in Sura 2 for all of its 286 verses.* Nothing in Sura 2:65 runs “parallel” to anything.

4. God ordained that Jesus Christ would die a natural death **AFTER** He was taken down off the cross (Sura 3:54 and notes on p. 147). According to Ali, a Roman legionnaire and a medical doctor (Luke) were both *deceived*: Jesus Christ was only dead “to all appearance” (p. 35).

Nicodemus, Joseph, Dr. Luke, and a soldier (who made sure He was dead, John 19:33–34) said he was **“DEAD.”** Some crazy, idiotic nut up around A.D. 600 says *all four eyewitnesses were deceived*; he (Muhammad) knew the real truth!!

You see, the term “egomaniac” is not an overstatement.

Muhammad was so in love with himself he probably bowed every time it thundered.

5. Although Muhammad said that Allah was the “enemy to disbelievers” (Sura 2:98), he didn’t really *mean* that because “there can be no enmity between Allah and man” (p. 44). Note! Ali knows what Allah *meant*; the *author* of the *Koran* (Muhammad) *didn’t* know what Allah *meant*. Did you get that?

In view of this outrageous, bumbling, confusing, obfuscating, theological dust cloud, higher than Mt. Everest, how about a “blaze of light” to clear up a God-forsaken mess?

“Ye adulterers and adulteresses, know ye not that the friendship of the world is enmity with God? whosoever therefore will be a friend of the world is the enemy of God” (James 4:4).

“Love not the world, neither the things that are in the world. If any man love the world, the love of the Father is not in him.

For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world.

And the world passeth away, and the lust thereof: but he that doeth the will of God abideth for ever” (1 John 2:15–17).

“I pray for them: I pray not for the world, but for them which thou hast given

me; for they are thine.... I have given them thy word; and the world hath hated them, because they are not of the world, even as I am not of the world.” (John 17:9, 14).

“For if, when we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of his Son, much more, being reconciled, we shall be saved by his life” (Rom. 5:10).

“And he said unto them, Ye are they which justify yourselves before men; but God knoweth your hearts: for that which is highly esteemed among men is abomination in the sight of God” (Luke 16:15).

Those are the divine revelations which were written *more than 500 years before Muhammad* denied them and tried to correct them with his “minute rice” salvation cooked up out of nightmares, hallucinations, rumors, heresy, and just plain *rubbish*.

6. God never “begat” any Son that He said He would begat (Isa. 9:6; Psa. 2:7), according to Sura 2:116. So believe ALL “progressive,” conservative, and “broad-minded” liberal Muslims.

“But unto the Son he saith, Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever: a sceptre of righteousness is the sceptre of thy kingdom” (Heb. 1:8).

“And lo a voice from heaven, saying, This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased” (Matt. 3:17).

You can see now the psychiatric problem Allah’s “prophet” had with Jesus Christ. Look at Matthew 3:17 and Matthew 17:5. “Allah” left his “prophet out” and He even recommended *His own Son* over the two greatest prophets in the Old Testament (Elijah and Moses: note Matt. 17:4, 7).

And what about Allah’s prophet? Sorry; *John the Baptist* outclassed him in the New Testament (Matt. 14:11). Muhammad didn’t win, place, or “show” IN EITHER TESTAMENT. And Muhammad’s god (Allah) was supposed to have been the *same* God that spoke Isaiah 9:6 in the Old Testament (according to Muhammad)!

So here is the “God and Father of the Lord Jesus Christ” saying “**HEAR YE HIM**”—*not Muhammad*. The old raghead got his feelings hurt; God didn’t include him in the list of people He had made up to be *faithful believers* and *servants* of the “Lord.” Neither were Buddha or Lao Tzu or Zoroaster or Mary included.

God never told *anyone* to listen to Mary. Mary said “**WHAT HE SAITH UNTO YOU, DO IT**” (John 2:5), and she certainly wasn’t talking about Muhammad or any of his kinfolk.

7. Well, according to the *Koran* (Sura 2:127)—or according to a spaced-out airhead “trippin” on PCP!—Abraham settled Hagar and Ishmael (Egyptians from Ham: Gen. 10) “near the Sacred House.” It was at Makkah (Mecca) or Bakkah. Abraham “laid the foundations of a city there.” The text is a plagiarism from Hebrews 11:10. The problem is that Hagar and Ishmael were both sent *out of Palestine* (Gen. 25:6), and Abraham did not go with either of them. Abraham wanted nothing to do with any city that didn’t already have foundations (Heb. 11:10); he was looking for one that God built (Heb. 11:10, 16). So if Mecca was the city that God built, the man who laid its foundations *walked away from it*

and never came back to it (Heb. 11:14–15)!

That is the *Koran*. That is a “correct history” (see p. 12) that answer all of your “hard questions” (see pp. 9, 12).

Bugs Bunny in Disneyworld.

8. “Allah” made John the Baptist not only “pure” (Sura 19:13) but also SINLESS (note 1535 for Sura 19:14).

In the real “blaze of light,” *no man* is born pure, and *no man* is sinless but One, and He is the One whom Muhammad called a LIAR (for lying: Matt. 17:22–23). No *mortal man* is born pure (Job 25:4); he is born in sin (Psa. 51:5) AND IS A “**child of wrath**” and a “**child of disobedience**” (Eph. 2:1–4). Muhammad is just up to his usual tricks: talking like a fool. “**Who can bring a clean thing out of an unclean?**” (Job 14:4, 25:4).

Every man from Adam to President Bush was *born* WRONG, and not one of them was sinless, except the One who didn’t come from Adam (see Acts 17:31). Adam’s “seed” went to Joseph (Luke 3:23), but it had to stop at Mary. God’s Son was *not* the seed of any man except via Mary, “through the flesh” (Rom. 1:3–4). His conception SEED was from *His Father*. Christ made that clear to Mary and Joseph in Luke 2:49. It wasn’t clear to Muhammad a day in his life, nor is it to any “pro-Western” normal Muslim.

Ali says that Abraham was pure (Sura 2:30, 4:125) because he never disobeyed Allah. He didn’t? Would a pure man *lie* because he was *scared* (Gen. 12:11–13)? Would a pure man *disobey God* when he told him to leave his kindred (Gen. 12:1) and yet *he took them with him* (Gen. 11:31)? What is the matter with “Allah”? His *standards* must be much lower than those of the God who wrote the Holy Bible. Muhammad either had rocks for brains, or he was as blind and as spiritually dead as Darwin or Einstein.

9. In Sura 2:133, a quaint anachronism arises where the standard Biblical formula (Exod. 3:6, 15–16; Matt. 22:32) of “the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob” has been slyly altered to “Abraham, Ishmael, and Isaac,” thus knocking out Israel (that was Jacob’s new name) by eliminating the twelve tribes of Jacob over which Christ is to rule (Luke 1:33): “**the house of JACOB.**”

Some raghead had convinced Muhammad that Ishmael was not cast out (Gen. 21:10–12; Gal. 4:21–31), *although he was* (Gen. 21:20–21), unless Moses lied when he wrote the account. Remember Jesus Christ’s comment on people who didn’t believe Moses? Look it up (John 5:45–47).

Hagar was Abraham’s concubine, and Abraham sent all of the sons of his concubines eastward *across* Jordan “**unto the east country**” (Gen. 25:6) so none of them had any inheritance in the land of Palestine. *None of them were “Palestinians.”*

Ishmael’s mother was a *Hamite*, not a *Shemite*, for Hagar was from Egypt (“**Mizraim**” in Gen. 10:6; *one of Canaan’s brothers*).

10. And now up pops the theme song of every Catholic pope since Leo I! “Those (Jews) are a people that have passed away” (Sura 2:141).

May we add, “in a pig’s eye”?

If they have “passed” away, why are you still busy killing them right and left after they just beat your britches off *four times in a row* (1948, 1956, 1967, and 1973)? I am talking about CONSERVATIVE Muslims, not terrorists like the PLO.

The Jews can’t possibly “pass away,” not even when all the other nations in the UN (187 of them) assemble to annihilate them (Zech. 12:2, 14:2), for God swore by Himself that they would never be consumed (Psa. 105; Gen. 13–15; Rom. 11; Jer. 30–33; Ezek. 48; Isa. 65–66).

Here are the Jews *after* Muhammad’s “Last Day” (Rev. 20:11–14; Isa. 60:12).

“For, behold, I create new heavens and a new earth: and the former shall not be remembered, nor come into mind.

But be ye glad and rejoice for ever in that which I create: for, behold, I create Jerusalem a rejoicing, and her people a joy.

And I will rejoice in Jerusalem, and joy in my people: and the voice of weeping shall be no more heard in her, nor the voice of crying” (Isa. 65:17–19).

11. Every Muslim should “turn his face towards the Sacred Mosque” (Sura 2:150). There is no “sacred mosque” anywhere on the face of this earth, according to **“the holy scriptures.”** Muhammad tried to copy a practise initiated with Solomon’s temple on the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem. (See the “originals” in Dan. 6:10 and 2 Chron. 6:38, which were written more than 1,300 years before Muhammad knew what a *Koran* was.)

There are no dissertations on “history” anywhere in the *Koran*. The only references to “history” are ridiculous parodies of passages in the Old Testament. Where Daniel deals with past, present, and future history, in detail (as does Isaiah and Jeremiah), Muhammad can’t even get the history of creation straight (see pp. 17–18).

Having no gift of prophecy whatsoever (Rev. 19:10), Muhammad couldn’t foretell *any* historical events that would take place after his death.

12. Then, as the farce mounts in size, swelling up like a gigantic balloon of hot air, M. M. Ali tells us that Muhammad is the best role model for any modern man to follow. This was done after Muhammad told his followers to “believe the Gospel” (Sura 3:3, 5:46–47, and note 384), while denying *everything* that Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John recorded about the birth, life, and death of Jesus Christ.

This “role model” (see Sura 256 and note on p. 111), in his dying moments, screamed, “O Lord, Destroy all the *Jews* and the *Christians!*” (Schaff, Vol. I, *History of the New Testament Church*, pp. 211, 222). Was he a terrorist? His counterpart in “the Gospels” (the Lord Jesus Christ) dies with this on His lips: **“Father, forgive them; for they know not what they do.”**

Nobody but a *bloody killer* would take the first “model.” All devout Muslims would have to be bloody killers in order to follow their “role model.”

Christ never killed *any Jew* a day in His life, while Muslims have been killing them since A.D. 600 *and are killing them right now*. The *Koran*’s insipid explanation for this is that Jesus Christ (and all His apostles) should have fought their enemies (literally, with

weapons) if they attacked them first (Sura 2:243–257). Of course, Peter and James *were* attacked (Acts 12), and so were *Paul* and *Silas* (Acts 16), and so was Christ Himself (John 8:59); so since they did not obey *Muhammad's instructions* (elaborated on by M. M. Ali on pages xii, xiii, and xiv), you are driven to the inescapable conclusion that Muhammad was SINLESS (see pp. 68–69) and Jesus Christ was a SINNER: He *disobeyed* Allah's instructions in the *Koran*.

Paul said, **“overcome evil with good”** (Rom. 12:21); not with rock throwing, suicide bombers, terrorizing civilians, bombing buses and shopping centers, and assassinating politicians. When Peter drew a sword on his “attackers,” Jesus Christ made him put it back in the sheath. Muhammad said capture your attackers and then *crucify them* (Sura 5:33).

Someone is a big, fat-faced, flesh-bloated, egomaniacal LIAR. Place your bets.

13. Finally, as the air bag reaches the size of the Graf Zeppelin, we are told that the Holy Ghost is *Muhammad* (Sura 61:6). M. M. Ali informs you of this on page xi under the heading “Perfect Revelation of Divine Will” (as well as his note on p. 1056). He says that the **“he”** of John 14–16, specifically John 16:12–13, *is a reference to Muhammad*. In the Bible, it is a reference to the LORD (**“the Lord is that Spirit,”** 2 Cor. 3:17) who *indwells* the body of every born-again child of God (see Eph. 4:30; 1 Cor. 3:16; Col. 1:27; 2 Cor. 3:17; 1 Cor. 12:13).

This puts “the topping on the cake,” if you are celebrating the birthday of Rasputin on an all-night drunk. Here is a rag-headed camel jockey SEALING the Christian to **“the day of redemption”** (Eph. 4:30) and guiding and leading him into **“all truth”** (John 16:13), while convicting all Arabs of the sin of REJECTING JESUS CHRIST AS THEIR SAVIOUR (John 16:9).

14. When M. M. Ali hits Muhammad's wine bottle in Sura 2:219, he does a two and a half “gainer” with a full twist (divers' terms) in midair before breaking his skull in a dry pool. The passage says that Allah made matters clear (Sura 2:219), but it still took M. M. Ali *two paragraphs* of more than 340 words to explain one word in Muhammad's Sura: “intoxication.” Muhammad's final conclusion is that the apostle Paul told Timothy *to SIN when he got sick* (1 Tim. 5:23). Timothy (a better servant of God dead than Muhammad was alive) would be sinning if he used “a drop of wine” (p. 93), for any reason.

Where that puts Jesus Christ for manufacturing and bootlegging nearly fifty-four gallons of wine (John 2), I don't know. Of course, it was supernatural wine, and like the **“NEW”** wine of the Lord's supper, it was NOT intoxicating; but poor, old, dummy Muhammad won't let Timothy have ANY alcoholic content in his stomach medicine (1 Tim. 5:23), after being advised to use it.

15. Now the cliché “in the way of Allah” shows up. This is a news media “double speak” euphemism which means it is all right to *kill people* who reject the *Koran*. The motive you must have is a *Catholic* religious motive—“for the glory of Allah” and the “defense of your faith.” Such a teaching is entirely absent from seven church epistles written to the early Christians by their apostle: the apostle to the Gentiles (the Corinthians, the Galatians, the Romans, the Ephesians, the Colossians, the Philippians, and the Thessalonians).

In practise, the *Koran* is used to justify killing *any* anti-Muslim for any reason; in print, it appears only as *counterattacking* when attacked. That is, the Muslim conquests in the Middle East from A.D. 600 to 1900 were all “defensive” warfares. If you believe *that* you are ready for the nut house. All modern terrorists (miscalled “Palestinians”) are engaged in a Jihad (a “holy” war—see the Roman Catholic “holy” crusades) because they lost a war *after five of their nations attacked Israel* in 1945. Israel did not attack in 1945, 1956, 1967, or 1973. Before *Israel* attacked, in 1967, Muslim terrorists in Israeli territory (miscalled “the West Bank”) had been killing policemen, soldiers, unarmed men, and women and children for eleven years. I have all of the clippings.

No Christian who followed Jesus Christ (Acts 11:26) would think of attacking, or counter attacking, anyone who attacked his faith or his Saviour or his Bible or his God. That has been and is a Roman Catholic operation—always. Those of us who have the *right* Book and know it, and have the *right* God and know it, and the *right* Saviour and know it, are no more upset about criticism or blasphemy or ridicule than a gnat buzzing around our ears.

Jesus rebuked Simon Peter for even attempting to defend Him (John 18:11). Only Rome uses religion as an alibi to murder “disbelievers.”

The truth is that Muhammad had such a monstrous ego he fancied himself not only to be as *sinless* as Jesus Christ and as pure as Abraham, but he pretended his race was the Jewish race (see 130–131), so he was “Joshua” and could be justified in fighting the “Lord’s” wars, like Joshua was told to kill all of the Canaanite (Josh. 1–9). Unfortunately for the old fornicating, illiterate polygamist, the word “**Joshua**” is the Old Testament word for “**Jesus**” (“**this is my beloved Son...hear ye him!**”).

Notice the *same alibi* for rejecting the New Testament. It recommended ONE wife (Matt. 19; Eph. 5; 1 Tim. 3; etc.). Israel, in the Old Testament, were allowed several (Solomon—1000). So Muhammad pretended:

- a. He was an Old Testament Jew.
- b. His religion could claim all the “goodies” given to *Israel*; among them the right to multiple wives and genocides.
- c. He should have a holy temple to worship in, *which should be faced when praying*.
- d. He would have his “Jews” face it five times a day instead of Daniel’s three (Dan. 6:10).
- e. He could set up a religion of *faith and works* like an Old Testament Jew had (Rom. 10:4–10; John 1:17; Col. 2:16) and all the popes had!
- f. He could spread his religion by *fire and sword*, because the Jews had done it (Josh. 1–9), and so did the popes.
- g. He was a good, Old Testament Jew, so he could reject any God who had a “Son.” He would stick to Old Testament *Jewish monotheism* (Deut. 6:4).

In short, all the old camel jockey did was *abort* the Old Testament and set up a counterfeit “Israel” which was as godless and as depraved as the Roman Catholic setup,

which was set up for the *same thing*: they kept a literal sacrifice, a special priesthood, a “**queen of heaven**” (Jer. 44), a priest called “**father**” (Judg. 18), and a *Church-State to counterfeit the Jewish kingdom*.

Birds of a feather *fornicate and murder* together (Rev. 2:20–21).

16. To prove that what I just wrote was the absolute truth, from top to bottom, up shows Sura 2:247 with all the rotten stink of decayed, religious flesh. In this Sura, some deceived fool has written “Allah grants HIS KINGDOM to whom he pleases.”

Which means nothing unless you are with Mary or Muhammad.

According to the Vatican, it means “Allah” gave it to the Catholic Church. According to Mecca and Muhammad, it means “God” gave it to the Muslims.

According to the Holy Spirit, neither the Muslims nor the Catholics have ever known enough about ANY kingdom in the Bible to teach a class of fifth-grade students in Daily Vacation Bible School (DVBS).

In “**the oracles of God**” (Rom. 3:2):

a. God is the supreme ruler of *all* kingdoms and nations (Dan. 4:17).

b. God delegates this power to *Satan* (Luke 4:6) as the “**god of this world**” (2 Cor. 4:4), which explains why people like Caesar, Pope Innocent I, Adolph Hitler, Pope Pius XII, Nebuchadnezzar, Genghis Khan, and Pope John Paul II are given authority over it (see Dan. 4:32).

c. The kingdom of God’s “**dear Son**” (Col. 1:13) is *never* a reference to any kingdom on this planet that deals with “**meat and drink**” (Rom. 14:17), clothing or shelter, “pluralistic societies,” armies or navies, church dignitaries, ecumenical movements, real estate, economics, politics, “community cultures,” national goals and aims, international goals and aims, social justice, assets, or incomes.

d. “**The kingdom of heaven**” is *never* a reference to “**the kingdom of God,**” even where they appear to be identical in one or two “similitudes.”

e. “**The kingdoms of this world**” do not become Jesus Christ’s *until* Revelation 11:15. Christ made this clear to Pontius Pilate in John 18:36, although not one Catholic pope or Grand Mufti since Pilate ever got the message.

f. The kingdom mentioned in Sura 2:247 has no more to do with *physical nations* than its definition, as given in 1 Peter 2:9. The kingdom given to Israel was defined in Exodus 19:5–6, and THAT literal, *physical*, visible, *Jewish* kingdom was headed up by the King of kings and Lord of lords (“**the King of the Jews**”) who doesn’t appear on this earth one time from A.D. 70 until the Second Advent of “**the great King**” (Matt. 5:35), who will then be “**KING OVER ALL THE EARTH**” (Zech. 14:9), on a throne in Jerusalem called, “**the throne of the Lord**” (Jer. 3:17).

No Roman Catholic Pope (or cardinal or bishop or archbishop) had any more Biblical knowledge about these Biblical truths than a three-year-old Muslim.

g. God never gave *any* kingdom to Arabians that resembled in any way, shape, or form *either* kingdom (the Kingdom of God or the Kingdom of Heaven) mentioned in *either*

Testament (Acts 1:6; John 18:36). The context of Sura 2:247, according to Muhammad and “Allah,” was the *literal, visible, political kingdom of Israel in the land of Palestine*. See Acts 1:6; Luke 1:32–33; Matthew 19:28; Zechariah 14:3, 9; and Psalm 67:4, 68:8, 29, 35.

For complete details (over 300 pages) see *The Sure Word of Prophecy*, 1970, first published as *The Kingdom of Heaven vs. the Kingdom of God*, 1959. No intellectual, progressive, democratic Muslim, or civil rights leader believes anything Biblical about any “kingdom.”

Prophetically speaking, Muhammad turned out to be as blind and dishonest as every fakir who sat in “Peter’s Chair.” None of *them* had a grasp of *anything* that dealt with the “kingdoms” in the word of God. Their colossal ignorance covered all the spiritual facets and implications as well as all of the historical fruits and results.

I overstated nothing. Muhammad himself stated (in Sura 2:251) that Allah’s kingdom was the literal, physical, visible, political Jewish kingdom set up by David and Solomon, in Jerusalem—ON THE DOME OF THE ROCK (Ezek. 44–48; Isa. 2; Psa. 2)—in a *Jewish temple*, not a Muslim mosque.

17. “The Prophet [Muhammad]...is the model for his people” (p. 111). “He is perfect...and enables others to attain to perfection” because “he is...an INTERCESSOR” (p. 111).

That was M. M. Ali commenting on Sura 2:256, telling you Muhammad intercedes for you instead of the *Holy Ghost* (Rom. 8:23, 26), and that a sinner can become “perfect” by *copying* Muhammad—instead of Jesus Christ (1 Pet. 2:21) and Paul (1 Cor. 11:1).

