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Way way back in 1970, we published a small 228 page booklet called *The Christian's Handbook of Manuscript Evidence*, Ruckman (Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore). It went into four editions before 1980. This small tractus was a very abbreviated survey of the work done by the Scholars’ Union in the Alexandrian Cult, since the days of Origen, as they attempted to rid the world, first, of the correct Old Latin and Old Syrian texts, then the correct Greek text (TR), and, finally, the correct German text (Luther), and the correct English Text (*The Authorized Version*). Later, we printed *The Christian’s Handbook of Biblical Scholarship*, Ruckman (Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1988) which was a much more thorough treatment of the same subject. In these two publications we proved, with page, after page, after page of documented evidence (both historical and scriptural), three things:

1. Every English translation on the market since 1885, beginning with the grossly corrupt RV of Westcott and Hort, was a Pro-Roman Catholic perversion designed to restore a North African Alexandrian text to the “throne” of authority in the Body of Christ. The Roman Catholic Church is a Latin church, whose roots are to be found in North Africa. (See Ruckman, *Black is Beautiful* [Pensacola: Bible Believers Press, 1996].) “Every English translation” would now (1996) include over 200 phony “Bibles.”

To make sure that some skeptical readers would “get the message,” we listed in *Manuscript Evidence* (pp. 161–172) more than *six times as many verses* to prove our thesis than Westcott, Hort, Aland, Metzger, Wikgren, etc. could produce to prove their thesis: that the AV Greek text was a “late text” which came from a “conflated text” in the fourth and fifth century. We listed fifty-one cases which proved that the RV, RSV, NRSV, ASV, NASV, NIV, (and all others like them), were Roman Catholic Dark Age Versions, headed up by the official Jesuit “Bible” of 1582: the Jesuit Rheims’ version.

2. The motivation and purpose behind 200 corrupt perversions of the Holy Bible was to get rid of ONE English Bible in particular: only one. This “hate Book” was NOT the Greek Receptus, nor the Greek “Majority” Text; it was not a “Western Text” or a “Syrian text,” or any “Caesarian text” nor was it Luther’s version, or even (God have mercy on your soul!) “the plenary, infallible, verbally inspired, original autographs.” The pen (or linotype or computer or typewriter, or whatever) of every false scribe involved in the hellish operation (Jer. 8:8), who perverted the words of the living God (Jer. 23:36) from 1611 to 1996, was dedicated to ONE task: replacing the English *Authorized Version* of 1611 as the “final authority in all matters of faith in practice,” in the closing period (Laodicea) of Church History.

All of these Bible corrupters (2 Cor. 2:17) thought, felt, spoke, wrote, and reacted in the same way with the same *goal* in mind: “How can we get rid of that accursed BOOK, and replace it with our own opinions and preferences so that Christian ministers will look to Higher Christian Education (Colleges, Seminaries, and Universities) as their *final authority*?”

All apostate Conservatives, Evangelicals, and Fundamentalists today (Bob Jones II,
Robert Sumner, John Ankerberg, John MacArthur, Chuck Swindoll, James Dobson, Pat Roberson, Bill Bright, James White, Palmer, Fee, Afman, Barker, Kutilek, etc.) have devoted themselves to that “scholarly” task; earning their D.D.s: Doctors of Destruction.

3. Finally we proved, by documented attestation from dozens of sources (pp. 40–68), that no such animal as a B.C. “Septuagint” (LXX) ever existed before the completion of the New Testament. We listed ALL of the LXX manuscripts, including the papyri (pp. 45, 48–51). There was not to be found ONE manuscript or ONE Old Testament Greek “Bible,” not ONE Greek fragment or ONE piece of a Greek fragment written before A.D. 150, that ANY apostle quoted, or that Jesus Christ quoted. Not ONE. And even the date A.D. 150 is “fudging,” for Aquila’s “Septuagint,” (supposedly written between A.D. 128 and 140), was not published by Origen till after A.D. 220. Aquila’s text (A.D. 128–150) is not extant; it has not been extant since A.D. 6.

No apostle quoted any part of Ryland’s papyrus 458 (150 B.C. supposedly). Not ONCE since our first book was published (Manuscript Evidence, 1970), has any Christian scholar in England, Africa, Europe, Asia, or the Americas (representing ANY University, College, Seminary, or Bible Institute—Christian or otherwise), ever produced ONE verse of ONE part of any verse of a Greek Old Testament written before A.D. 220. (see above) that ANY New Testament writer quoted. This means that 5,000–6,000 lying jacklegs had been given twenty-seven years to produce ONE piece of evidence for the Greek Septuagint the New Testament writers were supposed to have been quoting. In twenty-seven years, the whole Scholars’ Union couldn’t come up with ONE verse. They “stressed out.” As a modern generation would say: “totally outta here!”

The head of the Bible Department at Bob Jones University (1981) was so anxious to propagate the standard, lying tradition about the LXX that he lied three times on three pages of his work on The Truth about the KJV Controversy (Stewart Custer [Greenville, SC: Bob Jones University Press, 1980], pages 36, 37, and 38).

First of all, this professional liar said that “the wealth of information” found in the Dead Sea Scrolls proved that there was a Greek O.T. “extant” before 4 B.C. He said, “this wealth of information completely discredits Mr. Ruckman’s OPINIONS.,” (Custer’s Last Stand. Ruckman [Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1981], pg. 36.)

He couldn’t cite one Greek reading from one piece of paper (with Greek on it) that was written before A.D. 220. He just gave an opinion which was a bald-faced lie and then said his lie “completely discredited” Ruckman’s opinion. “Ruckman’s opinion” wasn’t given till Ruckman had laid out all the evidence of the Dead Sea Scrolls, found in four books written by the professionals who examined the “finds.” Custer’s “wealth of information” didn’t exist. He was walking in his sleep. In UFOlogy it’s called “Hypnagogic Cultural Tracking.” Some HEBREW (not Greek) Manuscripts (Mss.) in the Qumran Community match extant Masoretic texts; some match Septuagint texts, WRITTEN in A.D. 330–350 (Sinaiticus and Vaticanus), and others are HEBREW (not Greek) texts which do not match either the Post-Christian Masorah or the Post-Christian “LXXs.”

Custer couldn’t list ONE Greek manuscript, ONE Greek fragment, or even one piece
of one fragment of ANY Greek Old Testament that ANY apostle quoted: not ONE. Get a copy of his book and read it. We handle it at our Bookstore. Through the years we have always promoted and sold the works of our adversaries. Censorship is not in our line. That is a Roman Catholic (Council of Trent) operation. We sell books by John Rice, Curtis Hutson, James White, Stewart Custer, Gary Hudson, Hot Dog Hymers, and Robert Sumner.

Because he was totally unable to give his readers so much as a “peek” at anything in his great “wealth of information”—it is usually parroted as “an embarrassment of riches”—Stu Baby cited a certain Patrick Skehan, who is quoted as listing “scores of manuscripts in Greek that were circulating while the New Testament was being written” (Custer’s Last Stand, pg. 19).

And how many did Mr. Skehan list? You get one guess.

And how many of them did Custer list? You still get one guess.

And were they even BIBLICAL manuscripts? Neither man opened his yap.

And how many were quoted by N.T. writers? You get one guess.

They turned out to be airheads on a premature burn-out.

Skehan and Custer couldn’t justify ONE statement either of them made. But “Ruckman” was “discredited,” was he? Boys, you got screened just before the puck went between your pads into the “cage.”

If there were “scores” of these Greek Old Testament manuscripts in circulation, that the New Testament writers quoted, how is that neither Custer, nor Skehan, nor Swete, nor Rahl, nor Robertson, nor Kittel, nor Delitzsch, nor Goodspeed, nor Nestle, nor Aland, nor Bruce, nor Metzger, nor Zodhiates, nor Davidson, Kahle, Bratton, Jerome, Augustine, Scrivener, Miller, Hills, Burgon, Hort, Palmer, Afman, Pickering, Hodges nor the KING JAMES TRANSLATORS could produce ONE?

Little too much “wealth of material” there, eh boys?

Face it, boys: you didn’t have ANY material to investigate. Anyone who believed you suffered “the tyranny of inflated expectations.”

From the days of Philo the Alexandrian (20 B.C.–A.D. 50)—who may have written The Letter to Aristeas (see pp. 169–170)—to Aland and Metzger, and Nestle (1996), this North African MYTH, this legend, this Greek Fairytale for Grown-ups has persisted with the tenacity (and malignity) of a Pit Bull with AIDS. BJU lied twice: once about their “wealth of information” which turned out to be a Red Neck Millionaire’s wealth: a million holes in their britches and air comin’ through every one of ’em. BJU then lied, again, about “scores of manuscripts” being extant, for they didn’t dare list ONE of them. Obviously, Custer quoted Skehan “piecemeal” because he (and Skehan) knew that not one of the “circulating” Greek fragments contained ANYTHING quoted by Peter, James, John, Paul, et al. But professional liars never confine themselves to two lies per four pages. Custer, in an effort to prove that someone found all of the Old Testament books in GREEK, in the Dead Sea Scroll collection says:
“The only Biblical book not found in the QUMRAN SEPTUAGINT is Esther” (Custer, pg. 19).

There was no Qumran “Septuagint.”
All the Biblical books were written in Hebrew: not Greek.
He lied like kitchen floor linoleum.

(According to all New Agers, LYING is “Very today, man!”)
ALL PROFESSIONAL LIARS MAKE THEIR LIVING BY LYING. (See Chapter Seven, Biblical Scholarship.)

The old BJU liar knew (as well as he knew his own name) that every Biblical Book found in the Qumran collection, written before 4 B.C. was written in HEBREW. Manuscript (“R”) of the Minor Prophets was not in the Dead Sea Scroll collection; it came from a Wadi near Nahal Hever (see pg. 47). Custer lied just like James White lied. Old “Jimbo” lied more than seventy times in 271 pages: one “whopper” every three and one half pages. That is how Christian Scholars in Laodicea make their living. In the Alexandrian Cult lying is a "way of life": it is a "life style." They would call it “Legitimized innovations.”

Now this vicious, immoral type of Christian lying is so typical of the faculties and staffs of Maranatha, Cedarville, BJU, Wheaton, Fuller, Tennessee Temple, Moody, BBC, and Liberty Baptist University that it shouldn’t raise anyone’s eyebrows (see How to Teach the Original Greek, Ruckman [Pensacola: Bible Believers Press, 1992]). Lying is their “bread and butter.” Without it they could not stay open, and pass off as “scholarly” with “high academic standards,” etc. All Fundamental, Evangelical, and Conservative Christian Colleges, Universities, and Seminaries are “looking for a few good liars.” They hire them yearly and have been doing it since 1901 (the ASV).

How do you know BJU is staffed by professional liars?
No sweat. “Piece-a-cake.”
“Easy as pie.”
(“Easy, greasy: you gotta long way to slide.” American: circa 1940)

Stewart Custer didn’t give anyone ONE piece of documented evidence from ONE single Greek manuscript (or fragment) from Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Joshua, Judges, Ruth, 1 or 2 Samuel, 1 or 2 Kings, 1 or 2 Chronicles, Job, Psalms, Ecclesiastes, Proverbs or any of the Prophets (Isaiah-Malachi), written in Greek.

They were all (exclusive of Esther) written in HEBREW. You’ll have to put your “Biblical” studies “on hold” for ten years.

There wasn’t ONE “Biblical” Book found anywhere in the Dead Sea Scrolls, written before 220 B.C. (Aquila, Symmachus, Origen, and Theodotian in the Hexapla: and none of them are “extant”!) if it was a GREEK “Septuagint.”

“Wealth of information,” eh kiddies? You wouldn’t fool “your old man” would you kiddies? Hey deah, kiddies! See the puppets on Sesame Street? See the Punch and Judy
show? Hey kiddies! Wanna ’nother Fairytale? Wanna’ pay $6000 tuition for another one? How much tuition would your mommy and daddy pay to hear another one from some “good, godly, Evangelical Christian scholar?” “Shore up” his school; you can do it by “greasing his palm.” See the clown, kiddies (I Kings 13)? All the clowns are not in the circus, kiddies!

In one last, lying attempt to destroy the integrity of his reader, Stu-Baby cites Matthew 15:8–9 and Luke 4:18–19 (Custer, pg. 38) as proof that Matthew and Luke were using some Alexandrian monstrosity containing 12–14 Apocryphal books; but all is to no avail; the puck bounces off the skate of one of his defense men, and the announcer hollers “HE SHOOTS! HE SCORES!”

Both of Custer’s quotations, which he records, were written more than 240 years AFTER the completion of the entire New Testament. His citations both came from A.D. 330–350. They were from Aleph (Sinaiticus) and B (Vaticanus), two of the most corrupt and barbarous mutilations of Scripture ever created by Alexandrian Apostates (See Ruckman, The Scholarship Only Controversy [Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1996].) Custer’s “LXX” was a rotten avocado.

So, now, just before Satan incarnates himself in the flesh as the “Son of Perdition” (John 17:12; 2 Thess. 2:1–3), it is time to give you a detailed “run-down” about this Fairytale for Grown-ups. Only Darwin’s Theory of Evolution could match this “Scholarly” Masterpiece when it comes to irrational theorizing, philosophical speculation, random hallucinations, and twenty centuries of refusal to deal with concrete evidence and historical facts. These two “Grim Fairytales” (no misprint) persist in spite of literally tons of evidence which bears witness to their inane and incredulous dementia. We will show you the evidence. God forbid that an old junk yard dog like “Ruckman” should ever fail to produce three times as many “facts” as the conceited, stuffed shirts who worship Scholarship Onlyism, and the “great, scientific, Critical Editions” of Tischendorf, Griesbach, Tregelles, Hort, Nestle, Aland, Metzger, Wikgren, and Black.

It will be a hot day at the North Pole on the day when a Bible believing street preacher, with twenty-two years of formal education and five earned degrees, plus fifty years on the “front line” of pastoring and evangelism, could not see through this Laodicean “Scholarship” (1900–1990) and the Alexandrian Cult’s “best texts” (and professions in “the infallible, inspired original autographs”) like any fool could see through the rungs of a step ladder. We’ll “pull the plug on them.”

Origen believed in the inspiration of the “originals” (see pp. 73, 75) exactly like every apostate on the ASV, NASV, and NIV committees professed to believe in them. He just didn’t believe anything in them he didn’t LIKE (see James White’s comments on Rom. 1:18, 25; 1 Tim. 6:5, 10; 2 Cor. 2:17, etc. in The King James Only Controversy [Minneapolis: Bethany House Publishers, 1995]). On pages 72, 76 of this work you will find Origen’s approach to the matter of Final Authority. It is identical to Hort’s (1881). Both men professed to have an inner light, a guiding “intuition” that equipped them to judge what should be in the Bible and what should not be in the Bible. This Satanic attitude is identical to the attitude of every practical atheist on earth. A practical atheist often turns out to be a “born-again,” Evangelical, “Conservative,” Fundamental Christian
scholar. His highest authority—“Theism”, remember?—is HIMSELF. He has no God; not when the issue of Final Authority arises (see William P. Grady, Final Authority [Schererville, IN: Grady Publications, 1993]). His profession that he does believe that “God” is the final authority, (or even that he believes in the God revealed in the Bible, or even that he believes in the God who “inspired the original autographs”), means nothing, practically. ALL practical atheists, saved or lost, live and die with no reference to any written absolute TRUTH, or even any source of written absolute truth. At heart, they are ALL humanistic relativists. They believe in “situational ethics for classification of values.” In the case before us, we shall see that all of the “break-aways” down the rink, designed to give the Greeks credit (1 Cor. 1–3) for obtaining “the oracles of God” (Rom. 3:2), are not just “skating on thin ice,” they are simply crippled children, (crippled too high for crutches), falling, into the bleachers. My grandson’s generation would call them “weenies” and “flamers.”

Not content with a Greek New Testament, these bungling amateurs all insist that a non-existent Greek Old Testament is more accurate, many times, than the Hebrew Old Testament given to the Jews (Rom. 3:2). They all seek for “wisdom” (1 Cor. 1:22). They want credit for it (Col. 2:8; 1 Tim. 6:20), where it appears in Church History. Their envy of the Jew is apparent in every line of what is about to follow, and their practical atheism is apparent every time they back check, or forecheck anyone on the rink (Canadian: circa 1910–1990). Not ONE of them will accept what the Bible itself says about Alexandria (Acts 6:9, 27:6), or Egypt (Gen. 26:2, 50:25; Matt. 2:15; Jer. 44:26); and not ONE of them will accept what the Bible says about the transmission of the Old Testament text (Mal. 2:6–7; Ezra 7:6, 25–26; 2 Chron. 19:5–10), or what the Bible says about “wise and prudent” intellectuals (Isa. 28–29; Luke 10:21; 1 Cor. 1–3; Rom. 16:18, etc.) who think they are little gods on pink stilts.

They are a bottleneck to the flow of truth, reality, and spirituality. Their “final authority” is always a reference to a lost pile of pieces of paper which no one on earth ever saw for fifteen seconds. (See the Southwide Baptist Fellowship’s “statement of faith” 1995.) Their real final authority, which they hypocritically call “The Scriptures,” means nothing on this earth that anyone can see or read, or HAS seen or HAS read. They call their final authority “The Bible” (see BJU’s hypocritical profession), meaning “not one fool thing on the face of this earth.” What all of them call “The Word of God” (see Curtis Hutson, 1990) is actually 200,000 Greek variants, plus 600,000 English variants found in 200 apostate translations that contradict each other, deny the truth, cover up the truth, omit the truth, distort the truth and, many times, teach nothing but irresponsible nonsense (see Ruckman, The Scholarship Only Controversy, and The NIV: An “In-Depth” Documentation of Apostasy [Pensacola: Bible Believers Press, 1990]). With the assistance of Satan, they have racked up an awesome “body count” since 1881.

The real final authority for apostate Laodiceans like Kutilek, Duncan, Afman, Price, Martin, MacRae, Newman, Nestle, Aland, White, Metzger, Bruce, Farstad, Swindoll, Ankerberg, et al. is their own mind; they are their own gods (Gen. 3). They worship their own intellects: their “God is their belly” (Phil. 3:19; Rom. 16:18). By promoting their own intellectual opinions and preferences (at the expense of the Holy Bible), and raving about
conceited asses like themselves (the Scholars’ Union always presents a “united front”), they obtain good INCOMES ($$$).

They cut corners to “maintain a cash flow.” You use your income to feed your belly (Eccl. 6:7). They have learned how to “PIG OUT” in a discreet fashion.

All of these apostate Christian intellectuals write coolly, calmly, and courteously (Rom. 16:18). They never get above sixty degrees in the shade. The reason for this passionless, powerless, sterile, “objectivity” is not because they are intellectual, or spiritual. It is because they can make a good living writing that way. They mistake sterility and impotence for spirituality: every one of them. I have never written or talked to (or studied under) any one of them (Neal, Panosian, Custer, Brokenshire, White, Payne, Brunner, Sumner, White, Hunt, Combs, Hodges, Afman, etc.) who had a burning desire to do anything but justify his own sins and magnify the corrupt “scholarship” of the Alexandrian Cult. They had (and have) no burning desire to glorify God, or magnify His word (Ps. 138:2; Jer. 23:28–29); they do not fear God, or tremble at His words (Isa. 66:1–2; Phil. 2:12); they have no passion for souls, no missionary vision that is real, and they sit around and yawn while watching their own kind attacking (eventually) every Biblical Truth in the Holy Bible, in some place.

They do not preach on the street. They do not habitually pass out tracts. They are not brave personal workers or brave individual witnesses. They have “lace on their britches” in many cases (John Rawling’s terminology), and most of them are controlled by their wives like a pet poodle on a leash. A number of up-to-date Koine expressions come to mind: Geeks, Scumbags, Basketheads, Doctors of Dumbology, Pinheads.

They mistake anemia and glandular malfunctions for “godliness.” What they call “emotionalism” is the emotionalism of Mark 10:47–48; John 8:43–48; Ps. 69:9; and Luke 19:37–38, which they know nothing about. The only thing that ever excited them was their sales, attendance, or enrollment and their ability to impress someone with their intellectuality.

They are glandular malfunctions in the Body of Christ (1 Cor. 12) THEY ARE THE ENEMIES OF BIBLE-BELIEVING CHRISTIANITY.
“Once upon a time…etc.” Once upon a time there was a very wise African King in Egypt who thought it would be a stroke of genius to get the Old Testament Hebrew Bible translated into Greek, so all of the apostate Jews in Egypt (who were told not to go there: Jer. 44:25–26, 42:13–22) could read their own Bible.¹ (Had enough yet? There is “more to come!”) This spiritual powerhouse was named Ptolemy Philadelphus II (PP II), and he reigned around 285–250 B.C.

If this had not been his motive—you can find every kind of motive in the world theorized by the Alexandrian Cult—then his motive must have been to make the Old Testament available also to Egyptians in Africa; after all, they were his people. His people were not JEWS. The capital idea behind this motive would be so his own people could see how the Jews massacred them in Exodus chapters 4–15, and how God, from the start, always held Egypt and its rulers in CONTEMPT (Gen. 49:29, 50:25; Ezek. 32:2, 31–32; and Isa. 19–20:4). A real bonanza if you ever saw one, buster!

Man what an “illuminative symposium!”

Had enough yet? We haven’t got out of the hanger yet.

Well, this scintillating, spiritual, African Promise Keeper decided to “cope” with the problem by “sharing” such wonderful passages as Zechariah 14:16–21 with the Egyptians and Jews. So (theoretically) he sent to the high priest at Jerusalem (an unidentified “Eleazer”) who, if he were legit (see Mal. 2:1–3), was a Levite from the Tribe of Levi (see Exodus, Numbers, Ezra, etc.).² Ptolemy requested some Jewish linguistic experts, who majored in Greek and Hebrew grammar, to come down to Egypt and do the translating there instead of at Jerusalem. We can only assume that he realized he must have Greek scholars and Greek grammarians as well, and they would be in Jerusalem. But then “the funniest thing happened! The Giant started down the beanstalk to catch Jack, but Jack… etc.” Excuse me! I was carried away with higher scholarship there for just a moment; the academic standards were too high for me!

The funniest thing did happen. Not one Greek scholar showed up in Egypt to participate in the production of a Greek Old Testament! Not one. Trusting implicitly in seventy-two orthodox Jews to handle twenty-two Old Testament books in Greek, old PP II didn’t ask one Greek scholar to “sit in” on the entire translation of the Hebrew into the Greek. (PP II stands for “Putrid Punk, the Second.”)

Had enough? Wanna try Little Red Riding Hood for something credible?

Well sir, as old Uncle Remus said, “Dey done sent sebenty-two of dem dare Hebrew chillun—dee ones wid dee smart—down to Egypt an land sakes! What do you ’spose dey done did?”

They took six “scholars” from each of the twelve tribes, and “Eleazar” the high priest sent them packing on their way: “Shalom Elechim!” He did this knowing that he was
violating the entire Hebrew Old Testament Law from Numbers to Malachi (see 2 Chron. 17:7–9; Ezra 7:25–26; and Mal. 2:1–7). Eleazar was as stupid as Putrid Punk, the Second. They were both “spiritual giants” in the cliché vocabularies of the twentieth-century Scholars’ Union.

“Well suh what do ’spose happen next? Well suh! ole Brer Fox he done seed Brer Rabbit a coming down de lane and he…” (Excuse me, wrong story. I got carried away with the “accurate scholarship” of Augustine, James White, Hort, Nestle, Aland, Eusebius, Philo, and Josephus!)

These anonymous, faked, false, phony “scholars” (seventy-two of them), who were substitutes for the Levitical priesthood, went down into Egypt, carrying vellum scrolls with GOLD LETTERS written on them. It was a sort of Joe-Smith-Moroni-the Angel bit. They were met by a Demetrius Phalereus, who was supposed to be PP II’s librarian (see pg. 17). He took them to the Island of Pharos, and there his royal highness, PP II (the Pernicious Polecat), examined them. He wanted to check their scholastic backgrounds to make sure they could “communicate to the receptor” the “dynamic equivalents” and “formal correspondences” of his people on a “contemporary cultural level.” But then a funnier thing happened than when Jack started down the beanstalk (see above) or when Brer Rabbit hit the Tar Baby.

The Letter to Aristeas, describing all of this, was written in the best tradition of The Three Billy Goats Gruff or the Marx Bros. at the Circus. I have read this “Letter to Aristeas” (out loud) to my students every year from 1964 to 1996. The fairytale was supposed to have been written by an unidentified official in the Court of PP II—the Pixilated Peacock—and he is writing it to his brother, an unknown, unidentified brother: “Philocrates.” Note the first five letters: Philo.

But when ole’ PP II (the Putrefied Prince) examined his translating committee, he forgot to ask them ONE single question about the Bible, Hebrew grammar, Greek grammar, vocabulary words, punctuation, spelling, Greek and Hebrew syntax, genders, modes, conjugations, and declensions, or even about anything that ANY of them ever translated in their lifetimes, secular or sacred.

Not exactly a “clutch performance.”

Who was this STUPID IDIOT who ordered an “LXX” to be translated and then quizzed the translators? Why, he was the ruler of Alexandria, Egypt; an African King, Ptolemy Philadelphus II—PP II: Puking Poodle, the Second.

The quiz is forty pages of Greek Philosophical questions about everything except the Bible. The Letter To Aristeas is nothing but a condensation of the conversations held on the Stoa in Athens when Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle were “chairing” bull sessions that would make a Congressional filibuster look like a three-line telegram. When you read that “an anonymous official wrote a letter to Philocrates,” you are reading (in modern, up-to-date, American koine): Bugs Bunny sent a carrot to Elmer Fudd. You are reading the movie scenario for a teenage “gross-out flick.”

And then do you know what happened after these fake substitutes for the Levitical
priesthood were sent to “separate cells” to translate?

“Well sir! The Good Fairy touched Cinderella with her magic wand, and…!” Pop! Out came a verbally inspired Greek Old Testament containing Bel and the Dragon, Tobit, and Judith! The seventy-two Jewish “elders” (miscalled the “seventy” ever since), working in isolated companies, each did all twenty-two (or twenty-four) canonical Hebrew books, and they all came out matching “word-for-word, perfect!” Shazam! Wonder Woman! (Excuse me, again. I meant Captain Marvel!)5

Aurelius Augustine (354–430) believed that depraved nonsense just like you read it (see pg. 118). Philip Schaff calls Aurelius Augustine the first real “ROMAN CATHOLIC.”6 That checks out. That’s a “shot from the slot” (Canada, circa 1940–1990).

This great, new, “up-to-date, modern version,” this miraculously inspired “original,” was immediately called The Septuagint, meaning “The Seventy.” The acronym LXX signifies “seventy.” The Alexandrian Cult, to this day, calls it The Seventy (The LXX) because seventy-two translators were involved: not seventy.7 You say “why?” Well, when you are an habitual, pathological liar you don’t need any reason to lie: you just lie because it is your nature.

Some godless, carnal “Christian” read Exodus 24:1 and Numbers 11:24 (it was probably Philo of Alexandria) and decided to hoodwink the next forty-five generations of Alexandrians into thinking that this depraved pile of Egyptian nonsense was an official production of the God-appointed leaders of the nation of Israel; hence, seventy-two (Aristeas) was converted to seventy (Nestle, Aland, Metzger, et al.). Lying is a life style in the Alexandrian Cult. It has been for 2,300 years. They are professionals (see The Christians Handbook of Biblical Scholarship, Chapter 7).

They all believe in “pre-success positioning.” In the words of my grandson’s generation: “You pull yourself up by your own Reeboks.”

Now, since this Letter of Aristeas was taken seriously by three Bible-rejecting fools (Aristobulus, Philo, and Josephus; the latter being the personal aid to the Roman General Titus, while he was destroying Jerusalem),8 Augustine felt that he must be on sure ground, up in A.D. 400. You see, by then, the Children’s Bedtime Story had been altered, revised, subtracted from, and added to (and edited) considerably by the professional liars in the Scholars’ Union; men like Burkitt, Swete, Skehan, Custer, Neal, Panosian, Afman, Rahlfs, Price, Martin, Duncan, Nestle, Aland, Bruce, et al. It had been altered by “re-evaluating the evidence” to “fit the changing times.” The Scholars’ Union has been doing that for 2,000 years.

Want to see this depraved godless Christian “canon” in operation in 1980? Here it is. This is the rock-bed foundation upon which “Scholarship Onlyism” is built: rejection of Absolute Truth. This is the “basic concept” for capitalizing on degeneration and apostasy.

“Every age has its own methods and seeks its own forms. Thus lexicography, like other disciplines, even when they have done what they set out to do [all critical editions of the New Testament, all revisions of the AV, all material on the LXX, etc.] is never at its goal [i.e., “EVER LEARNING, AND NEVER ABLE TO COME TO THE
KNOWLEDGE OF THE TRUTH” (2 Tim 3:7).] but is always on its way to NEW INSIGHTS” which means nothing.

If the “insight” is not into THE TRUTH or never succeeds in finding THE TRUTH (John 14:17, 17:17; 1 Thess. 2:13), how do you know it is not just another new “insight” into DEATH AND HELL?

One must understand that every educated, destructive critic of the Holy Bible from Origen to James White has to turn, duck, twist, dodge, feint, readjust, “rethink,” and “re-evaluate” everything at least once a year in order to alibi for the mountains of nonsense and lies that his own crew (the Scholars’ Union) has been engaged in piling up since the time of Christ. Deissmann (1907), for example, was supposed to have proved that the New Testament was written in common, ordinary, street language Greek. But on page 653 of Volume 10 of Kittel’s *Theological Dictionary of the New Testament* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1964), you read that “not merely the content of the New Testament writings is unique but also the language in which they are written or translated.” Here comes another “News Media orgy.” You go in circles. These circular movements, at the back of dead-end alleys, are called “gaining new insights.” The deceived dupes who get trapped in them don’t even get an insight into their own spiritual condition. The man who indexed Kittel’s work (Ronald Pitkin; faculty at Bethel Theological Seminary, St. Paul) says the Dictionary “ENRICHED and DEEPENED my ministry.” Pitkin is a hard-shell, Amillennial, baby-sprinkling Calvinist who never led a soul to Christ in his life. He bills himself as a “Parish Minister.”

“Enrichment” and “new insights” are NEA “buzz words.” Like all News Media “double speak,” they simply mean: condoms, rock music, and abortions in Middle School. (You educated idiots can skip the “cloak and K-bar act.” We’re “out of the closet” now: you can “Boogie in public!”)

By now, ignorant Bible critics like Jimmy White, Doug Kutilek, Stewart Custer, Bob Ross, and Robert Sumner (Scumner) will be scurrying around in some library trying to prove that “Ruckman caricatured” the true position of the LXX. Or else, “Ruckman is being too sarcastic or bombastic” because he has no facts. “Ruckman is not scholarly because he does not approach the origin of the LXX in a scholarly fashion”: meaning, “Ruckman” has never honored, admired, revered (or even treated courteously) the most ungodly pack of carnal LIARS who ever tried to steal a Christian’s Bible from him.

So for these Twinkies and Dipsy Doodlers, I will lay out all of the evidence which they either tried to hide or else couldn’t find. I have done this on numerous occasions before (see *How to Teach the Original Greek, The Scholarship Only Controversy, The Anti-Intellectual Manifesto*, [Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1992, 1996, 1991]), so it will not require any particular effort on my part. I have four different editions of the Septuagint in my office, which are as many as Origen had: I have a *Septuagint Concordance*, with cross references to three more Septuagint Concordances, and then four standard works on the “Dead Sea Scrolls,” written between 1950 and 1993.

I also have photocopies of Sinaiticus and Vaticanus and P52 in the New Testament, which show how the writers of the “LXX” AFTER A.D. 140–220 simply transferred the
New Testament readings back into their spurious Old Testament so it would match the New Testament. All of this, of course, was done more than 240 years after John finished the book of Revelation. If Sinaiticus and Vaticanus copied Origen’s LXX, the “original” was still done more than 110 years after John finished the book of Revelation (A.D. 90).

The only “extant” LXX manuscripts which actually displayed these New Testament readings were not penned until 140 years after the Jewish council of Jamnia (A.D. 90) had settled the Old Testament canon; that council excluded every Apocryphal book found in the “Septuagint”: all fourteen of them.

Since the days of Augustine, the Scholars’ Union (Jerome, Ximenes, Erasmus, Hort, et al.) have invented nifty little “doo dads” and “whatchamacallits” to maintain the fairytale that there was an entire Greek Old Testament “extant” before 4 B.C., and the writers of the New Testament quoted it instead of a Hebrew Bible. You see, this is a Gentile fairytale that must be preserved at all cost, for it glorifies Gentile Greek scholarship. Many of the professional liars in the Alexandrian Cult have gone so far as to praise and glorify the mythological LXX as the means whereby all of the non-Hebrew speaking people of Africa, Asia, and Europe could learn about the Old Testament. The idea is, they never could have learned of its contents without a Greek Old Testament. But like every other pagan superstition, the whole fantasy breaks down when one realizes that the official language of the Western world became LATIN, not Greek, after Constantine. When Jerome shows up, no one needs a GREEK Old Testament; they need a Latin Old Testament. Greek becomes a dead language almost as soon as the extant “Septuagint” (A.D. 330–350) is produced. But a Latin Old Testament was around before Origen was born.

Although the Holy Spirit was supernaturally careful to insure the great truth of Romans 3:2, in both Testaments—all the authors of all sixty-six books are Jews—the German, American, and British apostates between 1700 and 1930 (including Dr. A. T. Robertson of Louisville) tried desperately to prove that at least one had to be a Gentile: Dr. Luke. They were willing to take “a crumb from the table” if they couldn’t get credit for the whole meal. They would be satisfied if they could just steal the glory from Israel for Acts and the Gospel of Luke. These same arrogant, ignorant, conceited ASSES (Rom. 11:25) were (and are) the same ones who insisted that at least ninety citations in the New Testament, from the Old Testament, were Law-abiding, Orthodox JEWS going to Greek scholarship in AFRICA (Alexandria) to find the words given to them by the Holy Spirit.

Had enough yet? The “best is yet to come.” Enter Road Runner and Speedy Gonzalez!

1. “We made a mistake. The seventy-two knuckleheads, who weren’t properly examined about anything but Socratic and Platonic dialogues, didn’t really translate any Greek Old Testament Septuagint. When we had time to “rethink” and “re-evaluate” our “insight,” we realized they just translated the Pentateuch: the first five books of Moses (Gen.–Deut.).

Which would mean—and they didn’t think about this till later: all apostate Christian scholars are stupid—that if any New Testament writer quoted a Greek “Septuagint” he could not have quoted one verse from a pre-Christian Greek Septuagint if he was trying to
cite Isaiah (Matt. 1:23; Mark 1:2; Rom. 11:26, 6:8) or Hosea (Rom. 9:26) or the Psalms (Acts 1:20; Heb. 1:9, 6:8). Your “new insight” created a new problem. If it was true, then there wasn’t any pre-Christian Septuagint extant before A.D. 140–220, and those extant then (see pp. 81, 104) are not extant now.

2. Correction! Some other books were translated later, because we found a faked Apocryphal book which gave us a new “insight” into the problem. This Apocryphal book, written by an unidentified individual, who had an unidentified grandson, said there were “other books” of the Bible translated into Greek besides his granddaddy’s book: which was Apocryphal (Ecclesiasticus).

For example?

“Sorry, no one is in right now. At the sound of the tone would you please leave a message and a telephone number so we can return this call…etc.” No, you won’t. You don’t have ONE “other book” of the Bible to show us, or even a piece of one verse from another book to show us, if it was written before 4 B.C.

3. But! “We have just found a Ryland’s Papyrus (No. 458) with some portions of Deuteronomy, chapters 23–28 written on it. We dated it around 150 B.C. And we found another piece of papyrus (No. 848) which we dated 40 B.C., and we found Papyrus Fouad (No. 266) which has a “close affinity” to something we couldn’t list which we dated 160 B.C. It has a few scattered verses from Deuteronomy in it.

One: that is not a Greek Old Testament.

Two: that is not Isaiah, Hosea, or the Psalms.

Three: no New Testament writer quoted one word from one verse in any of the papyri.

“New insights?” Right? Got “enriched” with a “wealth of information,” correct? What does any of that have to do with Aristeas writing to Philocrates about Demetrius conning seventy-two suckers for PP II (Pope Polecat, the Second) back in 285 B.C.?

4. But Aristobulus says…!13

Aristobulus is a liar. His “Demetrius,” who set up the seventy-two phony Hebrew scholars DIED before Ptolemy Philadelphus II (Pontius Pilate II) got on the throne.

5. “But we still have proof that there was a Greek Septuagint “extant” in 200 B.C., at least.”

No, you don’t. You have four scraps of ONE book, and no New Testament writer quoted ONE of those scraps. Don’t lie just because you enjoy lying; at least think up a good reason for lying (Gen. 3:4). You’re just trying to “shore up” a washout.

6. “But the Scroll of the Minor Prophets” shows…. It must not have showed anything, for you didn’t list one citation of one verse from any of the Minor Prophets.14 This scroll (“R”) is contemporary with events that took place in A.D. 135 (see pg. 48). It has been proved to be Post-Christian, and not 200 B.C. or 150 B.C. or even 50 B.C.

When these treacherous, deceitful, “godly” scholars date a “Septuagint” manuscript, they ignore the POTTERY evidence at the “site” and use Carbon 14 to give them an older
date. If the pottery gives them an older date than the Carbon 14, they take the jar heads. Double standard. “Final authority” is the opinion of the “godly” scholar. That isn’t all. “R” was NOT a Dead Sea Scroll, and no scholar since its “find” has been able to quote a verse from it that ANY New Testament writer quoted. Where is this “B.C. Septuagint” that Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, and Paul keep quoting? The copies of the Septuagints I have say that all thirty-nine books of the AV Old Testament are “extant.”

“EXTANT” means they are here now: you can look at them.

Well, where are they?

Where is even ONE Old Testament book written in Greek before A.D. 140 or even A.D. 330? Got another “bottleneck,” don’t cha? When do you “get real,” fella?

Let’s see one Old Testament book written in Greek before A.D. 330.

Let’s see it. Have our cowbirds gotten so “enriched” with their “new insights” that they have changed the definition of the word “extant,” in defiance of the dictionary? Could be; they changed the word “Majority” so that a “Majority Greek Text” (the traditional Textus Receptus) became a corrupt Greek New Testament which a “majority” of apostates had adopted since 1898.

“Last night I saw upon the stair, a little man who wasn’t there. He wasn’t there again today! Gee, but I wish he’d go away!”

“Step this way ladies and gentlemen! Watch carefully! The hand is quicker than the eye! Abracadabra! Now you see it, now you don’t!”

You never saw it to start with. You just eyeballed a blank screen.

You just lied because it is your nature to lie. Kenyon lists 1,560 Greek fragments. They don’t begin till A.D. 340, and then go on till A.D. 950.

Here are fifty-plus identical sentences: “It matches the Septuagint,” “Theodotian agrees with the Septuagint,” “the Fifth column was the Septuagint,” “it is in line with the Septuagint,” “Paul is quoting the Septuagint,” “this reading disagrees with the Septuagint,” “he compared this with the Septuagint,” etc., ad nauseum: cloned robots. These utterly false statements run through 2,000 years of Alexandrian bullshooting. To this day (1999) they are still saying that the Septuagint (or LXX) is NOT:

1. A piece of papyrus.
2. Several pieces of papyri.
3. Fragments of scrolls or codices.
4. Parts of one or two books in the Old Testament.
5. Parts of ten to fifteen books in the Old Testament.
6. The Pentateuch.
7. The Scroll of the Minor Prophets.
They are saying (in 1999) in every major Christian college, seminary, and university in Africa, Asia, Europe, England, and the Americas that *The Septuagint (LXX) is the COMPLETE OLD TESTAMENT adopted by the Christians because the New Testament writers QUOTED FROM IT instead of the Hebrew Old Testament.*

You never read a more outrageous LIE in all of your life. But all Scholarship Only advocates claim “executive privilege” when it comes to lying.

That is 2,000 years of lying by the “pros.” They never told the truth about it *one time* in 2,000 years, and they are not telling the truth about it *now*, and they are not going to tell the truth about it *after* you put this book down. LYING is as “Christian” and as natural to the faculties and staffs of every major outlet of “higher Christian education” as breathing air.

Pensacola Christian College in Pensacola (Arlin and Becky Horton) have advertised a *non-denominational* campus building on their campus as an “INDEPENDENT BAPTIST CHURCH.” That is how it was listed in the phone book. *It has been listed that way for ten years.* It is no more an *Independent Baptist Church* than Schuller’s Glass Cathedral in the land of Fruits and Nuts. Horton’s problem was simple: 90 percent of his students came from homes where the pastor (or the member) who sent them to Pensacola was in an *independent Baptist church*. If this was *not* the case—say only 60 percent of them were—then he proselyted Methodists, Charismatics, Catholics, Lutherans, Mennonites, Brethren, Episcopalians, and others, and made them get connected with a “Baptist” church. Horton’s “Baptist” church is the only one on the campus; *there are no churches for the other denominations*. They were all “exploited” to furnish “scratch” (American: circa 1993) for greased palms.

So the Alexandrian fairytale exists, in 1999, as a “fact,” exactly as it persevered through the post-Nicene Period, the Dark Ages, the Reformation, and the Laodicean Apostasy (1880–1990). The mythological legend has been passed down “from one campfire to another” as part of “our precious heritage,” to prove that God wrote a Greek Old Testament which was superior to the one He gave to the Jews (Rom. 3:2). This fairytale for grownups must be preserved even if it costs the integrity and trustworthiness of EVERY Christian scholar in America. It must be preserved because of 1 Corinthians chapters 1–3; Acts 17:18–21; and Colossians 2:8. The Scholars’ Union are Origen’s “elite” (see pg. 72) whose superior wisdom and superior spirituality (see pg. 85) enables THEM, *not YOU*, to understand the Scriptures (see pg. 88). All Gnostics approach the Scriptures in this fashion: any Gnostic, in any century. They worship Adam’s intellect. That is the position of every translator on the *ASV, NASV, RV, RSV, NRSV, CEV, TEV, NEB*, and *NIV committees*. All Alexandrians in the Scholars’ Union worship a stinking miasma of intellectual “Christian” garbage.

“Once upon a time…and they lived happily ever after!”

Peter, James, Matthew, Jude, Mark, Luke, John, and Paul would no more have corrected their Hebrew Bibles or their Hebrew teacher (Jesus Christ) with an *African Greek text* containing Roman Catholic *Apocrypha* than they would have eaten pork or
catfish before Acts chapter 10.

Imagine Stephen, before the Jewish Sanhedrin (Acts 6:12, 15), trying to impress the Jewish custodians of the Hebrew Old Testament (Scribes, Pharisees, and Sadducees) with an African Old Testament when every man in the “Senate” (Acts 5:21) knew what no member of the ASV, NASV, RV, RSV, NRSV, or NIV committee knew: that God’s NAME could not even be mentioned by an Alexandrian Jew who lived in Africa (Jer. 44:26)!

“Rapunzel, Rapunzel, let down your golden hair!”
CHAPTER TWO
The Ghost Busters,
Chasing Casper

The earliest writer to mention the mythological Septuagint was an Alexandrian (African) Jew named Aristobulus.¹ He writes somewhere around 190 B.C., *maybe.* The first thing to note about his lying epistle is that he claims that a certain Demetrius Phalereus was employed in the madhouse set up by Ptolemy Philadelphus II. But good, old Demetrius kicked the bucket before 285 B.C., so he wasn’t around for PP II (Pooty-Pooh, the Second) to mess with.²

Demetrius was supposed to have met the seventy-two *non-existent,* Jewish, Gnostic philosophers in charge of translating the *LXX* (there were seventy-two of them). More exacting scholars than Hort, Aland, Metzger, Bruce, Robertson, Machen, Warfield, and Schaff say that “the genuineness” of Aristobulus account is “doubtful.”³ That is putting it mildly. Aristobulus was a liar. What *proof* did he offer in 190 B.C.—that is when he was reported to have written his nonsense—that he, or anyone else, had ONE scrap of paper with a Greek Septuagint on it? *He didn’t,* so he “revised” the original *Letter to Aristeas* and said that that author lied: the whole Old Testament was *not* translated; it was *just the Pentateuch* (*Gen.–Deut.*). You “re-evaluate” your “new insights” as you stumble around in the dead-end alley. The myth has now gathered momentum. (Our unknown Aristobulus was named after two Maccabean leaders who weren’t active for eighty years after the letter was written. He lived between 130 and 90 B.C.)

No sooner has “Aristobulus” said that “the Law” (*Gen.–Deut.*) was translated into Greek, than the Alexandrians insist the “Law” *covers the whole Old Testament* (“The Law, the Prophets, and the Writings”). They must preserve Aristeas at any cost; it is their favorite bedtime story. So they say “Aristobulus” is referring to all twenty-two (or all twenty-four; some differ) books in the Old Testament—the thirty-nine that are found in a *King James Bible.* This would naturally exclude the Roman Catholic *Apocrypha* found in “The Septuagint.”

The Septuagint edition I have now makes a remarkable statement:

“The FACT can be regarded as CERTAIN that it (the LXX) began under Philadelphus.”⁴


*Proof* that it was begun under PP II (Puny Peacock, the Second)? *One manuscript? One part of one manuscript?* They would begin with Genesis wouldn’t they, if they began with the “Pentateuch,” *or the “Law” (the whole Old Testament)? Where is Genesis in 285 B.C.? Where is it in 280 B.C.? Where is *any part of it* in 275 or 270 B.C.? Where is any *piece* of it in 200 or 100 B.C.? “FACT?” You mean “fiction?” Fifty leaves from two Greek
Geneses were written between A.D. 420 and 490.

There is just as much proof that the Apostle Paul wrote *Bel and the Dragon* and *Tobit*, or that Simon Peter went to Rome. There is just as much proof behind this “fact” as there is that Mary strangled Joseph to get his insurance money, or that Nestle, Aland, White, or Metzger knew enough about the contents of ANY Bible to teach a class of teenagers in a Daily Vacation Bible School (DVBS).

On goes the *Introduction to the Septuagint*. It says that the silly fairy tale about Aristeas is:

“not worthy of notice except for the MYTH being connected with the authority which *this version* (LXX) was once supposed to have possessed.”

“This version?” What version? You had no version. You have no “version.” Neither did Aristobulus. No one on earth has ever seen an LXX “version” written before A.D. 330–350. Our half-crazy commentator continues:

“No information, whatever, as to the time and place of their execution (“ancient versions”), or by whom they were made; we simply find such versions in use at particular times, and thus we gather the FACT that they must have been previously executed.”

Why, in this case, the version that is being “used” is Origen’s *Septuagint reproduced in A.D. 330–350*. No New Testament writer could have used it for anything (see pp. 64–67). The “FACT” would be that when you find Alexandrian apostates rewriting the Old Testament in Greek, and stupid Alexandrian scholars believing that they are accurate (so they “USE” them), it is proof that some other Alexandrian apostate “previously executed” (’attsa word, baby!) the fake substitutes. The “previously executed” LXXs, before Aleph (X) and B (330–350), were “executed” (man, what a choice verb!) by Aquila, Symmachus, Theodotion, and Origen, between A.D. 140 and A.D. 240. *None of THEM have been “extant” since A.D. 638.* Furthermore, if they were still extant, NONE OF THEM WOULD BE PRE-CHRISTIAN SEPTUAGINTS.

But here is a backdrop and “props” to “actualize” the “live footage.”

“We simply find such versions….” *WHAT versions?* You didn’t name ONE version “executed” by anyone. *What versions?*

The AUTHOR of “this version?” Casper, the Friendly Ghost?

Where is “*this version?” Anyone ever read it, even before A.D. 140? Has anyone seen it? *Where is it?* You said it was “supposed” to have authority? You mean it didn’t really possess any authority? What are you trying to say, Little Bo Peep? “Authority,” according to whom? Augustine? Philo? Josephus? Aristobulus? The “writers?” (See pp. 3, 11, 126.) How is it that everyone keeps confounding apostate Christian “writers” with the *Body of Christ*? Look at pages 108–109. The majority of Bible-believing Christians from 1611 to 1999 rejected, thumbs down, every English translation based on the Septuagint manuscripts that were extant (*the RV, RSV, NRSV, ASV, NASV, and NIV*). The only ones
who defected and allowed themselves to be conned out of their belief in the Authorized
Text were those who were ambitious enough, and proud enough, to want to be “accepted”
by the “scholarly community” of the practical atheists—professing Christians everyone of
them!—who made their living with Scholarship Onlyism.

“This version?” In the name of God, what is “This version?”

Answer! Put up or shut up. Money talks. If you don’t have the “scratch,” you can’t
rent “the pad.”

Never mind the polite clichés and courteous overtures; to Hell with the “good words
and fair speeches” (Rom. 16:18) you use in order to attract people to yourself instead of
the Scriptures. What is “this version” that was thought to have authority? How does a
myth make a non-existent version authoritative just because it is connected with it (see
above)? Why is any myth worthy of notice simply because it is connected with a non-
existent “version” that no one can identify, locate, name, or produce? We’re not “talking 1
Corinthians 13.” We’re gonna play “hardball.”

The Septuagint (according to ALL apostate Fundamentalist, Conservative, Catholic,
Evangelical, and Liberal scholars) is supposed to be a complete Old Testament, written in
Greek, before the birth of Jesus Christ. That is the universal opinion and judgment of all
scholars from 190 B.C. to A.D. 1999 who accept the myth: there is not one exception.
Okay! WHERE IS IT? I am getting ready to list six Greek Old Testament “Septuagints.”
Four of them were “extant” until somewhere around A.D. 600–638, and the other two
were “extant” in 330–350, and still are. Not one of these silly “Septuagints” was written
until the completion of the New Testament—all twenty-seven books.

This whole Letter of Aristeas nonsense was proved to be a joke as far back as 1705
(Dr. Hody). That was more than 170 years before Westcott and Hort (plus Wikgren, Nida,
Black, Schaff, Nestle, Aland, et al.) adopted the fairytale. Hody said that old Aristeas was
written around 100 B.C. Many scholars believe that Philo (20 B.C.–A.D. 50) wrote it.
Lying and forgery are both part of your Christian “Alexandrian Heritage.”

Now, note the “progress,” as the “re-thinking” and “re-evaluating,” to get “new
insights” goes on. After these liars have bombed out three times in a row—wrong date in
which the whole Bible was translated took place, wrong contents of the translation, and
now, the wrong date for the Pentateuch—they try one more time to establish Greek
wisdom, Greek philosophy, and Greek scholars as instruments of the Holy Spirit.

Here is the fourth “slap shot,” which goes into the stands.

Here we find an unidentified, apostate, Jewish philosopher trying to counterfeit
Solomon’s Ecclesiastes. He calls himself “Jesus Ben Sirach.” He rewrites Ecclesiastes (in
Hebrew) as “Ecclesiasticus,” in an attempt to improve on the wisest man who every
lived. But ole’ Jesus Ben Whatever was much more ambitious than that. You see, a
“careful and observant reader” (an Alexandrian cliché), who was a “serious student of the
Bible” (another Alexandrian cliché), would have noticed that no Jew (or Gentile, for that
matter) would ever be wiser than Solomon AFTER Solomon died: see 1 Kings 3:12. So it
would definitely be a “feather in the cap” of any Jew, or Greek, if he could improve on
Ecclesiastes. You see, if he could, he would have made a LIAR OF GOD (see Gen. 3:1–4).

The NASV and NIV did it in Mark 1:2–3. Check it out. Making a liar out of God (Matt. 5:22) is part of the “core curriculum” of modern higher Christian education.

Well, this unknown, unidentified, Alexandrian philosopher (supposedly Jewish) gets his phony-baloney assigned a date of 190 B.C. Strangely enough, this is the exact date for the manufacturing of Aristobulus’ fairy tale about “Demetrius” and PP II (Perverse Pharaoh, the Second). The reason why the Ghost Busters ran this date back that far is so they can use the Prologue to the Apocryphal nonsense to locate Casper the Friendly Ghost.

The Prologue was not written by Jesus Ben Sirach, but by Jesus Ben Sirach.

(That needs a little explanation!)

The grandson pretends to have adopted his grandfather’s name before he translated his grandfather’s baloney into Greek, around A.D. 132. Why he waited fifty-eight years to translate the junk is hard to say; except he may have recognized it as junk. Then, around 132–135 he may have recognized a need for faking the Aristeas story as, by then, a non-existent “Greek Septuagint” had been accepted by hundreds of Alexandrian suckers.

So it is The Prologue to Ecclesiasticus—not the text—that fascinates the LXX fanatics, for it states:

“What was originally expressed in Hebrew does not have exactly the same sense when translated into another language. [Man what a brain!!] But even THE LAW itself, the PROPHECIES, and the rest of the books differ not a little as originally expressed.”

Sometimes the expression “the rest of the books” is translated as “the other books” in Septuagint mythology. So, here, one can assume (if his scholarship consists of assumptions, theories, allegations, and conjectures) that the unknown translator of Jesus Ben Sirach is referring to a standard, orthodox, Hebrew, Old Testament canon: “The Law, the Prophets, and the Writings” (The Torah, the Nahbim, and the Kethubim). Therefore…! Therefore, there must have been a complete Greek Old Testament extant in 132 B.C., when the grandson did the translating.

Eureka! Voila! Ausgezeichnet! Now “we” have proved that the complete Greek LXX existed before the New Testament was written, so the New Testament writers have to quote from it!

“Do thyself no harm: for we are all here” (Acts 16:28).

Caution No. 1 (1 Thess. 5:21)—“The third classification [“the rest of the books,” “the other books”] is remarkably IMPRECISE.”

So that is the new foundation upon which a B.C. Septuagint now rests, after Aristeas, Demetrius, and Aristobulus got blown out the window”: impreciseness.

Caution No. 2: “The same sentence also IMPLIES that the Greek translations of ALL of these books…were then available.”
Second foundation: *implication*. There are your two foundations.

Ready to build? You have two brand new “insights” to build on: *implications* and *impreciseness*.

Caution No. 3 (Prov. 14:15)—“The interesting, though in places, tantalizingly OBSCURE Prologue [by an unknown Alexandrian Jew who professes to be translating for his grandfather] says the Hebrew and Greek have no small differences when SPOKEN [NOT “written”] in their original language.”

_Somebody lied again._ The desperate fanatical LXX radicals made you think that that translation “into another language” (see The Prologue above), had to be a body of written literature. _It didn’t_. It was someone who had been translating a language by speaking it. Look at 2 Peter 1:21 for a remarkable comment on this shilly-shally, pansy-wansy, mamby-pamby type of “scholarship.” Every apostate fundamentalist in America, _without ONE exception_, translates the word “**SPAKE**” as “**WROTE**” when expounding the passage to prove that “only the original autographs were inspired.” I have seen it (or heard it) misapplied like this by Doug Kutilek, Gaussen, John R. Rice, Robert Sumner, John Broadus, Curtis Hutson, Stewart Custer, D. A. Carson, Bob Ross, Newman, MacRae, _and forty others_, since 1950.

But no professional liar (see _The Christian’s Handbook of Biblical Scholarship_, 1999, Chapter Seven) can stop with one or two lies, or even three or _four_. Observe that the “other books” could have been ANY books. He said nothing about canonical books or Biblical books. The book he was translating (supposedly) was not a canonical book: no Jew has ever accepted Ecclesiasticus as a canonical book. Ecclesiasticus is apocrypha. That isn’t all; we are not through yet. Watch these pros gather momentum as they backslide further under the pressure of slowly losing their minds. When a man messes with Scripture, God messes with his MIND. It’s “Damage Control time.” Not time to “project resolution.”

_The Prologue_ didn’t say “The Law and the Prophets.” It said “the PROPHECIES” (see above). This explains why Ben-Booboo (or whatever) was “tantalizingly obscure” and “imprecise.” He talked as the scribes (Matt. 7:29), with mush in his mouth. He didn’t dare talk _plainly_. I have never met a Scholarship Onlyism fanatic who did.

When a professional liar, in a highly specialized trade, wants to “package some goods,” it always comes out something like this:

“We need a breakthrough for such a high concept. Speaking moral-wise, while social-posturing, we can celebrate a continuity of impacting ‘the spread,’ while deploping the sterility of post-Graffiti ‘solidism.’ Our image appropriation can be enhanced by personal authenticity.”

Which, of course, means _nothing_. Some dude is hittin’ you up for greenbacks.

But _muddled impreciseness_ and _deliberate obscurity_ were never serious obstacles to any self-righteous, highly educated, fact-rejecting, Bible-destroying, anti-factual, biased APOSTATE like Nestle, Hort, Aland, Metzger, Bruce, Afman, Ankerberg, Custer, Price, MacRae, Newman, Carson, Hunt, Lindsell, Black, Edwin Palmer, Robert Barker, Gordon
Fee, James White, Arthur Farstad, Zane Hodges, Bob Jones Jr., and Bob Jones III; at least not when it comes to justifying and promoting Alexandrian MANURE.

Where were these “other books” when Ben Whatzisname wrote? You get one guess. And where were ANY of the “other books” AFTER 132 B.C.? You get one guess. And where is one shred of evidence that ONE Book written by ONE Old Testament prophet was extant before A.D. 132? Or even AFTER A.D. 132? If so, which one did ANY writer in the New Testament quote? One more guess.

You batted 1000 if you said: “They never existed.”

Not one piece of one part of one fragment, of any of the other “books,” has ever been found that ANY writer in the New Testament quoted. The fanatical Cultists have had 2,100 years to find ONE. They never found it. That is a “Guinness Book of Records.” They never found it after a billion dollar “shakedown” (1880–1996).

The Cambridge History of the Bible (Vol. 1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1963) says that Jesus Ben-Sira (Sirach)—the one who wrote Ecclesiasticus—

“can write of his own writings as if they issued from INSPIRATION comparable to that of the Prophets.”

Only if he was an unsaved, demon-possessed liar. A better guess is “he never existed.” He was an “android.”

God “shut down” the Prophets with Malachi (389 B.C.) nearly 200 years before “Jesus Ben Sirach” tries to make a liar out of God (1 Kings 3:12). No orthodox Jew, before the time of Christ or after, would accept one Apocryphal book as “inspired” like the “Prophets.” That is irresponsible madness. The people to whom the “oracles of God” were given (Rom. 3:2) flatly, and outrightly, rejected every line of 1 and 2 Esdras, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Bel and the Dragon, the Prayer of Mannaseh, The Song of the Three Children, the additions to Esther, Tobit, Judith, The Wisdom of Solomon, Baruch, Susanna, and Ecclesiasticus.

According to Dr. Bratton, the Alexandrian Septuagint contained these books. Their authors were Jewish “Hellenists.” That is, they were apostate Jews in Africa. We are told that “Christians accepted the Apocrypha as INSPIRED because of the Septuagint.” What Septuagint? What Christians? What are all of these “credibility gaps” doing in works by geeks who think they are smart enough to make 64,000 corrections in the King James’ text (RV, RSV, NRSV, ASV, NASV, and NIV)? Which Septuagint? I am going to list six of them. When did “Christians” accept them? A.D. 100? 150? 190? 200? 250? 290? 300? 330? 350? What is this continual, godless, ghastly refusal to identify THE TRUTH. Are these teeny-boppers just taxi dancers, trying to make a living?

Origen and Clement of Alexandria quote Apocryphal books constantly. Are those the “Christians” you were talking about? TWO “Christians” who couldn’t find their way down the main street in broad daylight at high noon? What Christians? Augustine, the first real Roman Catholic? Jerome, who thought that Peter had to die as a martyr to pay for his SIN of getting married? Yoohoo! “Have a good one!”
Do you think that clique of Alexandrian blind guides could lead a stray sheep into the fold with the assistance of four German Shepherds, four Scotch Collies, and four Quarter Horses? Augustine, Clement, Origen, and Jerome were all POSTMILLENNIAL expositors who denied three-fourths of the Bible, whereas Barnabas, Papias, Irenaeus, Tertullian, Lactantius, Commodius, Victorinus, and Methodius, who proceeded them, were all Premillennial. Who would think that Postmillennial, biased, bigoted, conceited, puffed-up, Bible-rejecting BLANKS represented Bible-believing “Christians” in any age? Hort and Nestle never represented them in any age. A. T. Robertson and Philip Schaff never represented them in any age. Metzger and Aland don’t represent them now, and neither does one member of the NASV or NIV committee. They represent an appalling “leadership vacuum.” Can ’em. Let ’em go back to publishing “skin mags” (America, circa 1970).

The apostate “Christians” in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries drummed up a story about the Old Latin of A.D. 150–170 containing the Apocrypha. Since this Old Latin translation was “extant” in A.D. 150, that proved that the Septuagint was extant at the same time. If that was true it would not prove a pre-Christian LXX was extant; that is sixty to eighty years too late to “qualify.” There is no record of any Old Latin Bible of A.D. 150 containing any Apocryphal books: the Latin is not polluted with them until Origen starts producing “Septuagints” (A.D. 220–240). Not one Latin manuscript from 150–200 has an Apocryphal book in it. Bratton says that even Origen “definitely excluded the Apocrypha from the canon.”17 (Bratton is a little naive. Origen quotes Apocryphal books as Scripture and never criticized any other “scholar” who did the same thing.)

When the dead-heads got digging around where dead men are buried (the Dead Sea Scrolls), where dead orthodox apostates and dead heterodox apostates died, they found Tobit “extant.” “Why seek ye the living among the DEAD?” (Luke 24:5). But it wasn’t a Greek Tobit:18 it was a Hebrew Tobit (so solly! no Septuagint; not even a fake one.). Tertullian says he knew of a complete Latin Bible before Origen (A.D. 220) ever got to messin’ with “Septuagints,” so the mythological LXX—which doesn’t show up till Origen’s Hexapla (A.D. 220–240) was NOT the source for the Old Latin Old Testament. More ghost-chasing. These are Dreamland Excursions into the Twilight Zone of Intellectual Fantasia, sponsored by your nearest Alexandrian Cult outlet. In Abnormal Psychiatry these “trips” are called “Hypnagogic States.”

It is said that Aquila and Symmachus (A.D. 140–180) did not count the Apocryphal books as canonical.19 Then how did they get into the Septuagint? Swete, Schaff, Reumann, Miller, and Price all refer to the fifth column of Origen’s Hexapla as “the Septuagint” (see pp. 79, 83, 106) and then tell you that Theodotian is the one who mainly agrees with “the Septuagint.” But Theodotian included Apocryphal books in his “LXX.”

Isn’t it about time the dead-end kids, in the dead-end alley, with the Dead Sea Scrolls, “re-evaluated” the evidence and “re-thought” the “Septuagint Problem?” Or, better still, their own problem?

The extant Septuagint manuscripts (Dublinensis, Marchalianus, Vaticanus, Sinaiticus, etc.) have Apocryphal books in them. Weren’t all of these counterfeits except The Shepherd of Hermas, the Epistle of Barnabas, and 1 and 2 Clement (New Testament.
Apocrypha) written *before* the time of Christ? You did say the *Septuagint* was written before the time of Christ, didn’t you? (I thought that is what you said.) *You have been saying that for twenty-one centuries.* Then what are *Bel and the Dragon, Tobit, and Judith* doing parading around as part of an *inspired Old Testament canon* that the “early Christians” adopted as “their Bible?”

Go take a long walk on a short pier. Stick your head in a bucket of water three times and pull it out twice. Make some cutbacks on your faculty. “Lay-off” (fire) the whole crew.

In trying to resurrect a non-existent “original LXX text” (pre-Christian), Rahlfs, Nestle, Lagarde, and Swete insist that we must take the text of Codex B (Vaticanus) to be the *purest* text.”

Why, that is what Westcott and Hort said about the *New Testament text* in 1880!

Look at the documented data in *The Scholarship Only Controversy* on that “purest” text! Vaticanus is one of the three *most corruptly mutilated, and intentionally perverted, Greek uncialsw ever discovered by man.* It contains Apocryphal books in it as part of the inspired Old Testament canon.

“A marked feature of the whole translation [citing Vaticanus] is the scrupulous avoidance of *anthropomorphisms* and phrases derogatory to the divine TRANSCENDENCE.”

Do you know what THAT means in the history of *Christian Theology?* That is old Hermes, Buddha, Yin-Yang, and the Unified-Electro-magnetic-forcefield-of-the-Universe, worshipped by New Agers as “God.” It means “God” cannot be *like a MAN.* The God-forsaken demoniacs who *professed* to believe in the virgin birth (see pg. 75) knocked the Incarnation of the “Transcendent” One out of 1 Timothy 3:16, and when faced with it (actualized, in John 1:18), they converted Christ into a *BEGOTTEN GOD,* instead of a Begotten Son (*NASV* and *NIV*). That is *Vaticanus* in the “*Septuagint.*” Vaticanus has the Old Testament in Greek. Being totally corrupt in *both Testaments,* this wretched, stinking, counterfeit “Christian” Bible eliminated God as the “*Rock*” of Israel in Deuteronomy 32:15, 18, 30, and 31, and eliminated Him as the “*sun*” in Psalm 84:11 in order “to preserve His transcendence.”

This erased from the Holy Scriptures two of the greatest types of Jesus Christ found in it. He is the “*Sun*” of Malachi chapter 4, and His children “*shine forth AS the sun*” (Matt. 13:43), when the Millennial day dawns. Jesus Christ is the “*Rock*” of Deuteronomy chapter 32, typified by the rock Moses struck (1 Cor. 10:1–4). He is the Rock of Israel in contrast to the “*rock*” of the Vatican (see Deut. 32:31). The ignorant, conceited, bungling FOOL (or fools) who wrote Vaticanus was nothing but a Greek gnostic like “Marcion the Heretic” (see pg. 88), who tried to rid the world of every reference in Luke chapter 24 to the PHYSICAL RESURRECTION of Jesus Christ (see the critical apparatus for Luke 24 in any edition of Nestle’s: 1898–1990).

The “associations” of every extant “*Septuagint*” on this earth are the Alexandrian
Cult, Origenistic perversion of Scripture, myths and legends propagated as the truth, Bible-rejecting philosophers who rejected Premillennialism, gnostic philosophy, and several thousand half-baked, saved Christian scholars who never had enough experience in the world before their conversions to spot a con man in broad daylight on Wall Street in downtown New York.

Codex B is the main “Septuagint Manuscript” in every edition of the Septuagint that any scholar has quoted since A.D. 350. It is totally corrupt in both Testaments, making more than 2,700 omissions in the Gospels alone. That is why the Body of Christ—not the Scholars’ Union of Nicolaitans—dumped it, along with Codex א, more than 1,500 years ago.

The twentieth-century, deluded apostates who are still occupied with the “Dead Sea” Scrolls found Tobit, Ecclesiasticus (by the original anonymous apostate Jew), Psalm 151, and The Letter to Jeremiah in Hebrew. None of them agreed with any Greek “Septuagint.” The same jokers recommended Enoch, the Jubilees, and The Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs as “being valuable for knowledge of the Bible”; at least as valuable as anything from Genesis to Malachi. Those are the same characters who keep belly-aching about a pre-Christian “Septuagint” which never had any more reality to it than Rudolph Hoess’ “Shower Room” at Auschwitz (1943). The same crew will tell you that Daniel didn’t write Daniel chapters 2–9 until 165 B.C., after all three kingdoms (Babylon, Persia, and Greece) had come and gone.

Looney Tunes. Merrie Melodies. See you in Disneyland!
CHAPTER THREE
Dead Christianity and

The Dead Sea Scrolls

After receiving a mandate from heaven not to “seek the living among the dead,” Christian scholars—apostate Fundamentalists, Conservatives, and Evangelicals always foremost—have spent most of their lives doing just that. Greek, for example, has been a dead language—“stone-cold dead in the market”—for more than 1,400 years. The alibi for putting so much emphasis on it is that “once upon a time God used it,” so there must be something “magical” about it. We often hear jackasses (like the “majority of Conservative scholars”) say:

“Why would God give His revelation to the English-speaking people only? What about those who did not have a King James Bible?”