Okay, follow your “role model.” Kill your enemies, crucify disbelievers, enslave their children, put their mothers into your harems as concubines, get married fourteen times, marry a nine-year-old girl when you are fifty-two years old, call Paul and Jesus Christ (and Moses, Mark, David, Luke, John, et al.) liars, reject Christ’s atonement for your sins, trust your own works to get you by the White Throne Judgment, and die yelling “Gabriel come near me! O Lord, kill all the Jews and the Christians!” (Schaff, citing Sprenger, *Das Leben und die Lehre des Mohammad*, Berlin, 1861, Vol. III, pp. 211, 522.)

Way to go, baby! Get you a holy “role model”!! That is the role model for all Muslims.

How about the Marquis François deSade or Dale Crowley? No? Well, how about Genghis Khan or Errol Flynn? No? Well, how about “The Walking Pharmacy” (Elvis Presley) or Michael Jackson?

18. Anyone who disbelieves the *Koran* or Muhammad “will be fuel for fire” (Sura 3:9). *Not really*. As fast as Arafat would lie at a “Summit,” M. M. Ali assures the reader that the reference is just to *someone in a battle* that some Muslims fought (the “Battle of Uhud” or the “Battle of the Confederates,” p. 131). Having done this, M. M. Ali stumbles into a rat’s nest of confusion, for two verses later (in the *same* Sura and the *same* Section) “[all disbelievers] shall be vanquished, and driven together to HELL” (Sura 3:11, p. 132). Stumbling all over himself, the hypocritical “scholar” screams, “The word

VANQUISHED here is not a reference to a Hell *hereafter*; it is a reference to Hell as “punishment of *this life*” (note 394).

This was to back up his false theory that you can have your Heaven or Hell on earth here before you go (see p. 153). That is, Queen Victoria had “Hell on earth,” Peter, James, and John had Hell on earth. Dwight Moody and Billy Graham had Hell on earth. Martin Luther and Billy Sunday had Hell on earth, etc. I don’t recall where *one of them* bore testimony to that “great blaze of light” the *Koran* gave on their lives; although I have heard many converted sinners say that life on earth was “Hell” for them UNTIL *they rejected everything that Muhammad believed and stood for and accepted the New Testament truths instead of the Koran*. After that “heaven came down and glory filled my soul, when at the cross the Saviour made me whole, etc.”

Muhammad, in his egotistical madness, wound up teaching that although Moses *lied* in what he wrote, he was “pure” and “sinless.” Noah was pure and *sinless*, although he got drunk and cursed some of his children. Abraham was *sinless*, although he lied about his wife. David was *sinless* because the Scriptures (that were “**given by inspiration**”) had lied about his activities which included fearing man instead of God (1 Sam. 21:2), getting a man *drunk* to cover up his own act of *adultery* with the man’s wife (2 Sam. 11–12), *defecting* to Israel’s enemies (the Philistine: 1 Sam. 27), and refusing to punish a murderer who deserved capital punishment (2 Sam. 14:33), etc.

And then! After making an *apostate idolator* sinless (Solomon), and giving the Abrahamic promises of the twelve tribes of Jacob to Isaac and Ishmael, Muhammad has the nerve to tell you that Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, James, Jude, Peter, and Paul were LIARS and “DISBELIEVERS,” so *they* wound up in Hell.

At the same time he allowed a whole nation of suckers to call HIM “the HOLY prophet,” just like a Catholic fish eater calls the Pope “THE HOLY FATHER” (p. xi, Ali). Mary and Muhammad!

Muhammad’s unique alibi for getting *Jesus Christ* out of Hell is that Jesus was “A” prophet—like Muhammad, I suppose—but then Muhammad says (see M. M. Ali’s footnote on Sura 19:14, p. 596) that ALL prophets of God are PURE and SINLESS “because they *never* disobey God.” This would put Moses (a prophet) and David (a prophet) and Christ (a prophet) into a Muslim paradise SUPPLIED WITH VIRGINS TO ENJOY. At the same time, *contradicting everything he just said or thought*, Muhammad declares that Jesus Christ denied the first, great, fundamental truth of Islamic monotheism: *He claimed God was His Father* (see the open confession in John 9:36–37 and witness of those that heard Him in John 10:36), and further, that if a sinner had SEEN Him, he had seen THE FATHER (John 14:9).

How is Jesus a *sinless* prophet (like Muhammad) and a prophet who never *disobeyed God* (like Muhammad) while He publicly called the belief of Muhammad in Islamic monotheism *a lie* and its perpetrator *a liar*?

All broad-minded, pro-West, conservative Muslims have to make Jesus Christ a liar, even when signing “accords” with Roman Catholics.

I am tired of trying to be polite and tactful in dealing with this outrageous *religious*

TRASH. I think I will speak plainly as we end this chapter. After all, the whole godless mess is so far out in “left field” that it never could have been taken seriously by anyone with a third-grade education *unless they were threatened with bodily harm* if they didn’t swallow it. The only other possibility is the Roman Catholic possibility: they had to be raised in it from a child with all other sources of information *cut off from them* so they would grow up with a permanent spiritual handicap.

“But the prophet, which shall presume to speak a word in my name, which I have not commanded him to speak, or that shall speak in the name of other gods, even that prophet shall die.

And if thou say in thine heart, How shall we know the word which the LORD hath not spoken?

When a prophet speaketh in the name of the LORD, if the thing follow not, nor come to pass, that is the thing which the LORD hath not spoken, but the prophet hath spoken it presumptuously: thou shalt not be afraid of him” (Deut. 18:20–22).

“Thus saith the LORD of hosts, Hearken not unto the words of the prophets that prophesy unto you: they make you vain: they speak a vision of their own heart, and not out of the mouth of the LORD.... The prophet that hath a dream, let him tell a dream; and he that hath my word, let him speak my word faithfully. What is the chaff to the wheat? saith the LORD” (Jer. 23:16, 28).

“And I fell at his feet to worship him. And he said unto me, See thou do it not: I am thy fellowservant, and of thy brethren that have the testimony of Jesus: worship God: for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy” (Rev. 19:10).

What all of these Scriptures *mean* is what they *say*, and all three *say* what they *mean*. They *mean* that Muhammad was no more a “prophet” of God (or “Allah”) than Rin-Tin-Tin or Popeye the Sailor Man.

Making the profession of a *demon* (James 2:19)—monotheism—doesn’t solve ANY problem that any sinner ever had since the foundation of the world. That is the alibi that the Jews still swear by *while rejecting the New Testament and rejecting their Messiah*; they used it while being gassed and cremated in Sobibor, Auschwitz, Buchenwald, Treblinka, etc.

“Hear, O Israel: The LORD our God is one LORD” (Deut. 6:4).

Which, if used *apart* from 1 Timothy 3:16; John 14:9; Matthew 21:5, 9; Isaiah 53; Psalm 2; and Luke 1:31–33, 2:10–11, *doesn’t mean one cotton pickin’ thing on the face of this ever-lovin’, blue-eyed hog pen* (Luke 15:14–18). Devils believe the same thing (James 2:19). *All demons are “DEISTS.”*

Murder in “The Way of Allah!”

We have been acquainting ourselves, for several chapters now, with what might be termed “religious crazies” or “bananas gone bonkers.” Someone who professes to be *infallible* has been correcting our “**holy scriptures**” (Rom. 1:2) with the following great “truths”: Muhammad is the *Holy Ghost*; Christ was born like John Gotti, Mussolini, Stalin, Gandhi, and Mary Baker Eddy; history is filled with *sinless men* like Moses, David, Abraham, and Muhammad; Jesus preached in Afghanistan and Kashmir (see p. 14); Paradise is a *parable*; Hell is a *metaphor*; and Peter did the *right thing* in drawing a sword to defend Christ from “attackers.”

Well, after making it clear that all Christians are idolators and “disbelievers,” Sura 3:56 tell us that they are “the unjust” (p. 148) because when they deified Jesus “they... exceeded the limits of justice.”

True: *Paul* did that (1 Tim. 3:16). The apostle *John* did it too (1 John 5:20). The apostle *Thomas* also did it (John 20:28). As a matter of fact, ALL of the disciples did it (Matt. 14:33). *Luke* deified Him (Luke 24:52). But as far as that goes, *God Almighty* did too (Heb. 1:8). *Isaiah* even deified Him before any “Christians” showed up (Isa. 9:6). *Zachariah* deified Him (Zech. 12:10) more than 400 years before any Christian “exceeded” anything, and *David* also deified Him 1,000 years before He showed up (Psa. 110).

It was Muhammad and the *Koran* that divested Him of His deity.

The fact that millions of *Arabs* from Ham and Canaan were too stupid to believe in monotheism till someone wrote the *Koran* is only to confess that Allah’s “chosen race”—chosen to replace Israel (pp. 58, 83, 130)—was too stupid to keep up with the “times.” They ran 2,200 years behind the clock.

Muhammad’s brand of monotheism was simple: every verse in the New Testament that gave the *Holy Spirit* or *Jesus Christ* the attributes of Deity was a lie written by false prophets. See 2 Corinthians 3:17, Acts 21:11, 5:3–4, John 17:21–22, 14:17, for example, in regards to the Holy Ghost. In regards to Jesus Christ, observe John 14:9, 8:58, 12:41, and Philipians 2:9–11.

In the *Holy Bible*, the one, true God manifested Himself in multiple ways: He appeared as “**an angel of the Lord**” (Acts 7:30), as “**THE angel of the Lord**” (Num. 22:24), as a “**Lamb slain from the foundation of the world**” (Rev. 5:6, 13:8), as a baby in a manger (Luke 2), as a Jewish man (Rom. 1:3, John 4:22, Luke 1:32, and Acts 17:31), and even as a white-haired “**Ancient of Days**” (Dan. 7:13; Rev. 1:12–16).

When it came to *revealing Himself* to His creation, the Lord God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ could outclass Muhammad’s “Allah” ten to zip. Allah couldn’t manifest himself anywhere in the *Koran* or out of it.

But being a typical, absolutely average, lost, unregenerate sinner (“**the natural man,**” 1 Cor. 2:14), Muhammad had no grasp of ANYTHING spiritual. He had no acquaintance

with “Allah” or any connection to him. Note how this eliminates Muhammad, immediately, as a “disciple of God” (John 15:15, 17:21–23; Col. 1:27; Eph. 2:13, etc.). God’s disciples are INSIDE their Saviour (God’s Son), and God’s Saviour (John 4:22; Matt. 1:21) is INSIDE the disciple.

“To whom God would make known what is the riches of the glory of this mystery among the Gentiles; which is Christ in you, the hope of glory” (Col. 1:27).

No Muslim has anymore knowledge of these things than a dead Hindu or a dead Buddhist.

When Jesus Christ spoke John 14:9 it caused the old fornicating liar to foam at the mouth, blubber with his lips a while, and nearly faint with rage and self-righteousness. It was during these psychotic spasms of demoniacal RAGE that he dictated the passages that deal with Christ’s Father (p. 85), Christ’s birth (see p. 35–36), Christ’s atoning death (p. 44), and Christ’s resurrection (p. 16).

How could this epileptic polygamist tolerate a Saviour who claimed to have been present before *Allah* showed up?

“I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the ending, saith the Lord, which is, and which was, and which is to come, the Almighty.... I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell and of death” (Rev. 1:8, 18).

Of course, Muhammad couldn’t tolerate Him. Muhammad, as Gandhi, Buddha, Robert Shuller, and the “Promise Keepers,” wanted a god in *his own likeness* who would approve of *his* “lifestyle” while condemning every sinner who would find fault with it. So he *invented* a god to “fill the bill.” “Allah” filled the bill for all sinners just like Muhammad: *self-righteous killers who were trying to justify themselves by adopting a “religion.”*

Muhammad needed a “God” that would allow sinners to kill each other over religious beliefs, enjoy spicy foods and rich perfumes, fornicate with fourteen different women, simultaneously, marry nine-year-old girls while being over fifty years old, go to a Heaven where you could lie around on eating beds with a dozen virgins to “score on,” while your four–fourteen wives sat around and watched (or played Bingo or MahJong or Backgammon or whatever; *they* didn’t get to shack up with any male virgins!).

If Jesus Christ meant what He *said* (Matt. 11:27) and *said* what He meant (see John 5:19–27), He is in a Muslim HELL right now, according to the *Koran*. A more unbelieving “disbeliever” never lived on this earth than *Jesus Christ* when it came to knowing God, who He was, *what His nature was*, how He was manifested, and *how He THOUGHT* (Isa. 55:9).

According to everything Muhammad taught, Jesus Christ was one of the biggest, lying sinners who ever lived. But he doesn’t dare say it, nor do the scholars who comment on the *Koran*. Jesus Christ would say it in a minute (John 3:36, 5:24; 1 John 5:10; John 8:44, 47, 19, 21, 14:6); that is, He would identify Muhammad as a *lying sinner* and one of the biggest sinners who ever lived if he had showed up in Christ’s time. That is no theory, for

Jesus Christ did that kind of thing *for three and one-half years* and IDENTIFIED the lying sinners who would wind up in Hell, right to their faces (Matt. 23:13–16, 19, 23–25, 27, 29, 32–33).

Jesus Christ didn't let any scholar whitewash or soft soap his anti-Koran or anti-Islamic statements; they remained in print *twenty-one centuries* later just like He spoke them. No one has ever had to say “what He *meant* was this” or “He really is trying to say....” The Christian scholars who perform those kind of perversions, on the written words, are simply cloned, carbon copies of Muhammad: they rejected the words (Prov. 13:13) of the *Holy Bible*.

If the *Holy Koran* doesn't identify Jesus Christ as a false prophet and name Him, you can rest assured that *Jeremiah* would name one if he was there (Jer. 20:3, 28:15–17). *Moses* would name them when they were there (Num. 16:1, 24). *Paul* would name them, because God gave him their names (2 Tim. 3:8).

At the White Throne Judgment (Rev. 20), the universe will hear the reading out of the NAMES (Rev. 20:15, Phil. 2:9–11) of the lying sinners who thought God never begat a Son and thought Christ was an ordinary human being (Psa. 2:4–7). If the *Koran* is “holy,” Jesus Christ will have to go into the Lake of Fire; if the *Bible* is “holy,” down goes Muhammad! Splash!

Place your bets. No, don't go weeping and wailing and go around cursing and threatening to kill someone. *Grow up, sonny;* get off your bottle. “Jes' place yo' bets.” Never mind the emotionally distraught theatrics as you try to show how “holy” YOU are; just put your money on the green felt, kid. “*Put up or shut up.*”

We have come through Chapters I and II (Suras) of the “*Holy*” *Koran* which, by now, has revealed itself to be one of the most depraved *counterfeits* of the Old Testament ever invented by an unregenerate sinner. A more fitting term would be “a stinking mess,” although the original American “koine” (before the Police State was set up in 1964) was “Nigger Rig.” We forebear using the expression because in a Welfare Soviet Socialistic State such utterances become “felonious.” They, like the *Koran's* wording, must be “updated” with euphemisms to make them look respectable before such a high class, “multi-cultured,” pluralistic civilization as the one now sporting 3,000,000 abortions a year, one divorce out of two marriages, serving baby parts as food and other things, and teaching children they came from monkeys, accidentally. A more fitting term—to do exactly what M. M. Ali has been doing with the *Koran* for 286 verses—would be “It is an Afro-American Lay-out.”

Maulana Muhammad Ali has to write more notes explaining *one word* in the *Koran*, in one verse in the *Koran*, than appear in a text of twenty verses. He does this to deaden or “lessen” the blows that Muhammad constantly strikes at “**the holy scriptures**” (Rom. 1:2); which, by the way, were not given to *any* Ishmaelite or any Arabian or any Muslim, or any Catholic or any Protestant; *they were given to the JEWS* (Rom. 3:2).

Having gone 286 verses through this caldron of utterly depraved *nonsense*, we have not yet encountered one clear-cut verse where Allah's “prophet” even attempted to make a prophesy on anything that would happen *after* his death. Furthermore, if you checked the

Bible references we gave, you learned that the *Koran*, far from being an “advanced revelation” over either Testament, contained less revelatory truth than the Old Testament, completed in 400 B.C. So Muhammad is 1,000 years *behind* Biblical revelations.

With all of his gas about “Hell”—it is found mentioned more than 200 times in the 114 Suras—and Paradise (it is given forty-five cross references in the Index)—it turns out that Muhammad didn’t know the first thing about *either of them*.

a. He invented four “heavens” that didn’t exist.

b. He couldn’t discern between “*sheol*” and “*hades*.”

c. He didn’t know that “**Paradise**” was in the heart of the earth before Christ’s ascension (Eph. 4; Luke 27; Acts 2; etc.).

d. He didn’t know the *direction* in the Universe for “**Paradise**.”

e. He couldn’t tell the difference between the Jewish Jerusalem, on earth, in the Millennium, and the New Jerusalem which was the “**mother**” of all believers (Gal. 4:26).

f. He was unaware of “**the bottomless pit**” and “**outer darkness**.”

g. He couldn’t tell you what was *in* Heaven (according to Sharik and Ali), so he missed the Cherubim, the twenty-four elders, the throne room, the heavenly tabernacle (Heb. 8:1–5; Rev. 4–5), the Seraphim, the redeemed saints, and the Book of Life.

h. He knew nothing about the *colors* of New Jerusalem and nothing about its layout, *measurements*, or material.

i. He knew nothing about the millennial *foretaste* of eternal Hell (Isa. 34) into which live people would be thrown (Matt. 5:22) in the Millennium.

Face it. Muhammad was as spiritually BLIND as Pharaoh or “**Geshem the Arabian**” (Neh. 6:1).

We are about to skim through 559 more paragraphs without *one sign* of any prophet prophesying *anything*. The *Koran* is simply one sinner sitting down and talking to himself about what he thinks he knows. Muhammad’s knowledge of the future, during his lifetime, was exactly that of any *unsaved drunk* in America with an IQ of 90. And a lot of unsaved drunks in America know about the Rapture, the Advent, the Restoration of Israel, and the doom of the United Nations at Armageddon.

Well, the first sample of a disordered mentality we run into is this irrational “gem.”

I. “A death blow” was dealt to the “divinity of Jesus Christ” in Sura 3:2, according to M. M. Ali. His defunct reasoning was that Christ could not have been “**God...manifest in the flesh**” (1 Tim. 3:16) because God (“Allah”) was “Self-subsisting” and “Ever-living.”

What in the ever-lovin’, blue-eyed world that talk has to do with the *Divinity of Christ* is so far “off the wall” a paper hanger couldn’t find it. An ever-living, self-subsisting God, in the Old Testament, manifests Himself as an *angel* (Acts 7:30; Exod. 32:34; Josh. 5:13, 15; Judg. 13:20, 22; Exod. 24:9–11) and in the New Testament as a *man* (1 Tim. 3:16, “**Emmanuel**”—“**God with us**” [Matt. 1:23]) and a *Lamb* (Rev. 5:6) and “**an angel of the Lord**” (Gal. 4:14; Acts 27:23).

II. Although Allah told you the truth in the “Gospel” (Sura 3:3), the “Gospel” was revealed to be a LIE according to the *Koran* (p. 129), for the *Koran* was written so you could “remove all the falsehoods” from the Gospel as given by Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John (p. 129, commenting on Sura 3:3). The *falsehoods* in the “Gospel” were that: 1. Christ died for your sins—*which He didn’t*. 2. That He died on the cross—*which He didn’t*. 3. That He was buried and rose from the dead—*which He didn’t*. 4. Christ never died for any sinner because That Christ came and died for sinners—*which He didn’t*.

On the other side of the coin (or the flip side of the record), the apostle Paul declares that if *any* sinner denies the “**gospel**” as defined in 1 Corinthians 15:1–4, he is *CURSED* (Gal. 1:8–10). As a matter of fact, the Holy Scriptures state that if Muhammad’s “guardian angel” (supposedly *Gabriel*) preached what Muhammad preached about the “**gospel**,” God would damn him.

“But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed” (Gal. 1:8).

And this explains why all Palestinian terrorists and “devout” Muslims would kill anyone for attacking “their faith.” *The truth of the New Testament identifies it as the faith of a DEMON.*

“For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also received, how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures;

And that he was buried, and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures” (1 Cor. 15:3–4).

“I marvel that ye are so soon removed from him that called you into the grace of Christ unto another gospel:

Which is not another; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ.

But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed” (Gal. 1:6–8).

“For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ.

And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light.

Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works” (2 Cor. 11:13–15).

Someone is trying to get you to reject God’s righteousness and trust *your own*, thereby guaranteeing you (and your RELIGION) a place in the “smoking area”—*the Lake of Fire.*

And the UN is just one big, happy “Global Family,” is it? Wanna bet?

III. “Surely the TRUE RELIGION with Allah (God) is Islam” (Sura 3:18).

Oh, now I know where we are! Now I recognize the landscape!

Boy, have I ever “heard THAT song before!”

We are looking at “The one, TRUE, Holy, Apostolic Church that Jesus founded!” *I*

know that one.

That was the motto of a super-pious, religious outfit that spent fifteen centuries *murdering Jews, Protestants, Christians, and Muslims!* At's 'em!!

Those are the *religious butchers* (see Serbia, 1942, and the Vatican): Mary and Muhammad. Both of them with “sacred” black stones; both of them putting the words “holy” and “sacred” on a variety of sinners, places, buildings, religious toys and clothing, and God knows what; both of them *killing* their “attackers” right and left; both of them “*defending* the one, true faith”; both of them setting up *imperialistic, totalitarian systems* in order to run all of the nations; and both of them led by egotistical, half-baked, religious QUACKS who *despised Israel, despised the Bible*, hated street preachers, hated the *King James Bible*, and had no more idea of where they would go at death (for certain) than Voltaire, Tom Paine, Bob Ingersoll, Celsus, Porphyry, or Nero.