This kind of hypocritical trash is part of the core curriculum at most Christian Colleges, Universities, and Seminaries. They trust the young novices, who are entrapped in the venomous infidelity and baleful ignorance of a “Christian” College, will be impressed by those two questions and make no further inquiries.

Three questions suggest themselves immediately.

1. What is the point in going to “the Greek” when it is a dead language, and English is the universal language worldwide?

2. Since less than one percent of the world’s population speaks, reads, or writes Greek, what about them? Ninety-nine percent cannot understand Greek.

3. What about the 4,000,000,000 sinners who never saw a Greek New Testament and couldn’t get a hold of one if they wanted one?

Dead heads love to seek the “living words of God” among the “dead languages” of the world. They delight in digging in the past and uncovering jar-head manuscripts, wastebasket manuscripts, busted pieces of pottery 3,000 years old, mummies 5,000 years old, and fossils from the Mesozoic Period, etc. Bone heads like dead bones. “He who has rocks for brains likes to date things by the rocks.” A dead Christian, who has no real fruit-bearing life in the present, is always obsessed with “previous editions” of the AV, corrections by Erasmus and Beza, “how Revelation chapter 22 got into the New Testament,” why John 8:1–11 shouldn’t be in the Bible, “How we got our Bible,” etc. Anything but reality in the present. They live in the past.

They conduct “low impact workouts” with “ostraka.”

There is nothing that so absolutely thrills and excites and encourages a Laodicean apostate (Conservatives, Evangelicals, and Fundamentalists foremost) as a new archaeological discovery that makes “US” re-evaluate “OUR” position as it brings “new light” on the Scripture. All Nicolaitan apostates love this kind of thing, as it has absolutely nothing to do with the present, or anything that is essential NOW to any child of God on
the face of this earth. Bones, potsherds, ostraka, hieroglyphics, cuneiform inscriptions, Tell excavations, Wadi “finds,” and ashes are the dead Christian’s substitute for not being able to find anything in the Bible. God will not show any Laodicean apostate anything (A.D. 200–1999). All they can find out is what some Bible believer prints anywhere from 50 to 500 years before they pick it up.

The moment any twentieth-century block-headed baboon—I say that with charity, of course! Of course!—abandons the King James Bible and begins to correct it with the senile blabbering of an ASV or NASV or NIV (or any other Alexandrian production), God simply closes the door of revelation to him. That is why all blockheaded baboons in 1999 think that “advanced revelations from a King James Bible” are impossible. IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR ANY OF THEM TO FIND THEM: GOD SHUT THEM OUT. (Ever hear of a “shut-out?”)

When you list these revelations (see The Unknown Bible, the Bible Believer’s Commentary on the Books of Genesis, Hebrews, Acts, and the Pastoral Epistles. Ruckman [Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1984, 1995, 1986, 1984, 1989]) their “scholarly evaluation” is “heresy.” The jackass can’t recognize his Master or his Master’s crib (Isa. 1:3).

Being forced to USE a Book they do not BELIEVE, these pitiful braying asses must spend their whole lifetimes justifying their own stupidity. White’s book (The King James Only Controversy) is a perfect illustration of this absolute truth. His book is, plainly, 271 pages written to justify his own ignorance and the sins of the sinners who reduced him to their level. Jimmy hopes that you will take all of them seriously because they all learned to write and talk like he does: they are all “nice” Christians. Their manners are a FRONT for their depravity. They are Christianity’s “Trendy Boomers.”

You can imagine the hysterical spasms these backslidden, dead orthodox apostates had when the manuscript that Napoleon found in the Vatican (B, in 1809) became available (1843) for viewing!

“Oh my God, what a treasure! Now we have an alibi to criticize all of those fanatical, soul-winning pastors and evangelists and missionaries (1809–1909) that are obeying God and getting the job done while we attack their Bible!”

“Oh, Praise the Lord! now we have some assistance from God in our task of getting rid of the King James Bible! Alleluia! What a discovery!”

Then they had another “spell” of scholarly hysteria when a lying thief (Tischendorf’s deceitful conniving) ¹ found some junk in a wastebasket. “Oh what a breakthrough!” The discovery of the century! Headlines! Now we have “light on the originals” WE never had before! Oh Praise-alleluia, how this will clarify obscure passages in that archaic King James Bible!

“Now we can find out what the ‘original author intended!’ etc., when he wrote the blankety, blank, blank, blank, etc.”
All jackasses like the same fodder.

That is the standard reaction of every twentieth-century, backslidden apostate in America, Europe, and England. **Anything to get you out of the Book.** Everyone of them (Hort, Lightfoot, Ellicott, Nestle, Aland, White, Bruce, Price, Metzger, Gromacki, Afman, Parker, Ross, Fee, Barker, Nida, Palmer, Custer, Ankerberg, MacArthur, Swindoll, Hodges, and Farstad) are DEADER than a hammer on the beach. Scripturally speaking, they are all just as spiritually blind and as spiritually powerless and as Biblically ignorant as blind Bartimaeus on a week-end drunk.

After he has calmed down from his irrational gyrations and emotional spasms, the dead orthodox apostate always has time (ten–forty years) to see the FRUITS of his newly acquired knowledge. It slowly dawns on the blithering idiot that his “find of the century” etc., produced the following spiritual revivals:

1. The end of city-wide (and nation-wide) revivals in any country.
2. A lack of knowledge, by any populace, of the contents of the Bible equal only to Catholics in the Dark Ages.
3. The selling of Bibles converted into a mammoth, million-dollar, **commercial business**.
4. The collapse of the foreign mission field, with Bibles forbidden in eleven countries.
5. Two world wars, followed by Korea, Vietnam, Cambodia, Thailand, Cyprus, Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran, Israel, Egypt, Syria, Bosnia, Cuba, Nicaragua, Turkey, Pakistan, and forty others plus 55,000,000 unarmed civilians murdered (Genocide) by their own governments (1914–1990).
6. The end of American independence; the disappearance of any similitude of a Constitution in the “Justice” Department (Waco, Boise, O. J. Simpson, Rodney King, etc.).
7. The value of the American dollar reduced to eleven cents, and the “cents” made out of aluminum instead of copper.
8. Eighty percent of the ministers graduating from “Christian” Colleges, Universities, and Seminaries abandoning their belief in a literal Hell, the Deity of Christ, the Second Coming of Christ, and the Inerrancy of the Scriptures.
9. Two hundred churches closing down, every year since 1933 in America, England, and Europe.
10. Four million abortions a year in a drug-ridden populace where children have their Bibles taken away from them when they come into the classroom and are issued **condoms**.

Those are the fruits of promoting and selling RVs, ASVs, RSVs, NRSVs, NASVs, and NIVs. This stinking, stagnant pond of offal, moss, algae, dung, vomit, and garbage extends from 1881 (RV) to 1990 (NIV). “By their fruits ye shall know them.” “Neither doth a corrupt tree cannot bring forth good fruit.”

And what did “we” learn from the Dead Sea Scrolls? “We” learned that a Bible-rejecting apostate can make a good living off of Christians if he can get them hung-up on a hobbyhorse that majors in monkey business. You say, “Well, where would we have been
without all of these great new modern discoveries (א, ב, the Behustin Rock, the Moabite Stone, the Dead Sea Scrolls, etc.)?" You would be where the audiences of Dwight Moody, Charles G. Finney, Billy Sunday, Sam Jones, George Whitefield, Gen. William Booth, Bob Jones Sr., and J. Frank Norris were: up to your neck in prayer, soul-winning, Bible-reading, preacher-training, and giving to support foreign missionaries. You would be where no Scholarship Only fanatic wants to be—ever. They would “trash” an environment like that as quickly as Rambo would “waste” an anti-American “enemy” in a Hollywood flick.

Those blinded fools, who think that the New Testament writers quoted an Old Testament Greek Septuagint, appeal to the Dead Sea Scrolls for their proof that a Greek Old Testament was “extant” before the birth of Christ. “New light!” You wanna look at it? I’ll let you look at it. I’ll let you get close enough to it to examine the filament and screw the bulb out of the socket.

There are several books written to describe the history of the Dead Sea Scrolls. Two of them (Viking Press) are by Millar Burrows. Charles Pfeiffer (1969) has a well documented work, and so does James Vanderkam (1994) and Sanford L asor. Albright and Sommer have printed works on the scrolls, and there are some more of lesser importance. All of these books bear the same stamp: blind, naive, ineffective, fruitless Christians exerting themselves to arouse interest in non-essential trivia and errata where learning ANY Bible is concerned. You could get more useful information debriefing an electronic “stand-in.”

Pfeiffer’s book begins where the godless mess should begin: Origen of Alexandria, who found some “LXX” manuscripts (not “versions” see pg. 42) in a JAR near Jericho. Thus, there appears on the scholarly scene three sources for “Septuagints.” Their homogeneity is remarkable. One is found in a jar near Jericho (the accursed city), one is found in a wastebasket in a monastery (totally anti-Scriptural), and one is found in the Vatican library, in the city that killed the innocents (Matt. 2), beheaded James (Acts 12), imprisoned Peter (Acts 12), killed Paul (2 Tim. 4), and whipped and crucified Jesus Christ (Matt. 27).

Wow! What a “profile!”

My, what an I.D. card! My, what Christian “credentials” for our “good, godly scholars” who believe in “verbally inspired, original autographs!”

THAT is the “scholarly community” that the RV, RSV, NRSV, ASV, NASV, and NIV came from. Jars, wastebaskets, and Catholic libraries.

Do you know what the word “Genizah” means? How it is that the Scholars’ Union never tells you what words like that mean while they are trying to strip you of everything but your shorts? “Hundreds” of great “new finds” and a “wealth of material” regarding Hebrew and Greek manuscripts came from “the Genizah” in Cairo. But “Genizah” is not the name of a section of Cairo, or a suburb of Cairo. The word “Genizah” means a waste disposal; it is a trash can. A “Genizah” was a temporary storage place where dilapidated or corrupted manuscripts were deposited, temporarily, before they could be disposed of. Kenyon says a “Genizah” is “a receptacle for IMPERFECT MANUSCRIPTS.” They had been permanently rejected.
There are three excellent “Genizahs” besides the dumpsters in Cairo.

1. Tischendorf’s wastebasket on Mt. Sinai (St. Catherine’s).

2. Origen’s jar, where he found imperfect manuscripts that had been discarded.

3. The Vatican library, which contains the largest number of corrupted “Christian” forgeries, and fake “Christian” literature of any library on earth.

Those four dumpsters are the sources for Septuagint “papyri,” Sinaiticus, Vaticanus, and Origen’s “Septuagint.” The modern counterparts are the NIV, NASV, CEV, and NRSV.

Dumpster City, U.S.A. National Fruit Loop decade.

Vaticanus, in the Vatican (the Catholic library), says that Jesus Christ had a Roman soldier pierce His side with a spear BEFORE He died on the cross (Matt. 27:49). That is the “scholarship” behind the RV, RSV, NRSV, ASV, NASV, and NIV.

The Dead Sea discoveries, in the “Qumran community,” began in the spring of 1947, in the Judean wilderness, about two miles west of the DEAD Sea. Caves were evacuated, and then the “finds” in them were numbered. Thus 1Q means “Qumran, Cave one.” A Commentary on Habakkuk was found in a cave and was published in 1948. It was not a Greek Septuagint. It was not even written in Greek; it was written in Hebrew. Some war scrolls were found, and some “Thanksgiving Psalms.” None of them were “Septuagints,” or parts of Septuagints. There wasn’t a piece of Greek writing in the lot.

Ninety Hebrew manuscripts were found in Cave Four, which proved to be copies of every book in the Old Testament with the exception of Esther (see Custer, pg. viii!). Not ONE was a Greek Septuagint written before, or after 285 B.C., or before or after 250 B.C., or before or after 150 B.C., or before or after A.D. 220, or before or after A.D. 330–350. They were written in Hebrew. Among them were found thirteen manuscripts of Deuteronomy, twelve manuscripts of Isaiah, and ten of the Psalms. No New Testament writer quoted any part of ONE of them, as found in Greek. None of them were written in Greek. None of them were Greek “Septuagints.” No one found Casper the Friendly Ghost.

Now, it is true that the Alexandrians pretended that some were found. For example, in the work by F. F. Bruce we find this shocking statement:

“The Septuagint fragments of two manuscripts of Leviticus and one of Numbers had been identified from Cave Four. Cave Seven has yielded fragments of the Septuagint text of Exodus and also of the Letter of Jeremiah, but the Letter to Jeremiah is not a ‘Biblical’ text: and Exodus 1:5 has a Masoretic text as well as a Septuagint text.”

Are these B.C. or A.D. fragments? He didn’t say. What part of Leviticus, Exodus, and Numbers do they contain? He didn’t say. Why didn’t he give you a date for them? You say, “he couldn’t.” Why not? The same reason Custer couldn’t? F. F. Bruce wrote ten pages on “Dating the Finds” and, then, couldn’t date the “Septuagint manuscripts” he said were found? Why not? Surely this would be the place to prove that at least part of the Pentateuch was “extant” before 4 B.C. My, what an opportunity was by-passed!

In the same book (pg. 65), F. F. Bruce says that the earliest Septuagint fragments are
Ryland Papyri 458 (see pg. 11). They weren’t found in the Dead Sea Scrolls, but they were dated at 150 B.C. So the Dead Sea fragments must have been LATER than 150 B.C. But the plot thickens, for in “dating the finds,” we discover that the Carbon-14 method was employed, and that when the linen wrappings of these “Septuagint” manuscripts were analyzed, any date between 250 B.C. and A.D. 50 could be assigned to them. You have a leeway of 300 years to work with. Moreover, the Carbon-14 didn’t match the “pottery dating,” but since it would give an earlier date than the pottery dating, F. F. Bruce REFUSES TO TELL YOU WHAT THE POTTERY DATING WAS (ibid, pp. 45–46). There is nothing like honest scholarship, is there? Especially when it is “accurate?”

The Scroll of the Minor Prophets (see pp. 12, 48), called “R,” suffers the same fate, but this time “among the Greek documents” found are Greek copies of Mark, John, and Acts “dating from the fifth to the eighth century A.D.”\(^5\) The Scroll of the Minor Prophets shows up in the same vicinity with Greek manuscripts written in A.D. 480, 580, 680, and 780. (That is some pre-Christian LXX you have there, son.)

Of seven “original scrolls” (Vanderkam), none were Greek LXX manuscripts. A text called “The Daliyeh Deed” was dated 405–354 B.C. It was not even related to the LXX. In Cave Four they found The Testament of Quahat, dated 388–353 B.C. It was not an LXX or related to one. In the same cave they found a Paraphrase of the Pentateuch (208–117 B.C.). It wasn’t a Greek LXX. Then they unearthed The Testament of Levi and a Massada Joshua and some pieces of 1 and 2 Samuel (192–63 B.C.). None of them were Greek LXXs.\(^6\)

Custer and Skehan’s “wealth of information” was a rubber check: it bounced. Custer and his “sidekick” were banking with James White.

What these fellows must surely know to be true is never said. The truth is that the Dead Sea HEBREW scrolls revealed affinities (in certain readings) to the Masoretic Hebrew traditional text (AV) one time, and another time to the Samaritan Pentateuch text (Palestinians) another time, and to the Septuagint text (Alexandrian) another time. But once this is known, a sane man will ask: “WHAT SEPTUAGINT TEXT?” And the whole gang of split-tongued, two-faced, double-talking bullshooters are right back where they started from. The Septuagint everyone of them refers to is Vaticanus, Sinaiticus, and Alexandrinus, written more than 240–280 years after the completion of the New Testament. H. G. G. Herklotts,\(^7\) following the crippled steps of Reumann, Swete, Archer, Price, Schaff, and Miller, says THE SEPTUAGINT is the fifth column of Origen’s Hexapla. Par for the course. Where Hebrew texts from Qumran match this corrupt work it only means that ORIGEN COPIED THAT CORRUPT HEBREW TEXT in his first column. His first column (see pg. 78) was a Hebrew Old Testament.

Now, compare these FACTS with a typical statement by F. F. Bruce: “A standard Greek Pentateuch was established by 200 B.C.”\(^8\) Which didn’t exist anywhere, and appears nowhere in any cave excavated in the Qumran community. Spooks. Casper the Friendly Ghost. What proof does Bruce give for this out-and-out lie? None. He can’t even give you the DATES for the Greek fragments of Leviticus, Exodus, and Numbers which he said were found in the caves. I’ll give you some: A.D. 140–220.
If that seems impossible, then note that the Isaiah Scroll, reputed to have been written in 170–150 B.C., was said to have been written in the seventh or eight century A.D. That is a scholarly “difference of opinion” which shows that half the time none of the scholars know what they are talking about. Later, Professor Driver amended this A.D. 650–750 date to somewhere between A.D. 200 and 500.9 I’ll give you a date: A.D. 140–220.

G. S. Wegener says:

“While the Law was being translated...more original writings were appearing in Palestine.”10

Which were? Not one copy of the “LAW” was extant within 300 years of the birth of Christ. One “Jeremiah Scroll” in Cave Four had “the shorter Septuagint Version readings in it.” But this was a Hebrew copy which Origen wrote down in his first column, if it was written before A.D. 140 (Aquila). Otherwise, it was a Hebrew copy of Origen written after A.D. 220. The fact that it matched a LXX extant in A.D. 330–350 means nothing. There wasn’t any Greek Septuagint of Jeremiah in any cave. It had disappeared like “angel’s hair.”

The Scroll of the Minor Prophets (see pp. 12, 49), called “R,” was not found in the Qumran caves. It was found in a Wadi near Qumran (Nahal Heveh) and was supposed to be a Greek scroll, written possibly in 50 B.C. or A.D. 135. No writer of the material on the Dead Sea Scrolls, Swete, Bruce, Pfeiffer, Herklotts, Vanderkam, or Burrows, will tell you how those dates were arrived at unless it was the hit-or-miss, place-your-bets, take-a-chance-on, haphazard Carbon 14 findings. And you are not told that the Carbon 14 was applied to The Scroll of the Minor Prophets, only to the Qumran material. All six writers avoid the discussion like the plague. Why?

Scroll “R” of the Minor Prophets offers the “serious student of the Bible” a beautiful view of how the myth of the Septuagint has been sustained through the years. From its inception by Aristobulus (who couldn’t produce one part of one manuscript containing one book in the Old Testament) to Philo (who could not produce one manuscript containing one book in the Old Testament) to Shanks, Sanders, Streeter, Kenyon, Metzger, Kutilek, Bob Jones III, and Dr. Edward Hills (who could not produce one manuscript containing one Old Testament book, written in Greek, before A.D. 140, that any New Testament writer quoted), the myth has been bolstered by cunning deceit, credulous duplicity, and out and out LYING.

1. Most writers do not give the exact contents of “R.” The exact number of fragments for the Minor Prophets is not listed.

2. Most writers will not give you the portions covered by any of the fragments, nor are you given the size of the fragments.

3. Three separate dates, spanning nearly 200 years, are given as the dates for the whole scroll.

4. The NAMES of the Minor prophets are not usually given. One writer does say “Joel–Zechariah.” But this would exclude Hosea and Malachi. Furthermore, you are left
not knowing how much of any ONE book is extant (Joel, Amos, Obadiah, Jonah, Micah, Nahum, etc.). It could have been less than one fifth of each book.

Now, why all of this secrecy? Why the sudden absence of data and information after all of this hot air about “homework” and a “wealth of information?”

Well, the problem is not hard to solve if anyone is (1) sane and (2) honest.

The Scroll of the Minor Prophets is written in GREEK; therefore, it is a witness to a mythological LXX. Therefore, it must be forced BACKWARD in time as far as possible in order to bolster the myth. The efforts of the superstitious apostates are commendable.

Beginning with fact instead of fiction, the Nahal Heveh “finds” of the “Minor Prophets” by the Wadi-Murabba’at, (twelve miles south of Qumran) was accompanied by coins from the time of the second Jewish revolt (Simeon Ben Kokba). This revolt is dated by all historians as A.D. 132–135. That is just five to six years before Aquila wrote his “Minor Prophets.” It is forty-two to forty-five years AFTER the completion of the New Testament. Pfeiffer says that the coins would prove the scroll was written AFTER A.D. 200. That would be the date of Origen’s Hexapla (A.D. 220–240).

Kutilek (having never done any homework on the subject) says it “is dated to the mid–first century A.D.”—blithely oblivious to the fact that he has confessed it is not a “pre-Christian” LXX.

His theoretical guesswork, based on his own imagination, was designed to prove that there was an LXX “in the time of Christ.” Typical, evasive charlatanism. Absolutely “standard” in the Alexandrian Cult.

Not to be outdone by Kutey; Shanks, Vanderkam, McCarter, and Sanders (specifically J. A. Sanders, pg. 63) says that the Scroll “depends on the Septuagint.” What Septuagint? He doesn’t produce ONE verse from ONE chapter of any Minor Prophet. All (as in Kutey’s case) is scholarly vapor floating over a sea of speculation, fabrication, illusion, and provocation. Par for the Cult. Having “established” (again!) the non-existent LXX, Sanders proceeds to date a leather fragment from one unidentified scroll (containing an unidentified passage) as 50 B.C.

Nice try. Commendable effort. If a man were crooked, or crazy, he might buy the “bill of goods.”

But I have always been suspicious of these competent, experienced, authoritative, dogmatic DING-A-LINGS who can never produce a verse of Scripture to prove anything they believe, think, or are saying or teaching. Why is it that the Scriptures never back them up?

Realizing that he is on shaky ground, J. A. Sanders does the only thing that anyone with a bad conscience would do. Sanders abstains from definitely dating the fragment, and says:

“The author who worked on this [meaning “wrote it,” but wanting to leave the impression that the author had a Septuagint he was “working on”] did it PROBABLY BETWEEN 50 B.C.E. and 50 C.E.”

About ten years ago, a young ministerial student was called before a sort of “discipline committee” at BJU and was accused of being a “Ruckmanite.” Like thousands before him (and after him), he had not yet met me, heard of me, or read ANY of my material. He was astonished at the venom and excitement in the committee when they themselves began to talk about “Ruckman.” He had no idea that “good, godly, Christian scholars” could get that emotionally upset about ANYTHING! On every other occasion, they had appeared as cool, calm, courteous, kind, “sharing and caring,” objective scholars. But the subject of “Ruckman” raised the hair of their hackles.

After confessing that he had never read anything I ever printed, and then after confessing that, “so-help-me-God, word-of-honor, cross-my-heart and…etc.”, he would NEVER read any of my material, he asked a very naive and stupid question. (If he had anticipated the answer he would have called for a psychiatrist to help the committee out.) He asked in perfect innocence:

“Why doesn’t anyone here want any student to read Ruckman’s writings?”

The answer he got should have been printed in the Sword of the Lord, the Baptist Bible Tribune, Christianity Today, The Searchlight, The Flaming Torch, O Timothy, Pulpit Helps, Moody Monthly, and The National Liberty Journal.

One of the bifocaled pansies in the godly incubator said: “BECAUSE HE IS TOO READABLE!”

High academic scholarship should NEVER be “readable.” It has too much that has to be covered up, and a liar has to have a good memory. (By the grace of God, Gail Riplinger taught James White how to bracket Scriptural comparisons and, by the grace of God, I taught him how to write—almost! But counterfeiters must imitate all of their lives, anyway, as they have nothing original to contribute.)

To show you how far these radical, left-wing, fanatical extremists carry their “religion,” note that critics of Adolph Deissmann said that the New Testament was Jewish-Greek Hellenism, not Koine (street language). So they claimed that the Greek of the post-Christian Septuagints (א, א, ב, כ, etc.) was what “colored the language of the New Testament.”14 But how could this be? They claimed that three to four manuscripts, written 240–280 years after the completion of the New Testament, set the writing style for Peter, James, John, Jude, Matthew, Mark, etc., when they wrote (A.D. 40–90)!!

These cockeyed idiots—everyone of them an “accredited” scholar—say that the apostles “spoke a Greek influenced by the LXX.” WHAT LXX?

Whose LXX?

“Influenced by an LXX” written when?

Whose LXX? Aquila’s, written in A.D. 140? Symmachus’, written after A.D. 160?
Theodotian’s LXX? He wrote this after A.D. 170. Origen’s LXX? He wrote his after A.D. 220.

**What LXX?**

Don’t you know? It was the LXX that the Gummie Bears wrote for Abbott and Costello while they were selling war bonds (1943).

Josh McDowell says:

“It is generally accepted that the Torah was translated by seventy-two Jewish elders… during the reign of Ptolemy Philadelphus II, in the middle of the third century.”


McDowell again:

“The ENTIRE TRANSLATION of the Hebrew Old Testament into Greek had been completed” before Christ’s birth.16

Why, that’s just a dog-eared page out of Ben Sirach.

*Not one copy of it could be found before, during, or after His birth until A.D. 140 (Aquila).* But “never say die!” “It is the LXX VERSION which appears to be quoted by most of the New Testament writers at several points.”

“THE LXX” version? *What LXX version?* There was no “LXX version” extant until A.D. 140, and then, there were three more of them between A.D. 160 and 220. Which one of these—none of them are extant anymore—did the New Testament writers quote? Every time any writer quotes a New Testament passage, supposedly taken from an Old Testament LXX, he is comparing it with an LXX manuscript written after A.D. 330–350. These manuscripts are Sinaiticus, Vaticanus, Alexandrinus, Ephraemi Rescriptus, Dublinensis, Marchalianus, Colberto-Sarravianus, Bolberto-Vaticanus, Cottonianus Venetus, Ambrosianus, Bodleianus, and a few others. They range from A.D. 330–A.D. 870. **THAT IS WHAT PETER, PAUL, MATTHEW, etc., quoted?! Those are LXXs? Which one of those did the New Testament writers quote?**

How did the New Testament writers quote a Greek LXX with quotations that were written 240–600 years after they were dead? Let’s see you do *that one* with mirrors! You talk about “miracles!”

Ira Price is putting it mildly when he notes that the eighty Greek papyri written AFTER the completion of the New Testament

“still leaves the problem ACUTE...a considerable amount of UNCERTAINTY remains.”

You bet your Adidas, it does, daddy-o. (“You said it Buster; you got the balloons.”) *The B.C. Septuagint never existed.* It was concocted out of thin air: *HOT thin air.*
There are three pitiful scraps of Deuteronomy (23, 25:1–3, 26:12, 17, 19, and 28:31–33) written about 150 B.C.; and the Papyrus 266 (Fouad, Egypt) is a fourth scrap, which partially repeats the Deuteronomy scraps (No. 458), for it contains Deuteronomy 32:7. If it had the complete passage—which it does NOT—six chapters of No. 458 would have been repeated. These scraps no more constitute an “entire translation of the Old Testament” (McDowell above) than 52 constitutes twenty-one chapters of the Gospel of John.

No New Testament writer quotes one word from one line of one verse in those four “Deuteronomic” Septuagints. The other Septuagint manuscripts are listed on pages 48–51 of our work called The Christian’s Handbook of Manuscript Evidence, published in 1970. Not ONE of them was even part of a pre-Christian LXX. They were written between A.D. 200 and 400 (Genesis), A.D. 600–750 (Song of Solomon), A.D. 600–700 (Job), A.D. 400–550 (Psalms), etc. I’ll give you a date for the Scroll of the Minor Prophets in Greek: A.D. 220 or later.

I listed the Leipzig papyri, the Ryland’s papyri, and the Oxyrhynchus papyri—twenty “Septuagint” readings, with the portions of Scripture they contained and the date they were written. I listed five more findings on portions of Numbers, Deuteronomy, Genesis (“The Torah”; see McDowell above), and Isaiah. They were all written more than sixty years after the completion of the New Testament. The majority of them was written 250 years after the completion of the New Testament. One (Papyrus 911) was written 100 years after John finished The Book of Revelation. Ryland Papyrus 458 and Fouad 266 are the only “B.C.” fragments found in 2,400 years of babbling about a B.C. LXX which “the apostles quoted”: none of them quoted Rylands 458 or Fouad 266. According to the International Standard Bible Encyclopedia (Vol. 4, pg. 2,728), every manuscript cited by any author, anywhere on earth, for the readings of Psalm 40:6 (as an “LXX” or “Septuagint”) was written more than 240 years after the New Testament was completed.

We repeat: all of the authors of every LXX that ever showed up had the complete New Testament on their writing tables when they set out to pervert the Masoretic Old Testament Text. Proof? Check the quotations (Chapter Four). It is that simple. Nobody checked them. Every LXX fanatic took Aristeas at “face value” even where he admitted the myth was a myth. They took Aristeas at “face value” when he was as faceless as a hard-boiled egg; the author of that ridiculous Fairytale had “lost face” before Philo dreamed him up. I have given the Body of Christ, and the Scholars’ Union (worldwide), twenty-seven YEARS to produce ONE Old Testament Greek manuscript, written before 4 B.C. that ANY New Testament writer quoted. They could not find one; they couldn’t even find one verse, or part of one verse, in the Dead Sea excavations. Stewart Custer’s “wealth of information” (BJU) was a Wall Street stock investor’s bank account in 1931.

If one were to write out, in “Alexandrian Dialect,” what went on with these bankrupt stock brokers, he would have to say (America, circa, 1980s):

“They need a crisis management team to review their options. They scarfed the uninformed by specializing in customized constituent servicing. But their strategic investment matrices and revitalized progressive engagements now need full
telecommunications capabilities to project a favorable equity position, relayed by satellite and processed by computers.”

This archaic, “pre-Elizabethan,” muddled, non-coherent, unintelligible, twentieth-century format (for educated suckers) actually means—the Koine Street Greek of the “original autographs” etc.:

“They are bankrupt, with no hope of recovery, and need someone as crooked as themselves to figure a way to bail them out of the mess they got themselves into through rotten leadership, duplicity, ignorance, and haste. They put 5,000 young men out of the ministry, between 1890 and 1990, by educating them in Alexandrian traditions, and they did it by making the young men think that they (the Scholars’ Union) were catering to their needs by giving them special attention—custom-made service—which was ‘nothing but the best.’ But their new and latest innovation (lie, alibi, excuse, retrenchment, new ‘stance,’ ‘posture’) against the Authorized Version cannot keep bringing in the shekels unless they have access to radio, television, and the News Media, and is FAXED on networks to destroy as many Bible-believing people as possible in the next five years.”

That is what they MEANT, no matter how they worded their profession.

I just reported them in the King’s English without their “visuals,” “audios,” and “sound bites.” I have always been skeptical of “channeling” (New Age term, 1990s).
CHAPTER FOUR

The Ghastly Truth,
Which Anyone Could
Have Known

Any Christian with an ounce of sense, or half an ounce of brains, could have solved the “Missing LXX Mystery” with one glance at ANY “extant” Septuagint. Once he saw that the New Testament verses has been transported piecemeal, or bodily, from the New Testament and reinserted into the Old Testament—by throwing the Hebrew out of the Old Testament—he could have guessed what went on between A.D. 140 and A.D. 350, since every copy of the LXX, extant and non-extant, was written between A.D. 140 and 600. Some say (Miller and Kenyon, for example) that Aquila wrote his LXX in A.D. 128. (Most of the Alexandrian Cult moves it up to A.D. 140.) Be that as it may, not ONE copy has ever showed up on this earth since 500 B.C. that was written before the birth of Christ.

The truth is that some backslidden, professing Christian, who (at heart) was a conceited Gnostic ASS (or else several backslidden egomaniacal ASSES, dedicated to “helping out poor ignorant Christians” who didn’t “understand the intent of the original author”), took one look at the New Testament quotations from the Old Testament passages—(Rom. 3:10, 4:7, 17, 9:9, 12, 15, 27, 29, 10:5, 19–20; Matt. 1:23, 3:3, and 4:15, for example)—and saw that they did not match any Hebrew text of the Old Testament. They did not match their “sources” (see Isa. 53:4 and Matt. 8:17, for a good example). This gave the Christian jackass a capital idea! He would correct the “original Hebrew” (or the “original Text” or “The Hebrew text,” etc. Alexandrians have a number of clichés they use when lying like dogs) and “bring it into line” with the Greek New Testament so the quotations would match. That way, gullible suckers in the future (i.e., conceited asses like himself) would believe that Jesus Christ and the apostles were quoting a Greek Old Testament which had been rumored (Aristeas, Philo, Josephus, and Aristobulus) to have been around since 285 B.C., or at least (McDowell, Swete, Custer, et al.) since 150 B.C. This godless, depraved, perverted, “Christian” expedient would prove it.

But! More than that! It would also prove, conclusively, that there must have been a Greek Old Testament around before the birth of Christ. But it would prove even more than THAT! This magical manipulation of God’s Holy word, by jackasses who no more “trembled before it” (Isa. 66:1–2) than they would tremble before a bowl of mush, would prove that fourteen (or fifteen) Alexandrian apocryphal books were inspired: so the Jewish canon (which excluded them) could NOT HAVE BEEN INSPIRED.

But Excelsior! Bravissimo! Arriba! It would prove more than THAT! It would prove that the real “oracles of God” (Rom. 3:2) were given to the Greeks, as well as the Jews; for it would force the King of the Jews to officially place His approval on an African Greek text containing the Apocrypha, which, of course, He never quoted one time in thirty-three and one-half years.
How the Apocryphal books got into the mythological Septuagint is a moot question. The FACT is they are there: they are there NOW. Origen maintained “that young people should begin (the Bible) with EASIER BOOKS such as Esther, Judith, Tobit, and Wisdom, before going on to the Psalms, the Gospels, and the Epistles.”¹ The Apocrypha, in 1999, will be found to be in all extant Septuagint manuscripts which all scholars, in 1999, quote as proof the Septuagint was completed before the birth of Christ.

If Origen, Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotian (A.D. 140–250) all swore on a stack of books from the Library of Ammonius Saccas (A.D. 175–242) that the Old Testament contained only twenty-two books (our thirty-nine), the “Hexaplaric Septuagints”—there are four of them; not just one—copied out for Constantine by Eusebius and Pamphilus (using mainly the fifth column of the Hexapla)—would still contain Apocryphal books.

From this library, the faculty of the World’s Most Unusual University in Africa adopted the fanciful “Christian” canon that it is alright for any scholar to teach, preach, and promote a LIE, if it conceals a great “spiritual truth.”² This was Plato’s teaching and Aristotle’s teaching; it was the teaching also of Augustine, Semler, and the Jesuits. It was Origen who read both Testaments “through Platonic glasses.” To properly appreciate the power of this Satanic philosophy, observe how it perseveres in the faculty lounges of Wheaton, Moody, BJU, and Fuller in the twentieth century, as well as ALL of the revision committees who produced the RV, RSV, NRSV, ASV, NASV, and NIV. All of those typically Alexandrian organizations and individuals promote the Greek texts of Nestle-Aland-UBS. The AUTHORS of those grossly corrupt Egyptian Greek texts teach two lies in order to sell their pulp literature: 1. Their Greek text is the “Majority” text. 2. Their Greek text is a brand new “Textus Receptus” (see The Scholarship Only Controversy). Those are two of the most awesome “whoppers” that ever won a prize in the Liar’s Club (Burlington, Wisconsin, yearly).

Now, we will show you what is going on at Bob Jones University, Wheaton, Fuller, Pensacola Christian College, Stuttgart, Furman, Stetson, Howard, Judson, Cambridge, Oxford, Tennessee Temple, Cedarville, Maranatha, Liberty University, and the seminaries at Dallas, New Orleans, Louisville, Denver, Chicago, and Fort Worth, and every Alexandrian offshoot on earth.

We are going to take you into the “Inner Sanctum” of the “upper reaches” (America, circa 1980s) of Fundamental, Conservative, and Evangelical Christianity. Here, everyone thinks in “situational terms” (“Situation Ethics”) just like all unsaved Agnostics, Communists, Socialists, and Atheists. Here, in the gray area of “most scholars believe” and “competent authorities say” and “we may reasonably assume,” is the “Nondoctinaire New Age Spirituality” which wins adherents by avoiding BIBLICAL TRUTH AND BIBLICAL DOCTRINES (2 Tim. 3:14–17).

If you want to see the numerous “glitches” that pop up in this Fruit Loop Scholars’ Union, study the attempts of James White in The King James Version Only Controversy, as he attempts to correct Scripture with what he thinks is “sound doctrine” (pp. 115, 116, 129, 139, 140, 144, 159, 162, 166, 171, 178, 198, 199, 207, 208, 213, 214, etc.). That entire layout—the whole display from start (pg. 115) to finish (pg. 198)—is as grotesque
and as twisted an exegesis of Bible doctrine as you will see in a lifetime.

Jimmy White needs to attend a “rehabilitation program” in a “correctional facility” under the tutelage of a fifty-year-old North Carolina farm woman who never got to the Eighth Grade. He cannot face one major, truthful revelation in the Holy Scriptures, dealing with “variant readings,” without altering it by an undulating, twisting, contorted, serpentine series of fraudulent thought patterns that would astonish Karl Menninger (Shrink: Topeka, Kansas).

We are going to reproduce for you THE Septuagint (THE LXX) readings of the Old Testament as they stand in the Greek LXX (or “Septuagint”). I have four editions here on my table; they are “complete Old Testament Greek Septuagints.” Every verse I am about to reproduce was written AFTER A.D. 150 and was not “extant” until A.D. 330–350. Not one verse that I am giving—even the “non-extant” ones—was written before the New Testament had been in circulation for fifty years. Not one reading can be found today—or since A.D. 637—that was not written after the New Testament had been in circulation 240–260 years.

Ready? “Fasten your seat belts; tray tables and seats in the upright and locked position.” We are about to “burn the forechecker” (Canada, circa 1940–1990).

Proverbs 11:31 in the Greek Old Testament Septuagint, THE LXX:
“If the righteous scarcely be saved, where shall the ungodly and the sinner appear?”

Well, there it is! There it is in black and white! You see, “the early Christians” DID adopt the LXX as “their version,” and Simon Peter quotes it! This is an apostle quoting Prov. 11:31 from an African Greek text instead of the Hebrew oracles, which said:

“Behold, the righteous shall be recompensed in the earth: much more the wicked and the sinner.”

See? “Ruckman” doesn’t know what he is talking about. “Ruckman thinks he is right and everyone else is wrong, etc.” See the pretty little snow job? “Get outside and dig that crazy snow, kid! It’s cool, man!”

Psalm 14:3 in THE Greek Old Testament Septuagint, THE LXX:
“They are all gone out of the way, they are together become good for nothing, there is none that does good, no not one. Their throat is an open sepulcher; with their tongues they have used deceit; the poison of asps is under their lips; whose mouth is full of cursing and bitterness; their feet...there is no fear of God before their eyes.”

“Glory to God! Alleluia! Lookee there! Old Paul was quoting a Psalm that had fourteen verses in it and the Hebrew text only had seven verses in it!” “Oh what a heretic that Ruckman is! Oh, how could we believe the King James text of Psalm 14:3 when it omitted SEVEN “precious verses inspired by the Holy Spirit?! Ohh Gloriosky! (Little Orphan Annie) how much more accurate is the African Bible the apostles quoted from!”

“How could that wicked ‘Ruckman’ slander the ‘holy memory’ of the ‘holy apostles’
who used the ‘sacred text’ of Philo, Josephus, Augustine, Aristeas, Jesus Ben Sirach, and Kurt Aland and Erwin Nestle?”

And what a “wealth of information” we have here to refute Ruckman’s lies! What an “embarrassment of riches” is on hand to prove that Origen did have THE Septuagint. “Looka cheer!” This ain’t no “bit part.” This is a “CAMEO!”

Amos 5:26 in THE Greek Old Testament Septuagint: THE LXX:
“Yea, ye took up the tabernacle of Moloch and the star of your god Raephan, the images of them which ye made for yourselves.”

“See there! Stephen (an orthodox Jew, speaking before the Jewish Sanhedrin) had to use a Greek Bible that came out of Africa to prove his point (Acts 6:12, 15). He didn’t dare say ‘Chiun’ (AV, Amos 5:26), and he had to add ‘Raephan’ to match ‘Remphan’ (Acts 7:43) to match the ‘LXX’! Boy have we ever proved that there was a B.C. Septuagint!”

Proverbs 3:11–12 in THE Greek Old Testament Septuagint, THE LXX:
“My son, despise not the chastening of the Lord, nor faint when thou art rebuked of Him, for whom the Lord loveth He rebukes and scourges every son whom He receives.”

“See there! The author of Hebrews 12:5 had to use our Greek Septuagint! His Hebrew text (AV 1611) was wrong”:

“neither be weary of his correction...even AS a father the son in whom he delighteth.”

“All hail Delta Delta Delta, Sigma Nu, Sigma Chi, Phi Beta Kappa, and Kappa Kappa Lamda!” “We Greeks have a word for it!”

Now, I only gave you four cases. I can show you thirty cases exactly like those, and I can show you where Jesus Christ shows up in Amos 4:13 and Habakkuk 3:13 before anyone on earth knew that His name was “Jesus” or that He was the “Lord’s Christ” (Luke 2:26; Acts 4:26), THE SEPTUAGINT WRITERS OF AMOS AND HABAKKUK HAD BOTH OF LUKE’S BOOKS ON THEIR TABLES WHEN THEY WROTE.

Proverbs 3:11–12 was an illustration, not a doctrinal statement, for not one single Jew on earth, 900 years before Solomon or 900 years AFTER Solomon, was a “son of God” in the New Testament sense. The stupid idiots who think that Matthew 5:45 and Isaiah 43:6 (and Gen. 6:1–2) refer to the New Birth, by regeneration, are simply blind guides without the spiritual discernment of a brass monkey. There is no New Birth in the Old Testament.

It is time to show the “ace-in-the-hole.” So far, I have been dealing the second card for all four hands. But now I am through playing “five-card draw” and “seven-card stud” with a bunch of dudes and greenhorns who think that a Full House beats a Royal Flush. It is time to flush the whole crew.

It is time for The Bomb: their time has run out. Here is the ghastly truth, which could
be proved in a court of law.

EVERY OLD TESTAMENT SEPTUAGINT VERSE I QUOTED, FROM THE LXX, WAS NOWHERE “EXTANT” UNTIL 240 YEARS AFTER ALL TWENTY-SEVEN NEW TESTAMENT BOOKS HAD BEEN WRITTEN AND COPIED SEVERAL HUNDRED TIMES. The citations I gave you were LXX citations from A.D. 330–350.

In order for Simon Peter to write 1 Peter 4:18, using a Greek Old Testament “Septuagint,” poor Simon had to lie buried in his grave for more than 200 years, then get up, shake the dirt off his worm-eaten corpse, hustle off to Caesaria, enter Origen’s library, haul out one of four “LXXs,” and then write 1 Peter 4:18 to match Proverbs 11:31.

Before Paul could pen Romans 3:12–18, using a Greek LXX, the ole’, beheaded rascal would have had to climb up out of his sarcophagus, get his head “back on his shoulders,” and go to the same place (Caesaria) to learn how to write. He would have been “stone cold dead in the market” for 260 years before he could have done this. (Nice work, Paul! You’re a good man: worth more money! Sic ’em, Tiger!)

In order for the writer of Hebrews to quote Proverbs 3:11–12 correctly, as found in the LXX, the poor sucker would have had to rot in the grave for 260 years, come back to life, obtain a copy of Vaticanus or Sinaiticus (or Marchalianus or Dublinensis, etc.) and reproduce Proverbs 3:11–12 as I printed it just now. “Awesome! Outstanding!”

When you hear of any incredible goofball saying “the writer of Hebrews is quoting the Septuagint in Hebrews 12:5 (or 10:5),” you are dealing with a demented PSYCHO who would put a “serial killer” out of business when it came to mental sickness. Your dealing with a terrorized, brainwashed dingaling, like the jurors in Los Angeles who decided the O. J. Simpson case.

See Stephen, about to address the Sanhedrin (Acts 6:12, 15)! First, he gets into a time machine and “beams himself up” through a “time warp” so he moves forward into A.D. 350. Here he finds the famous “extant” LXX. He then memorizes Amos 5:26, as written by apostate, African Hellenizers and Greek philosophers in Egypt, projects himself backwards in time to A.D. 34 (or 35) and then, standing before the Jewish Sanhedrin, he cites an African manuscript found in the Vatican!

THAT IS WHAT HAS BEEN TAUGHT (AND IS BEING TAUGHT) IN EVERY MAJOR CHRISTIAN COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY, AND SEMINARY IN EUROPE, ASIA, AFRICA, AND THE AMERICAS SINCE A.D. 220 (ORIGEN).

In that length of time (over 1,700 years), plus 500 years before it, no one has ever found one scrap of paper, one fragment of a scroll, or one part of one Greek Old Testament verse ANYWHERE, written before 4 B.C., that contained one verse quoted by ANY New Testament writer.

You don’t have one now. Your Bible teacher cannot show you one NOW.

Your bishop or pastor has never seen ONE.

Your professor cannot show you ONE, right now, right this minute.

Your “wealth of information” and “new light on the Septuagint” in regards to the
“early Bible that the Christians adopted” is a FARCE that would make *I Love Lucy* and *The Honeymooners* look like scientific research in a Theological Seminary. The whole layout from 285 B.C. to A.D. 1999 is “without form, and void” and “darkness” is on “the face of the deep.”

You want the truth instead of Babes in Toyland?

Adamantius Origen wrote “THE” Septuagint by editing three other Septuagints. There was no “THE” Septuagint until Origen created it (A.D. 220–240).

A Concordance is a dictionary containing all of the words in the Bible, showing the reader the book, chapter, and verse in which the word is found. The standard Concordances for the English Bible are Cruden’s, Young’s, and Strong’s. I have some Septuagint concordances. They list the Greek manuscripts that their readings came from. Not ONE of the four Concordances lists one word of ONE verse in a Greek Old Testament that was written before A.D. 130–140.

The Septuagint Concordance (Concordantieae Graecea-Hebrais) by Conrad Kircher was published in Frankfort in 1607. I do not have that copy. But I have copies of the same work by Bocker (1854) and the standard edition published by Conybeare and Stock (printed in the 1970s and 1980s). Abraham Trammius could not find ONE word of ONE verse in ANY Greek Septuagint extant before A.D. 130–140. Neither could Friedrich Schleusner, who published his Septuagint Concordance in 1780.

The ghastly truth is that all four of the Septuagint Concordances are based on Greek texts that were not “extant” until A.D. 330–350. That will be 240 years after the completion of the New Testament.

Could Conybeare (printed by Zondervan in the 1970s) find ONE verse in the Old Testament (written in Greek) before John died on Patmos that ANY writer in the New Testament quoted? Of course not. “Yes, we have no bananas.”

There are no Septuagints “extant” (notwithstanding four hundred direct statements that there ARE) which were written before A.D. 330–600. When they show up, they contain Apocryphal books.

And what about this Platonic con artist? (See pg. 69.)

“Origen lived in the Bible to the extent to which perhaps no one else has rivaled except Luther.”

*Kiss my foot.*

“Without Origen perhaps Augustine, Jerome, St. Thomas, and Anselm wouldn’t have showed up!” You can believe that. Too bad Origen showed up; *too bad he wasn’t a miscarriage.*

Origen was

“hailed as the greatest theologian of the ancient church…the most daring and controversial…he was the creator of SCIENTIFIC Theology [see AV, 1 Tim. 6:20]…
G. L. Prestige says, “one of the greatest TEACHERS ever known to Christendom.”

There is the Madison Avenue, News Media “pitch.”

Looks like a commercial ad for a CEV or Living Bible, doesn’t it? Or possibly an NIV or a NASV?

Do you want to see that same line of Hollywood “bull” in the twentieth century? All you have to do is look at the recommendations for every new version that has hit the market since 1901. Want to see that old Alexandrian pitch, that old Scholars’ Union “heave-ho,” in operation in 1999? Just buy you a copy of The King James Only Controversy by James White (1995), and there you will see the Alexandrian Cult’s “Mutual Admiration Society” in full bloom. Read the recommendations given to Jimbo by his Alexandrian friends in the Cult: they are printed on the back and front cover and on the flyleaf inside. That white-washing, soft-soaping, apple-polishing, handshaking, boot-licking flattery is a 1,900 year old SOP in the Alexandrian Cult. They love those that love them. They worship their own kind. Their god is intellectualism gone to seed: they are practical atheists.

This “King Kong of the Gaffed Act” (Origen) went barefoot, on purpose, to prove he was humble and poor. He had so much money, he hired seven short-hand experts and seven secretaries as transcribers, plus other copyists. He castrated himself, using Matthew 5:28–29 as a proof text, thus revealing what a great THEOLOGIAN—the word Theology means “God knowledge”—and Bible TEACHER he was. Like Jimmy White, he was nothing but a carnal, puffed-up, immature child who could do nothing but wrest the Scriptures to his own destruction (2 Pet. 3:16).

This pious fakir “who made Christianity palatable to the intellectuals of his time …” (not the Body of Christ) told other scholars not to “cast their pearls before swine,” meaning every born-again child of God in the Body of Christ who was not as smart as they were. He claimed that all Christians have a “poverty of insight” if they don’t “aspire to the appreciation of the hidden meanings” in Scripture (Gnosticism). For example, “God’s Truth” does NOT reach to the clouds (Ps. 57:10), no matter what the Holy Spirit said in ANY Hebrew manuscript or ANY Greek manuscript. The clouds are Origen’s buddies in the Scholars’ Union “who are spiritually obedient to the word of God.” For example: perverting the Hebrew Old Testament and castrating yourself, I suppose.

“The law (the Torah) is only made in the Old Testament for those who understand it carnally…but to US [ah! Get that word, buddy! There is Jimmy White’s favorite expression!] who understand it, and expound it spiritually….it is always new.”

Origen rejected all autographs, original or copied, firsthand or otherwise, where any of them stated anything he couldn’t understand or disagreed with. According to him, Christ did not cleanse the temple (John 2), and Adam and Eve were not real people at all: they never lived. There was no tree of life in the Garden of Eden, nor was their any
“tree of the knowledge of good and evil.” Urgeschicte: Supra-history as taught by Westcott and Hort and the German Rationalist Semler. Origen rejected every factual and historical truth in both Testaments that he didn’t want to believe in. But the miracle of all of this was that he

“HELD TO A VIEW OF VERBAL INSPIRATION OF THE MOST RIGOROUS KIND.”11

Ole’ Adamantius, bless my soul! believed that “the Scriptures were written by the inspiration of the Holy Spirit”!12


So what?

So “birds of a feather flock together.” There you go!

Origen set the pace for “the pack” way back in A.D. 220.

“Wouldn’t you know?” (Southern, circa 1890).

Origen wrote the “Hexed Hexapla,” the beginning of a mammoth attempt (running through 1,800 years) to rid the Body of Christ of absolute written authority and replace it with the preferences, theories, conjectures, and opinions (and just plain hallucinations) of educated Greek scholars. These hair-brained idiots (called “good, godly, and great,” and “recognized, qualified, and sincere,” and even “faithful, dedicated, and militant”) were to be the substitute for the Holy Spirit in the lives of the children of God as “interpreters” of the Scriptures.

To this day, they fancy they are just that: guides, leaders, and shepherds of the Body of Christ. They are blind leaders of the blind. They are with us today (1999), and they are present in 2,000 times the number that they were present in the days of Origen, Philo, Josephus, and Augustine.
CHAPTER FIVE
The Hex on the Hexapla

Origen’s avowed purpose, stated in A.D. 220, was the identical purpose stated by every Bible corrupter since. It was stated in 1881 by Westcott and Hort, and stated again in 1898 by Eberhard Nestle, and stated again in 1940 by Erwin Nestle, and stated again in 1970 by Kurt Aland, and again in 1995 by James White. All practical atheists parrot each other while trying to replace the Holy Bible with their own ideas of how it should be written. After rejecting absolute authority, they all have the same humanistic dream: to replace it with their own opinions and preferences and the opinions and preference of those like themselves, worshippers of Scholarship Onlyism.

Every Scholarship Onlyism fanatic in the Alexandrian Cult from A.D. 140 to A.D. 1999 was obsessed with the idea of “restoring the original text” of something-or-other. They all lived in the past. Their profession looks good in print. It makes them appear as benefactors, if not “Crusaders.” But, by now, you should have some idea of how seriously you should take any blockhead’s “profession of faith” when he worships his own mind.

The desperate heretic, to whom we have already been introduced, believed in the Virgin Birth, the Deity of Christ, the Crucifixion, and the Resurrection, and believed in the verbal inspiration of the original autographs. However, he would not hesitate to alter every “autograph” that ever came his way if he didn’t like it. This is the jughead who converted Jesus Christ into a sinner by removing “without a cause” from Matthew 5:22, and erased part of Matthew 16:23 because “Christ would not have said a thing like that.”

Perverted eggheads, like Origen, have been a penny-a-dozen since 1884. One look at an RV, RSV, ASV, NRSV, NASV, or NIV will show you what I meant by that statement. The “dumpster divers” all dive in the same dumpster.

When James White went to work covering up his own perversions of the truth, and the sins of the Bible perverts who taught him, he eventually had to deal with Origen. He craftily disposed of him in less than two lines, thus avoiding any discussion of Origen’s blasphemous perversions of the Bible. White says, only, that Origen “may have believed things that most Fundamentalists would find more than SLIGHTLY unusual.”

What? Only “Fundamentalists?” And not “all” Fundamentalists? Do you mean to tell me that a Conservative or Evangelical or even some Catholics would not find the following to be more than “slightly” unusual?

1. The only way any sinner can get remission of sins is by suffering martyrdom for Jesus Christ (Homily on Numbers). Only “slightly” unusual, is it, Jimmy?

2. The church should baptize infants (Commentary on Romans). Origen was putting out this heretical trash more than 100 years before any church leader in the East, or West, even recommended it.
3. Every Christian is the “rock” Christ referred to in Matthew chapter 16. “We become Peter” (Commentary on Matthew). Just “slightly” unusual, right?

4. Water baptism is a “saving fountain,” but “no one can be sure about salvation after being washed,” because you can be washed in “the saving fountain” without receiving “the washing of salvation” (Homily on Ezekiel).

“I do not know who of US [there’s Jimbo’s catch word!] has been washed into salvation; we have just been washed by the grace of BAPTISM.”

Origen didn’t know he was regenerated (see Titus 3:5). Note the “us.” Origen had no assurance of salvation all the time he was writing about it. Do you? And he was smarter than YOU, was he? Well, honey chile, baby doll, bless yo ever lovin’ blue-eyed heart, he wasn’t as smart as I AM! I know I was regenerated by the washing of salvation on the 14th day of March, 1949, at 10 o’clock in the morning, at the corner of Gregory and Palafox in downtown Pensacola, Florida.

Philip Schaff, the head of the notorious ASV committee (1901)—another baby sprinkler—cites Gregory Thaumaturgus for Origen’s ability as a “theologian.” He says:

“Origen received from God the greatest gift, to be an INTERPRETER OF THE WORD OF GOD to men.”

It’ll be a cold day in the Lake of Fire when an Agnostic, an African Gnostic (who doesn’t know he is saved), will be able to interpret the Bible for me or for any Christian in North Carolina with a Third Grade education. The “gifts” to the Body of Christ are given by Paul in Ephesians chapter 5 and 1 Corinthians chapter 12. “Interpreting the Bible for men” is not in the list. The Holy Spirit is the Interpreter (Gen. 40:8, 41:16; Luke 24:45; John 14:26), as any Sixth-Grade pupil in DVBS knows.

It is the Scholarly Nicolaitans who aspire to be “interpreters.” If you want to watch these conceited ASSES in action—and I say that with apologies to every four-legged jackass in the pasture or the woods—watch Jimmy White (The King James Only Controversy) trying his hand at interpreting Acts 19:2 and 2 Timothy 2:12. If you want another good horselaugh (or jackass laugh) watching a clown trying to pose as an “intellectual,” study A. T. Robertson trying to interpret Acts 2:38, or Spiros Zodhiates trying to plow through Hebrews chapters 4, 6, and 10. “Interpreters?” Philip Mauro, Philip Schaff, Robert Dick Wilson, Kurt Aland, J. G. Machen, and Benjamin Warfield could no more “interpret” Isaiah chapters 2, 10, 27–28, 65–66; Amos chapter 9; Job chapter 41; Ezekiel chapters 40–48; and Psalm 68 than they could roller skate on the top of Mr. Everest. They just all “felt good about themselves” (NEA cliché).

The Hexapla, according to all historians, was a six-columned (vertical columns) study in “comparative translations.” The first column contained an unidentified Hebrew text, which was the product of Hellenized Jews in Egypt; hence, to this day, you can find an occasional “Dead Sea” reading that matches the mythological Septuagint. This only proves that Origen would have rejected the Traditional Text and Massora, turned out later.
The second column was a transliteration of that slightly corrupted Hebrew: the sounds of the Hebrew letters were reproduced in Greek. The third column was a “Septuagint” put together by an apostate Jew named Aquila, who converted from paganism to Judaism. He put it together around A.D. 130–140. Miller and Kenyon say about A.D. 128. I take the date to be A.D. 140.

The fourth column was a Septuagint put together by Symmachus, an Ebionite (i.e., salvation by following Christ’s example), who finished it around A.D. 190–200.

The fifth column, written by Origen, is unwittingly called “THE SEPTUAGINT” by Schaff, the head of the ASV (1901) committee. John Reumann, in The Romance of Bible Scripts and Scholars (Prentice Hall, 1965), does the same thing. Ira Price calls the fifth column “THE SEPTUAGINT” and so does H. G. G. Herklotts.

Frederick Kenyon did the same thing.

A problem has arisen. Why did all five men lie?

Gleason Archer does the same thing. He calls the fifth column in the Hexapla, “THE SEPTUA-GINT.” Was it? It wasn’t? Then why do six “Christian” scholars call it that? Somebody needs a “pity-party.”

This is typical of the tricks that God plays on the minds of egotistical yahoos who think they are smart enough to “restore the originals.” It is God’s comic comment on the truth, as we shall see. The truth is that what is called “The Septuagint” today (and ever since A.D. 350) IS ORIGEN’S SEPTUAGINT: the one he made up. “The Septuagint” is what Origen fancied it would have looked like if it had ever been written. He had three Septuagints with which to work with, but not one Christian scholar in 1,600 years would call those three Septuagints “Septuagints,” although that is what they were. Origen was in the same boat with Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotian. They were all just giving their opinions about what a Septuagint should look like. NOT ONE OF THEM HAD EVER SEEN ONE IN THEIR LIFETIME.

The sixth column was by another Ebionite named Theodotian (who denied the Virgin Birth in his reading of Matt. 1:16). He wrote his Septuagint between A.D. 170–180.

Now, since all recognized, “godly” scholars desire to bring all Bible believers down to their own level of ignorance—see any of the monkeyshines carried on by Ryrie, Freerkson, Palmer, Willmington, Wemp, Hodges, Afman, Price, Swete, Martin, Custer, Panosian, et al.—they went to the limit, here, to confuse and confound every naive or inexperienced student who would search for the truth. They soberly and seriously declared (with all of the soberness of a Great Horned Owl and all the seriousness of a heart attack), that three of the Greek Old Testaments in the Hexapla could not be called “Septuagints,” although that is what they were. Only ONE could be called “THE” Septuagint: Origen’s edition.

That is exactly how the matter has been handled since A.D. 350 in every Christian Monastery, College, Cloister, University, Seminary, or Bible Institute on five continents, and that is how it is taught today (1996).
Going beyond that in their irrational buffoonery, these “good, godly” scholars have taught that Symmachus, Aquila, and Theodotian didn’t even have any LXX to work with, but Origen DID. And then going beyond this, out into the Twilight Zone of drug addiction, the same “good, godly” men (who were always taken up with “restoring the original text”) insisted that Origen was using three OTHER LXX VERSIONS instead of the ones he printed. This was done knowing that the “versions” (called Quinta, Sexta, and Septima) were not versions at all: they were incomplete portions of pieces of manuscripts. When two of these partial versions were added, the work was called an “Octapla”; where all three fragments appeared it was called an “Enneapla.”

Origen never had one VERSION of the LXX on his table unless it was the three constructed by Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotian, before he sat down.

This would mean that all four “Septuagints” were written by Bible perverting heretics who had the New Testament on the table in front of them while they went about to destroy the traditional Hebrew text of the Old Testament (Rom. 3:2). We just showed you the operation in the previous chapter.

We “played hardball” while the scholars were playing ping-pong.

What “LXX” did Origen correct? Why, that is easy. He corrected three of them, written by Symmachus, Aquila, and Theodotian. He did it according to his own personal feelings, whims, Platonic ideals, biased opinions, and preferences, and with no more “fear and trembling” (2 Cor. 7:15) than Slick Willie promoting Nature Worship (1995) through the UN.

One to two hundred years later, his devoted disciple (Eusebius) copied his fifth column out for Constantine, over in Rome, and threw in a Bel and Dragon and Tobit to make things look good. This established the mythological Septuagint as “the Bible the early Christians adopted.” “Proof?” We just gave the proof in the last chapter. All of those citations (and any others we didn’t list, by any scholar) from the “Septuagint” are citations WRITTEN more than 240 years after the New Testament canon closed.

After saying that the Old Testament canon had twenty-two books in it, Origen cited Apocryphal books all his life and recommended them (see pg. 61) as part of the Biblical canon. The writers say that

“he accepted both texts (Greek and Hebrew) where they contradicted…he compared the Septuagint text with the Hebrew.”

What Septuagint text? “THE Septuagint text?”

He had three of them right in front of his snoot, and none of them were called “Septuagint” texts by the Ghost Busters.

Origen says:

“When I was uncertain of The Septuagint reading…because various COPIES did not tally, I settled the issue by consulting other VERSIONS…to show that they were not in The Septuagint.”
What “various copies?” You said “copies.” WHAT COPIES?

Did you mean the Quinta, Sexta, and Septima FRAGMENTS? Did you mean Aquila’s copy or Symmachus’ copy, or did you mean Theodotian’s copy?

Copies? Copies of WHAT?

What “versions?” You said “other versions.” WHAT OTHER VERSIONS? Old Latin versions? Old Syriac versions? Both of those versions were extant before you sat down to write your “Septuagint.”

“When I was uncertain?” (Ho, ho, ho, ho, teehee, hee, hee, hah, hah, hah haaahh! What a horselaugh!) Adamantius, ole’ son, you weren’t “certain” of one thing you said since you opened your mouth, not even your own salvation! Alongside your “accurate scholarship,” The Letter to Aristeas looks like a scientific, “critical edition” of the Greek New Testament.

Origen was uncertain every time Symmachus disagreed with Aquilla or Theodotian, and every-time Theodotian disagreed with Symmachus and Aquila, and every time Aquila disagreed with Symmachus and Theodotian. THOSE WERE HIS “SEPTUAGINT VERSIONS.”

But “The” Septuagint? No such animal ever walked on this earth till Origen got through writing (A.D. 240). Origen never saw any Septuagint a day in his life, and neither did you; for the “Septuagint” is always a reference to a complete Greek Old Testament finished before the time of Christ. Origen never saw it a day in his lifetime; neither did Aquila or Symmachus, or Theodotian or Jerome, or Augustine or Eusebius, or the King James translators, or Dean Burgon or Dr. Edward Hills, or Westcott, Hort, Nestle, Swete, Skehan, Custer, Metzger, Bruce, Aland, Sumner, or your Aunt Sally.

It is time for a “punch-line” on the LXX.

It was the Phantom of the Horse Opera; “Ghost Riders in the Sky!”

The Cambridge History of the Bible says:

“The Septuagint was produced primarily for Alexandrian Jewry…it has been generally inferred that both its contents and its arrangement represent the standards and usage of that community.”

Wrong again. No Jew ever accepted the contents of any extant Septuagint. Vaticanus (B)—said to be the “purest” LXX text extant—has seven Apocryphal books in it. The Old Latin, written 200 years before Vaticanus, did not follow the order of books in Vaticanus, nor did the King James Authorized Version. Nor did the AV of 1611 follow the “Septuagints” found in א and Alexandrinus, where the Prophets follow the historical books instead of the poetic books. The Old Latin did not follow the Septuagint until AFTER Origen’s Hexapla was in circulation.

The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia (ISBE) says:

“The Septuagint was made for the populace and written in large measure in the
language of every day life.”

He means that Sinaiticus and Vaticanus (following Origen) copied the Koine Greek of the New Testament into their Old Testament and New Testament, since they had the whole New Testament right on their tables when they perverted the Hebrew. The “language of everyday life” had been in circulation in the New Testament more than 240 years before ANY “extant” Septuagint manuscript was written.

The ISBE says there was a divergence between the Palestinian Hebrew and the Alexandrian Greek around A.D. 200. Why, that is when the Septuagint was manufactured! That is when Symmachus, Aquila, Theodotian, and Origen wrote their “Septuagints.” And what was the “Alexandrian Greek Septuagint” up till that time (A.D. 200)? Silly Boy; it never existed. Naturally the Palestinian Hebrews could “go along with it.” It wasn’t there. You are still told that at this same time the Christian Church adopted the LXX. What LXX? There were three available in A.D. 200, and by A.D. 240 there were four available. Which LXX was it? They were all in The Hexapla, and today none of them are extant. We are told that Theodotian’s version constantly “agrees with the LXX.”

What LXX? Origen’s? Aquila’s? Symmachus’? You’re lying. You have no LXX. You are pretending that ONE OF THOSE FOUR IS THE LXX.

“It was Origen who claimed to be able to give the Church the TRUE text of the Old Testament and its true MEANING.”

Hello, you old Roman Catholic Pope, you! Hello Aland! Hello, Kenneth Wuest! Hello, Dr. A. T. Robertson! Hello, Stewart Custer! “Give me some skin, man!” Hey deah, Spiros Zodhiates! Hey deah, James White! Howdy deah, all of you godless, depraved, smooth, slick, sweet-talking, clean cut, pious, demon-possessed, bifocaled, Greek teachers! Been “wowin’ ’em” in the classrooms, have you? Yoo hoo! Polly wanna crackah?

“The LXX text which he revised was the current Alexandrian text of the third century.” Which was…Which was WHAT? It didn’t exist, unless it was the texts printed by Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotian. Origen’s corrupt LXX does not show up in the Syrian Bibles until after A.D. 254 (his death). The “Syro-Hexaplar” of the Bishop of Tella, in Mesopotamia, has the signs of Origen’s critical apparatus found in the fifth column. Unwittingly, unconsciously, and without the slightest admission that they are lying like Origen, the ISBE calls Origen’s fifth column “THE LXX.”

“Totally out there!” Man, what a “gasser!” That is SEVEN witnesses. Bonkers: bananas gone bonkers.

Sure it’s the “LXX.” The LXX was written between A.D. 220 and 240.

Finally, we are told that it is impossible to reconstruct the original LXX because of “mixed text-types” (see the Dead Sea Scrolls, pg. 149). But this is the identical thing that these same clowns are now saying (1940–1990) about the New Testament texts,
whereas from 1880 to 1940 the same clowns (Hort, Ellicott, Schaff, Robertson, Wuest, Rendall, Thayer, Vincent, Trench, Mulligan, Moulton, Nestle, Aland, et al.) swore that all “text-types” were neatly divided into clearly defined “families” (Alexandrian, Western, Caesarian, Alexandrian, and Syrian).

Ah, the glory and beauty of never being able to find “THE TRUTH” (2 Tim. 3:7)! All hail to the sacred cow of bullshooting relativism that keeps all apostates forever “re-examining,” and “re-evaluating” each “new find” to get “new insights” into A BLACK HOLE.

For sixty years, this mass of procrastinating, insincere, sterile, fruitless, bullshooting OAFS rejected the Syrian “text-type” of the Textus Receptus behind the King James Bible because it was a “late text” in clear distinction from an “Alexandrian” text-type, but now…! Now, dig this:

“The modern critic [Oh God, what vast strides we have made since 1940!] recognizes that the LXX, on the whole, represents the older text than the Hebrew.”21

“Well, cut off mah laigs an’ call me ‘shorty’!” That means that Erasmus’ “late” manuscripts, and the whole pile of “Syrian-type” Byzantine texts used for the King James Bible (Textus Receptus), can be “late” and still represent a text OLDER THAN THE ALEXANDRIAN “TEXT-TYPE” used for the RSV, NRSV, ASV, NASV, and NIV.