I know *that* song and dance! Two peas in a pod: same tune, same rhythm, same tempo; heathen, pagan, religious “do-gooders” who are nothing but depraved, unregenerate, agnostic, **BLOODY BUTCHERS**. Is that “too simplistic” for you? Is it “counterproductive?”

It would be for any BLOODY BUTCHER.

IV. Having confounded *five different kingdoms* with each other, we now read that Section Three of the *Koran* will deal with “The Kingdom.” The heading says, “The Kingdom is granted to another People.”

In the bonfire of rubbish which follows, we get a real “blaze of light” which might best be described as “ignorance aflame.”

We find ten paragraphs about an “exchange” of *some* kingdom, but low and behold, when we read the paragraphs we can't locate ANY kingdom! All the paragraphs deal with are Allah's judgments (by FIRE), after death, for anyone who doesn't subscribe to the pile of burning rubbish. The Jews are mentioned but only that they made a plot to kill Muhammad. *There is no KINGDOM granted to anyone in Section 3, which includes Sura 3:25.* “The kingdom,” in that Sura, doesn't refer to ANYTHING.

It's kinda like the fabulous “original Greek text” or “THE Greek text” that you had jammed down your throat at Bob Jones University, PCC, Falwell's schools, BBC, Tennessee Temple, etc., between 1940 and 1999. No such thing exists. “*The Greek text*” is like saying “THE watermelon patch.” There are more than 500 watermelon patches in Alabama.

Suddenly awakening after a lifetime of stupor, M. M. Ali sees that his section heading was a joke, so he puts in a footnote (p. 136) where an *unidentified*, spiritual kingdom—not the kingdom Allah gave to Saul and David—would be given to MUSLIMS! This spiritual kingdom is defined in 1 Peter 2:9 and Exodus 19:5–6. But Muhammad was so stupid he thought that Luke 12:32 was the kingdom that God gave David and Saul: bonkers; bonkers gone “bananas.”

“**The kingdom of God**” is defined as a *spiritual kingdom* (Rom. 14:17). It appears NOWHERE in the Old Testament *after* Adam's fall; there is no “new birth” from Adam to

Jesus Christ for anyone to enter *that* kingdom (John 3) or to SEE it (John 3). What the stupid Muslims did, in order to attack nations (as Joshua) and convert people by killing them (see Josh. 1–9), was to confound the *literal, physical, visible, earthly, “real estate” kingdom of Israel* (1 Kings 1–12) with **“the kingdom of God.”** This was done so the Arabs could claim that Israel had been *replaced*; thus Muslims could get their real estate: all Catholic popes did exactly the same thing, except THEIR church was supposed to have replaced Israel.

Two peas in a pod. *Two bloody killers masquerading as “kingdom builders” and “do-gooders,” while engaged in STEALING what God promised to give the twelve tribes of Jacob.*

Some of the 500 verses that prove all ecumenical Catholics and conservative Muslims are Bible-rejecting *thieves* are these: Job 19:25; Psalm 72:8–10, 105:44, 106:47, 107:39, 2:6–9; Isaiah 2:2–6, 9:7, 11:4, 32:18, 59:20, 60:1–3; Ezekiel 40–44; Hosea 3:5; Micah 4:1–7; Habakkuk 2:14; Jeremiah 23:5–6, 33:15–17; Luke 1:30–33, 18:8, 21:27; Daniel 2:44, 7:24; Haggai 2:22, Zechariah 6:12, 14:4, 6, 16; Zephaniah 3:14–17; Amos 9; etc.

“The kingdom” prophesied in *those passages* has never been on this earth in any way, shape, or form since Christ was resurrected. All five-point Calvinists and Roman Catholics allegorized the verses and pretended they were fulfilled in Acts 2. Not one of them was fulfilled in Acts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or any where *after* that.

Ali’s footnote on p. 136 of the *Koran* is the useless memo of a man who is approaching the Old Testament without a brain in his head. But nonetheless, here the *Koran* goes right on developing the “theme” of Sura 3:25—which didn’t refer to *anything*.

V. The next eleven paragraphs in Sura 3 (see above) are called, “The Last Members of a Chosen Race.” What the *KORAN* is about to do is tell you that the God who chose “Jacob” and the Jews as “His people” didn’t *actually* do that. Actually He chose TWO races of “chosen people” for “His people.” The *last* members of the first “chosen race” were Zechariah and Elizabeth and John the Baptist (pp. 130–140). This means that Paul, **“an Hebrew of the Hebrews”** (Phil. 3:4–5), and Simon Peter, a Jew (see Gal. 2:14), were NOT members of the “chosen race”; they came along AFTER Zechariah, Elizabeth, and John the Baptist. *John was DEAD before Paul got saved and before Simon Peter wrote either of his epistles.*

And you understand these “facts” were in print before M. M. Ali told you the *Koran* (after A.D. 580) was a “correct history” (p. xi)!

Now, to correct the bungling, *anti-historical* fancies of the *Koran*, note that Israel, as a chosen people, were not only a chosen race *before* 1500 B.C., they still are a “chosen race” (Rom. 11:15–28) and will be a chosen race (Rom. 11:12) long *after* the last Muslim and last Arabian has been living under the reign of a *Jewish king on the throne of David in Jerusalem*: see Daniel 7:14, 22, 27; Isaiah 60:12. If any Muslim nation fails to bow the knee to *that* Jewish king and kiss His feet (Psa. 2:6–7), *that Muslim nation will be wiped out*: that includes all “pro-Western” Muslims.

“For the nation and kingdom that will not serve thee shall perish; yea, those nations shall be utterly wasted” (Isa. 60:12).

How is THAT for “the Kingdom is granted to another people” in the *Koran* (see pp. 65–66)? Got their kingdoms screwed up, didn’t they? The *Koran*’s “blaze of light” was nothing but a partial eclipse of the moon by an oil gusher as BLACK as motor oil. Neither Muhammad nor Allah nor M. M. Ali had any more idea of the *historical future* of Islam than Little Red Riding Hood or Alice in Wonderland.

VI. As if this were not enough stupid bungling for one Sura, we find in Sura 3:39 Muhammad saying that the Angel of God *lied* to Zechariah when he said, **“And, behold, thou shalt be dumb, and not able to speak, until the day that these things shall be performed, because thou believest not my words, which shall be fulfilled in their season”** (Luke 1:20).

In Sura 3:39, Muhammad inserts words into Zechariah’s mouth which he did NOT say. Then, to cover up the sins of one of God’s “pure” and “sinless” servants (who never disobeyed God; see pp. 68, 69), the Islamic scholar “explains” thusly: “There is NO DISBELIEF on the part of Zacharias.”

“The holy scriptures” tell you that M. M. Ali is a *bald-faced liar*. Check the references. Assume that *I am the liar* until you have checked out the references. *I can take what I dish out*; it is a Muslim who can’t do it.

In Sura 3:58, you are informed that Jesus Christ, just like Adam, was created from DUST (p. 148), and *God does not love Christians* (footnote 442, Sura 3:56).

“In the name of Allah, the beneficent, the Merciful!”

I’ll raise you \$500,000,000,000 and “call you”; here’s my hand:

“Wherefore God also hath highly exalted him, and given him a name which is above every name:

That at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth;

And that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father” (Phil. 2:9–11).

“Neither is there salvation in any other: for there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved” (Acts 4:12).

“Father, glorify thy name. Then came there a voice from heaven, saying, I have both glorified it, and will glorify it again” (John 12:28).

You see, Muhammad, ole’ boy, we have *the original* for every counterfeit copy you imitated, and our God recorded it more than 400 years before you could *talk*. Don’t try to “woof” us about “authenticity”; we’re always 400 years ahead of where you got started.

VI. Well, “Allah sent messengers for the uplift of Humanity” (p. 324).

Which means? It means nothing at all.

Not *one* member of the human family was born *right* since Genesis 3. Every human who makes up “humanity” is born **“dead in trespasses and sins”** (Eph. 2) as a **“child of disobedience”** and a **“child of wrath.”** Allah’s messengers—at least the “Allah” we find

in the *Koran*—couldn't "uplift" one human being on this earth, let alone "humanity." The New Birth is by the Holy Ghost (John 1:12–13; 3:1–7), and the Holy Ghost is no more Muhammad (see pp. 21–22, 61) than He is Judas Iscariot or Alexander the Great.

Commenting on Sura 7:34, M. M. Ali says (p. 325) that it speaks "in *general terms* of the doom awaiting the opponents of Islam." Can he read? The Sura he *thought* he read said "EVERY NATION" that opposes Islam. You mean like the UN in Kuwait? Did you refer to the six-day war in your "prophecy"? How about Charles Martel kicking your butt out of France at Tours? "Vas you dare, Sharlie?" (American radio; circa 1936–1938).

Red China is going to bow down on your prayer mat and pray toward Mecca, is she, Muhammad? Wanna bet? You shouldn't have a blue chip left after that "in-the-name stuff" (see above).

The Lord God of Jacob included you and your people, Muhammad, in His final *slaughter* of the UN at Jerusalem (Joel 3). You never read that? Well, it was in print 600 years *before your mother was born*. See Zechariah 10–12, 14. He said, "ALL nations," Muhammad, where your Sura said "EVERY nation." Your Sura said every nation *except* Islamic nations; the HOLY Book said *every nation except ISRAEL*. Little oversight there, eh what (Jer. 30:11)?

Try Zechariah 12:2–6, 14:3,12; Revelation 14, 19, 16:14; Nehemiah 4:7, 6:1, and Obadiah if you want to see a real prophet outclass you as far as a thoroughbred German Shepherd outclasses a crippled Chihuahua.

VII. Again, the American and European scholars put the pressure on Muhammad at Sura 7:54, so M. M. Ali has to do flip-flops to get Allah's prophet out of the gummy bears he got stuck in. (Darwin was responsible for this up-to-date "redaction.") Sura 41:9–10 says the creation dealt with "DAYS" ("two days" and "four days"—vss. 9–10, but SIX days in Sura 7:54).

But this is not politically correct in the twenty-first century, in the "scholarly community," composed of monkey men (evolutionists) who subscribe to "geologic ages" (Uniformitarian Geology), so someone has doctored up the Sura when he wrote (or spoke) Sura 7:54. In spite of the Arabic "Yaum" from the Hebrew ("Yom"), you are informed that Sura 41:9–10 *is in error*. The word *days* should have been translated as "periods" because they are not "days" at all; *they are "stages."*

You "mean" they should be according to Huxley, Strata Smith, Haeckel, Einstein, Hawking, Heisenberg, and your nearest funny farm operated by Ph.D.s.

And all of those depraved idiots "in Adam" (Rom. 5) *thought when they got through changing the words of the Holy Spirit*, they had "fixed up" Genesis 1. They fixed nothing, for if you made the third day a "stage" or a "period," you would have to have vegetation growing with NO SUNLIGHT on it for a minimum of 2,000 years and a maximum—all textbooks in all middle schools and high schools in America—of 4,000,000 years.

Such are the ways of "higher education" to which M. M. Ali was exposed, which produced his incubator, hot-house, isolated mentality with the acumen of Barney Google. *Sunlight doesn't show up in Genesis 1 till the fourth day*. If it was an evolutionary "stage,"

not one blade of grass would have been on this planet when the sun appeared. That is modern, Islamic “scholarship.” Muhammad professed to believe what Moses wrote in the Old Testament—“days.”

In one place or the other (Sura 7:54 or Sura 41:9), Muhammad again failed to say what he *meant*; he did NOT mean what he said in at least *one* of those verses.

Shall we try a little “correct history” (p. xi) now that we have seen Allah’s “signs” and “prophecies”?

The following depraved, boggled-down, godless wreck of *Koran* exposition is another excellent demonstration of Muhammad’s total inability to get anything right, due to his dishonesty, stupidity, and lack of humility.

VIII. Sura 7:138 says that when the Jews crossed the Red Sea (Exod. 15), “they came to a people who were devoted to their idols.” This was inserted to alibi the making of Aaron’s golden calf. Aaron’s golden calf came from *Egypt*. You will find a picture of it (with a solar disk between its ears) in any work on archaeology or Egyptology; see Alexander Hislop’s *Two Babylons*, for example.

Why was this tortuous, circuitous, unnecessary trip made? Well, it is because Muhammad *wanted to justify the worship of the golden calf on the grounds of ignorance*; this way he was excusing all of his own race *from their past history of idol worship!* Corrupt motive: one sinner covering up for another sinner. That this is the case is absolutely certain from what follows, for Sura 7:138 claims that Moses said “Surely you are an IGNORANT people!” *That is exactly what Moses did NOT say.* What he said was recorded more than 1,800 years before Muhammad “foamed at the mouth like a camel” (Schaff, *History of the Christian Church*, Vol. IV, p. 168).

What Moses said was exactly what God told him to say: **“Behold, it is a stiffnecked people”** (Exod. 32:9). Moses confirms this in Exodus 34:9: **“IT IS A STIFFNECKED PEOPLE.”**

Muhammad slandered *God* and *Moses* and never blushed while doing it.

He was trying to excuse *Arabian history* up to A.D. 600, so he was claiming—without one shred of information or evidence for saying it—that the Israelites knew nothing about idolatry *until after they crossed the Red Sea*. Then they only became acquainted with it by coming “across *idolatrous nations* in their wanderings in Syria” (p. 346).

Old M. M. Ali is as mad as the Mad Hatter with Alice. Israel never wandered *anywhere* before they built the golden calf: there were no “wanderings” till after Numbers 13, and then no Israelite “wanders” within one hundred miles of Syria. One liar just backed up the liar who had just lied about Moses. But the collusion between these two liars was impossible without “*Allah*” *doing some lying*, because he told Muhammad to write that mess knowing—“Allah is the All Knowing One, etc.”—that the Jews had a warning from their God about idols and images in such a vivid manner (see Exod. 19–20) that they could not possibly have been “ignorant” about it even they had met twenty-five idolatrous nations in “Syria.” *The Jews were idolators in Egypt* (Ezek. 23:8; Josh. 24:23)!

Three great, big liars in collusion against the Author of Genesis, Exodus, and

Numbers. You deserve death if you don't believe them!

To exhibit true "Christian charity," we would have to attribute their lying to THEIR IGNORANCE: that is what Muhammad was doing when he spoke the mess; he was trying to cover up his sins with *ignorance*. We shall do the same for him. We will assume that neither he *nor Allah* nor M. M. Ali are deliberate CROOKS; we will assume that *all three of them* didn't have enough intelligence to read third-grade Arabic.

"Lesson number something or other": when Muhammad or the *Koran* speaks of history, nations, dates, times, places, persons, events, or locations, they never know what they are talking about around seventy-five percent of the time.

IX. Sura 7:160. We are told that each Jewish tribe was a *nation*. They certainly were not. Gad and Reuben were *never* nations; Issachar and Zebulon were *never* nations. None of them had separate "capitols" or separate rulers. Neither did Asher, Naphtali, or Levi. **"Ephraim"** was not referred to as a nation until it absorbed nine other nations after the Kingdom of Israel split (1 Kings 13–14) and became **"the house of Israel"** and **"the house of Judah."** See Hosea 12:1, 8, 7:1, and 11:3. Even then it was called **"Israel"** (2 Kings 10:34), while Benjamin and Judah (*combined*) were called **"Judah"** (2 Kings 12:19). *The twelve tribes were never twelve nations.* Muhammad is just up to his usual tricks: *throwing applesauce all over Mecca.*

X. In Sura 7:161 and 163, the bottom drops out after the roof caved in (see p. 100). (It caved in way back in Sura 17:104 and Sura 7:129.) Here, in Sura 7:161 and 163, we read that "THIS TOWN" shows up (without identification) and then "The town which stood by the sea" shows up (with no identification).

In vain do we search the notes by Dr. M. M. Ali to find out what these towns are. After writing more than 350 pages of explanatory notes (some of them taking more than half a whole page of the *Koran's* text, Ali suddenly pleads the fifth amendment and pretends he doesn't know *what* the "towns" are: neither the town "which stood by the sea" or "THIS town."

M. M. Ali doesn't dare say for some reason: he is frightened out of his wits. He had the guts to notify you that Jesus Christ died a natural death after the cross and Muhammad was the Holy Ghost, etc., but boy! those "towns"!!

Was it Mecca? Medina? Amman? Jerusalem? Hebron? Tel Aviv?

Muhammad is as quiet as the bottom of the Marianna Trench.

Much *later* (see Sura 43:31), Muhammad says, "Why was not this *Qur'an* revealed to a man of importance in the two TOWNS?" But these towns are given as *Makkah* (Mecca) and *Ta'if*. But that would be Mecca and Ta'if. How could the towns in Sura 7 be *those* towns when the name of the Section in the *Koran* which contains Sura 7 is "DIVINE FAVOURS ON ISRAELITES"?? Why, the material in Sura 7:163 was lifted right out of Nehemiah 13:16–19, where *fish dealers* are coming to *Jerusalem* on the Sabbath. Look at the passage in Nehemiah. The Sabbath was given to Israel more than 1,800 years before the *Koran* was produced, and Nehemiah handled the problem ("Israelites' transgression," Section 21). But in the monstrous imagination of Muhammad, the transgressors were not

the men from Tyre who brought the fish on the Sabbath, or even the Jews who bought them. In his twisted dementia, Muhammad *pretended* that God tested the Jews at “Ela” by *having the fish come up near the surface on the Sabbath* so the Jews would be tempted to go fishing on the Sabbath. This ridiculous expansion of a fairy tale is that Sunday through Friday the fish stayed on the bottom of the ocean (p. 354).

Although M. M. Ali doesn’t dare hazard a guess about what “THIS town” is, he does conjecture that *perhaps* the “town by the sea” *might* be Ela. Muhammad, being unable to read, couldn’t have told you *what* the name of the place was if he had seen it in print. While he was dictating, Allah refused to help him out, so he left the “town” *anonymous*.

Why? Simple; easy as a piece of cake (American, circa 1970–1990).

In a section on Israelites (Section 20, “DIVINE FAVOURS ON ISRAELITES”), Allah and Muhammad speaking together (“We”) “said to THEM, Dwell in THIS TOWN and eat from it whence *you wish*....”

Not one note from M. M. Ali identifies the town. It was already identified so clearly that to name it would have been superfluous. “*This town*” is JERUSALEM. But in 1999, when this issue of the *Koran* was published, no Palestinian or Arab (or any Muslim anywhere) would have the *courage* to name the town, as this would be “politically incorrect.” It would give you “bad press” with Mary, the Vatican, the EU, the USA, the Arab nations, and the UN itself. *It would show the Mosque of Omar was built on someone else’s real estate.*

Cowardice is epidemic (Gen. George Patton).

The unknown “town,” with no name, was “**the city of the GREAT KING**” (Matt. 5:35), where God Almighty—not Allah, by a pig’s tail—chose to set *His King* (Psa. 2) and set Him on a *Jewish throne* (Luke 1) to reign over Iraq, Iran, Saudia Arabia, Trans-Jordan, Syria, Afghanistan, Turkey, Pakistan, Lebanon, Egypt, Libya, Morocco, and India.

“For the nation and kingdom that will not serve thee shall perish; yea, those nations shall be utterly wasted” (Isa. 60:12).

“For the LORD most high is terrible; he is a great King over all the earth.

He shall subdue the people under us, and the nations under our feet.

He shall choose our inheritance for us, the excellency of Jacob whom he loved. Selah” (Psa. 47:2–4).

Imagine a “town” like THAT being so insignificant that a Doctor of Law in Islam didn’t dare *name it!*

In Sura 7, in the *Koran*, it has no name. Ali knew the name of that town as well as Muhammad and Arafat knew it. *He knew the name of that town as well as any Jewish Rabbi who lives there now.* But he was just like his god and his “prophet.” This is why “radical stereotypes” are usually more accurate than the “Humanities” and the “Social Studies.” Muslims have certain *characteristic racial traits*. You learn them from studying Muhammad and the *Koran*. Devout religious Muslims are like their “prophet” who couldn’t prophesy “diddley-squat” (American, Southern: circa 1920–1970).

They are two-faced pretenders, as smooth, pious, and as slick as a greased pig on ice. They are crafty as a professional assassin and as *anti-biblical* as the Roman Catholic Church.

XI. With the history of Israel for 2,000 years right in front of his face and with full knowledge of Israel's sin and dispersion (Hosea 3:4; Matt. 24:2; 1 Thess. 2:16), which was the *cause* of their punishment, Muhammad commits the SAME SIN (John 1:11) and then alibis it by saying that *no sinner can commit a sin against God!* I.e., it is perfectly and morally acceptable to REJECT what God said about anything (Gen. 3:1); this action would not be AGAINST God ("Allah"). **"That they teach you not to do after all their abominations, which they have done unto their gods; so should ye sin against the LORD your God"** (Deut. 20:18).

Don't you believe a word I say. Check the references and then write a letter to M. M. Ali so that in his next edition of the *Koran* he can "explain" and "clear up" that godless Sura (Sura 4:111). I'm sure that old fakir can rig up a good alibi so the verse doesn't *mean* what it says. That was his lifetime occupation.