“The LXX…REPRESENTS THE OLDER TEXT.” He is saying that a Greek manuscript of Isaiah written in A.D. 350 by Eusebius represents an older text than the Hebrew Isaiah Scroll found in the Dead Sea Scrolls. This, in spite of the fact that Dr. Robert Dick Wilson (A Scientific Investigation of the Old Testament [Chicago: Moody Bible Press, 1959]) held to the Masoretic text of the King James Bible as the oldest and best text since it also “represented an older text” than extant Hebrew manuscripts. On page 181 of the Appendices we read,

“The findings from Qumran may be expected to support the position that the Masoretic text of the HEBREW Old Testament (not some silly LXX) is TRUE and RELIABLE, and this was the very position for which Dr. Wilson so ably contended.”

The childish writer of the ISBE forgot that a late Hebrew text could represent a much older Hebrew text than the oldest Greek text. Error in logic. Brain not functioning. The elevator wasn’t getting to the top floor. The critter had “one oar in the water.” SOP among Scholarship Onlyism fanatics.

Note further that the “oldest and best” Septuagint manuscripts (“nearest the original LXX”) turned out to be first-class examples of the most depraved, corrupt, and intentionally mutilated Greek texts known to collators of Scripture. This is the text (Vaticanus [B]) that says the Roman soldier pierced Christ’s side with a spear BEFORE He was dead (Matt. 27:49).22 It “represents an older text? etc.” Then to Hell with it.

Every attempt to “restore the original text” of either Testament, since 1611, has been a bombed-out holocaust. God Almighty ordained it that way. What you now have (courtesy
of professional liars) as the “best” Bible on the market is the absolute epitome, the very apotheosis of corruption: the *Nutty Idiot’s Version*. This trash-can-wastebasket-jarhead version is the sum total of the work of all the “godly” Christian scholars, since 1611, who have been engaged in “restoring the original text.” The *NIV* contains the accumulated blunders and perversions of every Christian apostate, every Christian heretic, every Christian ignoramus, and every blind Christian egotist since the days of Marcion and Origen. Marcion is known in history as “Marcion the Heretic.” The *Cambridge History of the Bible* says that “Marcion “had the same forceful CLARITY of MIND” as Origen.”23

Interpretation? *Origen and Marcion were Bible-perverting liars who were both as nutty as a pecan pie.* They were as “whiffy” as a goalie’s underwear after an overtime Stanley Cup playoff.

Origen said that most Christians were not “careful readers” of the Scripture (*like he was*). *His crew* were “observant readers.” The modern line is:

“The Bible believers are not serious students of the Scripture: WE destructive critics are, etc."

Ever see that punch line before in a commercial “poop sheet” published in a Christian magazine (1990)? They just omit the last four words in it, but the implication is in the first ten words. Can’t you figure out by now what was going on back in A.D. 200? An “observant reader,” who is a “serious student of the Bible” and has the “gift of interpretation” (according to his estimate of his own esteem), is going through the New Testament and “observing” the places where it misquotes the Old Testament. *He is then erasing the Old Testament Hebrew verse in the Old Testament and replacing* it with the *New Testament verse*. For example, Hebrews 10:5 has plainly misquoted Psalm 40:6, unless...unless! Unless, by George (America, circa, 1910), the bungling Jews miscopied the “original intent” of the author (David), for it is plain to see—if one is an “observant reader” who is a “serious student of the Bible”—that the “intent of the original author” was “A BODY HAST THOU PREPARED ME.” What right did this corrupt Jew have—who was given “*the oracles of God*” (Rom. 3:2)—to write down such a ghastly falsification as “*mine ears hast thou opened*” (*King James Bible* from the Masoretic text)?

So this great, brilliant, “observant” Christian scholar (see the flyleaf and back cover of *The King James Only Controversy*, James White) did the only thing that a two-faced, *demon-possessed, lying false prophet* would do. He threw out the “original Hebrew” (or “Hebrew text” or the “original text,” etc.—the Alexandrian Cult has a whole dumpster full of cute little clichés) and *rewrote the Old Testament*. And there it stands in the mythological Septuagint. “Sacrifice and offering thou wouldest not, but a BODY THOU HAST PREPARED ME” (Ps. 40:6, LXX).

There, in one bold, godless, stupid, deceitful mangling of Scripture, the brilliant author of the Hexapla (“whose vast labours were, etc.”) *removed* one of the greatest truths found in either Testament. Going by the Palmer-Barker-ANKerberg-MacArthur-Swindoll dictum that it is perfectly all right to omit words and verses, alter words and verses, and denigrate

Psalm 40:6, in the King James text (from the Hebrew Masoretic text), was telling you that Jesus Christ became the servant of His Master (Jehovah) and bound Himself eternally to His Master by a PIERCED EAR LOBE: this was the token of a contract that allowed Him to keep His WIFE (Eph. 5) and children (John 1:12–13) in the Master’s house.

What was wrong with Origen? Nothing that has not been wrong with EVERY Laodicean Apostate who sat in on the RV committee, the ASV committee, the NASV committee, the CEV committee, the RSV committee, the NRSV committee, and the NIV committee. Bible-rejecting, Bible-perverting IGNORAMUSES all come in the same size, especially if they profess to believe in “plenary, verbally inspired, original autographs.” (See pg. 73.)

The great, brilliant, bold African Blank, who was the “founder of the Science of Biblical Criticism,” didn’t know the contents of the Bible—ANY Bible, in any language—when he sat down to pervert it. Ditto Westcott, Hort, Robertson, Schaff, Stanley, MacArthur, Swindoll, Nestle, Aland, Bruce, Metzger, Custer, Bob Jones III, or any other “Scholarship Onlyism” nut. Same mind set. Same life style. Same motives and same goals, and the same FRUIT (Matt. 7:17–20). They are just cheeky little upstarts who desire a “high profile” in Christian circles.

If you think that the original author of Hebrews (up in A.D. 40–45) quoted any “extant” Septuagint (A.D. 330–350)—for that is where the quotation is found—you have graduated from Merrie Melodies to Looney Tunes and are now fellowshiping with Bugs Bunny, gone battier than a bed bug. Even if the author of Hebrews had quoted Origen’s non-extant “Hexaplaric” reading (instead of κ and B: A.D. 330–350), he would have died 100–300 years too early to avail himself of “the Bible adopted by the early Christians, etc.”

This is the “Biblical Scholarship” of BJU, Wheaton, Moody, Tennessee Temple, Fuller, Princeton, Judson, Stetson, Oxford, BBC, Howard, PCC, Liberty University, etc. in 1999.

This is the “Biblical” (my God, what a joke!) “scholarship” (my God, how we can lie!) of ALL Conservative, Evangelical, Liberal, Modernistic, Catholic, Fundamentalist, and Neo-Orthodox “Christian” scholars since 1611.

They swallowed the whole fairytale, after rejecting “Aristeas” as an authentic account, and swore the myth was a reality. Like Origen, the modern “good, godly, Christian scholars” are absolutely incapable of reading or interpreting ANY Bible the moment they put their dirty, greedy, rotten hands on the text of the Authorized Version.

Look at ’em! Have you ever looked at them? I’ve eyeballed ’em. I am an artist: I study pictures and read faces. Ever look at these envious, pious, covetous, power-mad, smooth, slick, treacherous, smiling, lying BLOCKHEADS? I have. In the last ten years alone, I have seen more than forty photographs of these Bible-perverting scoundrels, posing in a group as “faculty” members of some Christian College, Seminary, University, or Institute.
Then I have seen more than 100 single shots of their faces as they were “featured” in a school catalogue, a student handbook, a school “annual,” a Christian magazine, or newspaper. They all look just alike.

Every Laodicean Nicolaitan pictured is SMILING (or else faking a grim stare, which is designed to mark him as a “serious scholar”). Ninety percent of them wear glasses: the younger ones sometimes sport a beard or mustache. The shaved youngsters all present a “baby face” with a radiant cheerful smile that actually looks so SILLY that you wouldn’t expect the child to have a brain in his head. Set up in ranks of thirty in a row, for twenty rows, one sees well-dressed, upper-middle class NEUTERS with not enough experience in actual LIFE to equip them to comment on one-quarter of either Testament. They are hot house plants, incubator babies. Those with five degrees from four different universities show the marks of “long tenured,” isolated mentalities, dealing with “captive audiences.” They are living and dying in classrooms. They all need three hours a day in a Nautilus room.

I am a teacher with five earned degrees: three of them being degrees for a teacher. But I have lived in barracks, foxholes, Quonset huts, fraternity houses, dormitories, tents, Swali huts, motels, slit trenches, hotels, trailers, and houses. I have spent my life on beaches, in forests, in the mountains, on the streets, in the jails, on the highways, on the airwaves, on the ocean waves, in gardens, in jungles, on railroad tracks, drill fields, highways, dirt tracks, athletic fields, paths, gravel roads, and black tops. I began in the ministry (1949) by preaching in jails and on street corners. At seventy-seven years of age (1999), I am preaching in jails and on street corners. I began (1949) with the King James Bible. Fifty years later (1999) I am ending with the King James Bible. I have raised six boys and four girls, and they and my eleven grandchildren are professing Christians who believe the Bible and attend church: none of them drink or smoke. I have been in divorce courts, although I have never “put away a wife” a day in my life, for any reason, even a Scriptural one.

My pedagogy for my position as a “Bible teacher” has been playing in Country and Western bands, Swing bands, Jazz combos, and marching bands, and participating in football, baseball, softball, soccer, racquetball, tennis, ice hockey, street hockey, Aikido, Karate, roller-blade hockey, Taekwando, Judo, golf, weightlifting, and water polo. Along the way, I taught myself how to play four musical instruments and how to paint (and draw) in pen and pencil, charcoal and crayon, pastels and colored pencils, acrylics and oil, and water color. I did this while splitting kindling, cutting down timber, tilling dirt, shoveling manure, mending fishing nets, cleaning out septic tanks and grease traps, digging post holes, raising German shepherds and three families, paying off three houses, nineteen cars, two churches, and a school.

That is the kind of talk that these turkeys can’t stand (2 Cor. 11:10–12, 18).

They cannot deal with FACTS, where “Ruckman” is involved.

They would call the above “bragging,” “blowing your own horn,” and “boasting,” but only to cover up the fact that they themselves HAVE NOT HAD ENOUGH EXPERIENCE IN LIFE to teach a young man anything, let alone teach him that there are
mistakes in the greatest Book that ever showed up on this earth. You see, their objections to Ruckman “blowing his own horn” are not remotely connected with their own humility or spirituality. No, that is not a factor. Don’t you fret about THAT! Anyone who thinks that he can find the errors in the AV (as Origen found them in the Hebrew Old Testament) and then, after correcting 64,000 of them (that is the number of changes found in the NIV and NASV), still cannot produce an inerrant “Bible,” is not in anyone’s “humility” bracket. They BOAST ABOUT THEIR ABILITY TO CORRECT GOD ALMIGHTY.

When it comes to vanity and pride, anyone of those mush-mouthed, baby-faced hypocrites could put any Bible believer (including “Ruckman”) out of business. But they have found out that by smooth, slick TALK (Rom. 16:18) they can disguise their pride and vanity so you will think they are humble and “Ruckman” is not. They are hot-house, incubator, destructive critics. Anyone of them would make Mussolini or Frank Sinatra look like a paragon of servile humility.

So here is “The Hexapla,” the Christian catastrophe of the third century A.D. It was put together by an arrogant, PROUD (see above), cunning, lying thief who could no more handle the material we printed in The Unknown Bible (1984) than he could grow hair on a billiard ball.

This mangled piece of claptrap was followed by two more “Septuagints” (Sinaiticus and Vaticanus), the double Christian catastrophes of the fourth century A.D.—apostolic succession of knuckleheads. One, unbroken, “apostolic chain” of classroom corruption and official offal by destructive critics who gloried in their depraved intellectualism after it “went to seed.” They were as “seedy” as their scholarship. They had no experience with ANYTHING except talking and writing. Depravity degenerated. They are the Christian leaders of the Laodicean apostasy (1900–1996). In the vernacular “koine” of 1999—“weenies.”
There is no way that one can rationally explain the phenomenon you have just witnessed. It defies analysis. Surely, no one on this planet could be stupid enough to believe that every writer in the New Testament, who “quoted the LXX,” had to be resurrected 240–260 years after he died in order to “get the job done.” But that is what every Scholarship Onlyism nut must believe if he “buys the goods.” One can see, at a glance, that the whole operation was “by faith without works”—a true Hyper-Dispensational, Sovereign Grace, Calvinistic operation: no works and no evidence—pure “faith.” They all put their faith in an African fairytale for grownups, and then spent 2,000 years (literally) trying to justify their credulity. They spent this time searching haunted houses and graveyards (literally) to locate a ghost who had no more real substance than Haeckel’s “ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny” or a political speech by Slick Willie (Clinton). They believed a lie (2 Thess. 2:11). Having believed it, they LOVED IT (Rev. 22:15).

Someone is in trouble—bad trouble.

Their motive was apparent, although it was neatly disguised with the everlasting “desire to update the language, after recovering the original text, so that it can communicate to the receptor the original intent of the original author, etc.” (All gas bags contain the same propane.) The truth is, they were educated Gentiles, and fancied themselves to be great GREEK scholars (see How to Teach the Original Greek) and great Christian PHILOSOPHERS (Col. 2:8). They all resented God the Holy Ghost producing sixty-six Biblical books without coming to THEM for advice and counseling or even assistance: not one single time. “Salvation is of the Lord” (Jonah 2:9), and the Lord who authored this salvation said, “Salvation is of the JEWS” (John 4:22). The “Gentiles” don’t “figure” one time, anywhere, when it comes to Biblical texts, Biblical wisdom, or Biblical understanding. They just “get the breaks” during the Church Age (Rom. 11:11–23), which is now OVER (1918–1999).

It was Philo (20 B.C.–A.D. 50) who really tried to sell the original fairytale (see pp. 165–168). He was an Alexandrian JEW, but his motive was identical to the Greek, Gentile philosophers who adopted his fairytale. Philo thought that he was a brilliant intellectual (like all modern, apostate Fundamentalists fancy themselves), so he wanted his race to get all the glory, even if Greek scholarship was involved. He knew that his own people (Jews) would not accept any Greek Old Testament unless a Jew (or Jews) produced it. The ideal vehicle for getting this done was The Letter to Aristeas. But as any uneducated fool could see, in one reading of the “Letter,” it was nothing but the day-dreaming thoughts and mind-wandering speculations of an apostate African Jew in Egypt, trying to match wits with the Greek philosophers who had followed Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle (and the Stoics and Epicureans: Acts 17:18–21). The author of “Aristeas” has placed himself on the
“Stoa” at Athens and is trying to prove his superiority to the philosophers of those times: 450–200 B.C. That is exactly what ole’ “Jesus Ben Whatsizname” did when he wrote *Ecclesiasticus*. He wanted to prove his own superiority over Solomon (pp. 22–27).

“Point-spread City!”

All conceited asses (Jew or Gentile) bray in the same key.

If a man wanted the truth (and Solomon said the truth was easy to find if a man began with the right HEART attitude—Prov. 15:14), he could find it immediately, after examining the evidence. “The trouble of it is” (Southern, circa 1900), Wisdom and Truth are most difficult to find if the heart is not in the search (Prov. 17:16, 18:1–3). Thus, any unsaved man is going to have to strive, sweat, and strain to find the truth (Prov. 2:2, 4–6, 4:5–7, 14:6, etc.) because it is, by its nature, contrary to HIS nature (Prov. 1:7, 17:14; Job 28:12–23; Eccl. 3:11, 7:25, etc.) This explains why the wisest man on earth kept telling sinners to “work” at finding the truth.

It is NOT found by messing with a *King James Bible* on a grammatical, textual, critical, or historical level. That work is called **“Ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of THE TRUTH”** (2 Tim. 3:7).

A famous Greek philosopher once took one of his young students down to the ocean on an expedition to “find wisdom.” He took him out into the surf, dunked him, and then held him under water for over a minute. When the neophyte finally fought his way loose from the master’s grip, he emerged spitting and spluttering. When he got his natural coloring back, he screamed, “What are you trying to do? What are you doing to me? What did you do THAT for?!”

His teacher smiled and said, “Young man when you want to find wisdom as badly as you wanted to find AIR, just then, you will find it.”

No Christian, regardless of his IQ, educational background, or Christian training, will ever learn THE TRUTH about the mythological LXX unless he does three things:

1. The first step is the most obvious. He must evaluate all the evidence he gets his hands on in the light of Absolute Truth and Final Authority: the Holy Bible. Double standards always produce two-faced, split-tongued equivocators.

The “step of faith” that he should take is not to believe that there must have been an LXX completed before 4 B.C. The step of faith he must take is to reject any cockeyed cock-and-bull story invented by a bunch of African critics who rejected the contents of the Holy Bible. Origen rejected three-fourths of the Old Testament as being history, or even prophecy. Warfield, Robertson, Machen, Wilson, Mauro, Calvin, Metzger, and Nestle rejected three-fourths of the Old Testament on prophecy, and so did Jerome, Augustine, Clement of Alexandria, and every “pope” from 490 to 1999.

James White rejected the entire content of both Testaments (all sixty-six books) where any Book in them spoke about Satan’s work in history or man’s spiritual progress in history. (See documented evidence in *The Scholarship Only Controversy*.)

2. Another “step of faith” he must take is to believe that where the Holy Bible speaks about anything (or anybody) connected with any Greek Old Testament, it should be taken
seriously. For example, when “The Law of First Mention” comes into play in dealing with Alexandria or Antioch (see Acts 6, 13, 18, etc.) or Egypt (see Gen. 26, 50; Exod. 1–4, etc.), it should establish a fixed and infallible standard for dealing with anything (or anybody) closely connected with those locations (see Chap. 1, The Scholarship Only Controversy).

3. In trying to analyze, sort-out, and interpret the truth about the mythological Septuagint from the mass of evidence, lack of evidence, facts, fiction, allegations, rumors, myths, legends, counterfeits, truths, and falsehoods that he will come across, he should never forget that ALL “good, godly, dedicated, Christian scholars” are quite capable of being deceived (Rev. 12:9) by the devil and are capable of lying (consciously or unconsciously). Furthermore, at least 90 percent of them are prone to self-promotion, self-worship, and “careerism.”

Now that those three “stances” have been taken, the investigator is equipped to spot the “con artists” when they show up, and they will show up.

They do show up. Church history (A.D. 70–A.D. 1999) is replete with them.

You couldn’t find a Capo, consigliere, or “wise guy” in the “mob” (Bonnano family, Luchese family, Genovese family, Gambino family, etc.) who could match any Roman Catholic Pope since Leo the Great, when it came to an ability to con suckers out of their incomes, their property, and their SOULS. When it comes to “tapping a mark” or “conning a chump,” the greatest shysters in the history of man are the Cardinals, Witch Doctors, Priests, Greek teachers, Medicine men, Bishops, “High priests,” Christian Scholars, Popes, and Archbishops. (See Rome, The Great Private Interpreter, Ruckman [Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1970].) The fact that all of the Popes profess Orthodoxy is about as noteworthy as Jim Jones (Guyana) professing to be concerned with helping “minorities” out, or Adamantius Origen professing to believe in “the inspiration of the original autographs.”

A “godly scholar,” who professes to be a “Biblical Conservative” or a “Militant Fundamentalist” often turns out to be, in practice, a secular humanist or a practical ATHEIST. This revelation occurs when the issue of Final Authority rears its terrifying head. The Holy Bible shows up and begins to assert its authority over the God-forsaken reprobate, and immediately, you discover that his final authority is not God at all: it is his personal opinions.

Since the mythological LXX was supposed to have originated in Africa, and since the oldest fragments of a small piece of less than one-fiftieth of one book (Deuteronomy) came from Africa (Ryland’s, No. 458), and since Χ and Β are called “The great EGYPTIAN manuscripts”1 (Kurt Aland and Erwin Nestle; 1996), let us go down into the Dark Continent that brought us leprosy, AIDS, (“GRID,” actually), Gonorrhea, Syphilis, Elephantiasis, and Ebola (see Exod. 15:26), and find the roots of the mythological LXX. In doing this, we should never forget the “LXX” is kin to SeX, FoX, HeX, SiX, EXXon, Malcom X, FaX, LynX, SphínX, StyX, MarX, X-mas, HoaX, JinX, PoX, PaX, and “X marks the SPOT” (see The Mark of the Beast. Ruckman [Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1959]).
Here is our location: AleXandria, Egypt (as in “ALEX.”).

Here is our “two-story” man. His name is Adamantius Origen (A.D. 184–254). He was born “about 185,” so my dates on his birth (found in at least fifteen of our publications) is a good bit more accurate than the standard date (185) found in all “scholarly works” on Church History. (Jes’ a lil’ footnote, folks; jus’ for kicks. I don’t profess to be a scholar of any sorts. I wouldn’t want to degrade myself more than the Lord has already degraded me!)

Now, here is a deceived dunce who can “out-believe” all of the believers in Casper the Friendly Ghost. Here is a crafty, conceited, lying Christian who professed to have had a complete Greek Old Testament in his hands, called “THE” Septuagint, in the year A.D. 220. He said it was “THE” Septuagint.

Did he lie?

He never told you where he got it from. Was he lying?

Why wouldn’t a “seeker for truth” question his profession?

The men who questioned the authenticity of every other verse in the King James New Testament (Robertson, Schaff, Dummelow, Hort, Nestle, Aland, Metzger, Bruce, White, Burkitt, Bratton, Zuntz, Bob Jones III, Custer, et al.) didn’t question Origen’s profession. Why not?

Not one “good, godly” Christian scholar from A.D. 200 to A.D. 1999 even thought of questioning Origen’s profession. Why didn’t they? Isn’t that rather stupid? Imagine any educated intellectual, who thinks he is “scholarly,” accepting an allegation, on the spot, without one piece of evidence that it was anything more than a Mexican Sleighride (“tripping on pot”). Doesn’t that strike you as somewhat irrational, if not downright “anti-intellectual?” (Or—more properly—downright STUPID?) Why would anyone believe Origen without investigating his claim?

Satan questioned God (Gen. 3:1), but YOU wouldn’t question Origen?!

Eve questioned God (Gen. 3), but you wouldn’t question Satan? Why not? Why is Origen infallible in his professions?

Here is a castrated African, Amillennial, baby-sprinkling Platonist who teaches that some day everybody in Hell (including Satan and fallen angels) will be saved, that the pastors of a local church should be called a “priest,” and the poor fool doesn’t even know he is saved (see pg. 77); and YOU WOULDN’T QUESTION HIS PROFESSION OF HAVING A “SEPTUAGINT?”

Where were you when God shelled out the brains?

When Origen sat down to do his “monumental work of Biblical scholarship” (a Cult cliché referring to the Hexapla, also called the Tetrapla, the Octapla, and the Enneapla), he had three “Septuagints” on his writing desk”: Aquila (A.D. 140), Symmachus (A.D. 190), and Theodotian A.D. 180). What would make you think that he wasn’t engaged in revising THEM, instead of some non-existent “LXX” which no one ever saw on this earth until the New Testament had been in circulation for ninety years?
When Origen constructed this six-columned “Polyglot,” he had an unidentified Hebrew text, which turns out to be a corrupted Hebrew text in places, for scraps of it were found in the Dead Sea Scrolls, written 200–300 years before Origen’s birth. His second column was a transliteration of this text, as we noted. A transliteration by WHOM? You get one guess. All three of the LXXs written by Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotian were written after they had read Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, Peter, James, Paul, and Jude. Theodotian has a note on Matthew 1:16 where he denies the Virgin Birth. Matthew 1:16 is not in the Old Testament. It is in the New Testament. Origen and Company had BOTH Testaments. What LXX did Theodotian revise? What LXX was Aquila revising?

Oh, beloved of God! When dealing with such matters, you are not to make any inquiry. You are to take the opinions of the “majority of Conservative scholars” to be (unquestionably) the truth. Never, no never! are you to question their scholarly integrity! You are to trust them completely. Even where their “logic” (noted here) stinks like an outhouse on “Tobacco Road.”

You are to believe, under pain of being branded as a “heretic” or a “hell-raising trouble-maker” by the Scholars’ Union, that Origen (and Origen ONLY) had the original LXX or the extant LXX; all three of his buddies were unable to obtain even a copy of it.

So they invented their LXXs, but Origen wouldn’t think of doing that. Oh my stars, no! No, no, a thousand times no! He was too brilliant; he was too observant; he was too “godly”; he was too spiritual. Oh my stars, no! You can trust Origen! He found his LXX in a JAR (see pg. 41)! Why, Origen wouldn’t think of translating the Hebrew Old Testament into Greek so you would think the apostles quoted it! Land O’Goshen, no! He was too “dedicated” to do a thing like that! “Good, godly men” wouldn’t think of deceiving the Body of Christ! How could you think such a thing?!

Well, you could think such a thing (and SHOULD think such a thing) if you had read 1 Kings chapters 13, 22 or Ezekiel 14:1–14 or Matthew 24:4–11 or 2 Timothy 3:1–18 or Genesis, chapter 27 or Isaiah chapters 28–29 or 1 Corinthians chapters 1–3 or Jeremiah chapter 23.

Evidently, the “good, godly, dedicated, recognized majority of Conservative scholars” don’t spend much time reading the Bible: any Bible.

I have read ten works by prominent textual critics and church historians who finished their works by saying that Origen’s fifth column in the Hexapla WAS “the LXX.” They did this after swearing that the same column was just his “revision” of the LXX. They (without intent) “blew their act.” They couldn’t keep the cat in the bag. They couldn’t sustain the charade; it fell apart. They were all, eventually, forced to record the FACT that Origen was the author of THE Septuagint (“The” LXX), because when it came to proving their ridiculous fairy story (that there was a complete Greek Old Testament finished before 4 B.C.) all to which they had recourse was the manuscripts written between A.D. 330 and 350 (Sinaiticus and Vaticanus). It was Eusebius, the church historian at Caesaria, who used Origen’s library to produce Sinaiticus and Vaticanus.

Origen was Eusebius’ “spiritual ancestor,”2 for Origen “labored” in Caesaria between A.D. 220 and 254, as he sought to corrupt the Old Syriac texts like he had corrupted the
Old Latin texts when he lived in Africa.

You see, the judgment of history is that Eusebius (and Pamphilus) had a hand in producing \( \text{\textcopyright} \) (Sinaïticus) and B (Vaticanus) for Constantine (see Dean Burgon’s work on *The Traditional Text of the Holy Gospels Vindicated and Established*, Edward Miller, editor [London: George Bell & Sons, 1896]). \( \text{\textcopyright} \) and B are A.D. 330–350 GREEK SEPTUAGINTS. They only followed Aquila and Theodotion occasionally. *They bear the indelible stamp of Origen’s fifth column.* The only problem is that both manuscripts contain Apocryphal books as part of the Old Testament (and New Testament—Sinaïticus). Regardless of which apostate Sinaïticus and Vaticanus borrowed from, the glaring (and provable) FACT is that none of them were pre-Christian Septuagints.

**There never was any “LXX” before A.D. 140–220.**

Origen gave “the shaft” to the Body of Christ. He lied his way through by omitting facts (see James White’s work for a perfect copy of this method). The self-styled “scholars” in the Body of Christ “bought” Origen’s line of goods, but only because their spiritual and mental condition matched his. “The Body of Christ”—say, 40,000,000 saved sinners between 1611 and 1999—had better sense. They took the English Old Testament of the *Authorized Version* (which came from a Hebrew Old Testament) as their final authority and threw the Alexandrian forgeries (\( \text{\textcopyright} \) and B) out the window. They did it in 1611, and *again* in 1613 and 1713 and 1813. They did it *again* in 1885 (RV), and *again* in 1901 (the ASV), and *again* in 1952 (RSV), *again* in 1923 (Riverside), 1928 (Goodspeed), 1937 (Williams), 1941 (Confraternity), 1928 (Living Bible), 1952 (SECOND Living Bible!), 1958 (Phillips), 1959 (Berkeley), 1961 NWT, 1965 (Amplified), 1967 (the New SRB), 1959 (NASV), 1972 (Phillips, again!), 1978 (NIV), and *again* in 1980, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, and 1999.

**For 100 years, the Body of Christ has rejected the scholarship of its leading “godly” scholars. Thank God for that!**

Every UNHOLY Bible version listed above was based on two Septuagint manuscripts (Sinaïticus and Vaticanus). *The Holy Spirit would not bear witness to one of these sham “bibles” in 100 years.* Everyone that lasted more than ten years had to be sustained by mammoth publicity programs, unified support of faculty members in Colleges, special cut-rate prices to outsell King James Bibles, political and financial pressures on Christian bookstores to sell the trash, and (above all) a relentless 100-year campaign, by all scholars, to convince the Body of Christ that the *Authorized Version* was not only *outdated* but was an inferior Bible.

Thank God that more than 20,000,000 Christians had enough sense to *ignore* them. They recognized the “wimps” before Wimpy (Popeye: Thimble Theater) ordered two hamburgers.

But you can fool “good, godly, Christian scholars.” You see, they have sat for hours under their own kind, getting talked out of their faith in the *Holy Bible* by exposing themselves (see *How to Teach the Original Greek*) to eighteen to twenty-four years of destructive criticism, designed to puff them up while destroying the *Authorized Version* as
their authority. That is the full-time job of “Higher Christian Education” in America. Their job is to prepare you to serve SATAN, while maintaining a clear, clean, glowing, cheerful, radiant, “Christian testimony” (see Riplinger’s classic work, New Age Versions [Monroe Falls, OH: A. V. Publications, 1993]).

Thank God for the Holy Spirit, who wrote His words and promised to preserve them in spite of “good, godly, dedicated, Christian scholars” like Hort, Nestle, Aland, White, Metzger, Ryrie, Augustine, Origen, Kenyon, Custer, Ankerberg, Fee, Barker, Hutson, Bruce, Blackwelder, Colwell, Palmer, Burkitt, Harnack, Swete, Tischendorf, Wuest, Streeter, Schaff, et al. Thank God!

No honest Christian reader, with a sixth-grade education, would think of using Χ or B to correct ANY verse in a King James Bible. They are both African LXXs that came from Alexandria, via Caesaria. If Χ and B ever had a correct reading in them, anywhere, that matched an AV reading against a Hebrew manuscript reading, it would be because the LXX was written more than 150 years after the Old Latin Old Testament had been circulating all over North Africa (see pg. 145). The Old Latin sometimes has readings in it for which both the best Masoretic text and the “original” Greek have been lost. Since lost (“non-extant”) manuscripts have never bothered one single scholar who promoted a B.C. “Septuagint,” we certainly are not going to worry our pretty little noggins about such a statement for three minutes. “What’s good for the goose…etc.”

Now, let us get back to the “wheeler-dealer” at the “twenty-one-or-bust” table in Vegas. (“Free drinks and cigarettes as long as you play! etc.”)

Here is the scholarly Christian “role model” for every destructive critic of the King James Bible since it was printed. This is the “Godzilla” of the “brilliant, godly” scholars in the Alexandrian Cult. Stewart Custer says you will have to wait until the Judgment Seat of Christ to find out if this man was as big a heretic as “Ruckman”; or vice versa. “Read ’em and weep!” (“Em,” in this case, is 1 Cor. 11:19.) No Bible-believing Christian has to wait twenty-four hours to find out. He is told to spot the heretic immediately, rebuke him, and then discard him (Titus 3:10), if he doesn’t straighten out.

Stewart Custer (BJU) doesn’t read the Bible: ANY Bible. I must retract those last two words; the little hot-house plant could afford to read a NASV, for the word “heretic” doesn’t appear in a NASV. In order to protect every heretic in the Alexandrian Cult in the twentieth century, the NASV has removed the word from Titus 3:10. Nice work, Origen, baby. Right on the money. (The NIV and NKJV “joined the club.”)

Here is the Pauline “gospel of the grace of God” (Acts 20:24; Gal. 1:8–12), as taught and preached by the author of the mythological Septuagint.

“Let us grasp eternal life and grasp it with all OUR force! God DOES NOT GIVE IT TO US: He OFFERS it to us. IT is in OUR POWER to stretch forth our hands by GOOD ACTS, grab hold of life, and place it within our souls!”

No wonder the deceived reprobate didn’t know he was saved (see pg. 77). If he meant those words, above, he is in Hell right now.
But don’t get me wrong! He believed in the “Fundamentals,” and he believed in the absolute “infallible, plenary, verbal inspiration of the original autographs!” Yessiree Bob! (America, circa 1890). He didn’t destroy any “main Fundamental of the faith” by lying about salvation, Greek Old Testaments, Christ’s sinlessness (Matt. 5:22), “good will toward men” (Luke 2:14), the Virgin Birth or Christ’s Deity, or about what Christ said to Simon Peter (Matt. 16:23)! He just offered an “optional opinion” or two “different choices of texts, etc.” Ever hear THAT line before?

Origen said you could earn eternal life by denying yourself, but you must receive a “MYSTICAL KISS” to get it.4

*If he meant that, he is in Hell right now.* You say “Hell?” Sure. Look at Balaam in Numbers 23:5, 16, 19:1, 24:2, 4, and 16.

All the members of the *NASV* and *NIV* believed what *Origen* believed about inspiration and what *Balaam* believed about inspiration.

Do you know what Jimmy White said about Origen’s “plan of salvation” in his book on *The King James Only Controversy*? I do. He said that he “believed things” that most fundamentalists “would find more than slightly unusual.” *White doesn’t profess to be a Fundamentalist,*” he said he was a “Biblical Conservative”: like Westcott and Hort. Would a Biblical Conservative find Origen’s beliefs “more than slightly unusual?” He didn’t say. “Slightly unusual” in describing Origen’s “Gospel” (above) is so emasculated it must have come from a neuter who was a twin of Michael Jackson.

Here are the things that Jimbo was referring to which he didn’t dare print; otherwise, you would have known what kind of Christian company he was keeping in order to handle blasphemous nonsense in the fashion he chose: the fashion of any unsaved pansy trying to avoid the truth.

1. Genesis chapters 1–3 is *Urgeschicte* (Supra-History): that is, nothing in the three chapters actually took place as recorded.5 Fenton J. A. Hort believed exactly the same thing. He was the guiding light of the English *RV* of 1881–1885.

2. Origen said Luke 4:4 couldn’t have taken place,6 so all of his followers (*RV, ASV, NASV, RSV, NRSV, and NIV*) removed “every word of God” from the passage (Luke 4:4).

3. It is Origen who is the cause of Luke 2:14 being perverted to read as the Catholic Bibles (Jesuit Rheims, ASV and NASV, *Challoner, Douay, RSV, NRSV, and NIV*). He simply added a sigma (ς) to “eudokia” (ευδοκια) thus converting the “intent of the original author” (Luke) to his own intent.7

4. It is Origen who was behind the mangling of Mark 1:1–3. “The Son of God” disappeared from the Alexandrian counterfeits, and Isaiah was given credit for something he did NOT say. *Malachi said it.*8

5. It is Origen who cites Apocryphal books as inspired Scripture.9

6. It is Origen who says that all lost people and all demons, as well as Satan, will eventually be saved.10
7. It is Origen who calls the *pastor of a local, New Testament church* a “priest.”¹¹ And you understand that only “Fundamentalists” would find this heretical rubbish to be highly “unusual?” Evangelicals, Conservatives, and Neo-Evangelicals wouldn’t find it to be “more than slightly unusual?” (See *The King James Only Controversy*.)

8. Origen messed around with transmigration of souls.¹²

9. It was Origen who taught there was no future *physical* resurrection for any Christian, even though Christ had one.¹³ Look at the Holy Spirit’s comment on this wretched, pagan blasphemy (Acts 17:18, 32).

10. Finally, it was Origen—long before any Roman bishop called himself a pope—who believed in the purifying fires of *Purgatory.*¹⁴

But you understand, that according to Bob Jones University (Stewart Custer), you will have to wait till the Judgment Seat of Christ to find out if Origen was a greater heretic than “Ruckman.” Irresponsible insanity. *Irreligious madness.* The crazy kook is just as cockeyed as a rabid rooster. He’s a baby-boomer who never outgrew his three-cornered pants.

Hey sonny! does your mother know you’re out of your playpen?

According to Eusebius, Origen died a martyr’s death. But knowing how the Cult “cares for their own,” we wouldn’t swallow Eusebius’ account with as little behind it as it has. If you want to see how *accurate* Eusebius is, as an historian (when talking about such things), try reading his panegyric on the death of Constantine. I’ve read it. *I’ve read it out loud to thirty-four classes in Church History between 1965 and 1999.* If Eusebius’ account of the last days of Origen are as “accurate” as his description of the death of Constantine, Origen probably retired to Fort Meyers and had a heart attack while trolling for sailfish.

God Almighty—the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob and the Scriptures, the Father of Our Lord Jesus Christ—took the *minds* of these men away from them the moment they sat down at any table or desk (anywhere on earth) with pen, quill, pencil, ball-point, typewriter, FAX machine, computer, tape recorder, or Varityper “in hand,” and began to mess with HIS BOOK.

*He has done this consistently for twenty-four centuries.* He is doing it *right now* to every Bible reviser and translator in America who is trying to replace the AV with mutilated, perverse corruptions of the *Holy Bible.* As my grandson’s generation would say: “It’s very ‘today’.”

Origen’s *PROFESSION* (as James White’s) was that of a Biblical *Conservative.* In *fact,* he was a blind, misguided, *destructive critic* of Holy Scripture, just as unconscious of demonic control as a rabid fox. Origen’s *REPUTATION* was that of a “brilliant, educated, laborer in theology.” In *fact,* he was a *philosophical Gnostic,* a worshipper of the Adamic mind, a *conceited ASS,* and a *practical atheist,* who never yielded to ANY higher authority on any Scriptural question other than his own feelings about the matter. If he *meant* everything he said—and God knows I don’t think he believed 20 percent of it—he was an unsaved, Hell-bound, self-righteous sinner counting on his castration, his
persecutions, and (possibly) his martyrdom to earn him eternal life. As a Bible-believing teacher, as a soul-winning evangelist, as a missionary crusader, and as a sound Bible exegete, old Adamantius wasn’t worth a German Mark in 1923.

You might say he was “the spittin’ image” of F. J. A. Hort, Erwin and Eberhard Nestle, Kurt Aland, John Ankerberg, Dr. A. T. Robertson, Philip Schaff (ASV committee), Bob Jones Jr., and Chuck Swindoll.

It is Origen, as we have said before, who is found promoting infant baptism when there was not a trace of it being taught by ANY major Christian leader up until A.D. 300. Not even the church fathers who leaned toward Baptismal Regeneration ever associated water baptism with anyone but a convert who had confessed Christ before being baptized. No one talked about baptizing babies until forty to sixty years after Origen was dead.15

Now, if you were an Alexandrian (Origen was an Alexandrian) and believed in a pre-Christian Septuagint (and Origen believed in one), and you thought you were a “godly scholar” (and Origen thought he was one), and you desired to give the Body of Christ the TRUE “original” text (and Origen desired to do so), and you spent your life correcting and revising Greek manuscripts (and Origen did), what kind of light would you use in which to present Origen to the Body of Christ?

Can’t you guess?

The Alexandrian Cult is the world’s greatest “Mutual Admiration Society”—“you pat me on the back, and I’ll pat you on the back.” So after this disgusting display of infidelity, stupidity, perversion, and blasphemy, here is how Origen “rates” at the polls, in 1890–1990. If the polls are conducted by his “next of kin.”


The apostates’ template is loaded on a disk drive. Here it is!

Origen was a “sublime doctor.” He was involved in “colossal labors.” His life was full of “heroic sorrow,” and he conferred “unspeakable blessings” on someone. He displayed “brotherly kindness” and “unwearied affection” towards people. His character was “singularly pure and noble.” His moral qualities were as remarkable as his intellectual gifts.” To Origen,

“belongs the rare honour of convincing heretics of their errors and of leading them back to the church…due to the GENTLENESS AND EARNESTNESS OF HIS CHRISTIAN CHARACTER.”16

You are reading a POLITICAL PROFILE in “attractive designer colors.”

“He is entitled to be regarded as the GREATEST of the church fathers.” He was a “GREAT BIBLICAL SCHOLAR…such warmth of fancy! and so bold a grasp of mind!… in the GREAT FUNDAMENTALS OF THE CHRISTIAN CREED, ORIGEN IS
UNQUESTIONABLY SOUND AND TRUE!” 17

There it is. That is the Scholars’ Union, recommending the scholarship of James White, A. T. Robertson, Frederick Kenyon, B. B. Warfield, J. G. Machen, F. J. A. Hort, Zane Hodges, or any other Bible-rejecting, apostate Fundamentalist. Note: “THE GREAT FUNDAMENTALS OF THE CHRISTIAN CREED.” What you just read was a secular humanist giving you an alibi to sin: a “carte blanche” to alter the Scriptures.

You are to believe what Origen said about the “mystical kiss,” earning heaven by good works and martyrdom, sprinkling babies, calling pastors “priests,” the salvation of Satan and demons, denying the historicity of Genesis chapters 1–3 and Luke chapter 4 on the grounds of his personality and his intellect: raw Secular Humanism. To Hell with the Book: all glory to man.

Origen put on a good show before men; he “grandstanced,” and did it with head bowed, back bowed, humble pious eyes, “looking tearfully” to heaven, with a “whispered prayer” on his lips for “suffering humanity” as his brilliant, moral, clear mind made him “THE FATHER OF INTERPRETERS” (The Ante Nicene Fathers, pg. 235) because “he never misled a pupil.” “Way to go, babe! Way to go!”

The writer of that humanistic garbage was a twin brother of the apostate he eulogized. He had to be in order to write the Eulogy.

What you read was the unanimous opinion of the Scholars’ Union about the members of the Scholars’ Union. Rev. Crombie was looking into a mirror all the time he was writing. All Scholarship Only advocates love each other, since everyone of them is in love with himself. It is not just a “Quorum” in the Alexandrian Cult: it is a unanimous decision by “public demand.” Their godless, hellish, anti-Biblical, Satanic approach to Bible interpretation is a plebiscite which will be carried out “to the letter” by every apostate Fundamentalist in America, Europe, Asia, Africa, and South America till the Advent.

It is not hard to find a matchmeet for Origen in Africa after Origen is dead, for when Aurelius Augustine (the first real “Roman Catholic”) 18 shows up, he steps out of the wings having already swallowed Sinaiticus and Vaticanus, and the Letter to Aristeas, to the last jot and tittle. He declares there was a B.C. Septuagint, and that it was verbally inspired. Thus, he approved of every mutilation and perversion made on the Hebrew text by Origen and his buddies.

This means that every New Age Version (see the definitive work by Riplinger), in the Laodicean Church Age (1901–1999), is an “eclectic text,” originally assembled in the minds and ministries of three of the most confused, Bible-rejecting fools who ever lived: Origen, Eusebius, and Augustine. The NIV is the contemporary survivor (courtesy of Aland, Hort, and Nestle) of eighteen centuries of these self-conceited, fruitless, powerless, Christian blockheads who corrupted the Scriptures. That Nutty Idiot’s Version bears witness to the everlasting infidelity and stupidity of educated Christians who continually rejected what God said (Gen. 3:1) for what they think he should have said, in order to
meet their own irrational, shifting, vacillating (and sometimes outright demented) “high academic standards.” I say simply: “To bring their readers and students down to their level of ignorance and incompetence.” Their major “downside” has simply been that they were stupid.

Augustine, like Origen (and Marcion), was “above his times,” and “in advance of his time.”19 If you want to know the truth behind that standard cliché, which has been used by historians for twenty centuries when dealing with scientists, philosophers, artists, musicians, poets, authors, inventors, military leaders, political leaders, racial agitators, “swingers,” and Faggots and Dykes, plus teachers and explorers, get a copy of Art and Artists (Ruckman [Pensacola: Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1993]) from the Bible Baptist Bookstore in Pensacola. The cliché is used by all UNSAVED sinners. There is nothing like it to be found in ANY version of ANY Bible since ONE Book of the Bible was written.

The only man in the Bible who is “ahead of his times” is a God-called prophet who has been given a revelation about future events: see John 21:18 and Matthew 16:17. Any man who is “ahead” of his times, in the secular fields given above, is simply a sinner who is pioneering or preparing the way for Hell on earth. You see, all people who think “man” is progressing believe that the “times ahead” have to be better than these times. A man “ahead of his times,” in 1940, would be engaged in trying to mix the races. A man “ahead of his times,” in 1900, would be trying to make pedophilia and sodomy legitimate “life styles.” A musician “ahead of his times,” in 1890, would be orchestrating discords and cacophony. A preacher “ahead of his times,” in 1900, would be preaching International Socialism and the end of Nationalism. A Bible teacher “ahead of his times,” in 1880, would be saying the Greek New Testament text of the Jesuit Rheims Bible was the best Greek text. A man…etc. If the Bible picture of apostasy is correct—all dispensations end in apostasy—the “pioneer” is always a prophet who thinks progress is tolerating sin, disharmony, discord, perversion, horror, terrorism, obscenity, cannibalism, divorce, pornography, abortion, drugs, liquor, and Satan.

To all unsaved, educated people, you measure most progress by the degree with which you can tolerate EVIL. Thus Voltaire, Socrates, Rousseau, Marx, Einstein, Gauguin, Van Gogh, Turner, M. L. King Jr., JFK, FDR, Mandela, Huxley, Madonna, Elvis Presley, Freud, O. J. Simpson, Darwin, and Rodney King are the “prophets” of the future. And they are; but the Bible interprets this “future” as Satan incarnate in the flesh, (2 Thess. 2) ruling the world through a military dictatorship (Rev. 13) controlled by demons, animal mutants, humanoids, and drugs. (See Black is Beautiful, 1996.)

Origen and Augustine were “in advance of their time” because “their time” wasn’t as corrupt as the times they produced with their “pioneering.” Hort was in advance of his times: his “times” turn out to be two world wars, Vietnam, Korea, eighty-five wars in the last fifty years, 4,000,000 abortions a year, 50 percent divorce rate, the end of the sovereignty of America, and a total apostasy in the Body of Christ.

Hort helped bring on “these times” by assisting future generations in ridding themselves of the authority of the Holy Bible. He succeeded, so he must have been “in advance of his times.” The expression means the man assists in doubling or tripling moral
corruption, social chaos, destruction of family life, genocides (55,000,000 people murdered by their own governments), the abandonment of absolute truth, the destruction of good taste in music and art, the idolizing of science and materialism, and international ignorance of the Scriptures.

The “comers” who travel in the “fast lane” are simply readying the next generation to accept more perversion, more distortion, more humanism, more atheism, more intellectualism, less Bible knowledge, less knowledge of the future, and more immorality and sin.

In raving about this dangerous, irrational blockhead (Augustine), we hear: “This master of words…won every heart by LOVE,” and he was “a man of boundless influence and authority.” He was more than that! The first real Roman Catholic was “an IMMORTAL BENEFACCTOR of mankind…the most INTELLECTUAL man of his times; the ORACLE OF THE LATIN CHURCH.”

Interpretation: “He was a deceived Roman Catholic liar who persecuted the Baptists of his times.”

Now observe, again, how the Alexandrian Cult always raves and slobbers over their own kind with flatter and praise that would befit a bloated peacock. This deceived liar said that the Second Coming of Christ was a gradual coming that would take place through the wafers “sacrificed” on Catholic altars, gradually accumulating, until “all of Christ” was present. This is the deceived fool who believed that a non-existent, pre-Christian LXX was verbally inspired. He not only had been hexed by Origen’s Hexapla, he had been mesmerized by Aristeas, hypnotized by Aristobulus, drugged by Philo, dragged off by Josephus, and slaughtered by א and B. Sinaiticus and Vaticanus were both extant (with Apocrypha) when Augustine was promoting infant baptism and proposing the arrest and imprisonment of anyone who disagreed with him.

This was the depraved, Bible-rejecting, “competent authority” who said that the 144,000 Jews to be saved in the Tribulation were Christians in the Roman Catholic church, and that non-elect babies couldn’t be elected unless a Catholic priest sprinkled them; but even if they were sprinkled, they would go to Hell if they were non-elect. This explains why Origen (see pg. 77) never got assurance of salvation. The fantastic, harum-scarum theology, above, is the theology of the Dutch Reformed Churches and the Christian Reformed Churches in Holland, Michigan (1960–1990).

When it came to assurance of salvation, gnostics, like Origen, were Agnostics: they got the washing from the “living fountain” without getting “the washing of salvation” (see pg. 77).

Pope Innocent (A.D. 402–417) commended the Council of Numidia (A.D. 415) that Augustine “chaired.” This council declared that every Baptist on earth (Billy Graham, Jack Hyles, Lester Roloff, Bob Gray, Beauchamp Vick, Vance Havner, R. G. Lee, J. Frank Norris, Oliver Green, Curtis Hutson, John R. Rice, et al.) was DAMNED, because none of them (they were called “Donatists” in Augustine’s times) could “get into the kingdom” unless a Roman Catholic priest sprinkled water on them. That was the Council of Numidia
And here we will slam the door shut on the *Hexapla*. Whatever it was, or wasn’t, there are five facts which apostates like Doug Kutilek, Swete, Kenyon, Rahlfs, and others are simply afraid to discuss, for all five can be proved with manuscript evidence.

1. Aquila produced a *Septuagint*—a Greek Old Testament—as did Symmachus and Theodotian: *three Septuagints*, all written more than fifty to eighty years *AFTER the completion of the New Testament*.

2. Where Origen, alone, is given credit for having a “Septuagint” with which to work, *it is unidentified, and non-extant*. At the same time, we know that he had THREE *SEPTUAGINTS that were “extant.”* And NONE OF THEM WERE *PRE-CHRISTIAN SEPTUAGINTS*.

3. There *may have been*, from time to time, (200–4 B.C.) various individuals who attempted to translate various portions of the Old Testament into Greek *before* the birth of Christ. There certainly were a number of them inventing FALSE Greek “Biblical books” before the time of Christ (the *Apocrypha*). It may also be said, specifically, that most of the amateurs who tried their hand at it limited their efforts to *Deuteronomy*: a few trying *Leviticus, Exodus,* and *Numbers*. Some of them might even have tried their hand at it as far back as 240 B.C., *although no evidence can be given for this*. These fragments prove nothing along the line of the LXX tradition, for not one of them proved to *be anything any New Testament writer quoted*. Furthermore, the fairytale did not say that “some scraps of paper were extant in 240 B.C. or 200 B.C. or 150 B.C. or 100 B.C. or 4 B.C. or A.D. 30.” The fairytale said there was a *complete Greek Old Testament* available by 150 B.C., and *Christ and the apostles quoted it*. That is the myth. The myth says, in absolutely unmistakable terms acknowledged by “all,” that there was a Greek Old Testament in 4 B.C. containing the thirty-nine Old Testament books found in your *King James Bible* (twenty-two or twenty-four in the Hebrew Bibles), and *that is a monstrous LIE*.

4. The documented evidence, which we have and upon which this monstrous, irrational superstitious lie stands, is *one questionable line* by Aristobulus, one *Disneyworld* account forged by someone around 90 B.C. or later (Aristeas), one *lost Jewish philosopher’s opinion* (Philo of Alexandria), and one renegade, *apostate Jew*, who lined up with Titus (A.D. 70) while he was engaged in destroying Jerusalem (Josephus, *Antiquities*, etc.). That is the *total evidence* for the lie.

The only other possible piece of evidence is so shaky that it looks like “the fossil evidence” for the “evolution of the horse,” or the evidence that O. J. Simpson was not a double murderer (1995).

This “evidence” was an *unidentified grandson* of an *unidentified, Jewish philosopher* in Africa (Jesus Ben Sirach) who wrote obscure, *ambiguous* statements that could be referring to *three different things* at the same time.

5. The only “extant” *Septuagint* manuscripts in existence are the ones that are QUOTED by Kutilek, Skehan, Swete, Rahlfs, Kenyon, Zuntz, Bratton, Bruce, Reumann, Schaff, et al. to prove there was a B.C. *Septuagint*. Every manuscript these God-forsaken Nicolaitans quote was *written 240 years after the close of the New Testament canon,* and
everyone of them contains Apocryphal books as part of the inspired Old Testament. By the time Eusebius, Augustine, and Pamphilus got around to playing “Button, button, who’s got the Button?” (A.D. 330–417), there were already ordained Christian elders pastoring local, Bible-believing churches in England. The “Septuagints” were written during THAT period.

“Do thyself no harm: we are all here.... If any man be ignorant, let him be ignorant!”

The belief in a pre-Christian LXX is the most extreme and radical demonstration of Christian deceit and scholarly FRAUD to be found in the annals of church history. Naturally, it was established and promoted in the only place it could have been: in a Christian University. It couldn’t have gotten “entrenched” any other place.

The authors of א and ב were Gentile, pseudo-intellectual, one-third Platonic, one-third Gnostic, and one-third Christian philosophers. They followed the footsteps of a castrated African intellectual who taught salvation by works and infant baptism. This Gentile, Alexandrian, African philosopher (Col. 2:8) was jealous of the relationship between God and Israel. Knowing that the wisest man who ever lived was a Jew—not a Gentile (Solomon)—and knowing that “all the treasures of WISDOM and knowledge” (Col. 2:3) where hidden in a Jewish Messiah (1 Cor. 1:30), and knowing that “the oracles of God” had been given to the Jew (not the Gentile: Rom. 3:2), he insisted that Gentiles, like himself, should definitely have a part in the inspiration of the WISDOM BOOKS (Job, Psalms, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, and the Song of Solomon). They were Old Testament Books.

So Origen proceeded to prove this by first confirming Philo’s phony “Letter to Aristeas” and then by attempting to “restore the original text” of the Septuagint. He bombed out like O. J. Simpson would on “Truth or Consequences.”

The comment of the ISBE regarding this aborted job is a gem. “Christian WRITERS accepted the story without suspicion...and simplified it.” Little did the writer of that article realize how far that truthful statement was going to be stretched. It reached from 100 B.C. to A.D. 1999. For example: James White, in an attempt to justify the hellish sins of the Bible corrupters of his generation (NASV and NIV), accepts the deification of Satan (Isa. 14:12), two different Gods for a Christian to worship (John 1:18), and then denies the Incarnation of Deity (1 Tim. 3:16) in the NASV and NIV, “without suspicion.”

James White, like Doug Kutilek, is a “writer.” One sucker born every three months. That will be 400 since 1895.

This “Hexaplaric Septuagint” came from Alexandria, from whence

“It spread among the Jews of the Dispersion, so that at the time of our Lord’s birth it was the common form in which the Old Testament Scriptures had become diffused.”

Proof? Don’t be silly. Absurd scholars like Jimbo and “Dugout Doug” (see pp. 170–172) believe they are immune from any mandate to produce PROOF for any statement. They just drift on downstream (in a stupor), through the fog, without a concern for what
they are doing, thinking, or saying. For such insincere, shallow deceivers to talk about anyone “doing their homework” is the equivalent of saying that Hillary Clinton inspired Paul to write 1 Corinthians 11:3–9.

No historian of secular or sacred history has ever found one piece of evidence, in 2,000 years, that the Jews of the Dispersion followed the lead of apostate Jewish philosophers in Alexandria: not one piece of evidence on THREE continents (Asia, Africa, and Europe).

“We see [get that plural, kiddies!] that God honors and is PLEASEd with an honestly-made version [see pp. 103–105] because it was used in the New Testament.”28

All right, chapter and verse?

I said, “chapter and verse?” Can you give us ONE?

It was never “used” ONCE. Every writer in the New Testament was an orthodox Jew converted to Jesus Christ, who was “the King of the Jews.”

Not ONCE, in 2,000 years, has any desperate apostate like Nestle, Kenyon, Swete, Colwell, Schwartz, Archer, Herklotts, Barnes, Kennedy, Ropes, Streeter, Albright, and Burrows (and all the others that Kutilek and White did NOT study) ever printed one verse (in any publication) that a New Testament writer quoted from a Greek Old Testament, written before 4 B.C. Not one. The writer of the ISBE just lied like a dog.

On go the belly dancers in the Disco.

“The Septuagint was THE Bible of Christ and the apostles; they most usually quoted from it.”29

“Most,” is it? On with the fabulous fable, eh? More support for the fickle fib? Why did you not tell that out of 263 direct quotations from the Old Testament, found in the New Testament, only 88 are exact quotations? That is 25 percent of the quotations. “Most usually quoted,” did you say? And did you tell them that 65 more had variants all through them and could have come from different manuscripts than Vaticanus and Sinaiticus? If added to the 88 that would be only 153, and more than one-third of them wouldn’t match. And why did you not tell your reader that the 88 “exact” ones were written 240 years after John finished the book of Revelation?

Fallacious, spurious, fraudulent, perfidious DECEPTION.

An insidious, misleading, insincere, shameful imposture, trumped-up by a courteous, polite, loving, kind, “sharing and caring” HUM BUG.

There is where Dugout Dug (pp. 162–163) got his “evidence” from. He never investigated anything. His “homework” consisted in passing on gossip he heard in the Disco. He was “cultural tracking” in a “Window Area” (America, circa 1990). The pitiful lie, given above, was written by Ira Price, and it could not be even alibied, let alone justified; for neither he, nor anyone who copied his outrageous lie, has ever produced one scrap of paper containing any portion of even one verse from a pre-Christian LXX that
Jesus Christ or the apostles ever quoted.

“Homework,” for an Alexandrian worshipper of Scholarship Onlyism, is simply a dozen seminars in how to LIE. Lying is THE life-style of the Scholars’ Union and has been since 1885. They would study and do research forty years just to prove one lie.

The blatant Nicolaitans are telling you that the Jewish synagogues all over Europe, Asia, and Africa were teaching Orthodox Judaism (see Acts 13:15, 27, 15:21, 28:21–24; Rom. 4, 9) out of a Greek Bible written in Africa (Jer. 44:26), whose “extant” manuscripts contain the Apocryphal books—“see how God blesses an HONEST translation!!”—like Tobit, Judith, and Bel and the Dragon. But these same deluded Rabbis (all over Europe, Asia, and Africa) suddenly abandoned this African counterfeit around A.D. 200 because “some of the Church Fathers were using Matthew 1:23 to prove that Isaiah 7:14 had to refer to the Virgin Birth.”

From this irrational, hair-brained piece of theological guesswork, you are to gather (without one shred of evidence on three continents, including the Dead Sea Scroll “findings”) that the Church Fathers had a complete Greek Old Testament they were quoting. Justin Martyr (A.D. 110–165) is mentioned in this regard. But Justin Martyr had Matthew 1:23 in his hand which he could show to any Hebrew who knew, or didn’t know, Greek. Every Jewish Rabbi to whom he showed it would know Greek, if the Jews were all using a Greek Septuagint before Justin was born. But no Jewish Rabbi would have had to know any Greek. He could have produced a HEBREW copy of Isaiah 7:14 to prove his point. The Isaiah Scroll was extant before Justin was born. He didn’t have to deal with “parthenos” in an Old Testament Greek “Septuagint.” Justin was giving him “parthenos” from a GREEK NEW TESTAMENT.

Aquila had already written a Greek Septuagint in A.D. 140, twenty-five years before Justin died. (It would have been thirty-seven years according to Miller and Kenyon.) How do you know he didn’t use THAT one? But any Christian who knew the New Testament in A.D. 110–165 would recognize Isaiah 7:14 the first time he heard it or saw it in ANY language, without Aquila. Philip actually applied Isaiah 53:7 to the crucifixion of Christ in less than three years after it took place (Acts 8:32), and he didn’t even have any New Testament to help him make the application. Furthermore, the “scriptures” with which he used to make the interpretation came from a proselyte to Orthodox Judaism (Acts 8:27), not Alexandrian philosophy; the Eunuch was an AFRICAN.

A “proselyte” to orthodox Judaism (see Acts 8:27) does not read or write a PERSIAN Old Testament (Esth. 8:17) when he “becomes a Jew”. Why would an African fool with a Greek Old Testament?

Origen saw the problem immediately. To mess Philip up, he went back into Isaiah 53:7, where the Eunuch had been reading (Acts 8:28) and tore out the “original Hebrew” text which said “As a sheep before HER shearsers is dumb…” Then he ripped Acts 8:32 out of the Acts and thrust it back into Isaiah 53:7 so it would “restore the original intent of the original author, etc.” Thus, the totally corrupt, totally dishonest, totally man-made Greek Old Testament LXX says (in Isa. 53:7) “As a LAMB before the shearer is dumb, so HE opens not his mouth.”
A LAMB is not a “SHEEP,” O “godly,” gifted interpreter!

A “Him” or “He” is not a “HER” or “She,” O ye godly, neuter faggots!

The “SLAUGHTER” (Acts 8:32) is not the “SHEARER” (Acts 8:32), O ye great and godly “reverent Biblicists,” who always “do your homework!”

Those words are not the same in any language on earth. Origen couldn’t read Hebrew or Greek, exactly as Kutilek and James White couldn’t read Hebrew, Greek, or ENGLISH.

(Someone like Bruce Metzger must have been helping Adamantius out in Caesaria, around A.D. 220. Bruce was worried about “sexism” in the AV Bible when he “chaired” the NRSV committee!)

Whoever wrote Isaiah 53:7, in a King James Bible, knew more about sheep and lambs and shearing houses and slaughter houses than Kutilek, Price, Swete, Skehan, Custer, or any other lazy, stupid philosopher who doesn’t know how to study. The professional sheep herders—I have talked to four of them in two different states—declare that a male LAMB and a male SHEEP will not bleat in either place—the shearing house or the slaughter house, while a FEMALE LAMB (not a female SHEEP) will bleat at the slaughter house but not at the “shearing.”

The brilliant scholars blew it again. Their “accurate” scholarship came out where it always comes out: a sewer outlet. God destroyed their minds every time they messed with the right text, with any “godly” motive in mind. Bats over brains. Mucous over mind. NIVs: Nutty Idiot’s Versions. “In order to help you understand the intent of the ORIGINAL AUTHOR….” The sorry —have been perverting the living words of the living God (Jer. 23:36) for over 2,000 years, and then lying about it. The “original Author” is God the Holy Spirit (2 Tim. 3:16). He knew what he was doing with both texts (Isaiah and Acts), and the “competent authorities” (see pp. 167–169) had no more idea of what they were doing than as if they had attempted to improve education by integrating the public school system in Washington, D.C., or by reconciling Israel with the PLO.

Par for the course in the Alexandrian Cult.
CHAPTER SEVEN
Let Us Hear the

Conclusion Of the Matter

The official “standard” (lame) alibi that Jews all over the world bowed down to African Greek scholarship and got rid of their Hebrew scrolls of the Old Testament (in order to better understand Moses, Samuel, David, Joshua, and the Prophets) is a one-legged African monkey with Ebola, who couldn’t walk 100 yards in twenty-four hours. The Jewish rabbis have maintained their Biblical HEBREW so well, since Moses spoke it, that it is the contemporary street language and newspaper language of Israel (Zeph. 3:9) in 1999. That is 1,776 years after any extant “LXX” popped up.

The Jewish Biblical scrolls, kept and cherished in every local synagogue in Africa, Asia, and Europe, were never written in Greek. The apostate scholars have yet to find any Hebrew scroll of the Old Testament containing the Apocryphal books of the Greek “Septuagint.” It is true that Origen and Company tore “and the opening of the prison to them that are bound” out of Isaiah 61:1 because it didn’t “match” the New Testament citation in Luke 4:18. It is also true that the old African liar added “the recovery of sight to the blind” to Isaiah 61:1 in the hopes of deceiving Custer, Swete, Kenyon, Rahlfs, White, Kutilek, and other “Christian writers” (see pg. 108) into thinking that the Lord Jesus Christ was going back to Egypt for help—the LXX omits all seven words—but then, again subtracting and adding to the words of God (Gen. 3) is as old as sin and death (Gen. 3:2–3). Origen simply perverted the Holy Scriptures to make people, like himself, think there was a B.C. Septuagint around that matched the New Testament. How do you know he didn’t lie, like Custer, White, and Kutilek?

Go back to ten pages of documented evidence we recorded in Chapter Five. You don’t have to do any “homework.” All you have to do is read Sixth Grade English. No one has to have a high school or College education to spot a professional liar when he is attacking the text of the Authorized Holy Bible. ¹

You know Origen lied the same way you know that Lincoln’s original Gettysburg Address (1863) wasn’t copied from a College textbook published in New York, in 1990. How do you know the whole LXX pack is a hexed lot of liars? Easy. You know it the same way you know that the authors of our Bill of Rights (1790) didn’t copy their document from Mao Tse Tung’s “Red Book” (1990). Why, those two documents would only be 200 years apart! Every “Septuagint” reading I gave you from Isaiah chapters 53 and 61 was written more than 240 years AFTER LUKE WROTE Luke 4:18–19 and Acts 8:32.

That is how you know Origen and Custer, Kutilek and Swete, Colwell and Kenyon, Bruce and Herklotts, Augustine and Philo, Aristobulus and Josephus, Bruce and Bratton lied. “Piece a’ cake.”

But that is a small matter in itself; we would expect that kind of “godly scholarship” from the Alexandrian Cult (see How to Teach the Original Greek, 1995). If both readings
(Isa. 53:1–7 and Isa. 61:1–2) were in Origen’s Hexapla (before Sinaiticus and Vaticanus), they still would have been 50–130 years too late to qualify as parts of ANY pre-Christian “Septuagint.”

The whole rotten sideshow is a fake from the opening curtain to the “bows” of the “cast.”

1. The “evidence” says that Jesus normally spoke HEBREW, because He was a Jew.² True, but He was more than that: He was a Judean Jew from Judah who was the “King of the Jews.” He was “the lion of the TRIBE of Judah.”

2. The material found in the Qumran community (the Dead Sea Scrolls) is written in HEBREW. It was spoken in all of the Jewish synagogues by the Rabbis BEFORE the birth of Christ.³

3. Hebrew was the daily communication among all of the Jews in Christ’s time and is found in inscriptions on buildings in the first century.⁴

4. Hebrew is found on a personal level on recovered vessels which bear the Hebrew names of their Hebrew owners (100 B.C. to A.D. 200).⁵

5. The Mishnah (with 800 pages) strongly suggests that the Jews continued to cling to their sacred language (Hebrew, not Greek) in spite of A.D. 70 (Titus) and Massada. If they didn’t, what is it doing being spoken in Palestine in 1999? (Grow up, stupid, you can’t stay as mentally deranged as Philo, Swete, Ben Sirach, Aland, Metzger, Origen, Bruce, Augustine, and Eusebius all of your life!)

6. All Jews were educated at HOME by the Rabbis.⁶ Greek would have meant nothing to them when dealing with theological, historical, or Biblical matters, unless some of the African philosophers among the Jews desired to translate some portion into Greek to get it to a Gentile who couldn’t read Hebrew.

7. But the crowning insult to all of this Tommy-rot about Jesus Christ using a Gentile Greek “Bible” from Africa was His own attitude toward “Greek” things and “Gentile” things while He was preaching and teaching on earth.

Who but a lazy half-wit could have read Matthew chapter 10, Matthew 15:24; and John 4:9–22 and thought that our Lord would swallow a story like “Aristeas,” or anything connected with it? He went exclusively to Jewish towns.⁷ He avoided Gentiles anywhere He could (Matt. 10:5–6). The Gentile population of Julius was less than 100 yards from Bethsaida: He never entered its city limits. But He had to “use” a mythological GREEK “Bible,” did He?

The whole nineteenth and twentieth century attempts to convert the Hebrew “oracles of God” into a Greek scholar’s philosophy is sicker than an alligator with back trouble. It is false from start (Philo) to finish (NIV and NASV). There wasn’t any need of doing it in the first place unless one was an apostate Jew who had settled down in Africa, around Alexandria, with no orthodox Jewish Rabbi in the vicinity, or else absolutely no desire to hear an orthodox Jewish rabbi who was in the vicinity. How do you suppose some
apostate Jew like Aquila or Symmachus or Theodotian would have felt sitting in a synagogue in Egypt and hearing the “reading of the scriptures” (Acts 13:27, 15:21, and 13:15) when the “reader” was reciting Jeremiah chapters 42–44?