I know of an Old Testament Jewish priest (Eli) who knew more about God and sin than Muhammad knew in A.D. 600, after the truth about sins against "Allah" (or God) *had been in circulation 1,600 years*. Look at 1 Samuel 2:25. *Look at it*. There is evidence from 1000 B.C. that the *Koran* is presenting a false god whose *moral standards* are so low that he wouldn't even hold a sinner responsible for sinning against him. In view of 114 chapters for "do-gooders" trying to work their way to Paradise by Muhammad's moral standards, the whole thing is just too pitiful for words.

Here is blasphemous nonsense recorded as a book that "explains all obscurities" (p. xi), because it is "a perfect revelation of Divine Will" (p. xi) and an "unparalleled spiritual force" (p. vi, vii) which casts "a blaze of light on all the great questions" (p. ix), and it was *corrected* by the Holy Ghost *more than 1,000 years before Jesus Christ showed up on this earth*.

XII. This archaic, *outdated*, shallow, obscene "revelation" says that, while you cannot sin against *God*, you can safely kill and mutilate *your* enemies if they "attack" *you* (Sura 5:33). The word "you" here, in practice, applies to anyone who attacks you or your country or your city or your family or your "Bible" or your "god" or your prophet or your FEELINGS, or your *opinions*. It is quite "broad": as broad as the broad way to Hell (Matt. 7:13–14). If any "enemy" of Allah "makes mischief" in your land—boy, look what a field that "broad" baby covers!!—they not only can be killed, but you can also cut off their hands and feet (on opposite sides) or crucify them (Sura 5:33).

Fortunately for "Allah," ole' M. M. Ali is with us to quickly explain that Sura 5:33 fails to *say* what it *means* and fails to *mean* what it *says* in TWO places, although the verse is only eleven and one-fourth lines long.

"The words here imply *originally*..." Ah yes, you jackleg, you two-faced, double-tongued, equivocating Jesuit! *Boy, have we met YOU before!*—"the opponents of Israel who waged war on the Muslims, etc." No, sonny, that is not in the *Koran*: that is in *your notes* on the *Koran*. So M. M. crawfishes backwards and admits that you can mutilate and

crucify ANY murderer: “the words are general and include ALL cases of murder” (p. 250).

Which means, David, Moses, Saul, etc., should have been crucified (see above).

Nuts. Pecan nuts. Macadamia nuts. English walnuts. Hazelnuts. Cashew nuts. And peanuts.

“The way of Allah” is *armed* retaliation against anyone whom you THINK has attacked *you*. If the USA retaliated for the terrorism in September (2001) they would be doing business “in the way of Allah.” If any Jewish Stern gang or Irgun or Hagana or IDF *retaliated* for fifty years of terrorism carried on by “Palestinians” in Israel, it would be “in the way of Allah.” Why Allah would set up one standard of vengeance for Muslims and not allow anyone else to go by that standard is just too funny to discuss. The God of the Old Testament commands heathen nations to kill His own people (Jer. 1–20, 52) when they live like sinners enjoy living. That is the burden of every prophet from Isaiah to Malachi. (That is 414 pages.)

Any reader of the HOLY Bible (not the *Koran*) is aware of the fact that the God of the Holy Scriptures is well able to take care of His own honor and glory without getting some sinner to do His killing for Him. It is God who burns the Sodomites (Gen. 19–20) without *using* any “disciple.” It is God who wipes out the population of this earth (Gen. 6–8) without *using* any sinner to do His killing for Him. Muhammad’s “Allah” is always defenseless. He doesn’t have enough power to kill anyone by himself: he needs “church members” to do his killing for him.

If a man messes with God’s holy things (2 Sam. 6:7), God “decks him.” If a man doesn’t give God the glory (Acts 12:23), God “whacks” him. If a Christian lies to God (no Muslim can sin against his god!!), as Ananias and Saphira did (Acts 5:1–7), they “pop off.” Moses doesn’t have to use a sword, spear, or shield to kill any of “Allah’s” enemies (Exod. 15). The Lord drops them right and left every time they “cause mischief” (see Num. 16, 21:6).

Comparing the two records (the *Koran* and the *Holy Scriptures*), it is self-evident that the God of Jacob, the father of Jesus Christ (Psa. 2) is OMNIPOTENT: *all powerful*. “Allah” has a problem with *defending himself*; he has to hire flunkies to do his “dirty work” for him. Gideon’s daddy, more than 1,500 years before the *Koran* was written, knew that a god who couldn’t protect his own honor (see Judg. 6:30) wasn’t a god at all: *he was a dummy*, and if any dummy was a big enough dummy to want to kill someone for “attacking” their god (Judg. 6:31), *he ought to be killed “hissself.”*

Muhammad was simply pretending that the orders given the nation of Israel (in regards to killing: Exod. 34:10–17; Josh. 10:28, 32, 37, 39) *were given to HIM instead of Moses* (Deut. 7:1–5) *and Israel*.

Counterfeit. Counterfeit national history. Counterfeit *battle orders*. Counterfeit *prophet*. And counterfeit “god.” They are all to be found in a *counterfeit* “Bible.”

The Muslim's "Holy War" Against the Koran

So far, our "Holy" *Koran* has not struck one clear, *honest* note of Divine revelation, nor has it given any evidence that *one* revelation of any kind (that is true) found in it was an advanced revelation over a Book written 500 years before it showed up. "Allah" is a *total blank* when it comes to regeneration, adoption, imputed righteousness, details of life after death, future history on earth, and the eight covenants listed (and described in detail) in the Bible. He doesn't give one *hint* on how to win any sinner to *any* God. Examples of soulwinning and personal work are found throughout the Bible (see Prov. 11:30; Dan. 12:3) where men are turned to belief in the true God of the universe through *conversations* (John 3–4; Mark 12:29–34) and through *preaching* (John 8:16–30; Acts 10, 17, 26, etc.). Men are **SAVED** through the "foolishness of **PREACHING**" (1 Cor. 1:21), through *personal work* (Acts 8; John 1:40–41), and through *prayer* (Luke 22:31–32); not by threats of death *coming from ARMED killers*.

The New Testament gives a vivid and faithful portrait of Muhammad and ALL of his followers. It doesn't "mince words" like M. M. Ali. It lays all of the cards "on the table; 52, face up." According to the New Testament, the ragheads "bearing the standard of truth" as the "best nation" to replace Israel (see pp. 130–131) are:

1. "False witnesses" (1 Cor. 15:15).
2. Of all men, "**most miserable**" (1 Cor. 15:19).
3. Sinners, whose faith is in "**vain**" (1 Cor. 15:14).
4. Sinners, whose life-long teachings and life-long beliefs are in vain (1 Cor. 15:14).
5. Sinners, who died **IN** their sins (1 Cor. 15:17), *exactly like the scribes and Pharisees* (John 8:40–48).

Are you checking these references? Don't you think you had better, in case I might be slandering "Allah and his prophet"?

6. Followers of a fakir who was not only a false prophet but was damned as soon as he began to dictate, and if an ANGEL had given him the material (Gal. 1:8), the *angel* would have been damned too.

"Which is not another; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ.

But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed.

As we said before, so say I now again, If any man preach any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed" (Gal. 1:7–9).

Muhammad told all his followers that Jesus Christ didn't die for anybody (Sura 4:157).

There are *seventy words* in that paragraph, but when a typical, devout Muslim attempts to explain it, it takes him more than 400 words (p. 231) to do it. M. M. Ali swears by the

beard of Muhammad that Christ only “appeared” to be dead to...to whom? Well, bless my soul, Jesus Christ, after being nearly flayed alive (whipped), had enough presence of mind to get up off his “slab” in Joseph’s tomb (John 20:5–7), *unwrap* His grave clothes (from inside them!), and then neatly *fold up His face napkin* (John 5:7), before pushing back a stone that would take two men to move. Or else He instructed His disciples to do it (*before He passed out!*), and they had no trouble beating up sixteen Roman Legionnaires (see Matt. 28:4–15; Acts 12:4) in order to play “body snatcher.”

In the nineteenth century, all Liberals (unsaved Bible critics) called that “*The Swoon Theory.*” Muhammad gobbled it up like a cow going after black strap molasses.

This Mickey Mouse theory becomes “**the HOLY scriptures**” in the “Holy” *Koran*.

It is about as “holy” as Mary’s “milk,” which Pope Boniface kept in the papal residence (1294–1303). (See Schaff’s *History of the Christian Church*, Vol. VI, p. 22).

You see, to erect this ghastly, godless, irrational, *stupid* mess, Muhammad had to usurp the authority of a Roman *king* (Pilate), a Christian *medical doctor* (Luke), a Jewish *Rabbi* (Nicodemus), a wealthy Jew (Joseph of Arimathea), a *professional Roman infantryman* (John 19:33–34), and the victim Himself: *Jesus Christ* (John 20:27; Rev. 1:18).

We know now how “courts of law” operate in Islam!

You must accept the witness of ONE man to an historical event *who wasn’t there and saw nothing*, against the testimony of SIX eyewitnesses.

But! Only the *Koran* can give you a “correct history” of events (p. xi)!

Sure sonny; get back in your playpen and play with your dollies.

That’s your “Holy” *Koran*. That is IT. (*Read it*. Read the footnotes on p. 232, no. 650 on Sura 4:159.) *Don’t you believe a word I say!* Check ‘em out, kid. You can’t be a blind blockhead all your life. See what the best scholars in Islam have to say about *six eyewitnesses lying*: note 436 and 437 on page 147, and note 645 on page 231. Christ died of old age (Sura 3:54). He didn’t die in A.D. 33, He died in....? Agh, com’on, “Allah.” You mean you don’t know? And you are the “All knowing One,” are you? Oh, I see! You just didn’t care to *reveal* it, did you, when you knew that it would be THE MAIN FUNDAMENTAL OF THE CHRISTIAN FAITH? Paul said that fundamental was “**THE GOSPEL**” and the only gospel to be preached in this age (Gal. 1:8; 1 Cor. 15:1–4); the death of Christ for SINS, His burial, and His resurrection.

And you don’t know *when* He was buried, Allah? How about you, raghead? Do YOU know *when* He was buried or even *when* He died?

Yeah? *When?* In 1492, 1776? On Muhammad’s birthday?

That is your *Koran*. *That is IT?*

A. I think, at this juncture, it might be a good time to watch the whole Muslim mess cave in like a berry basket under an eighteen-wheeler. Here, staring you in the face, is Sura 5:21 and 7:161 that declare (without M. M. Ali’s explanations) *that the land of Palestine belongs to the twelve tribes of JACOB*.

No Muslim or Arab has any business in that land except as a *visitor*, because the land of Palestine was never the land of “Palestinians”—not in either Testament or history since A.D. 33. Palestine is “**the land of Canaan**” according to thirty passages in the Old Testament, and its inhabitants are called “**Canaanites**” forty times in the Old Testament.

The only kingdom or *State* that ever existed in the “**land of Canaan,**” after thirty-three of its kings were slaughtered (Josh. 12), was a Jewish State—the kingdoms of Israel and Judah (after Solomon) and Israel alone (united before Rehoboam).

All genuine “PALESTINIANS” since 1400 B.C. are JEWS. Assyrians, Babylonians, Persians, and Greeks conquered Palestine; but *none of them set up a kingdom or a State there*. The Romans had it until they were replaced by the Turks, and the Turks *never put up any kingdom or State there*; neither did the British when they took it from the Turks (1917). That is history, and if Muslim history doesn’t match *that* history, Muslim history is just like Muhammad’s book: philosophical and religious *fairy tales*.

The “Palestinians” who were *in Palestine* when the Balfour Declaration came into effect were Germans, Turks, Arabs, Egyptians, Jews, Catholics, Frenchmen, and Englishmen.

What the Bible calls God’s “**holy hill**” or His “**holy mountain**” is MT. ZION IN JERUSALEM (Joel 2:1; Isa. 57:13; Psa. 3:4, 43:3, 99:9; Dan. 9:16; Obadiah 16; Zech. 8:3, etc.). The *Koran* makes it clear that “Allah” never gave *one foot* of that land to Muhammad, Nassar, Arafat, Sadat, Hussein, or any of their friends, relatives, or ancestors. That should put the capstone on a Muslim pyramid of such gigantic confusion that, alongside it, the tower of Babel would look like the Washington Monument, for it means—and if you ever get THIS figured out you will have to have an IQ of 400!—that, *since* 1948, every Muslim in the Middle East has been engaged in a *Jihad* (a “Holy War” in “the way of Allah”) AGAINST *Allah, Muhammad, and the Koran*: Muhammad dictated Sura 5:21. *It is a quotation of Moses*.

According to Nassar, Arafat, Saddam Hussein, The Muslim Brotherhood, Jund Allah, and the PLO, it was *Allah* who gave those instructions to Moses: “Enter the Holy Land which ALLAH has ORDAINED for you.”

B. In the *Koran*, anyone who “despises the teachings of Muhammad and Allah” or ignores the *Koran* is consigned to HELL (Sura 5:86).

That means that if any Muslim claimed that the “**land of Canaan**” (Palestine) belongs to Muslims he was consigned to HELL (Sura 5:86), because he had despised a “HOLY” book dictated by a SINLESS prophet (see p. 69).

Let’s see you invent a whopper of a tale like *that* one!

Sura 17:104 confirms the truth that the land of Palestine is the homeland of *the Jews*. This Sura says that Allah gave Moses signs and then said “to the children of Israel [not Ishmael!] after him. ‘Abide in THE LAND’”! If any Muslim tried to take a foot of that land from Israel he would be fighting *against Allah*, for M. M. Ali tells us plainly that “by THE LAND is MEANT the land promised to the *Israelites*...the HOLY LAND” (p. 564, note 1472 under Sura 17:104. See also Sura 7:129, p. 344.).

The present Palestinian Intifada is actually a “Holy” war against *Allah* and the *Koran*; it is being conducted by an *Egyptian* (Arafat) with a Catholic wife, courtesy of the UN and the Vatican.

From 1921 to 2001, the Muslims have declared *thirteen times*—in public—that their *goal* is to drive every Israelite in Palestine out of his own HOLY LAND that Allah promised to him! Let’s see you beat THAT for obscene blasphemy on the level of a moron. How is THAT for a “madhouse” in a generation that claims to be “*modern man*”?!

That piece of land was promised Abraham before 1800 B.C. (Gen. 15); and it includes Jordan, Trans-Jordan, (Reuben, Gad, and the half tribe of Manasseh), the east and west “Banks,” the Golan Heights, all of Galilee and Lebanon, *all of Syria up to the Euphrates River, northeast of Damascus* (Josh. 22:1, 7, 10; 1 Kings 4:20–21), *and all of Sinai to the Nile* (1 Kings 4:20–21).

If any Muslim says that *that* land belongs to “Palestinians” or “Muslims,” he has *blasphemed* the “Holy” *Koran* and ridiculed “Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful!” All *conservative, democratic, moderate* Muslims take that position.

The Catholic Popes say it belongs to Muslim “Palestinians.”

So said Marilyn Albright (Aunt Sam’s ambassador to the United Nuts); (*Israel News Digest*, Oct, 1990, p. 2).

So said *Slick Willie Clinton*, and so say the senators in Congress.

They think a Moslem “Jihad” against the God of the Muslims (and his prophet and his “Holy” Book) is a sane operation. *The UN is a funny farm run by the inmates*. According to all news media outlets, when a minor child gets “martyred,” while connected with a killing franchise of five terrorist organizations (all of them *Muslim* organizations), he goes right into Paradise. Won’t he be a little shocked when he finds out that his mother and his father and all of his devout Muslim teachers had tricked him *into going to Hell*? The *children* that Arafat has been training in military summer camps since 1964 are trained to use grenades, bombs, and automatic rifles in order to OVERTHROW WHAT MUHAMMAD TAUGHT IN THE HOLY KORAN!

Can you top *that* for crazy nuttiness? I don’t think so.

Of course, someone is bound to try real hard to explain this bedlam of nonsense. If he does, he may be encouraged to know that he has had more than one hundred “role models” go ahead of him who can explain it perfectly. You see, every Catholic Pope since A.D. 500 claimed that “Allah” (the Muslim name for God) changed his mind about that “Promised Land” bit and reneged on an oath that He had sworn to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (see Psa. 105:10). *You will find that they taught this at Bob Jones University back in the 1960s*. You see, Bob Jones Jr. and Bob Jones III all recommended *The Amplified Version of the New Testament*, published by the publishers who produced two gods in the NASV (“The Lockman Foundation”), and it tells you in 1 Thessalonians 2:16 that God (“Allah” to a Muslim) is ALL THROUGH WITH THE NATION OF ISRAEL, *PERMANENTLY*.

Naturally, the Lockman Foundation had to change the *King James* text, which had read the same for more than 300 years, so that you could see what Paul “*really meant*” (!).

Hello, M. M. Ali! What are you doing on *the faculty at Bob Jones University??*

This is the “conceit” and the “ignorance” about which Paul warned the Gentile nations back in A.D. 60 (Rom. 11:26). *They ignored the warning.* The European Gentiles swore that the Roman Catholic political hierarchy *replaced Israel* not only as a church but as a STATE—a totalitarian state with dictatorial designs over every nation on this earth. All dictators in the West were *Roman Catholics* (Castro, Mussolini, Charlemagne, Napoleon, Tito, Franco, Hitler, Noreiga, Baptiste, Allende, Marcos, et al.) while those of the East were *Eastern Orthodox* (Stalin, Lenin, et al.).

Not *one* Roman Catholic who ever lived checked Galatians 3:16–17 with Romans 4:9–10, 13, 15 and Genesis 15. The covenant that God made with Abraham dealt with REAL ESTATE—not salvation. It was an *unconditional covenant*, totally unlike the covenant God made in Exodus 19:1–5 and Deuteronomy 29:17–26, 28:15–40) with the nation of Israel (Deut. 31:16–19).

Individuals *within* the nation of Israel have been going to Hell (Num. 16:20–34) before (Luke 16:19–23) and after they rejected Christ (Matt. 8:11–12).

In every case, a *condition* was attached to their spiritual condition and their political and national condition. *There was not ONE condition in Abraham’s case*, because he was *asleep* and could not respond like the nation responded in Exodus 19:8. Moral: no Catholic or Muslim scholar who ever breathed was qualified to give an opinion where it dealt with Israel or Israelites and REAL ESTATE.

Catholics and Muslims all go into such questions blindfolded and crippled too high for crutches. The *unconditional* REAL ESTATE deal was *eternal* and *everlasting*; see Psalm 105:8–11 and Genesis 17:4–8. No Catholic believes that; neither does any Muslim; neither does the UN. You see, Mary and Muhammad placed all of their money on a *conditional covenant* with a people so they could have an alibi to steal the *real estate* that God promised to those people via Abraham—not via Moses. It was a piece of real estate in the Middle East now called “Palestine” (Exod. 15:14). All Popes and Muslims bet their souls (literally), as well as their lives, on the vain and ridiculous hope that God would never fulfill His promise that He *swore* He would eventually fulfill (Psa. 105:9), in spite of the intervening “law.” They both conveniently forgot that GRACE (no conditions attached, Gen. 15:6, 15:12) *PRECEDED* the Law, so the Law could not undo anything that God said between Genesis 1 and Genesis 15.

No Catholic or Muslim scholar can understand what I just said, for neither knows anything but GRACE as defined in **“the gospel of the GRACE of God”** (Acts 20:24). They are, one hundred percent, BIBLICAL ILLITERATES.

This means that in addition to being a Holy Book to show a man how to get to God, the *Holy Bible* is also a Book written to strip a self-righteous “do-gooder” from his last shred of **“filthy rags”** (Isa. 64:6) and make him appear for what he actually is: a Bible-rejecting, flesh-loving, self-righteous Pharisee *without the grace that God gave to an alley cat* (John 15:22–23).

Muhammad messed with that Book; in so doing, he destroyed more than 900,000,000 self-righteous sinners just like *himself*. When Muhammad and Mary decided to steal

Abraham's *land* and divide it up for *themselves* they set up a United Nations "Assembly," in 2002, for total destruction.

"Therefore wait ye upon me, saith the LORD, until the day that I rise up to the prey: for my determination is to gather the nations, that I may assemble the kingdoms, to pour upon them mine indignation, even all my fierce anger: for all the earth shall be devoured with the fire of my jealousy" (Zeph. 3:8).

"Proclaim ye this among the Gentiles; Prepare war, wake up the mighty men, let all the men of war draw near; let them come up:

Beat your plowshares into swords, and your pruninghooks into spears: let the weak say, I am strong.

Assemble yourselves, and come, all ye heathen, and gather yourselves together round about: thither cause thy mighty ones to come down, O LORD.

Let the heathen be wakened, and come up to the valley of Jehoshaphat: for there will I sit to judge all the heathen round about.

Put ye in the sickle, for the harvest is ripe: come, get you down; for the press is full, the fats overflow; for their wickedness is great.

Multitudes, multitudes in the valley of decision: for the day of the LORD is near in the valley of decision.

The sun and the moon shall be darkened, and the stars shall withdraw their shining.

The LORD also shall roar out of Zion, and utter his voice from Jerusalem; and the heavens and the earth shall shake: but the LORD will be the hope of his people, and the strength of the children of Israel.

So shall ye know that I am the LORD your God dwelling in Zion, my holy mountain: then shall Jerusalem be holy, and there shall no strangers pass through her any more" (Joel 3:9–17).

Before they could do this, God forced them to perjure themselves. The Vatican (Mary") had to deny *the Christian Scriptures* which the Catholics themselves take credit for preserving and interpreting; and Muhammad had to damn his soul by encouraging his people to deny the teachings of the *Holy Koran*, which he *himself* had dictated (see above).

Double damnation, *self-inflicted*. Spiritual suicide while praying, giving alms, twiddling beads, attending masses, taking sacraments, kneeling on prayer mats, abstaining from pork and wine, observing Christmas, Easter, and Ramadan—the whole humanistic, *godless*, super-pious, empty, vain, *religious*, Cainite mess that has marked "do-gooders" and "kingdom builders" since Cain built his first city (Gen. 4).

Mary adopted a *Holy Bible* which denied everything her church believed and taught about Israel. Arafat adopted a *Holy Koran* which denied everything his religion believed or taught about Israel. *Paul* told you the truth (Rom. 9, 11), *John* told you the truth (Rev. 19–20), *Isaiah* and *Jeremiah* told you the truth (Isa. 59–60; Jer. 30–33), and *Ezekiel* told

you the truth (Ezek. 44–48). No moderate, progressive Muslim believed any of them.

By swapping Isaiah, Jeremiah, Paul, Ezekiel, and John for Muhammad and “Mary” (the Vatican), the UN is now an *international madhouse* where the USA is retaliating for “terrorism,” after *forbidding Israel (for sixty years) to retaliate, for it might “hurt the peace process.”* Now you have a *Jihad* (a Holy War) by Islam against its own “Bible” and Allah’s prophet who dictated it!

“Thirty days hath September, April, June, and no wonder; all the rest eat peanut butter; except Grandma and she rides a bicycle.”

“Twinkle, twinkle, little bat, how I wonder what you’re at. Like a Barbie doll in a pie, Miller ‘light’ will light the sky!”

“As for the man and woman addicted to theft, cut off their hands as a punishment... from Allah” (Sura 5:38).

Who goes first, Arafat or Nelson Mandela? Idi Amin or Saddam Hussein?

One has been stealing one piece of Jewish land at a time by “summit talks,” and another one stole a whole civilization and gave it to a Communist African Congress which proceeded to destroy the economy, the social life, the security of the average citizen, the income and the culture of an entire country, and took it back *200 years* in less than *five* years.

C. Do good Muslims believed in hacking your hands off? The Sura says they do. But wait! **“judge not, that ye be not judged.”** Again, Allah failed to tell you what he *meant!* What he MEANT was “the cutting off of hands may be taken METAPHORICALLY or literally”! And it *could* mean “you were just restraining the thief by imprisonment.” That is exactly what “Allah” did NOT say; *he said what he said he said.*

Our Islam scholar, who is dedicated to purifying a “Holy” Book, is now “up a creek without a paddle.” For in the same Sura (Sura 5:33), you are *again* cutting off someone’s hands but they are not those of a THIEF. No thief is mentioned in Sura 5:33. Ali borrowed the word “imprisoned” from that verse (vs. 33) to explain verse 38. It wasn’t in verse 38, and it explained nothing, because *there was no THIEF in verse 33.*

Face it; M. M. Ali is just as crooked as the book about which he is talking, or worse.

D. “O you who believe, take not the Jews and the Christians for friends!” (Sura 5:51).

Hey, M. M. Ali! Would you polish *that* up for us a little so it doesn’t actually say that? Of course he will. M. M. Ali is always anxious to cooperate. What Muhammad *wanted* to say, that he *couldn’t* say, was that the word “friends,” here, actually *meant* military allies at war—“that is *exactly* what the Qur’an says here” (note 706, p. 257).

No, you miserable, lying cur; *that is exactly what the Koran did NOT say.*

It said what it *said*, and what YOU said it said (exactly) is exactly *what it did NOT say.* At least not to anyone who can read third-grade English or third-grade Arabic.

E. According to Muhammad, Jesus Christ said to the Jews, “O Children of Israel, serve Allah, my LORD and your LORD” (Sura 5:72).

Really? He said *that*, did He? Where?

Jesus said THAT to the children of Israel, did He? *Chapter and verse?*

Don't be "redick" (American, circa 1940). You're not dealing with sane people or honest people; you are dealing with a pack of hyenas with the ethical standards of a jackal when it comes to *telling the truth*. *There is not one version of the New Testament, in any language or in any edition or revision in any language, where Jesus said anything like that to the children of Israel.*

Having caught his tongue (and his pen) in the "ringer," again, Ali comes out with Matthew 4:10 as a prooftext (p. 263) for his spurious quotation. This shows you not only the gall but the unbelievable stupidity of Islamic scholars. Matthew 4:10 wasn't spoken to *any Jew*: there wasn't an *Israelite* within 500 yards of Jesus Christ when He spoke Matthew 4:10. Matthew 4:10 was addressed TO SATAN.

Guess who is in charge of M. M. Ali's pen! But as far as that goes, who was dumb enough to *record* Muhammad when he made the fool statement?

F. You wouldn't have to read four verses beyond Sura 5:72 to see how Satan got ahold of Muhammad's tongue when he began to invent "scripture" *and put words in Christ's mouth that never were there*. Here, in Sura 5:75, we learn that "Both Jesus and his mother were ORDINARY MORTALS...as Jesus is clearly spoken of as eating food while alive, He could not remain alive without food, and this verse NEGATIVES the allegation that Jesus IS STILL ALIVE" (p. 264, note 724).

When did He die (see p. 100)?

When did He quit eating? He ate AFTER the resurrection (Luke 24:42–43)—to do *what*? Stay alive? Stay alive in a body with *no blood* in it (Luke 24:39; John 19:34; Gen. 2:23; 1 Cor. 15:50)??

Why, Muhammad was a blank. He didn't even know body chemistry, Adam's state compared with Christ's state, the loss of human blood at the Rapture, or the difference between God's blood (Acts 20:28) and Mary's blood.

If Jesus Christ had Mary's blood or Joseph's blood in Him, he rotted in the tomb of Joseph of Arimathea.

Jesus Christ, an "ordinary mortal"? Are you trying to be funny? Here is a dead man whose birthday is celebrated more than 2,000,000 times a day (and has been for more than twenty centuries). Every time a driver's license is issued, or a marriage license or a hunting license or a fishing license, one date shows up: the number of years since the birth of an "ordinary" dead man. Five hundred daily newspapers in America have to print the date of His birth *every day*; every weekly and monthly magazine in America has to record it on every issue; and not one real estate deal, not one court order, not one jail sentence, not one Army, Navy, or Air Force enlistment, not one will made out or one car sale can take place without *His birthday* being stamped on the document.

Every book in the Library of Congress (23,000,000 of them) published since 1800 has His birthday stamped on it: 1800, 1900, 2000. Two thousand and WHAT? Why, that's easy; it has to be A.D. 2000 or 2000 B.C. (Simple, ain't it?) A.D.—"In the year of our

Lord.” B.C.—“Before Christ.” (Altering A.D. to C.E. doesn’t get rid of the Lord; it just appeals to Atheists and Jews.)

An “ordinary human being,” eh, Muhammad? You mean like YOU, you lying rascal! *You’re* the one who stayed in the dirt. *Your body is still there.* That’s why none of your followers sing about *you*: you are the dead men; not Christ. **“He is not here: for he is risen, AS HE SAID”** (Matt. 28:6).

[I have heard several Muslims say that Jesus did not die anywhere; he was *raptured* with His mother Mary. But the *Koran* said he DIED (p. 264, footnote 724; see *Who Is This Allah?*), Moshay, p. 120].

“Hey deah, Muhammad, you raghead, you! Tell me sumthin’ man, clue me in, baby! Like, *when* did He die, man; tell me ‘bout it!”

Lockjaw. Allah, in his “Holy” *Koran*, is as silent as a turkey farm on Thanksgiving afternoon. That is the *Koran*—“The *greatest* spiritual force in the world” that answers “*all* of mankind’s great questions” (p. 9). *It couldn’t even answer one small question.*

I know of *four eyewitnesses* who knew *when* Jesus Christ died, *where* He died, *how* He died, and *where* He was *taken* after He died. I know of an addition *500 eyewitnesses* that saw Him *after* He arose from the dead (1 Cor. 15).

Having believed these witnesses, I now have some silly Bedouin camel jockey telling me that if I “disbelieve and reject” the *Koran’s* version of Christ’s death that “such are companions of the FLAMING FIRE” (Sura 5:86).

Oh, run on and play with yourself, sonny. “*Children should be seen and not heard.*”

The Historical Accuracy of Allah's “Signs” and Prophecies

We have now waded through 600 verses in the 114 chapters (Suras) of the “Holy” *Qur’an*—the alleged “sacred scriptures” for several million Muslims. It should be more than apparent, by now, that to attach the word “HOLY” to such a scrabbled pile of religious hot air—*just to get an alibi to kill someone*—is to confess that you yourself are unholy and your own “god” has **“come short of the glory of God”** (Rom. 3:23) by several million miles. A God who doesn’t require an *eternal payment* for a sin against Himself (when He professes to be ETERNAL) is no more “holy” than “holy cow” or “holy cats” or “holy mackerel.”

The text of the *Koran* turns out to be little more than the twisted machinations of *one man’s imagination*, which he has polluted beyond recovery with all kinds of dim-witted half-truths extracted from the Jewish Old Testament. Some epileptic polygamist, who wanted to pretend he was as pure and sinless as David, Moses, and Jesus Christ (see p. 39), converted the Lord Jesus into an *ordinary sinner*; and although he made Him as sinless as Moses, Abraham, and David (p. 69), he did call Him a *bald-faced liar* every time He spoke of His own death and resurrection (see p. 40).

Get ahold of yourself; **“do thyself no harm: for we are all here!”**

Go READ the references instead of shaking and foaming at the mouth like a *mad dog*.

The madness continues without a let up.

I. “When the disciples said: “O Jesus, son of Mary, is thy Lord able to send down food to us from heaven?” (Sura 5:112).

Well, the disciples said that to Jesus right after Yankee Stadium shut down and Rome quit getting tax write-offs from it. *You remember THAT chapter and verse, don’t you?*

Certainly; that is III Hezilluia 13:666.

“Son of MARY?” Why, that is a *Roman Catholic* expression used by all Catholics throughout the Dark Ages. Jesus was never called the “Son of Mary” anywhere in *either* Testament. The *Koran* is a joke book. Allah and Muhammad make up quotations as they go, manufacturing them out of Pixie dust.

II. “And when Allah will say, O Jesus, son of Mary, didst thou say to men, Take me and my MOTHER for two gods besides Allah? He [Jesus] WILL say: Glory be to thee [ALLAH]” (Sura 5:116).

Why, you cockeyed screwball! Jesus never referred to Mary as His “mother” the entire thirty-three and one-half years He was on this earth.

He said that *his disciples* were His **“mother”** and His **“brethren”** (Matt. 12:46–50).

“And it came to pass, as he spake these things, a certain woman of the company lifted up her voice, and said unto him, Blessed is the womb that bare thee, and the paps which thou hast sucked.

But he said, Yea rather, blessed are they that hear the word of God, and keep it”
(Luke 11:27–28).

He never recognized Mary as His “mother.” He always referred to Mary as **“WOMAN”** (see John 2:4 and John 19:25–27).

Now! Who didn’t know that except every Catholic cardinal, bishop, archbishop, nun, priest, and monk in America and Europe, as well as Allah, Muhammad, Arafat, Saladin, and every Muslim in the Middle East?

Our children in Daily Vacation Bible School knew about *that* before they were *fourteen years old*. Why does Allah keep giving Muhammad LIES to record? And how could any fool call a pack of lies like that “Holy”? Easy; the book appeals to the self-righteous flesh of the **“natural man”** (1 Cor. 1–3); it gives him a fire escape out of some Hell that he can avoid by being a “do-gooder” and a “kingdom-spreader.”

III. Here is a “wing-dinger” (American: circa 1920). This is Sura 5:115. It deals with any Muslim who defects from the *Koran*—like all in Palestine, Trans-Jordan, Iraq, Syria, Egypt, and Arabia are doing right now! “I will chastise *him* with a chastisement with which I will not chastise *anyone* AMONG the nations.”

Here you see Muhammad supposedly exercising his *prophetic* gifts, for M. M. Ali assures us that this is a *prophecy* against the European, Asiatic, and American nations in the last two World Wars! “This PROPHECY [it deals with a Muslim deserter!] is being fulfilled before your eyes. The terrible calamities which the two World Wars have brought to HUMANITY [not in the text], and especially to the CHRISTIAN NATIONS [it said ANY *among* the nations] are unprecedented in human history.”

This is Muhammad’s “spirit of prophecy” according to M. M. Ali.

Now observe! Look, listen, and LEARN.

a. The “chastisement” had nothing to do with God chastening *ANY nation*; the statement was describing how God will deal with any *individual* in a nation as compared with how He would deal with any individual Muslim who defected: “I WILL CHASTISE *him*.”

Study that a minute. What blew M. M. Ali’s mind completely to pieces, again, so that he could not expound third-grade English or third-grade Arabic?

b. Chastisements against NATIONS in the Holy Scriptures *give the NAMES of the nations*, the *nature* for the chastisements, and the *order* in which they will come (Amos 1–2; Jer. 25; Dan. 2, 7–8, 10–11; Deut. 28; Lev. 26; Obadiah, Jonah 1–4, etc.). You see immediately, by comparison, that Muhammad is not exactly “the cat’s meow.” The old raghead is a HORSELAUGH. He couldn’t give you the name of *any* nation that was going to “experience” any kind of a “chastisement.” FAKIR, “quack,” inexperienced greenhorn. He had about as much “gift of prophecy” as Elvis Presley or the Beatles.

Allah blew it again; he failed to give his prophet the material dealing with *fifty verses*—all of them *historic prophecies* in which the details came to pass—so his “bully boy” (Dr. M. M. Ali) had all fifty verses come to pass “before his eyes” (they were in print) and missed all of them (*plus 350 to come!*) while reading the *Koran*. Nothing took place that

Muhammad “prophesied” in Sura 5:115, for the “prophecy” dealt only with Moslem INDIVIDUALS as opposed to non-Muslim INDIVIDUALS.

c. But that isn’t the craziest thing about this Sura. Here in this useless, uninspired, religious trivia we find the expression, “THE *CHRISTIAN* NATIONS.”

What, in the name of Fatima or Scheherazade is THAT?

“Christian NATIONS (plural)!”

Why, that is absolutely ridiculous! No Bible-believing Christian would think of setting up a “*Christian* nation.” In more than thirty years of ministry, the apostle to the Gentile NATIONS (Rom. 15:12, 16, 19), *who told Christians to follow HIM* (1 Cor. 11:1–2), never wasted one minute in setting up any kind of a literal, political, national State. He said his citizenship was in New Jerusalem which was his “**mother**” (Gal. 4:26)—not “Mary.”

“Christian *nations*?” Why, it is Roman Catholicism that sports a Church-State: a geopolitical system patterned after Rome (see *The History of the New Testament Church*, Vol. 1, pp. 50, 222–232, 1980), with a crowned ruler ON A THRONE. Why, that setup, made to order for the “Man of Sin” (see 1 Thess. 2), is no more a “*Christian* nation” than the one Jim Jones set up in Guyana.

There were no “Christian nations” in Europe from A.D. 33 to A.D. 2000; *there are none now*. The nations in Europe that *call* themselves “Christian” nations are just Roman Catholic *Church-States* set up by the Vatican. They are about as Biblical as a “Palestinian State” set up by Arafat and Muhammad. America was never a “Christian nation.” The word “Christian” is a reference to a disciple of JESUS CHRIST; see Acts 11:26.

Don’t you believe one word I wrote; check the references.

“And when he had found him, he brought him unto Antioch. And it came to pass, that a whole year they assembled themselves with the church, and taught much people. And the disciples were called Christians first in Antioch” (Acts 11:26).

If America was ever a “Christian” nation, it couldn’t have been even founded by a *disciple* of Jesus Christ, for the word (Jesus or Christ) doesn’t appear *one time* in the Bill of Rights, the Constitution of the United States, or the Declaration of Independence.

That is some bunch of dedicated “**disciples**,” ain’t it, *that are ashamed to mention their Master*?

The Body of Christ composes a “**HOLY nation**” (1 Pet. 2:9) similar (but in no way identical) to Israel’s calling (Exod. 19:6). But its *members* are not only in Europe, Africa, Asia, and the Americas; they are in Iraq, Iran, Armenia, Afghanistan, Syria, Egypt, Morocco, Libya, Indonesia, the Philippines, and Lebanon. “Christian NATIONS!” My God! what a blubbering, blabbering, stumbling, stupid prophet!

There has never been one “*Christian nation*”—in the sense of Sura 5:115—on this earth since Cain killed Abel. The blind “prophet” meant *Roman Catholic countries* (Austria, Italy, Spain, Germany, Poland, etc.). You cannot call England or America or Russia a Roman Catholic country, yet, until the Pope gets control of the government. Right now, *via the press*, he only controls those countries to an extent of about 75 percent.

Neither M. M. Ali nor Muhammad nor Allah could name ONE “Christian nation” involved in World War II. Their prophetic powers were a BLANK. Daniel named four anti-Muslim nations and prophesied a fifth (Dan. 2) and then described it *in detail* (Dan. 11–12) more than 1,000 years before the *Koran* was written.

That is the most perfect picture you will ever see of a man who can prophesy *nothing*, having his ignorance covered up by a liar just like himself, inventing a “prophecy” by *pretending* that some nations are “Christian” nations. The only chastisement mentioned in the verse (Sura 5:115) *was the chastisement of a MUSLIM*.

Muhammad couldn’t even name the name of ANY individual Christian who would get the “nations” chastisement, so he altered the judgments from a Muslim *individual* to “any among, etc.” Any *what?* Well, if it had been what Ali pretended it was, it would have read “any NATION among the Christian nations.” *But again, that is what the Koran did NOT say.*

“In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful!”

IV. Let us now take another sample of the “blaze of light” that the *Koran* sheds on the writings of Peter, James, Matthew, Mark, John, Luke, Jude, and Paul. Let us see what Allah is able to do with SIGNS, since “**the Jews require a sign**” and Moses and Christ have the signs (Exod. 4; Deut. 18:18) and the Jewish apostles had them (Mark 16:16–18).

What you are about to read is so ridiculous that you don’t know whether to spit on it or give its author a baby aspirin and put him to bed.

These comments (pp. 285–286) pretend that Sura 6:37 is a *prophet* prophesying and giving “a sign” to confirm it.

There are no prophecies in the verse.

Not one “sign” is mentioned anywhere in the verse.

“Surely Allah is ABLE to send down a sign, BUT most of them know not.”

Most of *whom?* Most of *whom* think that Allah is NOT able to send down a sign? *Did he send any?* Who didn’t know it?

The verse means nothing. It says Allah is able to do something that he failed to do at least *fifty times*, while the Lord God was not just sending down “signs” but *performing them in public* through a period of more than 1,000 years. Observe!

Ten signs are identified, named, and performed, *publicly*, in one book (Exod. 3–15). They are all announced ahead of time and come to pass *as prophesied*. Then, nearly 800 years later, a “**sign**” causes every sun dial and sand clock to get messed up (Isa. 38:8), and the sign is so well known that visitors from *400 miles away* come to investigate (2 Chron. 32:31).

No wonder “most of them know it not” when “Allah” sends down a sign; *it never shows up!*

Nearly a whole day stopped in Joshua 10:13 and then, 1,400 years later, 5,000 people were fed with less on the menu than could feed fifty people (John 6:10). You see, the Jews “required” a SIGN because (*unlike* “the nation of Islam”) their nation *began its national*

life with SIGNS (Exod. 3–15).

Now, what do you suppose is going to be the “prophetic SIGN” that Muhammad points out in order to make you think Islam replaced Israel (see pp. 58, 63, 66, 82)?

Jesus Christ performed “**signs and wonders**” *publicly* every day for three and one-half years; this was done, *openly*, before anywhere from twelve to 5,000 witnesses. Do you think that Allah can match *that*? Are you kidding? Are you trying to be funny? Do you think his “prophet (Jesus Christ was the prophet “**like unto Moses**”) could match that? Save your breath; you’re going to need it.

V. Here is a “sign” in the *Koran*.

“The Arabs [submitted] to the Holy Prophet almost in a body *after* the conquest of Makkah (Mecca)” (p. 286).

Man, what a SIGN! Gen. Montgomery said he would win the battle of El Alamein, and he *did!* Oh, glory, what a *prophetic gift!* “In the name of Allah, all Beneficent, all Merciful!”

Stalin, Churchill, and FDR said the Allies would win World War II—and they *DID!* Man! What a “**spirit of prophecy**”! Boy, oh boy! What does a sign LIKE BEING ABLE TO WALK ON WATER (Matt. 14:28–30) amount to alongside *winning a battle!*

See “how the snow blows”?

How come Muhammad couldn’t feed anybody, heal anybody, walk on water, turn water into wine, and then pull himself up out of the grave by his sandals three days after he died? Little short on “signs,” aren’t you, sonny? Yes, I think so. How about Muhammad telling a corpse to come up out of a cemetery lot after it had been decomposing *four days* (John 11)?

“Allah is great, Allah is good, Allah is ever living, the All Knowing One...Allah can send down SIGNS....”

Sure, sonny. And compared with *Paul’s* signs and wonders (2 Cor. 12:12) and *Jesus Christ’s* signs and wonders, old Allah’s “signs” look like raised bumps on someone about to get Chicken Pox.