You see it is always heart trouble; it is heart trouble that produces HEAD trouble, not the other way around. A scholar’s mind is never destroyed till his heart rots. This theological revelation is given in Ezekiel 14:1–4. It is given in a King James (1611) Authorized Version.

All of the authors of the Septuagint’s Apocrypha were Alexandrian Jews, as far as anyone knows. They had to reject Jeremiah chapters 42–44, Ezekiel chapter 14, and Proverbs 30:6 to do what they did. All of the authors of the extant “Septuagints” were apostate, Gentile, professing Christians (κ, A, B, C, etc). You can shelve every man in both groups without getting heartburn.

The modern worshippers of Scholarship Onlyism (NASV, NIV, etc.) say:

“Those who devote themselves exclusively to the Authorized Version seem not to be interested in making the Scriptures RELEVANT to our age.”

Who was that powerless, fruitless, lying hypocrite? He said that Sam Gipp, David Otis Fuller, Beauchamp Vick, Edward Hills, Lester Roloff, Jim Modlish, Bob Gray, Jack Hyles, Oliver Green, Billy Sunday, Peter Ruckman, and J. Frank Norris did not make the Scriptures “relevant” to the twentieth century. Well, if not, who did? I have made it so “relevant” that it has become THE ISSUE, nationally and internationally, AFTER 1990. Is that “relevant” enough? I have made the Scriptures so “relevant” to “our age” that they have produced over 200 ordained ministers, forty young men on seventeen foreign mission fields, preaching in eight foreign languages, and have managed to get over 8,000 souls saved, of whom at least 2,000 were grown men.

And what did the liar, above, produce as he made the ASV, RSV, NRSV, RV, CEV, TEV, NWT, NEB, and NIV “relevant to our age.” Write him and ask him. Every practical atheist in the Scholars’ Union, who talks like that liar talked, has a fruit basket of fruits from Galatians chapter 5 that look like they were grown in the Sahara desert.

You wanna see “relevancy?” Order five feet of cloth-backed books from the Bible Baptist Bookstore that I have written. Make sure you get Black is Beautiful, God is Love, Memoirs of a 20th Century Circuit Rider, The Last Grenade, The Scholarship Only Controversy, and the other ninety-four books. You wanna see a “relevancy” that “impacts” (Alexandrian cliché) twentieth-century Biblical scholarship like a wild cat going through a chicken coop? I can show it to you, and I will use ONE Bible version to show it to you.

I can show any Scholarship Only advocate enough “heresy” to poison a parish.

On with the taxi dancers and chorus girls: “It would be a mistake to read the AV as a model for the twentieth century usage.”

It would be? It would be a “mistake,” you say?

Bless my soul, look who was “mistaken” all through the twentieth century! Lester
Roloff, Perry Rockwood, James Son, Bob Gray (Jacksonville),
J. Harold Smith, Oliver Green, Maze Jackson, Jack Hyles, Harold Sightler, Hugh Pyle,
Billy Sunday, Mickey Carter, John Rawlings, Tim Lee, R. G. Lee, Gipsy Smith, Vance
Havner, B. B. Crimm, Alex Dunlap, Jack Chick, Carl Lackey, John Rice, Bob Jones Sr.,
William Ward Ayer, Bob Schuller, Tim Green, Jim Modlish, Harley Keck, Bruce
Cummons, Walter Wilson, and Dallas Billington.

My God, what a tragedy! Think how these saved sinners were duped and deceived into
reading the King James Bible as a “model for the twentieth century!” Oh my soul, what
can we do to remedy this situation so it does not reoccur! Oh the tragedy of such fine
Christian men being so DECEIVED BY THE DEVIL!! And think how mightily God
could have used them if they had held up the ASV (twentieth century) or the RSV
(twentieth century) or the NASV (twentieth century) or the CEV (twentieth century) NRSV
(twentieth century) NWT (twentieth century) NEB (twentieth century) and the NIV
(twentieth century) as the right “model” for the Body of Christ! Oh Great Globe! Oh Holy
Mother earth! is there no “balm in Gilead!?”

(Just kiddin’, folks. “A merry heart doeth good like a medicine.”)

I didn’t really take one of those jackasses seriously who talked the way the Scholars’
Union talks about the King James Bible. I know a jackass when I see him. Even when he is
a jackass in sheep’s clothing.

Heee-haw!

“The beautiful style of the King James Bible has been acclaimed far out of proportion
to its worth.”

Its “worth” is over $40,000. Do you know of any ASV or RSV or NASV or NRSV
worth 1/10th that much? It has been responsible for more conversions (well over
40,000,000) than all 200 English translations have been responsible for since 1885. It was
the inspiration for The Bill of Rights, The Constitution, and The Declaration of
Independence. “Out of proportion to its worth,” is it? It was the inspiration for the founding
of Harvard, BJU, Yale, Dartmouth, Hyles-Anderson, Princeton, and Columbia
Universities. And you think it is “over-valued,” do you? Where did you come from? The
back end of the Congo?

Let us now gather up all of the last few “odds and ends” and tie the LXX package
together and deposit it in the nearest dumpster (or back in Hell) where it belongs.

The scholarly “proofs” given by the “competent authorities” are:

1. A bag full of philosophical hot air about someone who never existed, writing an
imaginary account to another man who never existed (the Letter to Aristeas).

2. Half of one sentence in a Prologue to an Apocryphal imitation of Solomon’s
Ecclesiastes, written by an unknown, apostate, Jewish philosopher (Jesus Ben Sirach).

3. Philo of Alexandria, an unsaved gnostic philosopher, whose testimony regarding
Aristeas turns out to be 95 percent error in dating (285 B.C. for 150 B.C.) and a 75 percent
error in the contents of the non-extant translation (only the Pentateuch) and a 100 percent
error in reporting an act of verbal inspiration that never took place.

4. Josephus, a renegade Jew, who was a “turncoat” and betrayed his own people by violating a personal oath to commit suicide before surrendering to Vespasian. Whereupon, Vespasian made him a Roman citizen and gave him a plush residence in Rome in which to live the rest of his life—AFTER he and Titus had sacked Jerusalem.

This LYING—it is a life style in the Alexandrian Cult—unsaved Jew is the one who just “apes” Philo, thus producing what the scholars mistake for a listing of two, separate witnesses to the pre-Christian “Septuagint.” No Nicolaitan in The Scholars’ Union ever does any real “homework” at all when it comes to studying the details of the scholarship of those who attack the AV text. Both witnesses are identical; one copied the other.

(Scholarship Onlyism fanatics always “ape” someone or “parrot” someone or “monkey” with the Scriptures.)

5. Finally, here is Aristobulus, who lied about the date of the LXX by telling you that Demetrius (see pg. 17) was “point man” for PP II (Pope Poo Poo, the Second) when he actually DIED before PP II (Papa Pizza, the Second) took over Egypt. His notes are “probably not genuine.”

And then, of course, there are a dozen or so scattered pieces of paper containing less than one-fortieth of the Old Testament, and more than 95 percent of them were written AFTER the Birth of Christ. Of that 95 percent, more than 65 percent were written AFTER A.D. 200.

THAT IS THE POSITIVE SIDE OF THE LEDGER.

Nothing Kutilek or Skeham or Swete or Custer, or Rahlfs or Kenyon, et al. wrote in 5,000 pages of writing could add one thing to that list.

On the negative side:

1. No one alive or dead on this earth has ever seen ONE copy of ANY Greek Old Testament anywhere on the globe that was “extant” in 300 B.C., 250 B.C., 200 B.C., 150 B.C., 100 B.C., 10 B.C., A.D. 10, A.D. 100, or A.D. 150. Furthermore, no scholar alive has ever seen any Greek Old Testament written in A.D. 150., A.D. 200, A.D. 250, or A.D. 300.

Those are the cold, hard, honest, truthful FACTS that no scholar can deal with. For 300 years on both sides of the Birth of Christ, there is no complete Old Testament “used” by ANYBODY.

2. No scholar, in 2,300 years, has ever produced one scrap of paper—not even one two inches square—with any part of even ONE Old Testament verse (that was written before the time of Christ) which ANY New Testament writer quoted.

I gave them twenty-seven years to print one. They never printed it. Score? Twenty-six to zip.

3. The first complete Greek Old Testaments (which is missing parts of Genesis and Psalms), which anyone in 1700, 1750, 1800, 1850, 1900, 1950, or 1996 ever saw, were the ones written between A.D. 350 and 600. They contain New Testament and Old Testament
Apocryphal books.

Those are the cold, hard, scientific, objective FACTS that the Scholars’ Union cannot even face, let alone deal with. They just put them “on the back burner.” They are too hot to handle. They must be classified with “Ruckman giving his credentials” (pp. 92–94). They constitute HISTORICAL REALITY.

4. Every so-called “Septuagint” reading cited, as being used by an apostle in the New Testament, was written more than 240–260 years after the apostle was DEAD. Every so-called Old Testament “Septuagint” reading that matches a New Testament reading is simply a verse removed from the New Testament in A.D. 330–350 and forced back into the Old Testament.

Those facts are not surmises. They are not allegations. They were not “implied” or “suggested” by something or other, like the “much evidence of every sort” (see pg. 163) which Kutilek professes to have when he doesn’t have ONE solid, substantial piece of evidence of any kind. (Lying is a way of life in the Cult.) The facts I gave are not based on heresy. They are not based on spurious passages from anonymous or unidentified philosophers. They are not based on what any “competent authority” thinks or theorizes. The competent authorities are in a permanent hypnopolmopic state.

Those FACTS (No. 4) are substantial, vicious scientific, objective REALITIES. The sissies and pansies in the hot house incubators cannot tolerate reality. No Christian scholar, of any profession, living or dead, could produce any evidence that would contravene what I just wrote (Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4). I gave them twenty-seven years to do it, and not one of them attempted it. Not ONE Bible scholar, not ONE Bible teacher, not ONE Hebrew or Greek teacher, not ONE publisher of any “Septuagint” (or Septuagint concordance), not ONE faculty member at the seminaries in Wheaton, Dallas, Denver, Chicago, New Orleans, or Louisville, and not ONE faculty member at PCC, BBC, BJU, Tennessee Temple, Liberty University, Fuller, Moody, Maranatha, Cedarville, or Northwestern could produce ONE VERSE in twenty-seven years. That is a mighty long time to “think over” or “rethink” or “evaluate” or “re-evaluate” a supposed “heretics opinion” about something. I wouldn’t wait half that time to sack the whole crew on a “heresy.” I wouldn’t wait one year. I would sack Jimbo White’s heresies within thirty days after he printed them. (See the issues of the Bible Believers Bulletin from September of 1995 to March of 1996.)

I gave him and his buddies 336 TIMES THAT LENGTH OF TIME TO REFUTE MINE. They never did it. They are not going to do it with twice that time.

Fax that on your “network.”

Time to quit playing Tom and Jerry: i.e., “cat and mouse.”

Let us “tie the rag on the bush.”

The early Church Fathers in the Latin church held strictly to the Hebrew Old Testament canon.\textsuperscript{12} Tertullian (before A.D. 200), recognizes no Apocryphal books. Symmachus and Aquila do not include any Apocryphal books. Cyril of Jerusalem (A.D. 315–386) says there are no Apocryphal books in the Old Testament canon.\textsuperscript{13} But you are
to believe that Paul (the apostle to the Gentiles) and our Lord Jesus Christ didn’t have the spiritual discernment that God gave to Tertullian, Symmachus, Aquila, and Cyril of Jerusalem! All of the “Septuagint” quotations that Peter and Luke and Jesus Christ were supposed to have quoted (see pp. 126–127) came from an LXX that CONTAINED APOCRYPHAL BOOKS.

And it is “Ruckman,” is it, who hasn’t done any “homework?” It is “Ruckman,” is it, who is the eccentric “odd ball?” You talk about odd balls! Look what you just read! I have the manuscript evidence right in my hands: four Old Testament Greek “Septuagints” from Vaticanus.

And the Ruckman-Riplinger version of the LXX is “absurd” is it (see pg. 171)? Well, it is if you are just as spaced-out as the Mad Hatter in Wonderland.

Sinaiticus was certainly written in Caesaria, where Eusebius and Origen both lived. Vaticanus, written in Alexandria, shows up in Caesaria in Origen’s library; it was brought to Rome in 1448. Rev. H. S. Miller, at this point, unwittingly calls Origen’s column “THE SEPTUAGINT,” just like Ruckman was guilty of calling it (see pg. 69). Then as if bent on making a perfect liar out of Doug Kutilek (see pp. 79, 83, 106), six other LXX believers join H. S. Miller and calls Origen’s own translation “THE SEPTUAGINT.”

Kutilek had done so little “homework,” while aping “competent authorities,” he blamed “Ruckman” for making a scapegoat out of Origen by attributing to him the authorship of the Septuagint. Well, the competent authorities, which Kutey did not dare list (!), were Schaff, Swete, Bruce, Herklotts, Price, Reumann, and Miller, and everyone of them said Origen’s writing in his own fifth column was “THE SEPTUAGINT.” We will wind up with ten sources instead of these seven.

True, all seven of them did it unconsciously and without serious intent, but if they hit on the truth, accidentally, they certainly recorded it.

Tertullian knew of a complete Latin Bible—Old Testament and New Testament—before Origen (A.D. 200), and it did not contain the Apocrypha which Origen was always quoting. Tertullian’s complete Latin Bible was in circulation while Aquila’s first “LXX” was being published (A.D. 140–160).

As for the “wealth of information” in the Dead Sea Scrolls (see Custer, pg. iv), they are just as dead as the people who wrote them. All of the books written about the Dead Sea Scrolls have the same information in them, and all bear the same stamp. They all sport ten pages of historical backgrounds which amount to little or nothing, and then they sport forty-seven to fifty pages talking about sectarian scrolls that have nothing to do with either Testament (The Manual of Discipline, The Sons of Light and the Sons of Darkness, The Council, The Sons of Perversion, Membership in the community, initiation rites, water purification, the common meal, and rambling instructions on asceticism, etc.). These are followed by thirty to forty pages about “Messianic expectations,” animal sacrifices, the Book of Enoch, Jubilees, circumcision, dietary restrictions, the Sabbath, and Gnosticism.

Enough fictitious, non-Biblical material to gag a maggot.
Since the Qumran community “was moving in the direction something similar to Gnosticism,” the “fact will have an important bearing on New Testament Studies.”17 Not if you’re sane, it won’t. None of these religious quacks “study” the New Testament. They study what their own kind think about the mistakes in the New Testament texts. No student (or scholar) of the Dead Sea Scrolls has given the Body of Christ one piece of intelligent information about anything in the New Testament that came from studying any scroll in any cave. “New Testament Studies” will be found complete, up to 1999, in the published works of Bullinger, Scofield, Stam, Larkin, Collet, Pember, Hills, Burgon, Miller, Pickering, Torrey, Pink, Ruckman, and Erich Sauer. Seventy percent of this material was in print before 1930.

When a Laodicean Nicolaitan in the Alexandrian Cult says something will assist you or aid you in your “New Testament Studies,” he is never referring to the Holy Spirit or belief in the Authorized Version or even an English Concordance. What he means is: “This latest discovery” or awesome “breakthrough”—dried bones, busted pottery, discarded pieces of paper, etc.—will give US something to hash and rehash for another ten years so we won’t have to do visitation, win souls, make hospital calls, teach and preach the truth, and “take a beating” for Christ’s sake by becoming “fools for Christ.” Each new “find” produces another ten to twenty year crop of faculty lounge bull-shooters.

In forty pages about The Dead Sea Scrolls Today (James Vanderkam [Grand Rapids: Wm. B Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1994]), there is not one line of one sentence that proves that one scrap of a B.C. Greek LXX was found anywhere in any cave. “Recent work on the Scrolls” (1995) produces a perfect blank. In The Dead Sea Scrolls on Microfilm (E. J. Bill [Tov and Kraft], 1993) we learn (1990) that the LXX Minor Prophet Scrolls (“R”), the one from Nahal Hever—not the Qumran Community—was written AFTER the apostles wrote the New Testament.18

And that is the end of the “light” from the Dead Sea Scrolls—the most stupendous, amazing, “outstanding find” of the twentieth century. You can “bone up” on the rest of the junk in the caves by reading Discoveries in the Judean Desert by Ulrich, Skehan, and Sanderson (Vol IX, Biblical Archaeology, 1994) or a two volume work by Eisenman and Robinson (A Facsimile Edition of the Dead Sea Scrolls, 1994) or the work by Baigent and Leigh called, The Dead Sea Scrolls Deception (1991). All of which will come to nothing because it never meant anything to start with. No Bible believer in America—I said “Bible believer,” not some idiot who believes in a lost pile of non-extant papers—was deceived by the awesome, astounding “find” of the trivia long enough to study it for ten minutes. I just spent twenty-five hours studying it to give you a good horse laugh (Job 39:25).

BJU’s “wealth of information” and “embarrassment of riches,” gathered by “competent AUTHORITIES,” turns out to be exactly the wealth you would find if you dug up your back yard looking for Captain Kidd’s treasure chest.

Here, at the end of a dead-end street, is Professor Kahle (1875–1964) who said he thought there was no LXX till “Christian times”,19 although he allows there may have been a Greek Pentateuch around somewhere that somebody completed in 100 B.C. But 100 B.C., for five books, is a far cry from 250 B.C. for twenty-two books (Hebrew canon)
or even 150 B.C. for a complete Old Testament.

In regards to Papyrus 458 (Rylands), F. F. Bruce (1950) is quick to tell you that the three tiny scraps of paper are “a recognizable SEP-TUAGINT text.” Which simply means that they would read as the *post-Septuagint* LXX of A.D. 330–350. But when one reads this kind of irresponsible nonsense one is always reminded of “Stu Baby” at BJU, who back in 1980, declared with all of the seriousness of a heart attack that a scrap of paper called “P52”, containing part of John chapter 18, was an “ALEXANDRIAN” (A.D. 330–350, LXX) *TYPE TEXT*. Since this is the oldest scrap of the New Testament ever found, BJU couldn’t wait to justify their corrupt ASV and NASV—which were *Alexandrian texts from Alexandria*—to claim the scrap for their “godly own.”

But aside from the proven FACT that all papyri and all Greek New Testament manuscripts are *mixed types* (contrary to everything Westcott, Nestle, and Aland teach), is the fact that there were not enough words on the fragment (p52) to locate it in any “family,” *even if there had been “families.”* I have a photocopy of P52 right here on my desk. *It is written on both sides.* A tiny portion of less than half of John 18:31–33 is on one side, and a tiny portion of less than half of John 18:37–38 is on the other side. The torn, mutilated scrap of paper doesn’t have *forty letters* on one side of it, and five of them are *illegible.* The whole scrap doesn’t record forty words on it, yet it is supposed to represent five verses which contain more than eighty Greek words. These eighty Greek words contain more than 358 letters.

Both sides of P52 contain less than 150 letters. *HALF of the fragment is missing.* And BJU says it is an “Alexandrian type” fragment. That is the best twentieth-century Christian scholarship has to offer from a “Bastion of Orthodoxy,” a “Fortress of FAITH.” Well, ole’ son, that step you took was sure by FAITH. There wasn’t as much evidence in your “scholarly classification” as you could find in Vaticanus (B) for Christ being pierced with a spear BEFORE He died.

It is true that F. F. Bruce says that Cave Four has a “Septuagint” *Numbers* and *Leviticus* in it, and Cave Seven has a piece of the Septuagint text of some verse in *Exodus* (probably Exod. 1:5 perverted from Acts 7:14 by some perverter who had Acts 7:14 on his table when he perverted Exod. 1:5), but ole’ F. F. doesn’t dare give you the DATE the manuscript was written. Worse than that, he cannot give you the dates for *ONE single verse in ONE Old Testament Book found in the caves that anyone in the New Testament quotes.* His book, *The Books and the Parchments,* is barren from cover to cover. No Greek verse in *Leviticus,* no Greek verse in *Numbers,* and no Greek verse in *Exodus* where ANY dates are given. We may presume he meant Hebrew manuscripts whose readings *resembled* the LXX; but again, the puck goes into the grand stands, for the LXX he is referring to was written 240 years after the completion of the New Testament.

The old lying scoundrels “can’t win fer losin’.”

Bruce, and others, mention the fact that Amos chapter 9 differs from Acts 15:16–17 in a *King James Bible,* so the Septuagint reading “build it up as in the ancient days” matches the King James text from the Old Testament Hebrew. That is, the Bible corrupters of 330–
350 missed *that* one. If they had been on their toes they would have transferred Acts 15:17 back into Amos, thusly: *“and I will set it up.”* They would have omitted “as in the days of old” But this is nothing new. Of some 600 Old Testament quotations in the New Testament, the Alexandrian corrupters (A.D. 330–350) missed more than half of them in their attempts to create a pre-Christian LXX.

In one last effort to score in a “sudden death” situation (Hockey, circa 1940–1990), Bruce makes one final stupid (and useless) effort to establish a mythological pre-Christian LXX. He calls your attention to the fact that there are three places in the Old Testament where the Septuagint keeps verses that the Hebrew Masorah lost by their “carelessness,” while preparing the Hebrew text for your *King James Bible*. These places are said to be Genesis 4:8; 1 Samuel 14:41; and 1 Samuel 8:12.

Are you ready for *“Saturday Night Live”* or the *“O. J. Simpson Show?”* Ready to see some more of Dr. A. T. Robertson’s “godly” scholarship or James White’s “accurate scholarship?” Fasten your seat belts, chillun; “make sure your seats and tray tables are in the upright and locked position!” Here are fried brains pickled in Picante sauce! Get ready for *“ignorance aflame!”* Good, godly, recognized, qualified, accredited, “competent, scholarly authority” at its best! (Somewhere between Daisy Mae and Lil Abner.) Behold! “Airheads on parade!”

Cain *should* have said, “Let us go into the field” to his brother (Gen. 4:8) before they went out into the field. A little slip on Cain’s part, or else on the part of the Hebrew oracles and the English Bible of the Protestant Reformation. We should be deeply indebted to some *confused nut* who tried to transfer the scenery of *1 Samuel 20:11* back into Genesis.

And what is the excruciating scholarship behind this deep “insight” into how the Scriptures should have read? You could never guess. Put on a blindfold and see if you can pin the donkey’s tail on.

The reason why the Hebrew text of Genesis 4:8 should be altered to match a Greek text from Alexandria is because “Cain’s suggestion PREPARES us for the next sentence.”

You say “what else?”

Nothing else. *That’s it.*

That’s “the whole ball of wax.”

You say “who is the US?” Beats the tar outta me: I couldn’t guess if I stayed up all night till Halloween.

This is an *addition!* Can you imagine THAT, after all of Jimmy White’s hot air about “the tendency to *ADD* to a *copy* to make it a *“fuller text?”* Vaticanus, the very *manuscript used here for the “purest” LXX text, makes 2,700 omissions in the Gospel alone on the grounds that “the shorter text was the better one.”* And now they are telling you the *shorter text* (the Hebrew text) is the *wrong one.* Why? Why because it didn’t “prepare THEM” ( not “us”) for the next sentence.22

“Honest to Pete,” THAT is the awesome Greek *scholarship* behind the useless
addition. Do you have any idea of what it would take to “prepare” every reader for “the next sentence” that follows some other sentence in more than 10,000 verses in the Bible?

The Lord talks with Moses (Exod. 33:9) without any of the speech being recorded, anymore than any of Cain’s speech was recorded. God does it again in Exodus 33:11. Moses does it himself in Exodus 34:31. No man’s speech has to show up simply because it says that “he talked” with him. The silly, conceited, narrow-minded JERK who made the addition to Genesis 4:8 did it so it would make sense to HIM; he didn’t even know how the Book (that he was correcting) was written. He must have never read it. Look at Acts 20:11. Paul “talked” without saying anything.

“Prepared for a sentence?” Why, you incompetent bungler! There are scores of places in both Testaments where God doesn’t prepare anyone for anything. Joseph didn’t HANG anybody (Gen. 41:13). How were you “prepared” for that sentence? Nobody was killed at “Tabor” that you know anything about (Judg. 8:18). How could anyone possibly be “prepared” for such a sentence? What is to “prepare” you for Genesis 14:18? Were you “prepared” to find Tibni’s killers when he evidently died accidentally (1 Kings 16:22)? How were you doing, getting ready to offer some first fruits of your crops to the Lord, when the Lord suddenly tells you not to cook a kid “in his mother’s milk” (Exod. 23:19)? I’ll bet no man who ever read that verse was “prepared” for it, INCLUDING THE AUTHOR.

The LXX pervert added the words to Genesis 4:8 more than 100 years after the New Testament was completed. The scholarship behind this is, “the addition must have been in the original, because it makes better sense to ‘US’—meaning ‘me’.”

“Urim and Thummim” should have been in your King James text (1 Sam. 23:9–12), so they were stuck into the Hebrew text 240 years after John died on Patmos. They were stuck into the verse to “decide a lot.” Didn’t the demented nut know that Urim and Thummim had nothing to do with deciding “lots” or “casting lots?” Urim and Thummim (meaning “lights and perfections”) were to be found in the breastplate of the high priest. They lit up with letters that spelled out sentences, as they contained seventeen of the twenty-two letters in the Hebrew alphabet (see Exod. 28:16–21, 30). This Biblical truth is explained in 1 Samuel 23:9–12 where God SPEAKS through the breastplate that Abiathar wore (1 Sam. 23:6, 9). This advanced revelation, found in a King James Bible, remained hidden to every “competent authority” in the Scholars’ Union for 390 years. They haven’t found it yet.

The AV text never ceases to draw judgment on Hebrew and Greek scholars who try to correct it with Hebrew and Greek. Bruce and the LXX simply served up their readers with some Alexandrian tripe and chittlens, garnished with rotten pork. “Lots” were cast into the lap (Prov. 1:14, 16:33, 18:18; Isa. 34:17; Ezek. 45:1; Micah 2:5, etc.) and drawn, or they were cast to the ground like dice (Acts 1:26). Urim and Thummim are never connected with anyone’s “lot,” and they are never consulted one time to “choose lots” between anyone. The author of the LXX was as batty as Batman.

My copy of the LXX is a “ring-tailed bobcat.” Its authors are caught “between a rock and a hard place.” They know Urim and Thummim can’t be right, but they don’t know
how to choose a lot, draw a lot, or cast a lot, so they give you this mongrel rat’s nest: “give clear MANIFESTATIONS, and if the lot should DECLARE this…etc.” (1 Sam. 14:41–42). They used “declare” because God “spoke” through Urim and Thummim. They used “manifestations” (plural) to cover the lights moving over the letters. So they produced a nonsense verse. The authors of my “Septuagint” were just like Origen; they were proud, ignorant, Bible-denying LIARS.

First Samuel 8:12 in the corrupt Septuagint.

The mythological LXX has added “and his manner shall be,” and “captains of hundreds” plus “to gather his vintage.” (This after the Scholarship Only nuts—Nestle, Aland, White, Hort, Metzger, et al. omitted more than 100 words in the New Testament because they were not in the manuscripts that added these words to the Old Testament!!)

F. F. Bruce, stumbling around like a drunken Comanche in a mine shaft, says:

“It MAY mean that this quatrain was included…the similarity…SUGGESTS that the Septuagint preserved it.”

You say, “Is that all?” That’s the whole nine yards, kiddies.

Manuscript evidence? Sorry, no one at home. Citation by an apostle or Church Father? Sorry, gone for the weekend. The comments of the Rabbinical schools on the passage? Sorry, gone for the summer. Date for the LXX correction? “Closed for the holidays.”

That is the same nut who said (of 1 Sam. 14:41):

“The whole narrative becomes LUCID, and welcome LIGHT is thrown upon the operation of the priestly oracle: the Urim and Thummim.”

He said that after destroying the Bible definition, and the function of Urim by confounding it with the casting of lots. That is what all Scholarship Only fanatics call “new light on the text,” and “new insights into the meaning of Scripture”; i.e., black light, turning Scripture into a black hole.

Now there are, out of more than 24,000 verses, three which are supposed to prove the superiority of a non-existent, pre-Christian Greek Old Testament to the Masoretic Hebrew text. The AV translators wisely ignored all three of those LXX readings. E. W. Grinfield, a first class liar like James White, says:

“whoever studies the Greek New Testament in conjunction with the Septuagint, will obtain such a conception of the unity of the Bible, as never could be obtained from the study of two discordant languages.”

More irresponsible madness. Bullinger’s outlines on the unity of the Bible, plus his book and chapter outlines in the Bible, will give anyone a knowledge of the unity of the Bible that is absolutely remarkable. Furthermore, any Bible believer who interprets Scripture with Scripture, using the Scriptures only as the final authority (sola scriptura,
Martin Luther), will obtain such a grasp of the *unity of the Bible* that not one Hebrew or Greek or “Septuagint” scholar, living or dead, could touch the hem of his garment. If “two discordant languages” are a *hindrance* to obtaining a proper concept of the “Unity of the Bible,” I have an excellent idea, which will prove itself to be so superior to that suggested, above, as to be unworthy of mention on the same page: *COMPARE THE KING JAMES NEW TESTAMENT WITH THE KING JAMES OLD TESTAMENT*. They’re both in the same language.

**P.S. Greek has been a dead language for over 1,700 years.**

I think it would be proper to close this brief treatise with a quotation from the *ISBE*. After saying that Origen revised “the current Alexandrian text of the Septuagint as it existed in the third century.” He was

“…through others’ fault, indirectly responsible for the production of manuscripts in which the current LXX text [*which never existed before Aquila*] and later LXX versions [Vaticanus, Sinaïticus, Alexandrinus, Ephraemi Rescriptus, Dubliniensius, Marchinlanus, etc.] ARE HOPELESSLY MIXED. Origen’s gigantic work was framed on *ERRONEOUS PRINCIPLES.*”

Correct: *his principles were fraud, counterfeit, imitation, ignorance, and deception.* That is the “package” that the ASV, NASV, RSV, NRSV, CEV, and NIV came in: a “package deal.”

It is time to “freeze the puck.” (I’m gonna “raise the ante, and call ya.”)

Origen’s attempt to set himself as the *author* and *interpreter* of inspired Scripture, as well as a *guide* and *teacher*, was based on *Satanic deception*, and his worship of *philosophy* (Col. 2:8). Ditto James White, Erwin Nestle, Kurt Aland, Eugene Nida, Westcott and Hort, Philip Schaff, A. T. Robertson, J. G. Machen, Benjamin Warfield, D. A. Carson, Harold Lindsel, Andy Sandlin, Zane Hodges, Chuck Swindoll, and every member of the *NKJV* committee, the NRSV committee, the CEV committee, the NASV committee, and the NIV committee, plus any other Scholarship Onlyism *nut* like Bob Jones III, John MacArthur, John Ankerberg, Robert Sumner, James Melton, Tim LaHaye, or Doug Kutilek who *professes* he is not claiming infallibility, *while correcting God’s supreme written Authority* in order to bring you down to HIS level of ignorance.

All Laodicean Nicolaitans “minister” with the *same motive* in mind, and all of them used the *same methods* and back each other up with the *same flattering titles*. All of them go to the *same sources* for the *same lying* propaganda, and all of them are *uniform* in practice when the Final Authority rears its head (*AV 1611*): they immediately convert to practical *atheism* whose final authority is their own *opinions* and *preferences*. My old dice-rolling, crap-shooting, card-dealing, wheel-spinning “stick man” buddy (Paul Kirkindal, Blythesville, Arkansas) used to say: “Ruckman, you can’t con a man unless he is crooked.” If that dictum is true, it doesn’t say much for Conservative and Fundamental Christian scholarship from A.D. 1800 to 1996: any of it.

The mythological Septuagint belongs to the annals of *religious fiction* in the primeval subconscious’ of all Alexandrians. It remains as the greatest fairytale for grown-ups ever
invented by radical extremists. Only Darwin’s fairytale for grown-ups could compete with it when it comes to sheer fanaticism, lack of evidence, distortion of historical facts, irrational ethnic biases, inability to properly interpret data, and out-and-out LYING, in order to sustain a reputation for scholars who didn’t have the spirituality or intelligence of a Moonie selling roses on a street corner.

That is the reason (among several dozen others) why I have never professed to be a “scholar” of any sorts, and never will make such a profession. I believe it would definitely be a step down and backward from spiritual power, learning the Bible, soul-winning success, getting answers to prayer, bearing the fruit of the Holy Spirit, and effectively training young men (not “persons”) for the ministry as pastors, teachers, missionaries, and evangelists. My five earned degrees are simply listed for the benefit of uneducated Bible believers to remind them that no preacher, or Bible teacher, has to drop his faith and confidence in the Authorized Version of the Holy Bible as a perfect, pure, absolute standard for THE TRUTH, simply because he has had five to ten years of Post-Graduate work. I sometimes sign a title with “Peter S. Ruckman B.A., M.A., Ph.D., B.D., and Th.M.,” but it is just to remind the Body of Christ that higher education is no excuse for pretending there are errors in the King James Bible.

They’re aren’t any.

And I no more believe in a B.C. “Septuagint” which Jesus Christ and the apostles quoted, than I believe the Holy Ghost ever breathed, one time (2 Tim. 3:16), on one verse in the ASV or NASV or ASV or RSV or CEV or NRSV or NIV unless it was taken from a 1611 Authorized Version of the English PROTESTANT Reformation.

“The simple believeth every word: but the prudent man looketh well to his going….Beware lest any man spoil you through PHILOSOPHY…after the tradition of men…and not after Christ…in whom are hid ALL THE TREASURES OF WISDOM AND KNOWLEDGE.”
CHAPTER EIGHT
The Last Straw

Having thoroughly examined a non-existent spook that never showed up on this earth till the New Testament was completed, and having examined ALL of the evidence that ALL of the “competent authorities” have collated, in 2,300 years (in detail), what do you suppose shows up in 1996, coming from the destructive critics of the Holy Bible (AV 1611)?