“**Wonders in heaven above, and signs in the earth beneath**” (Acts 2:19): *some camel jockeys beat some ragheads in a battle for a city!* Like wow, man! Boy, what a “holy” book! Any gambler in Las Vegas has greater odds against him in foretelling the end of a game than Muhammad had. In any battle, the odds are one out of two: *you can win it or lose it. That is a “sign” in Islamic literature.* It is a “miracle from Allah” according to M. M. Ali. I would call it a lucky guess that proves you can get anyone to submit to Muhammad if you threaten to cut off their hands or crucify them (see pp. 67, 95) *or blow them up with suicide bombers* (A.D. 2000).

One more slap in the face for two *fakirs*. The commentator didn’t accept the Sura as prophecy till long after it was *written*, and it was written *AFTER* the battle took place. In plainer words, the whole exposition was a blatant LIE.

In the HOLY Book, not the *unholy* book, Isaiah *names* the “sign” and it comes to pass

(Isa. 7:14), the angels *give a sign* (Luke 2:12) and it comes to pass, Samuel gives Eli a *sign* (1 Sam. 2:34) and it comes to pass. But poor ole' Allah can't give *any* details of *any* sign he gives. The lying commentator on the fake Sura (Sura 6:110) says "MANY signs [he can't name one] of the Prophet's truth [unidentified: it could be anything] had appeared while the Prophet was still at Makkah (Mecca)" (p. 301).

For example?

Sorry, we just ran out.

"The prophet's truth," was it? *About WHAT?*

You mean he only had ONE truth? Why didn't you say "PROPHECIES" or "SIGNS"? Because you couldn't find any, so you couldn't list any? Winning a battle is one, eh ("the Battle of Badr", p. 302)? Why, Joshua won *thirty-three battles* in a row, after being told he would win them (Josh. 1–4), and he led his troops into battle. *Muhammad married his own daughter-in-law*. Was that a "sign"?

Every Muslim will have to face it now or later (at the White Throne Judgment): the God of Genesis–Deuteronomy, who was the God of Joshua–Malachi and Matthew–Revelation, is of no kin *whatsoever* to the fictitious "Allah" that Muhammad invented to match his own lifestyle. He just *pretends* it was the same God. This was done to make you think that you have committed blasphemy against the author of "**holy scriptures**" if you ridicule the author of the *Koran*. That is just a nice, smooth, slick, deceptive, nasty piece of *depraved treachery*.

A Summary of Unholy “Scriptures”

“Jesus Christ was *not* alive at the time of the revelation of the Holy *Koran*” (note 1359, commenting on Sura 16:21, pg. 522).

This was Muhammad’s comment on Christ’s personal testimony:

“I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell and of death” (Rev. 1:18).

It was also his comment on a man who was Christ’s closest disciple when He was on earth:

“In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.

2 The same was in the beginning with God.

3 All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made.

4 In him was life; and the life was the light of men” (John 1:1–4).

Why a Saviour, who was alive long before *Abraham* showed up (John 8:56–58), couldn’t be around before and after *Muhammad* was dead is a great mystery. To be present before Abraham (John 8:58), you would have had to be older than 1,800 years when John the Baptist showed up. To be alive when the *Koran* was written, you would only have to have “been around” for 600 years after Peter walked on the water.

M. M. Ali says that Jesus had to be DEAD before A.D. 570. The Holy Scriptures say He is alive NOW (Acts 9; Col. 1; Eph. 1, 3) and will be “alive and well” after Allah’s “Last Day” has come and gone (Rev. 21:22, 22:13).

Moral? The “Holy” *Koran* was written to make a LIAR out of Jesus Christ. When any nation takes Islam’s side with Arafat and his Palestinian terrorists, it automatically and publicly declares *that the New Testament is a lie, from cover to cover*. America “opted” for the *Koran* in 1967; that means they officially and *publicly* (in downtown *New York*) opted for a dead, maggot-eaten “Christ” and a “god” that gave sinners automatic passports into heaven (Paradise) *if they killed Jews and Christians*. **“Righteousness exalteth a nation [1776–1945]: but sin is a reproach to any people”** (Prov. 14:34).

While all Muslims have been reveling for four centuries in the *permanent death* of a Saviour who died like an “ordinary man” (and lied about His death the whole time He was alive!), more than 500,000,000 saved sinners (during the same time) were singing hymns that went this way:

“He *lives*, He *lives*, Christ Jesus *lives* today! He walks with me and talks with me along the narrow way. You ask me how I know He *lives*? He *lives* within my heart!” Five hundred million sinners who experienced a new birth, that was unknown to every devout Muslim for 400 years, were compiling song books (hymnals) with hundreds (*literally*) of songs in them praising a LIVING Saviour. But what are 500,000,000 blood-washed,

regenerated, saved sinners who believed God's Book alongside an epileptic polygamist with fourteen wives, *who mistook himself for Moses?*

Now that the raghead has given you *his* opinion about **“the holy scriptures,”** what do you suppose is *their* opinion of Muslims and their theory about a dead Christ?

What do you say John?

“I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the ending, saith the Lord, which is, and which was, and which is to come, the Almighty.... I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell and of death” (Rev. 1:8, 18).

What do you say angels?

“Saying with a loud voice, Worthy is the Lamb that was slain to receive power, and riches, and wisdom, and strength, and honour, and glory, and blessing. And every creature which is in heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, Blessing, and honour, and glory, and power, be unto him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever. And the four beasts said, Amen. And the four and twenty elders fell down and worshipped him that liveth for ever and ever” (Rev. 5:12–14).

How about the apostle to the Gentiles? **“What think ye of Christ?”**

“Concerning his Son Jesus Christ our Lord, which was made of the seed of David according to the flesh; And declared to be the Son of God with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead” (Rom. 1:3–4).

“Now if Christ be preached that he rose from the dead, how say some among you that there is no resurrection of the dead? But if there be no resurrection of the dead, then is Christ not risen: And if Christ be not risen, then is our preaching vain, and your faith is also vain” (1 Cor. 15:12–14).

“For to this end Christ both died, and rose, and revived, that he might be Lord both of the dead and living” (Rom. 14:9).

And Lord Jesus, what do you think about that sad sack Muslim leaving you buried in the dirt?

“In my Father's house are many mansions: if it were not so, I would have told you. I go to prepare a place for you. And if I go and prepare a place for you, I will come again, and receive you unto myself; that where I am, there ye may be also” (John 14:2–3).

“For as yet they knew not the scripture, that he must rise again from the dead” (John 20:9).

“Then saith he to Thomas, Reach hither thy finger, and behold my hands; and reach hither thy hand, and thrust it into my side: and be not faithless, but believing. And Thomas answered and said unto him, My Lord and my God. Jesus saith unto him, Thomas, because thou hast seen me, thou hast believed: blessed are they that have not seen, and yet have believed” (John 20:27–29).

From this we can learn another great lesson about dealing with Muslims—all Muslims. In a court of law, where two or three witnesses are needed, we are to take the word of *ONE witness* over the word of *nineteen witnesses*, and sixteen of them were *eyewitnesses*.

This is the Muslim approach to “the TRUTH”: any truth about ANYTHING.

In the case of 1 Corinthians 15, one witness (who witnessed NOTHING: Muhammad) is to be trusted as telling the TRUTH *while 500 eyewitnesses are to be taken as LIARS* (1 Cor. 15:6).

That is the religion of Islam. *That* is Muhammad. *That* is true of every pro-West, democratic thinking, *conservative* Muslim worldwide.

In order to try to give himself some kind of “apostolic succession” from the Old Testament, we have seen Muhammad convert Abraham into a sinless prophet; Abraham was picked because Muhammad came from *one of his concubines* (Hagar the Egyptian). Having done this, Muhammad now declares that since Abraham was not a New Testament Christian nor an Old Testament Jew, he was a *Muslim* (Sura 3:66: “he was an UPRIGHT...MUSLIM”).

Wanna read some more comics in the funny paper? All right:

- a. An “upright Muslim” has no holy cities and no holy places: *Abraham had neither*.
- b. An “upright Muslim” does not pray five times a day, and he “faces” *nowhere* when he prays.
- c. An “upright Muslim” offers ANIMAL sacrifices for his sins (Gen. 12:8, 22:13); *no modern Muslim does*.
- d. An “upright Muslim” never deals with “Allah.” Abraham always dealt with *Elohim* who revealed Himself later as *Jehovah*—not “Allah.”
- e. An “upright Muslim” never makes *pilgrimages* to Mecca or New York or Medina or Jerusalem or the North Pole.
- f. An “upright Muslim” never worries about going to Hell for five seconds, and he never wastes three minutes trying to convince anyone that they would go Hell if they didn’t believe what he believed.
- g. An “upright Muslim” will lie (more than once) when he is scared (Gen. 12, 20). Sura 3:66: “Abraham was an UPRIGHT...MUSLIM.”

Question: why is it that the *Koran* can never get ANYTHING right that it speaks of, and none of its readers or writers have *any* idea of what is going on, ANYWHERE?

Jesus Christ said, “**Before Abraham WAS, I AM**” (John 8:58). That is the Tetragrammaton for “Jehovah”—“**I AM**” (Exod. 3:14). Why did Muhammad stop at Abraham after going back past Isaac and Ishmael? *Jesus Christ outdated Abraham by more than 300,000 millennia* (Rev. 22:13; Col. 1:13–18).

What is it with these nuts?

Look what Jesus Christ was doing more than 2,000 years before Abraham was born:

“In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.

The same was in the beginning with God.

All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made” (John 1:1–3).

“Who is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of every creature:

For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him:

And he is before all things, and by him all things consist” (Col. 1:15–17).

On with the sideshow! Sura 3:105 finds all of the Jews and Christians in Hell, and then their faces turn BLACK, while all of the *Muslim faces* turn WHITE (Sura 3:105).

Once confronted with this typical Islamic “prophecy,” M. M. Ali comes to pieces again. He must make the Sura respectable for the “one world family” of *integrated races*, because such a statement cannot pass the UN standards in 2001; at least not in *downtown New York* where there are more blacks than in the largest city in Africa.

Quick, Henry, the FLIT! (American, circa 1930). Quick, get a book out of Origen’s Alexandrian library and “allegorize” the passage so it is “spiritual.” That way all the goof balls will think that YOU are “spiritual”! So said, so done. M. M. Ali does exactly what every unsaved, liberal “Modernist” has done with the Bible since A.D. 500 and what every Roman dignitary has done with the Bible since A.D. 325.

He changes the text so it does not say what it means nor means what it says. He explains his “holy” book out of existence:

“By faces turning WHITE is *meant* [ah, yes, you lying scoundrel!] their being expressive of joy, and by their turning black, their being expressive of sorrow.” To confirm this alteration of Allah’s words (while *censoring* Muhammad’s words), an Islamic buddy intones “a man is WHITE when you MEAN that he is free from dross and defects” (p. 160).

That is, Muhammad did *not* say what he MEANT, and he certainly didn’t *mean* what he SAID. This is the case about fifty times in the 114 Suras of the *Koran*.

Let me give you a “nugget” that Allah missed. In the Holy Bible the word “**white**” (see Gen. 30:35; Exod. 16:31; Lev. 13:3; Ecc. 9:8; Zech. 6:3; Judg. 5:10; Matt. 5:36; Luke 9:29; John 4:35; Acts 1:10; Rev. 1:14, 3:4, 4:4, 6:2, 6:11, 19:11, 20:11; Isa. 1:18; Joel 1:7; Psa. 51:7, etc.) *means*...you’ll love this!...it means...*dig this one, baby! it’s a cameo!*...it means W-H-I-T-E.

Faces that turn BLACK do it in a FIRE (which was Muhammad’s statement from Joel 2:6) or famine (Lam. 4:8) or by birth (Song of Sol. 1:5). Always correct the *Koran* with the *Holy Bible*. It is so far ahead of it *scientifically*, historically, spiritually, and *intellectually*, there is no comparison.

Notwithstanding this obvious truth, Muhammad now comes out with: 1. The best

nation on the earth is his own Hamitic people (Sura 3:109). 2. Because *they* (not Israel) are “the chosen people of God” (note 475, p. 161).

There it is, like a dead hog.

“Heil Arafat!” Heil Sadam Hussein. Saudi Arabia über alles! *You* have replaced the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (see Isa. 43:1, 44:1–2, 45:19, 48:1, 48:12) with a *moon god* who denies BOTH Testaments. As you can see, every *Koran*-believing Muslim in the UN believes in *racial supremacy*—Muslim supremacy. *Everyone of them is a racist*, and like the KKK and the Nazi Party, they are full-blooded *anti-Semitic racists* in the sense of anti-Jewish, anti-Israel, and anti-Biblical—*both Testaments*.

That includes all branches of Islam in all Islamic countries.

After this ridiculous “claim to fame,” Muhammad decides to open his mouth to prophesy. Not having a brain in his head, he decided to prophesy what would happen in 1948, 1956, 1967, and 1973 when Islam faced Israel in *armed combat* (Sura 3:110). Here he goes!

“If they (the Jews) fight you, *they will turn their backs to you.*” “Whenever they (the Jews) openly faced the Muslims *they FLED*” (p. 161, footnote no. 476).

Watch your “tense” there, stupid, you are supposed to be an intellectual, Islamic doctor; you said “faced” and “fled.” THAT ISN’T PROPHECY; *that is a newscast*. Get your head straightened out, kid; it’s in rough shape.

There hasn’t been *one* war fought in Palestine since 1947 (five of them, and seven if you count the mess in Lebanon) where Israel hasn’t beat the socks off Islam; even though they (the Jews) have been *outnumbered* as much as seven to one.

That is Allah’s “prophet.” Trade him in for Jean Dixon.

“Not only are the *Muslims* the chosen people of God, who are now called upon to be the standard-bearers of TRUTH [see John 5:39–47, 8:43–47; Rev. 21:5, 22:9–10] in the world, but they are at the same time declared to be the *BEST of the people* that had ever been CHOSEN for this purpose” (p. 161).

“*Heil Hitler!*” Deutschland über alles! *Today Germany; tomorrow the world!* Heil raghead! Heil camel jockey! “*Blessed be the Lord God of HAM!*”

There it is, in full bloom: *megalomania* as bad as any Catholic pope ever had it. By *contrast*, when the Jewish authors wrote the history of their own people (Genesis–Malachi), they *condemned* their own race for its defects and sins in more than 800 passages (see Jer. 1–23; Ezek. 1–29; Isa. 1–49; Hosea 1–6; Amos 1–5; Daniel 9, etc.).

Muhammad is operating, as usual, by dictating from his own imagination while being puffed up and bloated up with a self-righteous and racial pride that would gag a maggot. His race, from Hagar, is Hamitic.

The “standard bearers” of historical truth, spiritual truth, scientific truth, and philosophical truth are *listed* in Acts 15:2, 22, 26, 28, and 2 Timothy 2:2. They are honored in Revelation 11:18 and 19:10 for preserving “**the true sayings of God.**”

Every single truth Muhammad had been able to grasp (good conduct, judgment after

death, monotheism, and a place of bliss in Heaven) was borrowed from the *Holy Bible*, and he perverted most of it (like information about a “holy city” and “a chosen people” and a “kingdom”) because he didn’t have the interpretive powers of a *brass monkey*.

Sura 3:117: “O you who believe (*the Koran*), take not for intimate friends others than your own people.” *Racism*. In Christ “**there is neither Jew nor Greek**” (Gal. 3:28). “In Muhammad” there are the chosen people called a “chosen RACE” and the “best NATION,” and anyone outside of that NATION is to be avoided.

One can see, at a glance, that this Sura also is not “politically correct” for downtown *New York* (the UN), where the Muslims (if THAT is the nation and race!) just murdered several thousand people who *weren’t* Muslims (Sept. 11, 2001).

So here we are due (again) for another brilliant explanation from the Islamic “intellectuals.” They will tell you what Muhammad *meant* to say that he did NOT say. What he actually “meant” was that “the Muslims were warned against close and intimate relations with them (the JEWS [who] *assisted* the enemies of Islam)” (p. 162).

Of course that is *not* what the *Koran* said. It said what it *said*, and what it said was NOT what M. M. Ali *said* it said. *You read me?*

Sura 5:72–73: “Certainly they *disbelieve* who say: Allah, He is the Messiah, son of Mary. And the Messiah said: O Children of Israel, serve Allah, my Lord and your Lord. Surely whoever associates (others) with Allah, Allah has forbidden to him the Garden and his abode is the Fire. And for the wrongdoers there will be no helpers. Certainly they disbelieve who say: Allah is the third of the three. And there is no God but One God. And if they desist not from what they say, a painful chastisement will surely befall such of them as disbelieve.”

Well, not really! You see “the *Koran* MEANT to say....” Yes, that is exactly what is going to happen to the “HOLY” *Koran*; its idolizers are going to have to alter it again. “The fire” in the *Koran* has to be just a *metaphor* which doesn’t actually BURN anyone: it just “signifies the continuance of torment” (p. 206, note no. 590). A Muhammadan Hell is not a *real* Hell like the one that Jesus Christ described in Matthew 13:30, 40 and that John the Baptist described in Matthew 3:10, 12, and that Christ mentioned again in Matthew 5:22, and then elaborated on in Matthew 25:41.

“All is well, there is no Hell,” at least not one that BURNS you. That is, Jesus Christ’s term for Hell is totally unacceptable because it was not politically correct. You see, He called it “**HELL FIRE**” (Matt. 5:22) and said that the FIRE in it would never be quenched (Mark 9:43, 44–48). When Jesus gave you a parable or an allegory (Matt. 13:24–30), He was always careful to say *exactly* what He meant, and He always meant exactly what He said.

Unlike the stupid, blundering raghead who had to have Islamic doctors clean up the mess he made in dictating the *Koran*, Jesus Christ gave you the explanatory notes on His messages *while He preached them*. For example, in Matthew 13, He said that “**He that soweth the good seed IS...**” (vs. 37). “**The field is...**” (vs. 38). “**The enemy that sowed them is...**” (vs. 39). “**The harvest IS...**” (vs. 39). “**The reapers ARE...**” (vs. 39). “The FIRE is...” (vss. 40–42). The FIRE is a “**FURNACE OF FIRE**” (vs. 42).

Every item in the parable was an “allegory” EXCEPT the “fire.” The “fire” was *the fire*.

Muhammad, by comparison, is a two-faced, split-tongued, prevaricating agnostic who has to have someone else straighten out the mess that he makes of things. (Don’t bat your eyes at me, fella. I just gave *documented facts*; all you have is your temper, your ignorance, and your *lack of sincerity*.)

All Muslims (like those that bombed New York and the Pentagon) are to kill “in Allah’s way,” (Sura 4:84). If anyone *intentionally* kills a real Muslim he goes to Hell, period (Sura 4:93). If you get slain fighting “in the way of Allah” (p. 210), Allah “promises you a mighty reward,” although neither he nor Muhammad has the slightest idea what it is. (In the Bible, five “crowns” are described for Christians who meet “Allah’s” requirements, and the *details* for earning them are given (2 Tim. 2; 1 Thess. 2; 1 Cor. 9; 1 Pet. 5; James 2).

Notice, for the “umpteenth” time, how the *Holy Bible* has to keep filling in the gaps for “Allah,” because he has no information on *what* Muhammad is talking about, nor does Muhammad get any information from “Allah.”

Islam’s mass killings are always called a “*Jihad*,” the term being brought up immediately to justify *any* type of killing. In Palestine, you can murder *unarmed women and children* (see Waco, Texas), as well as men, since “Allah” is “the Beneficent, the Merciful.” (Those are the first attributes that Muhammad put in the *Koran*: Sura 1). Again, this is Muhammad pretending that he is Saul (1 Sam. 15) “defending the faith.” At every turn, you find Muhammad trying to resurrect every place in the Old Testament with which the New Testament does away, by inserting Islam and Muslims in the *place of Israel* and the Biblical promises to Israel.

That is what the Catholic Church did from A.D. 500 to A.D. 2002.

The crooked profession is “we only do it when we are *attacked*.” And the history of Islam (pp. 49–50, 100) shows that that is the equivalent of a Pharisee professing innocence in the face of murder (see Matt. 23:29–31. The cases are identical; *you simply lie like a dog*).

On the other hand, if any other race or nation kills a good Muslim *intentionally*—say in a Holy Crusade by Catholics to give Jerusalem to the Popes or a Crusade by the Hagana to regain Jerusalem for Jehovah (!)—he goes to Hell immediately (Sura 4:93). But M. M. Ali assures us that this rule does not apply to a *Muslim* killing a Muslim *intentionally*. Oh, no, there is an exception to the rule, although the *Koran* *didn’t mention the exception*. M. M. Ali gives it on page 216. What Muhammad “meant to say” was that it only referred to a “disbeliever” killing a Muslim. So it is perfectly all right for *Muslims to assassinate Muslims*—like Nassar, Sadat, et al. Over 600,000 “refugees” (all Muslims) fled Palestine in 1948, because they were informed by *five Muslim nations* that if any of them stayed there to live when the Jews came in, they would be hung (by Muslims) as traitors.

That is “Islam.” “In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful!”

But you still can’t count on Muhammad to tell *the truth*.

Once again, Allah comes **“short of the glory of God”** (Rom. 3:23). He has no atonement for any *murderer*. Intentional killing (Sura 4:93) is actually an unpardonable sin. This means that *Moses* is in Hell (see Exod. 2:12), *David* is in Hell (2 Sam. 12:9), *Samson* is in Hell (Judg. 14:19), and *Jehu* is in Hell (2 Kings 9:24). It also means that every murderer that I led to Christ in ninety-one prisons (1950–1999) went to Hell *after* he was born again and “washed in the blood” (Rev. 1:5).

The **“grace”** that came by Jesus Christ (John 1:16–17)—not Muhammad or Allah—shows that if any man goes to Hell he certainly does *not* go for murder.

“Come now, and let us reason together, saith the LORD: though your sins be as scarlet, they shall be as white as snow; though they be red like crimson, they shall be as wool” (Isa. 1:18).

The sinners who MURDERED Jesus Christ (those are the exact words, Acts 7:52) were forgiven on the spot (Luke 23:34) and given a *second* chance (Acts 2) and a *third* chance (Acts 13) and a *fourth* chance (Acts 18) and a fifth chance (Acts 28) to go home to New Jerusalem.

Paul went home to God (Phil. 1:21, 23) to join the saints that he had killed *intentionally* (Acts 22:4, 26:10–11; 1 Tim. 1:13). He joined them in Heaven (Phil. 3:20–21; 2 Cor. 5:1–8) *after causing their deaths*.

Question: how is it that “Allah” never reveals THE TRUTH to his “prophet”? He “short-sheets” him every time he “makes his bed.”

Why does Allah keep on lying?

How could Muhammad know anything about anybody’s abode after death when that was a *future action*? Not having any **“spirit of prophecy”** (see Rev. 19:10), the poor fellow could do nothing but *guess*, and he was wrong every other time he guessed. After Moses murdered the Egyptian and **“hid him in the sand”** (Exod. 2:12), Moses shows up with Elijah and Christ in glory (Matt. 17:1–5) 1,500 years later. *He didn’t go to Hell*. “Allah” lied in the *Koran*; at least he forced his prophet to lie. Why?

Why does Allah LIE almost every time he gives a “revelation”?

Sura 4:93, in the *Koran*, is just one more serving of rotten eggs.

But there are plenty more rotten eggs on the way; along with dead cats, used coffee grounds, and dead shrimp.

Lo and behold! The main reason why a metaphorical Hell doesn’t have to burn any sinner forever is because no sinner can sin against the author of Hell—Allah! **“WHOEVER commits a sin commits it ONLY against himself”** (Sura 4:111)!

Boy, have you got you a cuckoo on the limb now.

David: **“Against THEE, thee only, have I sinned, and done this evil in thy sight: that THOU mightest be justified when thou speakest, and be clear when thou judgest”** (Psa. 51:4).

Joseph: **“There is none greater in this house than I; neither hath he kept back any thing from me but thee, because thou art his wife: how then can I do this great**

wickedness, and sin against GOD?” (Gen. 39:9).

The prodigal son: **“I will arise and go to my father, and will say unto him, Father, I have sinned against HEAVEN, and before thee”** (Luke 15:18).

Jesus Christ: **“Verily I say unto you, All sins shall be forgiven unto the sons of men, and blasphemies wherewith soever they shall blaspheme”** (Mark 3:28).

Muhammad didn't even know God's NATURE (see pp. 45–46)! How could he know the punishment for the sin of rejecting God's RIGHTEOUSNESS (Rom. 10:1–6)?

Now, take your pick and be quick about it. You don't have to shuffle the deck and cut the cards more than one time. Don't put on airs like some big, complicated, theological problem has just arisen that requires some careful thinking and meditation. Never mind the theatrics, you jaded egomaniacs. **“Choose you this day whom you will serve.”** No coin tossing please; you're not playing Bingo or Roulette in a casino. Don't act like there is a “big deal,” you pseudo-sophisticated, lying Jesuit! Some founder of a *worldwide religion* (Christ or Muhammad) has been lying like a Persian rug and sneaking around like a yellow dog cur with his tail between his legs (*Christ or Muhammad*).

Go on! Choose! I dare you. Go on, you positive-thinking, face-saving promoter of “religious pluralism.”

I dare you to *choose* where CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, *Life* and *Time* magazines, National Public Radio, and 500 daily newspapers can see and hear you do it. Go on, yellow belly: *choose!* Choose, you sniveling coward. Choose with Exxon, the CFR, the Trilateral Commission, the Masons, the Club of Rome, the Bilderbergers, the CIA, and the Vatican waving *OIL WELLS* in front of your face.

Choose, you spineless, man-fearing *jellyfish*. You critics of “religious reductionism!” Don't *pretend* that Christ and Muhammad can both be “good folks” just so *you* can pass off as being a “good folk” and make anyone with any real character look like a “hate monger” or a “racist.” You know how to “save face.” Just pretend that no conflict or contradiction exists and then “love both parties” and have a “summit” to start a “peace process.”

What's the matter there, you cheap opportunist? Have you lost your ability to make a “value judgment” after having your values “clarified” by the NEA? *Choose!* Justify a pack of lying killers by claiming that “ethnic nationalism” is all right as long as you practise violence in the name of “sovereignty.”

Our leaders made their choice before World War II (see *Israel: A Deadly Piece of Dirt*, 2001, pp. 315, 346, 353, 302, 323–324), confirmed it after 1948 (*Ibid.*), and enforced it after 1967 (*Ibid.*; pp. 379, 383, 387–388, 391, 402, 407, 417–418, etc.).

A Palestinian “sovereign State” is religious-racial-ethnic nationality.

Take your pick; *dealer's choice*.

Just don't ever talk pious about “getting all faiths together” and being “neutral so you can dialogue” at the Book's expense You know the line; *we all know the line*. “There is some good in all religions,” “Well, we're all working to get to the same place,” and other

such cowardly, lying, vacillating, humanistic clichés which will mean nothing when “**ALL LIARS**” get their part in the Lake of Fire (Rev. 21:8). Note in the same verse (Rev. 21:8) that “**the FEARFUL**” show up in the same place; that is YOU if you are still twiddling your thumbs (and praying, of course! *of course!*) about *which* “Holy” book to pitch in the dumpster.

Well, let us reach down into the dumpster and pick up this “holy” book one more time. Surely “The Coming of The Doom” will have some *prophecy* in it. If any *prophet* ever had an A-1 chance to *prophecy* this would be the place (Matt. 24; Mark 13; Acts 2; Rev. 5–19; Joel 3, etc.).

Man! when the Lord Jesus Christ got on *this* subject—it is the heading for Section 23 of Sura 7, verses 182–188—He gave you *four chapters* (Matt. 24; Mark 13; Luke 17, 21). When the subject was given to John he produced *fifteen chapters* on it (Rev. 5–21), and when Peter was given the topic he produced *four chapters* on it (1 Pet. 4–5; 2 Pet. 1–3). Jeremiah, Ezekiel, David, and Daniel exceeded the New Testament writers by about *seventy chapters*.

Alrightee! Heeeree we go! Heeere’s Muhammad!

“_____”

That’s it. That’s “The Coming of the Doom”!

The essay on “The Coming of the Doom” took up less than *two pages*.

It contains only *eight paragraphs* which constitute less than *fourteen verses* (p. 358–359). That is all Allah’s PROPHET can *prophecy*.

It ends with “the simplicity of and nobility of this statement [“the giver of good news to a people who believe”] as indicating the mission of a prophet is unsurpassed” (note 967 on Surah 7:188). *Unsurpassed?* That is “the good news,” is it? Why, that is what the word “gospel” means. A rinky-dinky, fifteen-word sentence, signifying nothing, is “unsurpassed,” is it? Compared with Luke 2:10–11, is it? Compared with Acts 13:39–40, is it? Compared with John 10:11, 14, 28, it is “unsurpassed,” is it? How about Romans 8:28–29? Why, M. M. Ali is not a scholar! He is not even “well read.”

Who would think that the “good news” for sinners—that all their sins were paid for (2 Cor. 5:19–21), enabling them to be conformed to the image of a sinless man and live forever (Rom. 8:29; 1 John 5:13)—was “*surpassed*” by this puny generalization that tells nobody *anything* about life after death: “And had I *known* the unseen, I should have much of good, and no evil would touch me. I am but a *warnor* and the giver of good news to a people who believe” (Sura 7:188). *Muhammad saw nothing and admitted it.*

Moses SAW the unseen (Heb. 11:27), and all lost men can SEE the “**Godhead**” (Rom. 1:20). Who is this depraved looney who says he never knew *anything* about the “unseen”? *It is Muhammad.*

And what happened to that “Coming Doom?” Well, bless yo’ soul, honey chile’, all it says is that if you don’t believe Muhammad you will be led into destruction (vs. 182); *undefined* as to time, place, nature, and intensity. “It may be their doom has drawn nigh” (vs. 185). It has a *question mark* after it; the fool doesn’t even know if “their doom” is

“drawing nigh”! *When* will the coming DOOM come? Muhammad (vs. 187) confesses he hasn’t got any idea at all. His well is dryer than the bottom of a cracker barrel.

And that is Allah’s *prophet* prophesying on “The Coming of the Doom.” How about the “Redecorating of the Room” or, better, “The Fancies of Alice the Goon” (Popeye, American, circa 1930, “Alice the Goon Girl”).

The fakir can’t prophesy. He was *not* a prophet; not even a good *copy* of one. Colonel Richard Meinertzhagen (an English Kraut: 1900–1960) could make more accurate, *historical prophecies* on Islam, Muslims, Arabians, Egyptians, Iraqis, Muftis, Sheiks, Catholics, Englishmen, Frenchmen, Iranians, Mecca, Jerusalem, and Syria in *ten chapters* of his Diary than Muhammad could in 114 chapters talking with “Allah.” If the Muzzeim in the tower (giving the call to prayer) were to call out the truth, the lying rascal would have to call out: “There is one God (Allah) and Muhammad was his dumpster-diver.”

The bum couldn’t prophesy because he was a false prophet.

“And if thou say in thine heart, How shall we know the word which the LORD hath not spoken?”

When a prophet speaketh in the name of the LORD, if the thing follow not, nor come to pass, that is the thing which the LORD hath not spoken, but the prophet hath spoken it presumptuously: thou shalt not be afraid of him” (Deut. 18:21–22).

“Then the LORD said unto me, The prophets prophesy lies in my name: I sent them not, neither have I commanded them, neither spake unto them: they prophesy unto you a false vision and divination, and a thing of nought, and the deceit of their heart” (Jer. 14:14).

You say you don’t like *that*? Why lie about it? You mean you don’t like *my language*, right?

Tell the truth for a change, and after a few years of it you may get adjusted to it. You cannot take the *plain truth*, spoken *plainly* (Isa. 30:10–11). You are in the “X” generation; *they know nothing*. All they have is a skin so thin you can blow smoke through it; especially the Christians, the Catholics, and the Muslims.

If you can’t sign your name, write “X.” In mathematics, “x” is the unknown. It doesn’t represent ANY number till you find out what the number is. “X” generation.

Wanna catch the old, twenty-first century hypocrite, again, working overtime to cover up the rottenness of his master? Try Sura 9:29. It says in plain English or plain Arabic (or as far as that goes, plain Hindi, Telegu, Kannada, Tagalog, Pampangan, Ilocano, or Cajun), “FIGHT those who believe NOT in Allah, nor in the Last Day, nor forbid that which Allah and His Messenger [the speaker talking about himself!] have forbidden.”

No conditions on starting a fight. No conditions for avoiding a fight. Nothing about “only fighting back when *attacked*.” You are to FIGHT Jews, Catholics, Protestants, Greek Orthodox, Hindus, Buddhists, Taoists, Confucianists, and any humanist who doesn’t “buy” the junk that you now have been studying over three hours.

So what would a loyal, “devout Muslim” do being faced with such an anti-social, anti-

UN, anti-humanitarian, anti-integration mess? Why, he would do exactly what M. M. Ali does: he sits down and pounds out *two paragraphs* containing more than 360 words to explain it away, for as sure as God cursed Muhammad (and any angel with whom he was consorting) for preaching “**another gospel**” (Gal. 1:8–9), the verse said what it said: “FIGHT.” All *fighting* done by Muslims for five centuries has been with rocks, fire, daggers, swords, spears, rifles, pistols, cannons, bombs, clubs, strangling wires, and scarves.

The *verse* only had 27 words in it. The *explanation* took 360 words.

To be consistent, “Allah” *should* have said: “Fight ONLY against those who attack you.” But Muhammad’s mouth ran away from him (as it does constantly when he begins to show off). In spite of 360 words trying to explain the text away (391), Ali is unable to convince HISTORY that no Muslim ever attacked anyone except in *self defense* (look at pp. 144–146!).

Way back, long before 1948, when Israel had no IDF or Hagana, or even a Stern Gang or an Irgun, the Muslim Grand Mufti of Jerusalem set them up for the kill in Jerusalem, lied about the thousands of armed Muslims he had assembled, and when asked why all of them were carrying *clubs* to a prayer meeting were told “they are afraid they might be *attacked while praying.*” *That was in 1929.*

Name one case between A.D. 700 and A.D. 1929 where *any* Jews attacked Muslims while they were praying in the Mosque of Omar. In the “defensive” fighting that followed (p. 30, *Israel: A Deadly Piece of Dirt*, 2001), 20,000 Muslims started it by murdering an elderly Jewish man who was returning from the market carrying some groceries. By the time the Muslims had finished “defending” themselves, *they had murdered 100 Jews in Jerusalem.*

That is why M. M. Ali wrote 360 words defending a bloody butcher and his “believers.”

The war of 1948 *began* with Muslims murdering twelve *unarmed passengers* in a bus (p. 352, *Israel: A Deadly Piece of Dirt*, 2001), and before any Jew fired a shot, he was *attacked* by FIVE Muslim nations. The 80,000 Jewish refugees who had to flee Morocco, Algiers, Tunisia, Libya, Iran, and Iraq never *even threatened the life of ANY Muslim in the communities in which they lived or any Muslim anywhere in the country in which they lived* (Ibid., p. 379). In 1965, the Muslim government of Egypt passed a law that any Jew in Egypt could be robbed, raped, or killed and no arrests would be made. Every *unarmed* Jewish man, woman, or child in Egypt was “fair game” for killing; not ONE of them had even threatened even to “overthrow” the government, let alone *attack* anyone. *They were to be attacked on RELIGIOUS grounds only.*

M. M. Ali (lying again like the lying dog he is) says that Muhammad never ordered anyone to attack any disbeliever until the disbeliever *attacked him*. “The HOLY prophet [yeah, man, pour it on, *you liar!*] never overstepped this limit, nor did his FOLLOWERS” (p. 391).

Between A.D. 650 and A.D. 1929, the false prophet’s “followers” had *INVADED* the Middle East, Europe, and North Africa; as a matter of fact, their religion was *spread* with

the sword. That is HISTORY—not someone’s “belief.”

“In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful!”

What was done “in the name of Allah,” for 400 years, has to be a false chronicle rewritten to *create* a history *that never took place*. Islam has never progressed anywhere, successfully, without ARMED WARFARE and assassinations. Neither France nor Spain nor Cyprus nor Egypt nor Constantinople nor the Balkans nor Sicily ever ATTACKED “Islam.” Muslims *invaded* all six areas and went there believing they could earn Paradise (“The Key to Paradise is the Sword”—often altered to “The Key to Paradise is Prayer, etc.”) *by killing non-Muslims*.

They invaded France (Tours), and in spite of Sura 8:65, they were kicked clean out of Spain and France after invading them (713–732).

But why go back to the Dark Ages? Look at this gem.

Here, up in 1989, is a book published by Salman Rushdie entitled *The Satanic Verses*. It is a book exposing some of the rottenness in the “Holy” *Koran* dictated by the “Holy” Prophet. The author got worldwide publicity, and then, just as true to form as any stereotyped “devout Muslim,” every Muslim leader in the Middle East banned the book. Three of them put out a standard Mafia “contract” on the writer and promised a huge reward for anybody who would *assassinate him*.

That was just before the coming of the twenty-first century.

“In the way of Allah” means *rewarding MURDERERS for killing people who didn’t attack anybody*. The “attack,” here, was against the *writings* of a man who died more than 400 years ago. THAT is what Allah means by an “attack”; he means *anybody* that *criticizes* or *ridicules* his nonsense. That is what Muhammad meant; it just took 360 words to undo what Allah and Muhammad *really “meant.”*

When it comes to honesty, straight-shooting, plain talk and *sincerity*, the “devout Muslims”—any and all of them—can be stereotyped immediately from their 400 years of bloody history. They have the spiritual integrity of a rabid fox in a chicken coop, disguised as a *Catholic saint*. They are “do-gooders” spreading a “kingdom” to bring “all humanity to perfection” as “standard bearers of the truth.” (Those are the *exact words*: see pp. x–xx in Ali’s introduction.)

Abraham Lincoln and Hitler both must have had some of Muhammad’s blood in their veins. One sent a gun boat down Chesapeake Bay (disguised as a merchant marine ship) to *attack* South Carolina, and the other rigged up a phony “attack” on some corpses taken out of concentration camps and clothed in German uniforms (Poland, Gleiwitz; 1939). Both operations were “in the way of Allah.” Both operations *pretended* the “enemy” *started* the war by “firing the first shot” against them. They had been “attacked.” Lincoln was “attacked” when South Carolina *seceded from the Union*, and Hitler was “attacked” when some Polacks killed some men at a radio station.

Lincoln, Hitler, and Muhammad.

“Birds of a feather.... etc.”

Only “defensive war,” right?

Sure kiddies, back in your playpens. Some of us learned how to read before we were ten years old.

After Muhammad has now lied like his Islamic commentators (for more than 390 pages), the *Koran* informs us that the religion of Muhammad will prevail over *all other religions*. Every Christian and every Jew must become a Muslim eventually (Sura 9:33), because “the light [oh, brother!] of Islam *enlightened the whole world* from the farthest east [Japan?] to the farthest west [Alaska?],” and this “prophecy of the *ultimate triumph of Islam in the whole world* [Red China? Alabama? Rome?] is repeated thrice in the *Holy Qur’an* in exactly the same words” (Sura 9:33).

A funny thing happened on the way to Muhammad’s harem: *four national revivals* broke out in England and the USA between 1750 and 1950, and neither Muhammad nor the *Koran* were connected with any of them in any way, including their *congregations*, their *preachers*, their “Holy” Book, their preaching, or their *teaching*. That would be more than 20,000,000 souls saved under the preaching of Jonathan Edwards, C. T. Studd, John Wesley, David Livingstone, George Whitefield, Billy Sunday, Charles G. Finney, and Dwight L. Moody.

The “light” those gentlemen shed on America and the British Empire would make the *Koran’s* “blaze of light” look like some India ink that someone spilled on a bed sheet.

Note that Muslims call their belief a “RELIGION.” No “*religion*” can save anyone. That is what Cain had. Abel had a blood atonement for his sins.

In the New Testament, salvation is never by “religion,” baptism, sacraments, golden rules, ten commandments, good works, piety, holiness, prayer, or the accruelements of any “religion.” In the New Testament, salvation is by a *Person* (Acts 4:12; Matt. 1:21; 1 John 5:10–13). No “thing” or “it” can save any sinner (see 1 Sam. 4:3), not even the “**HOLY ARK**” (2 Chron. 35:3).

Whereas Muhammad claimed to present “the whole truth and infused a *new LIFE* into all people who accepted it” (p. 393), the fact is that no Muslim ever professed that 2 Corinthians 5:17 or John 3:3–5 had ever taken place in his life. No Muslim even knows what a spiritual resurrection is, as described in Ephesians 2:1–6. This is because Muhammad knew nothing about salvation by grace (which is in the context, Eph. 2:8). Muhammad, like every unregenerated, RELIGIOUS sinner on earth, was counting on THINGS to save him! Note: “all people who accepted IT” (p. 393), not “HIM.” Muhammad, unlike his competitor (Jesus Christ), couldn’t “save” his food stamps.

John overruled the obscene comments of M. M. Ali, above, by saying that Jesus Christ’s version of salvation was “**thy truth: thy word is truth...the words that I speak unto you, they are SPIRIT, and they are LIFE**” (John 17:17, 6:63). No “new life” can come without a *new birth*. Muhammad, M. M. Ali, and Allah were just “pullin’ your leg.”

Any teenager in a Baptist church knows that “RELIGION” is what a sinner DOES to *justify himself* and “SALVATION” is what God GIVES a sinner who knows that he cannot JUSTIFY himself. When the apostle Paul (whose forefathers wrote the entire Old

Testament [Rom. 3:2] was “infused with new life” (Acts 9; Gal. 1), he said that he counted his former “religion” (Phil. 3) as “**DUNG**” (Phil. 3:8).

“*Religion*” is a system of *works* (see James 1:27, where “**pure religion**” is defined). “*Salvation*”—giving any sinner entrance into “Paradise” or New Jerusalem—is taking a *FREE GIFT* (Rom. 5:15) by faith (Eph. 2:8), and that gift is not just a “thing” (eternal life); it is a *PERSON*, for the *PERSON is eternal life*, according to 1 John 5:20 and 5:10–12.

According to the New Testament, Muhammad and all of his followers were just as lost as a golf ball in high weeds. That is why Muhammad so hated the New Testament that he did not hesitate to call the Lord Jesus Christ a bald-faced liar and a “disbeliever” (see pp. 68, 126–128).