After listing all of the pros and cons, examining carefully all of the rumors and hypotheses, checking out all of the sources and citations, and documenting ALL of the positive and negative sides of the controversy, some blind fool in 1996 produces the following disgraceful “synopsis” of the matter, without being aware of one thing he is saying. Here, at the conclusion of the thorough investigation, is a 1996 “Alexandrian” going right on with the “monkeying,” “aping,” and “parroting” of what we have just exposed and dissected more than a dozen times. He does it without producing ONE shred of evidence for a pre-Christian LXX. He places the last straw on the camel’s back.

We refer to this typical inexperienced, half-blind, bungling amateur as “Dugout.” In reality, he is a certain Doug Kutilek who lives in Rolling Hills, Wichita, Kansas. Doug has spent all of his entire adult life attacking the King James Version, exactly as his peers, mentors, and predecessors did (A. T. Robertson, Kenneth Wuest, Spiros Zodhiates, James White, Stewart Custer, Bob Jones University, Dallas Theological Seminary, Grace Theological Seminary, James Combs, Homer Duncan, James Melton, Ron Minton, MacRae, Newman, Farstad, Hodges, Bruce, Metzger, Hort, Aland, Nestle, et al.).

Here are his “convictions,” printed in a four-page article on “The Septuagint.” (It is like looking at four carbon copies of Swete, Custer, Skehan, Bruce, Vanderkam, Origen, Aristobulus, Philo, Augustine, Jerome, Ben Sirach, Josephus, and the last 500 deceived apostates.)

Twenty-three hundred years of excavations, Behustin stones, Moabite Rocks, Dead Sea Scrolls, “Hexaplas,” papyri fragments, “finds,” Copper Scrolls, ostraka, and microfilms did not do ONE thing for “Dugout.” He remained in pagan darkness from start to finish, without one ray of light on any factual truth connected with the mythological LXX.

“Ruckman fabricated this view as part of his program of making Origen the universal bogeyman, and ‘fall guy,’ in matters regarding the text of the Bible.”

Review the documented evidence on Origen in the last two chapters, and tell me how anyone could make a bigger “bogey man” out of Adam-antius than he made of himself. If I tried to make him look like a fool, I wouldn’t have succeeded, for he already did the job by “hisself.” Origen was “the fall guy” in the works of the men who collated “the text of the Bible” (Scrivener, Miller, and Burgon). “Dugout” doesn’t do much reading.

“What evidence is there that the Greek translation of the Old Testament called
‘the Septuagint’ existed long BEFORE Origen’s time? Indeed, in pre-Christian times? MUCH OF EVERY SORT”

One Verse? No? Half a verse? No?

Nothing follows. All Kutey does is rehash what we already gave you on Philo, Aristobulus, Ben Sirach, and Josephus. Did they produce a verse? One verse, maybe? “No, not one; no not one.” Not one authority Kutey cites for his “much” evidence ever produced one Old Testament verse written in Greek before the time of Christ that ANY New Testament writer quoted. Not one authority, Kutey is about to quote, ever produced ONE copy of ANY Greek version of the Old Testament before A.D. 240. As George Wallace would say: “For facts all you get is diddley squat” (Southern, circa 1950).

Kutey’s “much” evidence turns out to be the scraps of the Ryland papyrus, which we listed in 1970, and the Minor Prophet Scroll (R), which was written after the birth of Christ; and no New Testament writer quotes either collection.

“Philo quotes the Old Testament in Greek hundreds of times, always from a text corresponding to the LXX.”

For example? No examples; not even one example.

You mean you know of “HUNDREDS OF TIMES,” but can’t write down one of them? Exactly. He couldn’t list ONE quote from Philo after saying “hundreds.” That straw (that breaks the camel’s back) is placed on him in 1995, after the poor “beast of burden” has been loaded with 2,300 years of the same excess baggage.

“Dugout” Doug Kutilek has just defined “much evidence;” it means: ZERO.

In defiance of every thought process labeled “sanity,” “rational powers,” or “logic,” Kutey now cites The Prologue we dissected on pages 23–27. Totally unaware of what he has written, Dugout sails by “when they are SPOKEN in another language” (NOT “written”) like Robin Knox-Johnson (1968) sailing by seven continents (in the yacht race around the world).

Kutilek (like every apostate Conservative, Fundamentalist, and Evangelical listed in his work) had been so accustomed to perverting the Scriptures in 2 Peter 1:21—all Scholarship Onlyism fanatics alter “spake” to “WRITTEN”—that he read “written in another language,” where the text he quoted SAID: “spoken in another language.” All Philo had to do was SPEAK Greek to a Greek-speaking congregation, and meatballs like “Dugout” would think he was speaking from a WRITTEN Greek Old Testament.

But Kutilek does not take off his Laurel and Hardy eyeglasses yet. He then alters “prophecies” to “PROPHETS” to force the reference to mean “The Law and The Prophets,” exactly as when we caught Ben Sirach with his pants down on pages 23–27. Kutilek “joins the club.”

“Its general outline (The Letter to Aristeas) is accepted by ALL competent authorities, as based on FACT: that a Greek translation of the Law of Moses was made under Ptolemy Philadelphus in the third century.”
Three lies in a row; All Scholarship Only advocates, think they have “executive privilege” to lie and steal.

1. The “general outline” of the letter says nothing about any Greek translation of “The Law of Moses;” that was an addenda added later. The letter is about the entire Old Testament, not just “The Law of Moses. The same hypocrites who say “the Law,” here, means the whole Old Testament, abandon that position immediately when they get to The Prologue Kutey cited (see pp. 23–27).

2. The “general outline” of Aristeas runs through forty times as much material as ANY mention of The Law of Moses, or the “Law” of Moses, or anything Biblical. The “general outline” of Aristeas—which I have read out loud to classes thirty-one times since 1964—is Socratic, Aristotelian, and Platonic dialogues on philosophy. Kutey never read “The Letter.”

3. The “FACT” on which Kutey’s hallucinations were concocted is a perfect BLANK. What he called a “FACT” was three phony accounts by three misinformed liars: Aristobulus, Ben Sirach, and Aristeas.

You see now, where Kutey went for his “final authority.” He went to educated liars like himself, whom he called “competent authorities.” No Bible ever disturbed his deep Laodicean slumber. Not one Bible verse intruded into his romantic mediation on the myths of “competent authorities.” He slept peacefully on, in the dark, with no more true Christian orientation or Christian point of reference, than Origen, Hort, White, Nestle or Aland, or for that matter, Karl Marx or Albert Einstein.

“This letter...was written long before the time of Origen, for it was known, and believed by the Jewish writer Philo.”

Who may have written it. Did you ever meet an apostate, Jewish, African philosopher who wouldn’t believe what he wrote, himself? If someone else wrote it, who, in his right mind, would have believed it? It was 95% Greek philosophy. There is nothing in the entire letter that would indicate that any translator involved knew Greek or Hebrew, or any of the problems connected with translating either language. Philo believed it, did he? Hey, Kutey baby! Why didn’t you tell them what else Philo believed? Little “chicken,” there, fella?

Philo followed Plato (427–347 B.C.) and allegorized everything he read in the Bible, and did not leave one written, or spoken line on earth that shows he ever believed in Moses, or Christ (John 5:44–47). He attended none of the Jewish feasts commanded for his race, although he was said to be a Rabbi of “The Great Synagogue.” He did not believe anything Moses wrote in the first ten chapters of Genesis. He was a “Pythagorean;” Pythagoras was an out-and-out Gnostic. He reinterpreted the entire Old Testament “in the terms of Hellenistic philosophy.” Schaff says: “Gnosticism is chiefly of heathen descent.” Philo was not a Christian and he was not a Bible-believing Hebrew.

But The Letter to Aristeas was “believed by the Jewish writer, Philo”? You mean “unsaved, apostate, Jewish philosopher?” Speak up, mush mouth. Get it right.

“No one would write a letter [note the dogmatism] to explain the origin of a
TRANSLATION that didn't exist, and certainly [note the dogmatism] no one would quote a letter, and believe its contents, regarding a translation that did not exist.”

“No one?” Are you sure sonny? Sonny, does you mother know where you are? It’s after 8 P.M.

1. All manuscript detectives assume the existence of an Old Latin Bible in A.D. 150–170, and that translation does NOT exist.

2. All Conservative scholars assume the existence of an Old Syrian translation extant before A.D. 180 and that translation does not exist.

3. Hort wrote a whole Book (Hort’s Introduction. See The Scholarship Only Controversy) to explain the origin of a GREEK TEXT, and everything he wrote turned out to be a fake, although it was believed by—not some apostate, Jewish philosopher—but by Nestle, Aland, Ellicott, Schaff, Green, Lightfoot, Robertson, Warfield, Thiessen, Swete, Bruce, Metzger, White, Wuest, Bob Jones III, the NIV committee, the NASV committee, the RV committee, the RSV committee, the CEV committee, and the ASV committee.

And “no one” would do a thing like that, would they? You superstitious, naive, half-educated amateur!

THAT was done in 1880–1990, 1,900 years beyond Philo. Hort’s “confl ate theory” never existed as a “fact”; his Lucian recension never took place; neither the time or place for it ever existed. IT WAS A NINETEENTH CENTURY MATCHMEET TO THE LETTER TO ARISTEAS, and thousands of superstitious nuts (like Josephus and Philo) believed in it. Jimmy White believes it in 1996.

4. Aland and Nestle, in 1996 (!), are on record as saying they created a “New Textus Receptus” which is THE Majority Text,” and every “competent authority” in the Alexandria Cult believed the fairytale. Mind you, this is in 1990, after 400 years of “light,” and “findings,” and “discoveries,” and “new light,” plus a “wealth of information,” and an “embarrassment of riches, etc.”

And “no one” would do a thing like that, would they, Kutey?

Why this was done “extant!” All the suckers (1880–1990) believed the lie when they had all of the factual information “extant” right in front of their faces in the works of Zuntz, Colwell, Sturz, Pickering, Wilkinson, Hoskier, Scrivener, Miller, Hills, Burgon, and Fuller. And you think that Philo in A.D. 20 is to be trusted for believing something he never saw, unless he wrote it himself?

Beam me up, Scotty! Yabadabadoo!

The history of Kutey’s “competent authorities” has always been a long history of rumors, conjectures, inventions, alibis, fabricated nonsense, allegations, and illusions, and (many times) downright hallucinations: like, for example, saying that any of the five “Septuagint” readings we gave you (pp. 81, 104–105) were “extant” before A.D. 330–350. Why wouldn’t Philo lie like a dog? Aristobulus did (pp. 12, 141). Augustine did (pp. 121–122). Jerome did (pg. 28). Aland and Nestle did (see above). Hort did (see above). James
White did (seventy-nine lies in 271 pages) and the Head of the Bible Department at BJU did (Custer: fifteen lies in less than forty pages).

But Philo is exempt, is he? Why?

Is Josephus exempt? You just said that Josephus was “familiar with THE LXX translation.” He was? Well why didn’t you tell us which one it was, if it was even there? Eusebius said Origen had access to seven of them. But Josephus couldn’t even quote the one he “knew about?” Where is the quotation? Will you give us ONE verse? ONE verse will do just fine.

Kutilek couldn’t print a verse: note even ONE verse.

Josephus “aped” Philo. Neither man had a Septuagint.

You say “they did.” Let’s see some passages from either man. No, better than that, let’s see Philo or Josephus produce ONE verse from an Old Testament Greek Bible that any New Testament writer quoted. The only verses they can produce were written 240 years after John died on Patmos.

Dugout is “bustin your chops.”

The reason “Dugout” cannot cite his own “competent authorities” is because they are as incompetent as he is. They all have lockjaw. None of them did any “homework”—to cite an Alexandrian cliché.

All of the concrete evidence shows that whoever wrote “The Letter to Aristeas” was mainly engaged in writing a philosophical dissertation on the teachings of the Epicureans, Stoics, and Platonists. If Philo (20 B.C.–A.D. 50) did write it, his motive for doing so was excellent. You see, all of the extant “Septuagints” contain Apocryphal books. Who would desire to make you think these were part of the inspired canon of the Old Testament except the Pope or a Hellenistic Jewish Philosopher in the home of the original Latin Church: NORTH AFRICA?

Paul, around A.D. 58 is talking about the word of God being corrupted (2 Corinthians 2:17). He would naturally be referring to the Old Testament canon. Who but an Hellenistic Apostate JEW would have seventy-two JEWS come down to the Hellenists in Alexandria and rig up an Old Testament full of Hellenistic philosophy? Greek philosophy, for apostate Jews who didn’t believe their own Old Testament? Who, indeed, but the Great “Rabbi” of the “Great” Synagogue? (See pg. 22.)

The fictitious “Letter to Aristeas” is the ideal vehicle for getting the Apocrypha into the Old Testament canon, and the fact is—that is exactly where it shows up IN EVERY “CITED” COPY OF THE SEPTUAGINT.

When Philo shows up, the books have been written: they just have not yet been universally “accepted.” (Song of the Three Children: 114 B.C., Prayer of Mannaseh: 50 B.C., Tobit: 140 B.C., Judith: 100 B.C., Wisdom: 100 B.C., 1 Esdras: 100 B.C., 1 Macc.: 125 B.C., 2 Esdras: 96 B.C., Bartuch: 100 B.C., etc.)

“The Apostles quote, or allude to the Old Testament hundreds of times [note how Kutey’s first “hundreds” turned out with Philo!] with most of these references
corresponding PRECISELY to the LXX.”

Would you care to give us one? What! Not even ONE?
I say, old chap, with a jolly “hundred” around, you couldn’t spare us ONE?

“Hundreds of times,” eh what? And you couldn’t cite ONE example? You couldn’t do it after we gave FIVE for you (see pp. 64–67), since you couldn’t find them yourself?

The Airheads are beyond the lunatic fringe. This is “bedlam” in A.D. 1995.

To call this miserable lying, “scholarship,” is to pass the bounds of Catatonic Schizophrenia. A straight jacket in a rubber room could not restrain it. These are scatter-brained pinheads, hypnotized by a teleprompter.

We gave you the passages, gave you the authors, wrote out the quotations, dated them, and dated their sources (pp. 64–67, 84), and Kutey couldn’t even MENTION one of them. He was a cuckoo in the cockpit. A punch drunk is in the pilot house.

Want “all fifty-two, face-up, on the table?”

If the silly boy had dared quote a verse, we would have “called his hand” on the spot, and he knew it. So does Robert Sumner, James White, Bob Ross, and John Ankerberg. Every hypocrite in Laodicea knows the LXX quotations he is quoting were written in A.D. 330–350.

“All of this information [he didn’t give any: we gave all of it] and very much more [which means “zero” in Kutey’s works] is readily available in such standard works as…No one who has ‘done his homework’ would ever fall into the ABSURD error of Ruckman-Riplinger, in denying a pre-Origen, pre-Christian date of the LXX, Greek translation of the Hebrew Old Testament.”

The “standard works” which he cited above were by Swete, Wurtheim, and Kenyon. Not ONE man of the three—not ONE—has ever produced, in a lifetime, ONE verse from a Greek Old Testament written before the time of Christ that ANY New Testament writer “used,” or quoted.

We did our “homework” while Swete, Skehan, Custer, Kutilek, Kenyon, Wurtheim, and Origen were daydreaming. The “competent authorities” did no work at all: they simply speculated on the basis of false reports. They threw darts (blindfolded) at a fairytale invented by apostate Alexandrian philosophers. Proof? Read “The Septuagint” by “Dugout” Doug.

He didn’t give you one verse. Not one verse on four pages.

Neither did Origen or Aristobulus.
Neither did Symmachus or Aquila.
Neither did Ben Sirach or Philo.
Neither did Augustine or Josephus.
Neither did Swete or Wurtheim.
Neither did Kenyon or Custer.
Neither did Skehan or Bruce.
Neither did Pfeiffer or Vanderkam.
Neither did Metzger or Ira Price.
Neither did Schaff or Burgon.
Neither did Hills or the AV translators.
Neither did Bob Jones III or John Ankerberg.
Neither did James White or Bob Ross.
Neither did Sumner nor A. T. Robertson.
Neither did B. B. Warfield or Kenneth Wuest.
Neither did Bratton or the *International Standard Bible Encyclopedia*.

And neither did Aland, Nestle, Nida, Farstad, Hodges, Swindoll, Trench, Hort, Miller, Scrivener, Erasmus, Vincent, Thayer, Albright, or John R. Rice.

Do you know WHY they couldn’t? *Because there wasn’t any verse.*

So the “last straw” on the camel’s back is a matchmeet to the first one, though separated by more than 2,000 years. It comes from a *diseased mind* just as frail and as rotten as the minds that invented *Ecclesiasticus*, the *Prologue*, the *Letter to Aristeas*, the *Hexapla*, *Sinaiticus*, and *Vaticanus*. Nothing “new” in 2,000 years. Just one interminable succession of Alexandrian jugheads educated beyond their intelligence, and a line of propaganda that would put Josef Goebbels out of business. Not ONE “competent authority” of *any* profession (including the AV translators) has ever produced ONE verse of *any Greek Old Testament*, written *before* 4 B.C., that Jesus Christ, or *any* apostle “quoted.”

That is the last time I am going to say it.

I have said it *eight times* in this book, already. I said it *three times* in 1970, and then graciously gave the Scholarship Onlyism fanatics *twenty-seven years* to find ONE VERSE. They never found it. Kutey’s “competent authorities” who had “done their homework” all took off for the underbrush in 1970 like a scalded dog, and not one of them returned with *anything*. All Kutey did was make an ass out of himself, like his predecessors. He has plenty of company. The Alexandrian Cult has swelled its ranks, double and triple, since 1880.

The fictitious fable we have been examining here, is as permanent (and as pernicious and irrational) a theory as Darwin’s theory of Evolution. It has been sustained exactly as Darwin’s was sustained: instead of looking for the *truth* and evaluating evidence in the light of *truth*, the procedure (in both cases) has been to search for any material that will bolster and confirm the *lie*. That will be the SOP in the future.

When the next “epoch-making discovery” is made, the God-forsaken hypocrites in charge will do one of three things:

1. Set the dates of the LXX as far *back* as they can get them, using ANY method that
will give them the oldest date (ditto Darwin).

2. Insist someone is quoting an LXX when the quotation could be a loose quotation of a Hebrew text, which the writer in Greek did so that it didn’t match (“word perfect”) the Hebrew text he used.

3. Insisting that if the verse found does match the A.D. 350 LXX, it had to be copied from that, when the chances are perfectly as good that on that particular verse, the Hebrew text the rascal translated was written that way anyway, in Hebrew.

That’s a prophecy. With every “B.C.” find in the future, you will find one of those perverse and tricky “gimmicks” attached to it.

Honesty, truth, consistency, and “FACTS” have never been strong suits in the Alexandrian Cult, especially among those who use those words the most frequently when attacking the King James Bible.

But to those of us who believe THE BOOK (and do our “homework”) such hocus-pocus, parading around as “Christian Scholarship,” is just too funny for words. “Absurd” (see Kutilek above) could not possibly describe it. It is a giddy, feeble-minded farce; a frothy, rash, harum-scarum, excursion into “Never-Never Land” (Peter Pan).

Kutilek’s “Septuagint” is an up-to-date, classic example of a typical deluded fool who fancies he is a scholar by talking to himself like a deluded fool would talk to himself. All he knows, he borrowed from deluded fools exactly like himself: the Scholars’ Union.

All of their “promos” were done to “resonate harmonic convergence.” They were never “talking scholarship” one time; they were talking “Venue.” They use “insider trading” to sell their merger-related “stocks.”

The tradesmen’s terms above serve their standard purpose to cover up, or obliterate, as much TRUTH as possible.
CHAPTER ONE

1. The idea is that they had all forgotten how to read their own language. This is derived from Nehemiah 8:3, 8.

2. All copies of The Letter to Aristeas contain this same material.

3. All copies of The Letter to Aristeas say the same thing. Joe Smith was supposed to have found the Book of Mormon in his back yard. It was written on golden plates when he dug it up. See the International Standard Bible Encyclopedia (ISBE), ed. James Orr. (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1956).

4. Not one copy of The Letter to Aristeas contains ONE sentence in ONE paragraph where the seventy-two translators, or their interrogator, are dealing with ONE question regarding the need for a translation, the purpose of the translation, the procedure for a translation, or the requirements for a translator. See Kutilek, pp. 165–166.

5. All copies of The Letter to Aristeas report this as given here.


7. ISBE, pg. 2723. “Christian WRITERS accepted the story without suspicion.”


11. H. G. G. Herklotts, How Our Bible Came to Us (London: Oxford University Press, 1954), pg. 114. “Here again the TRADITION is certainly right….” Note the dogmatism as the Pharisee appeals to TRADITION (Mark 7:7–13).

12. ISBE, pg. 2723. Introduction to the Greek Septuagint, pg. i.

13. ISBE, et al. Aristobulus was a liar.


15. Introduction to the Greek Septuagint, pg. i.

CHAPTER TWO

1. Et al. He is the one who lied about Demetrius: see footnote 2.
2. The date is 285 B.C. when Ptolemly Philadelphus II began do reign.
3. ISBE, pg. 2723. “The genuineness of the passage is doubtful.”
4. Introduction to the Greek Septuagint, pg. ii.
5. Ibid.
6. Ibid, pg. i.
7. ISBE, pg. 2723.

8. There is no overstatement. God said of Solomon that when it came to WISDOM and UNDERSTANDING: “…there was none like thee BEFORE thee, neither AFTER THEE shall any arise like unto thee.” If you think like Aland, Metzger, Bruce, Nestle, and Hort think, you realize that what God MEANT to say “was neither after thee shall any arise with the exception of Jesus Ben-Boo Boo.” ISBE, pg. iii. Note how Doug Kutilek lied about this quotation. LYING is the habitual “life style” of ALL Scholarship Onlyism fanatics.

11. Ibid., pg. 144.
12. ISBE, pg. 2724. Kutilek quoted it right that time, but he and the Scholars’ Union have been so used to lying about 2 Peter 1:21 (reading WROTE for “spake”—they have been doing that since 1880; over 100 years—that he failed to see the “spoken,” and thought it said “written.”

13. This is a remarkable piece of blatant lying by MODERN scholars that gives us a profound insight into the source of The Letter to Aristeas. For the author of that fabrication could have invented the story to justify the inspiration of APOCRYPHAL BOOKS BEING WRITTEN BY ALEXANDRIAN JEWs, and authenticating them by pretending a Greek Old Testament of canonical books had been written as INSPIRED Greek writings.


15. Cambridge History of the Bible, Vol. 1, pg. 456. Origen would use Tobit and Judith and Wisdom, “as freely and as authoritatively as ANY other part of the Old Testament.”


17. Bratton, pg. 128.


20. *ISBE*, pg. 2727. For the opposite point of view look at Rev. E. Compton’s estimation of the Greek Septuagint: “These mss. (Vaticanus and Sinaiticus) are CORRUPT documents, and the Greek text [the text of the mythological Septuagint] founded upon them (Nestle, Hort, and Aland) is destitute not only of historic foundations, but of ALL PROBABILITY.” (Compton, *TBS Quarterly Record*, 1970, pg. 11), citing Scrivener’s *Introduction*. SCRIVENER COLLATED VATICANUS (B).

21. *ISBE*, pg. 2731. The average reader will not be able to decipher this. It is the Gnostic teaching that all material is evil, and God, being transcendent (ABOVE) all thing material, should never be equated with a PHYSICAL CREATION. What this comes to, theologically, is the denial of the INCARNATION, exactly as you will find it denied (and the denial justified by James White) in the ASV, RSV, NRSV, NASV, and NIV.


CHAPTER THREE


2. First reported to be in the Vatican in 1481, found by Napoleon in 1803 and, finally, partially revealed to the public in 1845 (Tregelles).


5. Pfeiffer, pp.18–19.

6. Etc. The “wealth of information” was a bankrupt city dump.


8. Bruce, pg. 149.

9. Note the vast expanse of non-factual, non-objective, non-established, non-provable “facts” which show up when the “good, godly competent authorities” start playing guessing games with Fairytales. Vanderkam dates the Isaiah scroll at 335–227 B.C. (an eight-year bracket), according to what he calls “Accelerator Mass Spectometry.” But that gas bag is just a News Media “buzz word” for Carbon 14 “refined.” However, when TWO tests were made on the Isaiah scroll, the date had to be adjusted to 125–100 B.C. (a ten-year bracket) which was 210–227 years OFF BASE.
If one were really an objective scholar, he would have to say that the Isaiah scroll was written somewhere between 330 B.C. and A.D. 500—800.

They lose their minds as soon as they mess with THE Book.

10. Wegener, pg. 97.
11. Pfeiffer, pg. 18.
16. Ibid.
17. Ibid.

CHAPTER FOUR

1. Herklotts, pg. 96.
3. It is Eusebius who said Origen has seven copies of the LXX (Ecclesiastical History, Vol. 6, pg. 16). If Origen had a Septuagint he didn’t believe it, for he used the same secular approach to Biblical Criticism that Hort used: Origen’s diacritical signs are the SECULA R signs used by the editors of the works of HOMER (ISBE, pp. 2726–2727).
4. Reumann, pp. 103–104.
5. Ibid., pg. 104.
6. Ibid., pg. 94.
7. Ibid., pg. 95.
8. Cambridge History of the Bible, Vol. 1, pg. 464, and Pfeiffer, pg. 139. Dig up the hidden truth covered up by the word “palatable.” It simply means that Origen perverted the truth to the place where an INTELLECTUAL could tolerate it. This was done by destroying the historical truths, and the literal meanings of more than 10,000 verses.
10. Ibid.
11. Ibid., pp. 475, 483. Origen says: “The Holy Spirit is the true author of Scripture.”

Moral: any modern Fundamentalist can profess to believe in the “infallible, inerrant, plenary, VERBALLY INSPIRED, ORIGINAL AUTOGRAPHS” and be, at the same time, a demoniac lying, African Philosopher.
12. Ibid., pg. 483.
CHAPTER FIVE

1. James White. *The King James Only Controversy* (Minneapolis: Bethany House Publishers, 1995), pg. 44. Notice the crafty, careful, super-deceiving construction of the statement. Its opposite would be “anybody other than Fundamentalists would find Origen’s beliefs to be just slightly UNUSUAL.” See the gaffed act? White hinted (obliquely) that Origen’s teachings may have been heretical in the eyes of a majority of ONE group of Christians. This would exclude Catholics, Neo-Evangelicals, Conservatives, and Evangelicals. But upon examining what Origen taught (see pp. 112–113), you are struck with the fact that every professing Christian on earth would think that Origen’s teachings were “more than SLIGHTLY unusual.” For Atheists and Agnostics they would be SLIGHTLY unusual, but to the others they would be extremely unusual. But didn’t old lying Jimbo pull a con job on ya? Gotta take your hat off to the old deceiver: he must have had a good teacher.


5. Ibid., pg. 248.

6. Ibid., pg. 792.

7. Miller, pg. 113.

8. Schaff, pg. 792.

9. Reumann, pg. 103.

10. Herklotts, pg. 119. Note, 100 percent collusion to tell the truth (*unintentionally!*) while propagating a desperate LIE. Herklotts, Archer, Schaff, Miller, Price, Bruce, Reumann, and Swete ALL “accidentally” call Origen’s column “THE SEPTUAGINT” (LXX).

11. Eusebius said Origen had seven LXXs to revise, but Symmachus, Aquila, and Theodotian couldn’t get hold of ONE to revise. “Tinker Bell in the Garden of Allah.” If Origen found three of them in one JAR (see pg. 41), you will have to admit it must have been a twenty gallon milk can. There is not one piece of evidence on earth, in 1,900 years, that Quinta, Septima, and Flop Doodle were COMPLETE VERSIONS.

12. *Introduction to the Septuagint*, pg. 76. By doing this, the conceited jackass actually claimed that HE himself was going to give the Body of Christ “THE TRUE TEXT OF THE OLD TESTAMENT” (*ISBE*, pg. 2726).


14. Ibid., pg. 141.

15. *ISBE*, pg. 2722.
16. Et al. ISBE, pg. 2725.

17. Ibid., pg. 2726.

18. Ibid., pg. 2727. That is NINE witnesses to the FACT that Origen wrote *the Septuagint*, by NINE liars who insisted he did NOT write it.

That is the quality of Conservative and Evangelical “Scholarship” in the twentieth century. Those are the characters who TAUGHT Doug Kutilek, James White, Bob Jones Jr., Bob Jones III, Dayton Hobbs, James Combs, Robert Sumner, and Stewart Custer.

19. ISBE, pg. 2727.

20. Ibid. The perverse corruptions recommended by James White, from the RV of 1881 to the NIV of 1995, do not *dare* to tell you the MORAL CHARACTER and ETHICAL INTEGRITY OF “B” (Vaticanus).

21. ISBE, pg. 2727.


**CHAPTER SIX**


2. And, according to Jerome, “the standard bearer of Arianism” (the Arian heresy: see John 1:18 in the NASV) at Nicea. Reumann, pg. 100. Canon Cook, cited on pg. 10 of *Holding Fast the Faithful Word* (London: Trinitarian Bible Society, n.d.).


4. *Commentary on 1 Timothy*, cited by Musurillo, pg. 198.


6. Origen, Book IV, Chapter I, sect. 16.

7. This converts “good will toward men” to “men of good will,” as found in all ROMAN CATHOLIC BIBLES: *Douay*, *Douay-Rheims*, *Challoner*, *Challoner-Rheims*, *ASV*, *RV*, *New Jerusalem Bible*, *New American Bible*, *NASV*, *RSV*, and *NIV*.

8. Note how James White rushes to *defend* both these godless, depraved *perversions* of the Holy Bible.


10. Origen, *De Principiis*, Book 3, sections 3, 5, etc.


he didn’t teach it as a doctrine: on a “view.”


17. Ibid., pg. 235.

18. Chapter One, endnote 6.


21. R. A. Markus, *Modern Studies in Philosophy, Augustine* (Doubleday Anchor Books, 1972) pp. 245–248. “The bishop is a corrector of heretics. Force should be used to soften up heretics.” “ERROR has no rights.” Donatists should burn themselves to death when threatened by government forces. “It is not he (Augustine) who uses force, but THROUGH HIM GOD WORKS…he (Augustine) then puts HIMSELF IN GOD’S PLACE.” Exactly: *all Scholarship Only advocates are PRACTICAL ATHEISTS.*


23. Schaff, Vol. 3, pg. 1020. “The slight condemnation of unbaptized children” which contains the “scholastic fancy of LIMBO.” Page 482, Augustine taught…“the terrible doctrine of the damnation of all unbaptized children.” That would have to include the ELECT children unless baptism determined who the “elect” were. Pecan nuts, Walnuts, Peanuts, Macadams, and “Nigger Toes.”

24. Danvers, *History of the Baptists*, pg. 118, cited in Orchard, pg. 98. Augustine, at the council of Mela in Numidia (A.D. 415), said that the Donatists were “damned.”


26. Notice that it is not just merely “without suspicion.” These poor, deluded, deceived, self-righteous Christians who justified the perverse theory are exactly the same “clan” that we find in the twentieth century justifying the Bible perversions (called “versions”) when they attack the Deity of Christ. They believe they are magnifying the Deity of Christ while engaged in destroying it. For an A-1 example see *The Creed of the Alexandrian Cult*, as illustrated by James White, pp. 207–209 and 197–200.

27. Price, pg. 73.


29. Price, pg. 72.
CHAPTER SEVEN

1. This is the universal delusion among 98% of College or Seminary trained practical atheists. They think that a jury has to be composed of experts. That is exactly what it is NOT composed of. A jury reviews evidence. The experts are the ones who are on trial—always. Any saved farm woman from North Carolina can see right through an ASV or an NIV or an NASV, or an RSV or an NRSV like you can see through a plate glass window with the pane knocked out. The egotistical asses would blame her SPIRITUAL DISCERNMENT on her “lack of access to information” (see James White, pp. 1–70).

All professors who believe in evolution do exactly the same thing. They mistake discernment for ignorance.

2. All of the Septuagint fanatics and LXX nuts and Scholarship Only advocates forget that God would purposely lead the New Testament WRITERS to write in the universal language of THAT time. What language our Lord Jesus had spoken in would have been immaterial; it could have been Aramaic.


5. Ibid.

6. Ibid., pp. 238–239.

7. Ibid., pg. 245. Get out a map and check it out if you don’t believe it.

8. Bratton, pg. 11.

9. Ibid.

10. Ibid.

11. “The genuineness of the passage is doubtful” (ISBE, pg. 2723).

12. But according to Bratton, pg. 128, Tertullian accepted it as canonical, but this was not until A.D. 220 (ORIGEN!). Tertullian cites an Old Latin Bible in A.D. 200 and again in A.D. 216. Miller, pg. 114.


14. Canon Cook, pg. 10 of the July (1907), TBS Quarterly Record. (TBS is speaking of the Trinitarian Bible Society of London, England, the strongest voice in the twentieth century for the superiority of the King James Bible over ALL other English Bibles.)

15. See Chapter Five, footnote 8. This puts “Dugout Doug” under ten feet of manure, for he has not yet accused ONE of the TEN writers—his own crew—(the Scholarship Onlyism fanatics, who said what “Ruckman” said)—of LYING, although they said exactly what Ruckman said! These men were Swete, Miller, Bruce, Reumann, Kenyon, Price, Schaff, Herklotts, Archer, and the writer of the ISBE. How can THEY lie and get away with it? Bats in the Belfry. Cuckoos in the Clock works. Mocking birds in the Marbles. Canaries in the Cranium. I TELL YOU! “THEY LOSE THEIR MINDS WHEN THEY MESS WITH THE BOOK!”

17. Pfeiffer, pg. 145.

18. Vankerkam, pg. 199. The most likely date for these Post-Christian fragments would be between A.D. 132 and 135, during the second revolt of Bar Kochba (Pfeiffer, pg. 132). The coins found with the Post-Christian “Septuagint” match those dates: forty years after the completion of the New Testament.

19. Reumann, pg. 16.

20. Bruce, pp. 149–150.

21. Typical bigoted, non-scholarly, anti-intellectual, DECEITFUL “Christian” Scholarship. All major Christian Colleges, Seminaries, and Universities major in it: Bob Jones and Liberty University foremost.

22. Bruce, pg. 157.

23. Ibid., pg. 158.
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