(*Don’t you believe a word I said. Go back and check pages 54–56 for the documented, dated material.*)

Since all Muslims, Catholics, and unsaved Liberals believe that *Jesus Christ* was the founder of a RELIGION, and since all Muslims, Catholics, and unsaved Liberals believe that *Muhammad* founded a religion, I am perfectly “within my rights” to ask any of them for a copy of at least fifty hymns of praise that Muslims wrote *for the founder of their “religion.”* I have yet to see *one hymn* in Muhammad’s honor. I can find you marches written in honor of a *dead General*—the Germans have at least five of them. I can find you songs praising *dead soldiers* (“Rodger Young,” “Joshua fit the battle of Jericho”), *railroad engineers* (“Casey Jones”), *train robbers* (“Jesse James”), et al.; but not ONE of over 900,000,000 Muslims between A.D. 600 and A.D. 2000 had the grace or the interest to put together *one hymn book* for a sinner who was supposed to have produced a book *that would conquer every religion on earth.*

Let me give you some good advice, to which many of you are not going to pay any attention. For knowledge of the PAST, study Genesis–Revelation. For knowledge of the PRESENT, study Genesis–Revelation. For knowledge of the FUTURE, study Genesis–Revelation; that is, the future of nature, nations, peoples, races, cities, the solar system, governments, your neighbors, the universe, your family, and YOURSELF.

I will guarantee you that in *one reading* you will receive more *scientific* information that is correct, more *theological* doctrines that are true, more wisdom than 2,500 years of philosophers could assemble, and more *truth* about life, sin, death, Heaven, and Hell than 100 readings through Muhammad’s religious monologues with himself.

If one were to write a summary of the *Koran* and evaluate its religious content, he would have to wind up with only *three main theological points* (or “fundaments”). None of them were original. Every one of them was in vogue and well known *before 1800 B.C.*, according to the book of Job. That is, Muhammad was 2,200 years too late to contribute *anything* to anyone’s religious knowledge; that is twenty-two centuries “out of whack.”

1. *Monotheism*: “**Thou believest that there is one God; thou doest well: the devils also believe, and tremble**” (James 2:19).

All devils are monotheists, just like Muhammad.

The belief came from the Old Testament.

“Hear, O Israel: The LORD our God is one LORD” (Deut. 6:4).

At the time of the writing of the *Koran*, *monotheism* was about as “original” as olive oil and camel’s hair.

So Muhammad—slick, sly, cunning, crafty liar that he was (see p. 135) pulled a god like that out of his turban and then *talked him into existence* with no more eyewitnesses than his nine-year-old “wife” who was playing with her doll baby.

“In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful!”

Naturally, such a “religion” (and that is exactly what it was) would have a tremendous appeal to any self-righteous sinner who enjoyed fornication, adultery, and *killing his enemies*.

Herein lay the great “drawing power” of “Islam,” *and so it is today*.

2. The second main theological theme of the *Koran* is good works. You can *earn* Paradise by these; in the New Testament you can’t (Rom. 3–5, 10).

With all of his gas about “Paradise,” Muhammad is a total stranger to any sinner getting eternal life as a GIFT. It doesn’t appear anywhere in 114 chapters. If he had seen a *real* prophet writing Romans 4:5 or Ephesians 2:8 or Romans 4:6, he would have had a psychotic hemorrhage.

“But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness” (Rom. 4:5).

“For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God” (Eph. 2:8).

“Even as David also describeth the blessedness of the man, unto whom God imputeth righteousness without works” (Rom. 4:6).

Eternal life in the New Testament is more than a **“gift”** (Rom. 6:23); it is a **“FREE gift”** (Rom. 5:16).

On this subject—*the heart and center of humanity’s chances to be “uplifted”* (p. 5)—Muhammad is as blank as Princess Di, Frank Sinatra, Nelson Mandela, JFK, FDR, Yassar Arafat, Einstein, Churchill, Freud, Marx, Gandhi, or Pope John Paul II.

3. The third main religious theme of the *Koran*, of course, is that there will be a final judgment on the “Last Day” to prove that all Muhammadans are right and all Christians, Jews, Catholics, Buddhists, Hindus, Taoists, etc., are wrong.

The word “Hell” and “comments appertaining thereunto” occurs more than *200 times* in the *Koran*; better than once out of each Sura. Sometimes the word itself has a substitution such as “the flaming fire” or “the fire” or “the burning fire,” etc., but the reference, still, is to Hell.

Since nothing in the *Koran* is a revelation of *anything* that was not thoroughly revealed before A.D. 90, the theme is a little “overworked.” Anyone who listened to Jesus Christ (He died fifty-six years before A.D. 90) knew more about Hell than Muhammad

found out in a lifetime (see Matt. 5, 13, 23, 25; Mark 9; Luke 16, etc.).

Muhammad says, a dozen times, that if anyone rejects what HE says about history, religion, life, death, good works, Paradise, Hell, the truth, “Allah,” or Islam, they go to HELL after death. Two cute little “do-dads” are added to this by M. M. Ali (p. 26) so the *Koran* will not cross what it is saying. The first Mickey Mouse expedient is to claim that an *eternal god* doesn’t ever require any sinner to make an eternal payment for sin, because no one can sin against the eternal “god” (see pp. 32–35). The second Little Miss Muffet “cutey” is that Hell is not really literal fire: it is “*metamorphical*” (see pp. 28–29).

Since M. M. Ali actually *denied* what the *Koran* did say about Hell, I am going to give you a “print-out” from the *Koran* and its notes by M. M. Ali so you will see the inevitable psychotic condition that every cockeyed nut is going to get into if he is fool enough to believe the *Koran*. You will see that the end result of trying to reconcile these Suras could be nothing but a straight jacket in a Funny Farm.

I am going to give you *nineteen samples* of what a *Koran* “Hell” is supposed to be. To make any kind of a sensible doctrine out of these nineteen passages would try Houdini far past any limits of contortions he ever could have performed.

Hell is like a MOTHER *inside you* instead of you *inside her!* Hell is not real, it is just a *metaphor*; Hell is still a punishment, although it is a metaphor; it is *not* a metaphor, it is a *burning fire* into which you fall. But you can’t stay in it forever (or else it can’t *burn* forever), for it was NOT for punishment but for *purification*. You drink boiling water in it, but it is not *water*. Hell “lies in wait” *after* death, but it is inside you *before* death. It has guards around it, but *they* are not inside you. You are dragged into a *metaphor* by fetters and chains which are not metaphors. Hell has to be “brought forth” before anyone can see it. And finally, you actually BURN in it, although its “burning fire” is not *fire*, etc.

Shall we begin?

This is the holy doctrine of the “Holy” *Koran* given by Allah’s Holy Prophet; we title it the Fiery, Fireless Hell.

“In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful!”

a. Hell is in *the hearts* of men. “A man’s Hell is thus within his own heart IN THIS LIFE” (comment on Sura 104:6–7, p. 1208, note 2798).

b. You should call Hell “mother” because this indicates “that man’s connection with Hell is similar to that of a *baby with its mother*.”

“His stay in Hell is only for a time, as the child *DRAWS NOURISHMENT FROM HIS MOTHER* only for a time” (Sura 101:9–11, p. 1203, note 2790).

I.e., Hell is *in you*, (a) but you are *inside it* like your *mother* (b) so Hell can “nourish you.”

c. However, *after* death, when a disbeliever meets Allah at the “Last Day” (Sura 84:6–7) he will “call for perdition, and ENTER *into* the BURNING fire” (Sura 84:12). (*His “mother” who was inside HIM, on earth?*)

d. “Whoever disobeys Allah and Muhammad (“His Messenger”), surely for him is the

FIRE of Hell to abide therein *for ages*” (Sura 72:23). “He...finds a FLAME lying in wait for him” (Sura 72:9). Allah will say to him, “Enter THE FIRE with those who enter” (Sura 66:10).

In this case, those *entering* would be Jack Hyles, Billy Graham, Mother Teresa, all of the popes, JFK, Wesley, Whitefield, Knox, Luther, Ignatius, Polycarp, Chrysostom, Gen. Grant, Gen. Lee, Queen Victoria, Churchill, Dwight Moody, Charles Finney, Bob Jones Sr. and Jr., Peter Cartwright, Gen. William Booth, George Washington, Florence Nightingale, Abraham Lincoln, Billy Sunday, John R. Rice, and “Stonewall” Jackson.

e. “Surely HELL lies in wait” (Sura 78:21). How can it “lie in wait” if it has been operating *in you* all of your lifetime? (Footnote! Explanation!) Hell is *not* “fire” at all, so it doesn’t have to “lie in wait.” “The punishment of Hell” is just a “*requital* corresponding to the sin.” Thus every sinner makes his own Hell while he is sinning against no one but *himself* (see pp. 35, 137).

f. But! *all* disbelievers “are driven to HELL-FIRE with *violence*. This is the FIRE, which you gave the lie to” (Sura 52:13–14). “BURN IN IT, then bear it patiently” (Sura 52:16).

Does the reader have the least idea, by now, where he is MENTALLY (let alone *spiritually*) after this “correct history” which “explains all obscurities” by giving you a “perfect revelation of Divine Will” (p. xi)? Why, surely you know where you are by now if you thought this mess was anymore “holy” than a smashed possum. *You are in a padded cell with Daffy Duck.*

But the “HOLY”—don’t you know, Buster!—*Koran* is not through brainwashing you yet. We continue! In one of his more lucid moments of religious hysteria, Muhammad says:

g. In Hell they eat *food*, but the food “seethes in their bellies like molten brass” (Sura 44:45). (The poor fool thought that a SOUL, in a *spiritual body* [see 1 Cor. 15:44], had to *eat food*. Could any religion be any more harebrained than that, unless it was Christian Science?) “Seize him, then drag him into the midst of a metaphor....” Oops! Pardon me! I misquoted Allah. What he MEANT was “Seize him, then drag him into the midst of HELL; then pour on his head the torment of boiling WATER” (Sura 44:47–48). Well, sir! The rich man, in Hell (Luke 16), would sure consider *that* to be a lucky break!

h. “Surely Allah will make these who believe and DO GOOD enter Gardens...those who *disbelieve* [Isaiah, Jeremiah, the apostle Paul, the apostle John, and Jesus Christ—see pp. 69, 75]...the FIRE is their abode” (Sura 47:12).

i. “And whoever believes *not* [see the list under h.]...then surely we have prepared BURNING FIRE for [them]” (Sura 48:13). But! not till the second Hell; their *first* Hell was *inside them all the time they were alive*. (I guess you might call it “heart BURN.” Yakkity, yak, yak!)

j. Then when you get in the BURNING METAPHOR (excuse me, “FIRE”) after death (Sura 40:47), you will ask your buddies you followed why *they* led you the wrong way. “Those who were proud [Muhammad and the Popes foremost!] say: Now we are all IN

IT...and those IN THE FIRE will say to the guards of Hell...etc.” (Sura 40:47–49).

Oh no! NO, no, no nooooo! Surely the deceived will say, “Thank Allah we had a taste of this *before* we got here so we could get *used to it*, and praise Allah the Beneficent and Merciful that the whole mess is just a *metaphor* so this here *boiling water* is actually just lukewarm goat’s milk!”

k. “Those who reject the Book [same list given under h.]...when the fetters are on their necks and the CHAINS, they are dragged into HOT WATER; then in the FIRE *they are BURNED*” (Sura 40:70–72).

l. All Muslims have already decreed the doom of all Jews and Christians, for Allah will “drive the guilty to HELL as thirsty beasts” (Sura 19:84, 86).

Those are your friendly neighborhood “Palestinians” who sit with you in the UN in *downtown New York!* And kill civilians in *downtown New York!*

m. Anyone who fails to believe what Muhammad cooked up in his nut house discourses are told, “We have prepared HELL as entertainment for the *disbelievers*...That is their reward—HELL” (Sura 18:102, 18:106).

“WE shall bring forth HELL, exposed to view...” (Sura 18:100). From where? *The inside of each sinner?* You silly, superstitious illiterate. Don’t you know that God Almighty brings forth “Hell” to view in the Millennium, more than 1,000 years *before* Allah has his “Last Day”? *Where were you when Isaiah wrote Isaiah 34 and 66?*

Where were you when Christ preached Mark 9 and Matthew 13, which dealt with the Millennium BEFORE “the Last Day”? Give account of yourself, you lazy ignoramus. What have you been reading? *Mad Magazine?*

o. “And the guilty will SEE the FIRE, and know that they are about to FALL INTO IT, and they will find no escape from it” (Sura 18:53).

For your enlightenment and information, stupid, all self-righteous, unregenerate sinners are “**TURNED into hell**” (Psa. 9:17), and they are “**CAST into a furnace of fire**” (Matt. 13:42); then they are “**CAST into the lake of fire**” (Rev. 20:14–15). Nobody “falls into” nothing, unless it was Korah, Dathan, and Abiram in the Old Testament—which you have been screwing up ever since Sura 1!—in Numbers 16:32–34, or Lot’s Masonic buddies in 2 Peter 2:6 and Jude 7.

p. “Surely we have prepared for the iniquitous a FIRE, an enclosure of which will encompass them....” Stealing again, eh, Muhammad, you ole’ thief!? You stole *that one* right out of 2 Samuel 23:6–7, which was in circulation before your great, great, great grandmother was born.

“If they cry for water, they are given water LIKE molten brass, scalding their faces” (Sura 18:29). Oh, then! The “water” in Sura 44:47–48 was *not* what the *Koran* said: it said “BOILING WATER” (see g.). Make up your mind, double-minded.

Ali’s comment on this (p. 577) is as pious (and as stinking and as twisted) as Arafat at a summit meeting: “They refused the water of spiritual life [stolen from John 4:14, which is a reference to Jesus Christ, not Muhammad!]...and so they will have no WATER to

quench their thirst in the life after death.” *Sure they do* (Sura 44:47–48): “boiling WATER.” Of course they *don’t* (Sura 18:29)!

“Mary had a little wall, rockaby horses and all the king’s men; when the pie was opened Jack fell down and broke his puddin and pie. Boop boop gettum dotum wantum choo! Marzy doats and Doazy doats and little lams eadivy!”

“Holy,” man, holy! *Just as “holy” as a used jock strap.*

Those are the three main *religious themes* of the “Holy” *Koran*.

M. M. Ali, Muhammad, and “Allah” handled them with all the wisdom, understanding, spiritual foresight, knowledge, skill, and dignity of Larry, Moe, and Curly publishing a book on how to split the atom.

Let’s have a “Postscript” and be done with this depraved, psychotic, lunatic “religion.”

One whole Section in Sura 43 is titled “GOLD” (p. 928). *There is no discussion of gold found anywhere in the Section, which has fifteen paragraphs written about anything but gold.* The word itself is found only *one time* in the Section. Anyone could have titled the same section as “Ancients” or “Water” or “Pairs” or “Rank,” for each of them occurs one time (43:8, 43:11, 43:12, and 43:32). You also could have justly called it *anything*, for there is nothing in it dealing with its title.

Check, along with this, the Sections titled “The Sandhills” (p. 952), “The Kneeling” (p. 946), “The Victory” (p. 967), “The Scatterers” (p. 987), or “The Mountain” (p. 993), where there is no discussion of *anything* connected with the titles. The Section called “The Star” (p. 1000) has no star in it that is named, located, or described, and the Section called “The Moon” (p. 1007) doesn’t give you any information about the moon at all. It runs fifty-five paragraphs without telling you what God told you about the MOON in Genesis 1, in Job 15, in the Song of Solomon, or Acts 2. There is more information on “The Moon” in Psalm 19 than Muhammad ever put in 114 chapters of religious *guesswork*.

What are actually the contents of “The Moon” and “The Star” and “The Scatterers,” etc.?

Nothing but an interminable rehash of Hell, the “Last Day,” “disbelievers,” “believe what we say or drop dead,” Allah is great, his Messenger (the speaker!) is great, good works, a make-believe Paradise, etc.

Anyone familiar with commercial advertising in America can read off the attempts of a professional con man to get attention from a sucker, when he studies the *Koran’s* “Sections” after Sura 69. As Muhammad nears the end (Sura 114), he poops out, and his Sections get shorter and shorter and say less and less. At the same time, M. M. Ali’s notes get longer and longer, trying to make you *think* you are reading something *important* when you are simply staggering around in a smoke screen of platitudes by a man who doesn’t know where he came from, where he is going, or what he is supposed to be doing while he is here.

As God is my witness, if I sat down right this minute with a *King James, 1611, Bible* in my hand, I could write out a “section” with more words in it than can be found in *five* of Muhammad’s last Suras COMBINED—take Suras 100–105 or Suras 106–111 or Suras

If I could not write you out *twenty pages*, single-spaced, on “The Moon” or “The Star” or “The Time” or “The Slanderer” (that one doesn’t cover HALF a page of print in the *Koran*) or “The Help” or “The Flame” or “The Dawn,” I would go over to Mecca and bow down to a Blarney Stone ten times a day.

Crumbly work; shallow, reckless, confused production. Whoever put the Koran together simply had to wrack their brains to think of something to say to make you think that “the big show is just on the inside of the tent!” “Step right this way, ladies and gentlemen! Behold Jo-Jo the dog-faced boy! Born in Africa with a veil over his face! Step right this way; all it will cost is one dime! One thin dime, one tenth of a dollar! Don’t miss the sensation of the centuries! It walks, it talks, it’s almost human! (Get outta here, little boy, fore I call a cop!), etc.”

That is what the “Titles” are on Muhammad’s last twenty or thirty Suras.

The Section titled “The Kingdom of God” (pp. 1080–1084) has only fifty paragraphs on *four* pages, and none of them discuss *any* Kingdom of God. All they say is that unbelievers will be “inmates of the burning FIRE” while do-gooders will get rewarded. Sinners will burn in Hell (*literal fire* this time! Sura 67:6, 10), and there are seven heavens, and sinners are to “fear Allah.”

“*The Kingdom of God*”?

Well, how about *any* Kingdom?

“The Kingdom of God” contains nothing about *any* kingdom. The man who wrote the heading was “on POT” when he put a pot over his head to write.

Wanna really get a “kick” and get “turned on” with 220 volts? I can tell you how to do it. Get you a copy of *The Kingdom of God versus the Kingdom of Heaven*, printed in 1959 (and reissued in 1969 as *The Sure Word of Prophecy*), and you will find, not four pages on “The Kingdom”; you will find *295 pages* on “the Kingdom,” *accompanied by charts*.

I don’t know what Muhammad had for resources when he tried to recite *eight pages* of material, but my resources for 295 pages of material were sixty-six books given by inspiration and committed to writing more than 400 years before Muhammad knew what the word “Kingdom” meant—in Arabic.

I have dozens of children in my church who are under fifteen years old, and any one of them could out-prophesy Muhammad *about ten to one* when it came to telling the future. Allah’s “Messenger” couldn’t drum up *ONE* historical prophecy dealing with any person, place, name, nation, location, or event that ever took place in history after he died.

The congregations who sat under J. Frank Norris, B. B. Crimm, B. R. Lakin, John Rawlings, Beauchamp Vick, William Ward Ayer, Bob Jones Sr., Mordecai Ham, William Bell Riley, Lehmann Strauss, John Rice, Joe Henry Hankins, Hyman Appleman, Jesse Hendley, Hugh Pyle, Carl Lackey, Dr. Walter Wilson, Charles Fuller, Theodore Epp, M. R. DeHaan, and Harvey Springer lived to see anywhere from two to twenty prophecies fulfilled about which these men preached; and all of them came into being *AFTER those preachers were dead*.

In Clarence Larkin's work, published before 1929, you will find more than 100 events forecasted that did not take place till after his book *Dispensational Truth* had been printed and published and been "on the market" for twenty years. There are more than 200 prophecies in it that have not been fulfilled yet but will be fulfilled as sure as more than 100 of them were fulfilled *before* 1990.

The *Koran* is such a "Johnny-come-lately" that it is really too humorous to consider. At least 100 prophecies in the Old Testament were fulfilled, 400 more are going to be fulfilled, and at least fifty of those have been fulfilled in the twentieth century. Those prophecies all *preceded* the "Johnny-come-lately" *Koran* by more than 800 years.

Summary: **"Let no man deceive you...Be not deceived...Let no man deceive himself...Many false prophets...shall deceive many...IF THEY SPEAK NOT ACCORDING TO THIS WORD, IT IS BECAUSE THERE IS NO LIGHT IN THEM."**

That last "blaze of light" on the obscure *Koran* came from a Jewish prophet (Isa. 8:20) and was recorded in **"the oracles of God"** (Rom. 3:2) as **"given by inspiration"** (2 Tim. 3:16).

The *Koran* is just one more religious black hole in outer space; it is no more related to God's will or God's word or God's *nature* or God's plans or God's *predictions* than it is related to religious sanity. Its only appeal, through the centuries, has been to the *self-righteous, Adamic nature of proud sinners* who are **"dead in trespasses and sins"** (Eph. 2:1) and desire to hang on to a few carnal, *fleshy* sins, while they hope to *work their way into a mythical Paradise where their flesh can still enjoy itself*.

None of them are even close to a "blaze of light" when it comes to "the light of the world" (John 1:8). *The Koran emits no light, whatsoever, on anything*. Those who grasp it like a drowning man cannot do it without turning their back on the **"Sun of Righteousness"** (Mal. 4:2) and willfully reject **"the true LIGHT which lighteth EVERY MAN that cometh into the world"** (John 1:9).

That light never lit on Muhammad, according to Isaiah, John, and Solomon. He lived and *died* just as LOST as a golf ball in high weeds.

"To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them" (Isa. 8:20).

"He that is of God heareth God's words: ye therefore hear them not, because ye are not of God" (John 8:47).

"Whoso despiseth the word shall be destroyed: but he that feareth the commandment shall be rewarded" (Prov. 13:13)

[Other works available on Kindle](#)

Entire publication list at

www.kjv1611.org